

On the Sum of the Products of r different Terms of a Series.

By J. HAMMOND, B.A.

[Read February 10th, 1876.]

Let a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots form a series, and let $C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1})$ denote the sum of the products of r different terms, taken from the first n terms of this series.

Then

$$C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}, a_n) = C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) + a_n C_{r-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}).$$

Or, writing $\phi(n, r)$ instead of $C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1})$,

$$\phi(n+1, r) = \phi(n, r) + a_n \phi(n, r-1).$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n, r) &= \Sigma a_n \phi(n, r-1) \\ &= \Sigma a_n \Sigma a_n \phi(n, r-2) \\ &= \dots \dots \dots \end{aligned}$$

where Σ means summation with respect to n , and each Σ operates on everything that follows it.

Continuing this process, we obtain

$$\phi(n, r) = \Sigma a_n \Sigma a_n \dots \Sigma a_n \phi(n, 1);$$

there being $r-1$ operations, each expressed by Σa_n , performed on $\phi(n, 1)$ in succession; Σa_n meaning that its subject of operation is to be multiplied by a_n and then summed with respect to n .

This result may be written

$$\phi(n, r) = (\Sigma a_n)^{r-1} \phi(n, 1).$$

But

$$\phi(n, 1) = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n-1} = \Sigma a_n.$$

Thus

$$\phi(n, r) = (\Sigma a_n)^r \dots \dots \dots (1).$$

$\phi(n, r)$ or $(\Sigma a_n)^r$ is not the same as $C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1})$ except in the case where each of the r arbitrary constants, implied in the r summations, is properly determined.

Let these constants be $c_1, c_2, \dots c_r$.

Then

$$C_1(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = \Sigma a_n + c_1.$$

Multiplying by a_n and summing with respect to n ,

$$C_2(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = (\Sigma a_n)^2 + c_1 \Sigma a_n + c_2.$$

Proceeding in this manner, the final result is

$$C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = (\Sigma a_n)^r + c_1 (\Sigma a_n)^{r-1} + c_2 (\Sigma a_n)^{r-2} + \dots + c_r \dots (2).$$

The constants may now be found from the conditions that

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) &= 0 \text{ when } n = 0, \\ C_2(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) &= 0 \text{ when } n = 0 \text{ or } 1, \\ \dots \dots \dots & \dots \dots \dots \\ C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) &= 0 \text{ when } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots r-1. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 C_1(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) &= \phi(n, 1) + c_1 \\
 C_2(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) &= \phi(n, 2) + c_1\phi(n, 1) + c_2 \\
 C_3(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) &= \phi(n, 3) + c_1\phi(n, 2) + c_2\phi(n, 1) + c_3 \\
 &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\
 C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) &= \phi(n, r) + c_1\phi(n, r-1) + c_2\phi(n, r-2) + \dots + c_r
 \end{aligned} \right\} (3).$$

In each of the equations (3) put $n=0$ and solve for c_r .

Then

1		$(-)^r c_r$																																
<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">0</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">0</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">0</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> </table>	1	0	0	...	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	=	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">0</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 3)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> </table>	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	...	$\phi(0, 3)$	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$
1	0	0	...																															
$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...																															
$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	...																															
...																															
$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...																															
$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	...																															
$\phi(0, 3)$	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$...																															
...																															
r rows		r rows																																

or $c_r = (-)^r$

<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">0</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 3)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> </table>	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	...	$\phi(0, 3)$	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	(4).
$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...																
$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	...																
$\phi(0, 3)$	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$...																
...																
r rows																			

Again, in the first equation, put $n=0$; in the second, $n=1$; in the third, $n=2$, and so on; and solve for c_r .

Then, as before,

$c_r = (-)^r$

<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">0</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(1, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(1, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(2, 3)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(2, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(2, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> </table>	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...	$\phi(1, 2)$	$\phi(1, 1)$	1	...	$\phi(2, 3)$	$\phi(2, 2)$	$\phi(2, 1)$	(5).
$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...																
$\phi(1, 2)$	$\phi(1, 1)$	1	...																
$\phi(2, 3)$	$\phi(2, 2)$	$\phi(2, 1)$...																
...																
r rows																			

From (4) and (5) we obtain the identity

<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">0</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 3)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> </table>	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	...	$\phi(0, 3)$	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	≡	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(0, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">0</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(1, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(1, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">1</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(2, 3)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(2, 2)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">$\phi(2, 1)$</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td><td style="padding: 2px 10px;">...</td></tr> </table>	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...	$\phi(1, 2)$	$\phi(1, 1)$	1	...	$\phi(2, 3)$	$\phi(2, 2)$	$\phi(2, 1)$
$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...																															
$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$	1	...																															
$\phi(0, 3)$	$\phi(0, 2)$	$\phi(0, 1)$...																															
...																															
$\phi(0, 1)$	1	0	...																															
$\phi(1, 2)$	$\phi(1, 1)$	1	...																															
$\phi(2, 3)$	$\phi(2, 2)$	$\phi(2, 1)$...																															
...																															

Since, in order that the left-hand members of (3) may vanish, in the first equation n must be put $= 0$; in the second, 0 or 1; in the third, 0, 1, or 2, and so on; there are $\lfloor r$ equations to find the r quantities $c_1, c_2, \dots c_r$, and therefore $\lfloor r - r$ identical relations between the other quantities.

If $C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1})$ be expressed in the form $(\Sigma a_n)^r$, where each summation is performed between certain limits, these limits are, for the first summation, from 0 to n ; for the second, from 0 to n or from 1 to n ; for the third, from 0 to n , from 1 to n , or from 2 to n ; and so on.

Thus, $C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1})$ may be found in $\lfloor r$ different ways by taking different limits of summation; but the results will be in every case identical.

The most convenient limits are from 0 to n for each summation.

For example,

$$C_1(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = \sum_0^n a_n,$$

$$C_2(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = (\sum_0^n a_n)^2 \equiv \sum_1^n a_n \sum_0^n a_n,$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) &= (\sum_0^n a_n)^3 \equiv \sum_1^n a_n (\sum_0^n a_n)^2 \equiv \sum_2^n a_n (\sum_0^n a_n)^2 \\ &\equiv \sum_0^n a_n \sum_1^n a_n \sum_0^n a_n \equiv (\sum_1^n a_n)^2 \sum_0^n a_n \equiv \sum_2^n a_n \sum_1^n a_n \sum_0^n a_n. \end{aligned}$$

Corresponding to the determination of c_r in (4), we have

$$C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = (\sum_0^n a_n)^r \dots\dots\dots (6);$$

this is the most convenient form.

And, corresponding to the determination of c_r in (5),

$$C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = \sum_{r-1}^n a_n \sum_{r-2}^n a_n \dots \sum_0^n a_n \dots\dots\dots (7).$$

In the case where $\phi(\infty, r) = 0$ for all values of r , or, what is the same thing, where $C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_\infty)$ tends to a finite limit for all values of r , we have, from (3),

$$\left. \begin{aligned} c_1 &= C_1(a_0, a_1, \dots a_\infty) \\ c_2 &= C_2(a_0, a_1, \dots a_\infty) \\ c_3 &= C_3(a_0, a_1, \dots a_\infty) \\ \dots &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ c_r &= C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_\infty) \end{aligned} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (8).$$

Hence c_r is a constant with respect to n only; i. e., it is not independent of r or of the form of a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots , as is also evident from either (4) or (5).

In the most simple case, when $a_n = a^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(n, 1) &= \sum a_n = \frac{a^n}{a-1}, \\ \phi(n, 2) &= (\sum a^n)^2 = \sum \frac{a^{2n}}{a-1} = \frac{a^{2n}}{(a-1)(a^2-1)}, \\ \dots &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ \phi(n, r) &= (\sum a^n)^r = \frac{a^{rn}}{(a-1)(a^2-1) \dots (a^r-1)}; \end{aligned}$$

and, from (3),

$$C_r(1, a, a^2, \dots a^{n-1}) = \phi(n, r) + c_1 \phi(n, r-1) + c_2 \phi(n, r-2) + \dots + c_r \\ = \frac{a^{nr} + A_1 a^{n(r-1)} + A_2 a^{n(r-2)} + \dots + A_r}{(a-1)(a^2-1) \dots (a^r-1)}.$$

The constants $A_1, A_2, \dots A_r$ are found from the condition that $C_r(1, a, a^2, \dots a^{n-1}) = 0$ when $n = 0, 1, \dots r-1$, and the final result is

$$C_r(1, a, a^2, \dots a^{n-1}) = \frac{(a^n-1)(a^n-a) \dots (a^n-a^{r-1})}{(a-1)(a^2-1) \dots (a^r-1)} \dots (9).$$

The term independent of n is c_r .

$$\text{Thus, } c_r = (-)^r \frac{a^{1+2+\dots+(r-1)}}{(a-1)(a^2-1) \dots (a^r-1)} \\ = (-)^r \frac{a^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}}}{(a-1)(a^2-1) \dots (a^r-1)}.$$

To verify (4) and (5), put $r = 2$.

$$\text{Then } c_2 = \frac{a}{(a-1)(a^2-1)};$$

and

$$\begin{vmatrix} \phi(0, 1) & 1 \\ \phi(0, 2) & \phi(0, 1) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{a-1} & 1 \\ \frac{1}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} & \frac{1}{a-1} \end{vmatrix} \\ = \frac{1}{(a-1)^2} - \frac{1}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} = \frac{a}{(a-1)(a^2-1)},$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \phi(0, 1) & 1 \\ \phi(1, 2) & \phi(1, 1) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{1}{a-1} & 1 \\ \frac{a^2}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} & \frac{a}{a-1} \end{vmatrix} \\ = \frac{a}{(a-1)^2} - \frac{a^2}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} = \frac{a}{(a-1)(a^2-1)}.$$

In (6), put $a_n = a^n$ and $r = 2$. Then

$$C_2(1, a, \dots a^{n-1}) \\ = \left(\sum_0^n a^n \right)^2 = \sum_0^n a^n \frac{a^n - 1}{a - 1} \\ = \frac{a^{2n}}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} - \frac{a^n}{(a-1)^2} - \frac{1}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} + \frac{1}{(a-1)^2} \\ = \frac{a^{2n} - a^n(a+1) + a}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} \\ = \frac{(a^n-1)(a^n-a)}{(a-1)(a^2-1)}.$$

In (7), put $a_n = a^n$ and $r = 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(1, a, \dots a^{n-1}) &= \sum_1^n a^n \sum_0^n a^n = \sum_1^n a^n \frac{a^n - 1}{a - 1} \\ &= \frac{a^{2n}}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} - \frac{a^n}{(a-1)^2} - \frac{a^2}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} + \frac{a}{(a-1)^2} \\ &= \frac{(a^n-1)(a^n-a)}{(a-1)(a^2-1)} \text{ as before.} \end{aligned}$$

In (9), put $n = \infty$, and suppose a to be fractional. Then the limit of $C_r(1, a, a^2, \dots a^{n-1})$ when n is infinite is

$$c_r = (-)^r \frac{a^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}}}{(a-1)(a^2-1) \dots (a^r-1)},$$

which agrees with (8).

In (9), put $a = e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}}$. Then

$$C_r(1, e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}}, e^{2\theta\sqrt{-1}}, \dots e^{(n-1)\theta\sqrt{-1}}) = \frac{\sin \frac{n\theta}{2} \sin \frac{n-1}{2} \theta \dots \sin \frac{n-r+1}{2} \theta}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \theta \dots \sin \frac{r\theta}{2}} e^{\frac{n-1}{2} r \theta \sqrt{-1}}.$$

But $C_r(1, e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}}, e^{2\theta\sqrt{-1}}, \dots e^{(n-1)\theta\sqrt{-1}})$

$$= S \{ \cos(\alpha + \beta + \dots r \text{ terms}) \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin(\alpha + \beta + \dots r \text{ terms}) \theta \},$$

the sum of all terms of the form

$$\cos(\alpha + \beta + \dots) \theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin(\alpha + \beta + \dots) \theta,$$

where α, β, \dots are any r different terms of the series $0, 1, 2, \dots n-1$.

With this notation,

$$\begin{aligned} S \cos(\alpha + \beta + \dots) \theta &= \frac{\sin \frac{n\theta}{2} \sin \frac{n-1}{2} \theta \dots \sin \frac{n-r+1}{2} \theta}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \theta \dots \sin \frac{r\theta}{2}} \cos \frac{n-1}{2} r \theta \dots \dots (10); \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S \sin(\alpha + \beta + \dots) \theta &= \frac{\sin \frac{n\theta}{2} \sin \frac{n-1}{2} \theta \dots \sin \frac{n-r+1}{2} \theta}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \theta \dots \sin \frac{r\theta}{2}} \sin \frac{n-1}{2} r \theta \dots \dots (11). \end{aligned}$$

Let (n) denote a given rational and integral function of n , of the order m ; and write $a_n = (n) a^n$.

Then

$$\phi(n, 1) = \Sigma(n) a^n = -\frac{a^n}{1-a} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta}(n),$$

$$\phi(n, 2) = [\Sigma(n) a^n]^2 = \frac{a^{2n}}{1-a \cdot 1-a^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \Delta}(n) \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta}(n),$$

$$\phi(n, 3) = [\Sigma(n) a^n]^3 = -\frac{a^{3n}}{1-a \cdot 1-a^2 \cdot 1-a^3} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{a^3}{1-a^3} \Delta}(n) \\ \times \frac{1}{1-\frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \Delta}(n) \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta}(n),$$

... ..

$$\phi(n, r) = \frac{(-)^r a^{rn}}{1-a \cdot 1-a^2 \cdot 1-a^r} \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{a^r}{1-a^r} \Delta}(n) \cdot \frac{1}{1-\frac{a^{r-1}}{1-a^{r-1}} \Delta}(n) \dots\dots \\ \dots\dots \frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta}(n) \dots\dots(12);$$

where, in the expressions on the right hand, Δ refers to n [viz., $\Delta(n) = (n+1) - (n)$ as usual]; the fractional symbols represent series

$$\frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta} = 1 + \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta + \frac{a^2}{(1-a)^2} \Delta^2 + \&c.,$$

and where each power of

Δ operates upon everything that follows it.

For instance, in the second formula, if to simplify $(n) = \overline{n+1}$ so that $\Delta(n) = 1$, $\Delta^2(n) = 0$, then omitting the factor $\frac{a^{2n}}{1-a \cdot 1-a^2}$, the remaining factor on the right hand is

$$\left(1 + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \Delta + \frac{a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} \Delta^2\right) \overline{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{a}{1-a} \Delta\right) \overline{n+1},$$

that is,

$$= \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \Delta + \frac{a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} \Delta^2\right) \overline{n+1} \left(\overline{n+1} + \frac{a}{1-a}\right) \\ = \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \Delta + \frac{a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} \Delta^2\right) \left(\overline{n+1}^2 + \overline{n+1} \cdot \frac{a}{1-a}\right) \\ = \overline{n+1}^2 + \overline{n+1} \cdot \frac{a}{1-a} \\ + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \Delta \left(\overline{n+1}^2 + \overline{n+1} \cdot \frac{a}{1-a}\right) + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \left(2\overline{n+1} + \frac{a}{1-a}\right) \\ + \frac{a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} \Delta^2 \left(\overline{n+1}^2 + \overline{n+1} \cdot \frac{a}{1-a}\right) + \frac{a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} \cdot 2.$$

Referring to (3) and (4), we see that, in the general case,

$$C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = \begin{vmatrix} \phi(n, r) & \phi(n, r-1) & \phi(n, r-2) & \dots & 1 \\ \phi(0, 1) & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \phi(0, 2) & \phi(0, 1) & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \phi(0, r) & \phi(0, r-1) & \phi(0, r-2) & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \dots (13).$$

When $a_n = \overline{n+1} \cdot a^n$,

$$C_1(1, 2a, \dots na^{n-1}) = \begin{vmatrix} \phi(n, 1) & 1 \\ \phi(0, 1) & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$C_2(1, 2a, \dots na^{n-1}) = \begin{vmatrix} \phi(n, 2) & \phi(n, 1) & 1 \\ \phi(0, 1) & 1 & 0 \\ \phi(0, 2) & \phi(0, 1) & 1 \end{vmatrix}$$

where $\phi(n, 1) = -\frac{a^n}{1-a} \left(\overline{n+1} + \frac{a}{1-a} \right),$

$$\phi(n, 2) = \frac{a^{2n}}{(1-a)(1-a^2)}$$

$$\times \left\{ \overline{n+1}^2 + \overline{n+1} \cdot \frac{a}{1-a} + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \left(2n+3 + \frac{a}{1-a} \right) + \frac{2a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} \right\}.$$

Hence $\phi(0, 1) = -\frac{1}{1-a} \left(1 + \frac{a}{1-a} \right) = -\frac{1}{(1-a)^2},$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(0, 2) &= \frac{1}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{a}{1-a} + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \left(3 + \frac{a}{1-a} \right) + \frac{2a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} \left(1 + \frac{a}{1-a} + \frac{2a^2}{1-a^2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1+a+2a^2}{(1-a)(1-a^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(1, 2a, \dots na^{n-1}) &= \frac{1}{(1-a)^2} - \frac{a^n}{1-a} \left(\overline{n+1} + \frac{a}{1-a} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-a)^2} (1 - \overline{n+1} a^n + na^{n+1}) \dots \dots \dots (14), \end{aligned}$$

which is easily verified.

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(1, 2a, \dots na^{n-1}) &= \{ \phi(0, 1) \}^2 - \phi(0, 2) - \phi(0, 1) \cdot \phi(n, 1) + \phi(n, 2) \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-a)^4} - \frac{1+a+2a^2}{(1-a)(1-a^2)^2} - \frac{a^n}{(1-a)^3} \left(\overline{n+1} + \frac{a}{1-a} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{a^{2n}}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \overline{n+1}^2 + \overline{n+1} \frac{a}{1-a} + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} \left(2n+3 + \frac{a}{1-a} \right) + \frac{2a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{2a+a^2+a^3}{(1-a)(1-a^2)^3} - \frac{a^n}{(1-a)^4} (n+1-na) \\
 &\quad + \frac{a^{2n}}{(1-a)(1-a^2)^3} \left\{ n^2+n \left(2 + \frac{a}{1-a} + \frac{2a^2}{1-a^2} \right) + \frac{1+a+2a^3}{(1-a^2)^2} \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(1-a)(1-a^2)^3} \left\{ 2a+a^2+a^3 - \overline{n+1} \cdot a^n - \overline{2n+3} \cdot a^{n+1} - 3a^{n+2} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \overline{2n-1} \cdot a^{n+3} + na^{n+4} + \overline{n+1}^2 \cdot a^{2n} + \overline{n+1} \cdot a^{2n+1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \overline{2n^2+n-2} \cdot a^{2n+2} - n \cdot a^{2n+3} + n \cdot \overline{n-1} \cdot a^{2n+4} \right\};
 \end{aligned}$$

e. g., when $n=2$, this is

$$2a = \frac{1}{(1-a)(1-a^2)^3} \left\{ 2a - 2a^2 - 6a^3 + 6a^4 + 6a^5 - 6a^6 - 2a^7 + 2a^8 \right\},$$

the truth of which is evident.

When n is infinite and a fractional,

$$C_2(1, 2a, \dots na^{n-1}) = \frac{2a+a^2+a^3}{(1-a)(1-a^2)^3} \dots\dots\dots (15).$$

This result is true approximately whenever na^n is small enough to be neglected.

When $n=10$, $a=\frac{1}{10}$, the result obtained from (15) is

$$\cdot 241,620,824,554,538 \dots,$$

the correct value of $C_2(1, 2a, \dots 10a^9)$ being

$$\cdot 241,620,823,030,380,9.$$

It may be noticed that the term independent of n in (14) is $\frac{1}{(1-a)^3} = 1 + 2a + 3a^2 + \dots$ *ad inf.* by expansion; that the term independent of n in the expression for $C_2(1, 2a, \dots na^{n-1})$ is the limit of that expression when a is fractional and n infinite; and generally, since we have, from (12),

$$\phi(n, r) = (a^r)^n F(n),$$

where $F(n)$ is a rational integral function of n , when a is fractional, $\phi(\infty, r) = 0$ for all values of r , and the limit of $C_r[(0), (1) a, \dots (n-1) a^{n-1}] = c_r$, the term in its expression independent of n .

The general term of $\phi(n, r)$ obtained from (12) by expansion is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{(-)^r a^{rn}}{(1-a)(1-a^2) \dots (1-a^r)} \left(\frac{a^r}{1-a^r} \Delta \right)^{\kappa_r} (n) \left(\frac{a^{r-1}}{1-a^{r-1}} \Delta \right)^{\kappa_{r-1}} (n) \dots\dots \\
 &\dots\dots \left(\frac{a}{1-a} \Delta \right) (n) \\
 &= \frac{(-)^r a^{r^2 n + \kappa_1 + 2\kappa_2 + \dots + r\kappa_r}}{(1-a)^{\kappa_1+1} (1-a^2)^{\kappa_2+1} \dots (1-a^r)^{\kappa_r+1}} \Delta^{\kappa_r} (n) \Delta^{\kappa_{r-1}} (n) \dots \Delta^{\kappa_1} (n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\Delta^x u_n v_n = \Delta^x u_n \cdot v_n + x \Delta^{x-1} u_{n+1} \cdot \Delta v_n + \frac{x(x-1)}{2} \Delta^{x-2} u_{n+2} \cdot \Delta^2 v_n + \&c.$$

Hence, putting $x = \kappa_r$, $u_n = (n)$, and writing for shortness

$$\Delta^{\kappa_r} (n) = (n)_{\kappa_r}, \quad \Delta^{\kappa_r-1} (n+1) = (n+1)_{\kappa_r-1},$$

$$\Delta^{\kappa_r-2} (n+2) = (n+2)_{\kappa_r-2}, \quad \&c.,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{\kappa_r} (n) v_n &= (n)_{\kappa_r} v_n + \kappa_r (n+1)_{\kappa_r-1} \Delta v_n \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa_r(\kappa_r-1)}{2} (n+2)_{\kappa_r-2} \Delta^2 v_n + \&c.; \end{aligned}$$

and substituting for v_n , $\Delta^{\kappa_r-1} (n) \Delta^{\kappa_r-2} (n) \dots \Delta^{\kappa_1} (n)$,

the general term of this expression is

$$\frac{| \kappa_r }{ | l_{r-1} | \kappa_r - l_{r-1} } (n + l_{r-1})_{\kappa_r - l_{r-1}} \Delta^{\kappa_r-1 + l_{r-1}} (n) \Delta^{\kappa_r-2} (n) \dots \Delta^{\kappa_1} (n).$$

Continuing this process, the general term of $\phi(n, r)$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(-)^r a^{rn + \kappa_1 + 2\kappa_2 + \dots + r\kappa_r}}{(1-a)^{\kappa_1+1} (1-a^2)^{\kappa_2+1} \dots (1-a^r)^{\kappa_r+1}} \cdot \frac{| \kappa_r }{ | l_{r-1} | \kappa_r - l_{r-1} } \\ &\times \frac{| \kappa_{r-1} + l_{r-1} }{ | l_{r-2} | \kappa_{r-1} + l_{r-1} - l_{r-2} } \cdot \frac{| \kappa_{r-2} + l_{r-2} }{ | l_{r-3} | \kappa_{r-2} + l_{r-2} - l_{r-3} } \dots \frac{| \kappa_2 + l_2 }{ | l_1 | \kappa_2 + l_2 - l_1 } \\ &\times (n + l_{r-1})_{\kappa_r - l_{r-1}} \cdot (n + l_{r-2})_{\kappa_{r-1} + l_{r-1} - l_{r-2}} \cdot (n + l_{r-3})_{\kappa_{r-2} + l_{r-2} - l_{r-3}} \dots \\ &\dots (n + l_1)_{\kappa_2 + l_2 - l_1} \cdot (n)_{\kappa_1 + l_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now put

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \kappa_1 + l_1 &= t_1 \\ \kappa_2 + l_2 - l_1 &= t_2 \\ \kappa_3 + l_3 - l_2 &= t_3 \\ \dots &\dots \dots \dots \\ \kappa_{r-1} + l_{r-1} - l_{r-2} &= t_{r-1} \\ \kappa_r - l_{r-1} &= t_r \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= t_1 - \kappa_1, \\ l_2 &= t_1 + t_2 - \kappa_1 - \kappa_2, \\ \dots &\dots \dots \dots \\ l_{r-1} &= t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{r-1} - \kappa_1 - \kappa_2 - \dots - \kappa_{r-1}, \\ t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_r &= \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \dots + \kappa_r; \end{aligned}$$

and the general term of $\phi(n, r)$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(-)^r a^{rn + \kappa_1 + 2\kappa_2 + \dots + r\kappa_r}}{(1-a)^{\kappa_1+1} (1-a^2)^{\kappa_2+1} \dots (1-a^r)^{\kappa_r+1}} \frac{| l_1 + t_2 }{ | l_1 | } \frac{| l_2 + t_3 }{ | l_2 | } \dots \frac{| l_{r-1} + t_r }{ | l_{r-1} | } \\ &\times (n)_{t_1} (n + l_1)_{t_2} (n + l_2)_{t_3} \dots (n + l_{r-1})_{t_r} \dots (16). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\phi(n, 2) = \frac{a^{2n}}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} \left\{ (n)^2 + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} (n) (n)_1 + \frac{a^2}{1-a^2} (n)_1 (n+1) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{a}{1-a} (n)_1 (n) + \frac{a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} 2 (n)_1 (n+1)_1 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{a^6}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} (n)_1^2 + \dots \right\}.$$

The terms given are those corresponding to $m = 1$.

Since $(n+l)_1 = (1+\Delta)^l \Delta^l (n)$

$$= (n)_1 + l(n)_{1,1} + \frac{l(l-1)}{2} (n)_{1,2} + \dots,$$

it follows that $\phi(n, r)$ is of the form

$$\frac{(-)^r a^{rn}}{(1-a)(1-a^2) \dots (1-a^r)} [(n), (n)_1, (n)_2, \dots (n)_m]^r.$$

For example, in the result given above, put $(n+1) = (n) + (n)_1$, $(n+1)_1 = (n)_1 + (n)_2, \dots$

Then

$$\phi(n, 2) = \frac{a^{2n}}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} \left\{ (n)^2 + (n) (n)_1 \left[\frac{2a^2}{1-a^2} + \frac{a}{1-a} \right] \right. \\ \left. + (n)_1^2 \left[\frac{a^2}{1-a^2} + \frac{2a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} + \frac{a^3}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} \right] \right. \\ \left. + \text{terms involving } (n)_2, (n)_3, \dots \right\} \\ = \frac{a^{2n}}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} \left\{ (n)^2 + (n) (n)_1 \frac{a+3a^3}{1-a^2} + (n)_1^2 \frac{a^2+a^3+2a^4}{(1-a^2)^2} + \dots \right\} \\ = \frac{a^{2n}}{(1-a)(1-a^2)} [(n), (n)_1, (n)_2, \dots (n)_m]^2.$$

The terms given are those corresponding to $m = 1$.

When $a=1$, $\phi(n, r) = \infty$, each element of the determinant (13) assumes the form $\pm \infty$, the value found for $C_r[(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ is indeterminate, and the foregoing investigation fails.

And generally, when $a^x = 1$, where x is any integer from 1 to r inclusive, $\phi(n, x)$, $\phi(n, x+1)$, ... $\phi(n, r)$ are all infinite, and $C_r[(0), (1), a, \dots (n-1)a^{n-1}]$ is indeterminate.

In the simplest case, when $a = 1$, the general term of $C_r[(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ may be found by the following method:

It will be shown hereafter that when (n) is expressed in the form

$$A_0 + A_1 n + A_2 \frac{[n]^2}{2} + \dots + A_m \frac{[n]^m}{m},$$

and $\Sigma^p(n)$ is taken to be

$$A_0 \frac{[n]^p}{[p]} + A_1 \frac{[n]^{p+1}}{[p+1]} + \dots + A_m \frac{[n]^{m+p}}{[m+p]},$$

the constants of summation all vanish.

In the formula

$$\Sigma u_n v_n = u_{n-1} \cdot \Sigma v_n - \Delta u_{n-2} \cdot \Sigma^2 v_n + \Delta^2 u_{n-3} \cdot \Sigma^3 v_n - \&c.,$$

put $u_n = (n)$, and $v_n = C_{r-1} [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$,

so that $\Sigma u_n v_n = C_r [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$;

then, writing shortly

$$\Delta (n-2) = (n-2)_1, \quad \Delta^2 (n-3) = (n-3)_2, \quad \dots, \dots,$$

$C_r [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$

$$= \{(n-1) \Sigma - (n-2)_1 \Sigma^2 + \dots + (-)^m (n-m-1)_m \Sigma^{m+1}\} \\ \times C_{r-1} [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$$

$$= \{(n-1) \Sigma - (n-2)_1 \Sigma^2 + \dots\}^2 C_{r-2} [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$$

$$= \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$$

$$= \{(n-1) \Sigma - (n-2)_1 \Sigma^2 + \dots + (-)^m (n-m-1)_m \Sigma^{m+1}\}^{r-1} \Sigma(n),$$

since $C_1 [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)] = \Sigma(n)$.

The general term of $C_r [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ is therefore

$$(-)^{\kappa_1+1} (n-\kappa_1)_{\kappa_1-1} \Sigma^{\kappa_1} (-)^{\kappa_2+1} (n-\kappa_2)_{\kappa_2-1} \Sigma^{\kappa_2} \dots \dots \dots \\ \dots \dots (-)^{\kappa_{r-1}+1} (n-\kappa_{r-1})_{\kappa_{r-1}-1} \Sigma^{\kappa_{r-1}+1} (n),$$

where each κ may have any integral value from 1 to $m+1$ inclusive.

It may easily be proved, by the same method as that given in Boole's "Finite Differences" (p. 74, Second Edition), that

$$\Sigma^r u_n v_n = u_{n-r} \cdot \Sigma^r v_n - \kappa \Delta u_{n-r-1} \cdot \Sigma^{r+1} v_n + \frac{\kappa(\kappa+1)}{[2]} \Delta^2 u_{n-r-2} \cdot \Sigma^{r+2} v_n - \dots,$$

the general term being $(-)^i \frac{|\kappa+l-1}{[\kappa-1] [l]} \Delta^i u_{n-r-i} \cdot \Sigma^{r-i} v_n$.

Thus $C_r [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ consists of a series of terms of the form

$$(-)^{\kappa_1+1+\kappa_2+l_1+1} (n-\kappa_1)_{\kappa_1-1} \frac{|\kappa_1+l_1-1}{[\kappa_1-1] [l_1]} (n-\kappa_2-\kappa_1-l_1)_{\kappa_2+l_1-1} \\ \times \Sigma^{\kappa_2+\kappa_1+l_1} (-)^{\kappa_2+1} (n-\kappa_3)_{\kappa_3-1} \dots \dots \dots$$

Proceeding in this manner, the general term of $C_r [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ is found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-)^{\kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \dots + \kappa_{r-1} + l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_{r-2} + r - 1} \\
 & \times \frac{|\kappa_1 + l_1 - 1| |\kappa_2 + \kappa_1 + l_1 + l_2 - 1| \dots \dots (r-2) \text{ factors}}{|\kappa_1 - 1| |l_1| |\kappa_2 + \kappa_1 + l_1 - 1| |l_2| \dots \dots \dots} \\
 & \times (n - \kappa_1)_{\kappa_1 - 1} \cdot (n - \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 - l_1)_{\kappa_2 + l_1 - 1} \cdot (n - \kappa_3 - \kappa_2 - \kappa_1 - l_1 - l_2)_{\kappa_3 + l_1 - 1} \dots \dots \\
 & \dots \dots (r-1) \text{ factors } \Sigma^{1 + \kappa_1 + \kappa_2 + \dots + \kappa_{r-1} + l_1 + l_2 + \dots + l_{r-2}}(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now put

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 \kappa_1 &= t_1 \\
 \kappa_2 + l_1 &= t_2 \\
 \kappa_3 + l_2 &= t_3 \\
 \dots &\dots \dots \\
 \kappa_{r-1} + l_{r-2} &= t_{r-1}
 \end{aligned} \right\} ;$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= t_1, \\
 I_2 &= t_1 + t_2, \\
 I_3 &= t_1 + t_2 + t_3, \\
 \dots &\dots \dots \dots \\
 I_{r-1} &= t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_{r-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the general term of $C_r[(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (-)^{I_{r-1} + r - 1} \frac{|\kappa_1 + l_1 - 1| |\kappa_2 + l_2 - 1| \dots |\kappa_{r-2} + l_{r-2} - 1|}{|\kappa_1 - 1| |l_1| |\kappa_2 - 1| |l_2| \dots |\kappa_{r-2} - 1| |l_{r-2}|} \\
 & \times (n - I_1)_{t_1 - 1} \cdot (n - I_2)_{t_2 - 1} \dots (n - I_{r-1})_{t_{r-1} - 1} \cdot \Sigma^{I_{r-1} + 1}(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Different terms for which t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1} have respectively the same values differ only in their coefficients, and may be added together. The general coefficient of the sum of such terms is found by giving l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{r-2} all possible values in the coefficient of the general term, and adding the results.

Now, since the least value of any of the quantities $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_{r-1}$ is 1, the greatest value of l_1 is $t_2 - 1$, the greatest value of l_2 is $t_3 - 1$, and so on. Thus the coefficient becomes

$$\left\{ 1 + I_1 + \frac{I_1(I_1 + 1)}{2} + \dots t_2 \text{ terms} \right\} \left\{ 1 + I_2 + \frac{I_2(I_2 + 1)}{2} + \dots t_3 \text{ terms} \right\} \dots$$

which may easily be shown equal to

$$\frac{|I_1 + t_2 - 1|}{|I_1| |t_2 - 1|} \cdot \frac{|I_2 + t_3 - 1|}{|I_2| |t_3 - 1|} \dots = \frac{|I_2 - 1|}{|I_1| |t_2 - 1|} \cdot \frac{|I_3 - 1|}{|I_2| |t_3 - 1|} \dots \frac{|I_{r-1} - 1|}{|I_{r-2}| |t_{r-1} - 1|}.$$

And since there are $r-1$ of the quantities t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1} , each of which may have any positive integral value from 1 to $m+1$ inclusive.

$C_r [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ consists of $(m+1)^{r-1}$ terms of the form

$$(-)^{I_{r-1}+r-1} \frac{|I_2-1|}{|I_1| |t_2-1|} \cdot \frac{|I_3-1|}{|I_2| |t_3-1|} \dots \frac{|I_{r-1}-1|}{|I_{r-2}| |t_{r-1}-1|}$$

$$\times (n-I_1)_{t_1-1} \cdot (n-I_2)_{t_2-1} \dots (n-I_{r-1})_{t_{r-1}-1} \cdot \Sigma^{I_{r-1}+1}(n) \dots (17).$$

The degree of each of these terms is

$$(m-t_1+1) + (m-t_2+1) + \dots + (m-t_{r-1}+1) + (m+I_{r-1}+1) = (m+1)r.$$

The constants of summation, being found from the condition that $C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = 0$, when $n = 0, 1, \dots r-1$; all vanish. For each term contains a factor of the form $\Sigma^{I_{r-1}+1}(n)$, the least value of I_{r-1} being $r-1$; and by hypothesis

$$\Sigma^p(n) = A_0 \frac{[n]^p}{|p|} + A_1 \frac{[n]^{p+1}}{|p+1|} + \dots,$$

which, when $p=r$, and for all higher values of p , vanishes when n is put $= 0, 1, \dots r-1$.

For the same reason the constants of summation all vanish when

$$(n) = A_0 + A_1 [n+1] + A_2 \frac{[n+1]^2}{|2|} + \dots,$$

$$(n) = A_0 + A_1 [n+1] + A_2 \frac{[n+2]^2}{|2|} + \dots$$

Provided that $\Sigma^p(n)$ is taken to be

$$A_0 [n]^p + A_1 \frac{[n+1]^{p+1}}{|p+1|} + A_2 \frac{[n+1]^{p+2}}{|p+2|} + \dots,$$

$$A_0 [n]^p + A_1 \frac{[n+1]^{p+1}}{|p+1|} + A_2 \frac{[n+2]^{p+2}}{|p+2|} + \dots$$

Let $r=2$, then the general term of $C_2 [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ is

$$(-)^{t+1} (n-t)_{t-1} \cdot \Sigma^{t+1}(n).$$

Thus

$$C_2 [(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$$

$$= (n-1)\Sigma^2(n) - (n-2)_1 \Sigma^3(n) + \dots + (-)^m (n-m-1)_m \Sigma^{m+1}(n) \dots (18);$$

this result is a particular case of the well known formula for the sum of the product of two functions; viz., the functions are (n) and $\Sigma(n)$.

In the case $(n) = n+1$,

$$C_2(1, 2, \dots n) = n \cdot \frac{[n+1]^3}{|3|} - 1 \cdot \frac{[n+1]^4}{|4|}$$

$$= \frac{n+1 \cdot n \cdot n-1 \cdot 3n+2}{24} \dots (19).$$

In the case $(n) = [n+1]^2 = n+1 + \frac{2[n+1]^2}{2}$,

$$C_2(1, 4, \dots n^2) = n^2 \left\{ \frac{[n+1]^3}{3} + \frac{2[n+1]^4}{4} \right\} - \frac{1}{2n-1} \left\{ \frac{[n+1]^4}{4} + \frac{2[n+1]^5}{5} \right\} + 2 \left\{ \frac{[n+1]^5}{5} + \frac{2[n+1]^6}{6} \right\};$$

which reduces to

$$C_2(1, 4, \dots n^2) = \frac{n+1 \cdot n \cdot n-1 \cdot 2n+1 \cdot 2n-1 \cdot 5n+6}{360} \dots (20).$$

When $r=3$ the general term becomes

$$(-)^{I_2} \frac{|I_2-1}{|I_1| |t_2-1|} (n-I_1)_{t_1-1} \cdot (n-I_2)_{t_2-1} \cdot \Sigma^{I_1+1}(n).$$

Thus, writing down the corresponding terms of $C_3[(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$, and values of t_1, t_2, I_1, I_2 ,

t_1	t_2	I_1	I_2
1	1	1	2
1	2	1	3
2	1	2	3
2	2	2	4
1	3	1	4
3	1	3	4
2	3	2	5
3	2	3	5
3	3	3	6
...
...

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & (n-1) (n-2) \Sigma^3(n) \\ & - 2(n-1) (n-3)_1 \Sigma^4(n) \\ & - (n-2)_1 (n-3) \Sigma^4(n) \\ & + 3(n-2)_1 (n-4)_1 \Sigma^5(n) \\ & + 3(n-1) (n-4)_2 \Sigma^5(n) \\ & + (n-3)_2 (n-4) \Sigma^5(n) \\ & - 6(n-2)_1 (n-5)_2 \Sigma^6(n) \\ & - 4(n-3)_2 (n-5)_1 \Sigma^6(n) \\ & + 10(n-3)_2 (n-6)_2 \Sigma^7(n) \end{aligned} \right\} = C_3[(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$$

when $m = 1 \dots \dots (21)$;

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & + 3(n-1) (n-4)_2 \Sigma^5(n) \\ & + (n-3)_2 (n-4) \Sigma^5(n) \\ & - 6(n-2)_1 (n-5)_2 \Sigma^6(n) \\ & - 4(n-3)_2 (n-5)_1 \Sigma^6(n) \\ & + 10(n-3)_2 (n-6)_2 \Sigma^7(n) \end{aligned} \right\} = C_3[(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$$

when $m = 2 \dots \dots (22)$.

When $r=4$ the general term becomes

$$(-)^{I_3+1} \frac{|I_2-1}{|I_1| |t_2-1|} \cdot \frac{|I_3-1}{|I_2| |t_3-1|} \times (n-I_1)_{t_1-1} \cdot (n-I_2)_{t_2-1} \cdot (n-I_3)_{t_3-1} \cdot \Sigma^{I_1+1}(n).$$

Thus, writing down the corresponding terms of $C_4[(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$, and values of $t_1, t_2, t_3, I_1, I_2, I_3$,

t_1	t_2	t_3	I_1	I_2	I_3	
1	1	1	1	2	3	$(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)\Sigma^4(n)$
1	1	2	1	2	4	$-3(n-1)(n-2)(n-4)\Sigma^5(n)$
1	2	1	1	3	4	$-2(n-1)(n-3)_1(n-4)\Sigma^5(n)$
2	1	1	2	3	4	$-(n-2)_1(n-3)(n-4)\Sigma^5(n)$
1	2	2	1	3	5	$+8(n-1)(n-3)_1(n-5)_1\Sigma^6(n)$
2	1	2	2	3	5	$+4(n-2)_1(n-3)(n-5)_1\Sigma^6(n)$
2	2	1	2	4	5	$+3(n-2)_1(n-4)_1(n-5)\Sigma^6(n)$
2	2	2	2	4	6	$-15(n-2)_1(n-4)_1(n-6)_1\Sigma^7(n)$
...
...

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ \dots \end{array} \right\} = C_4[(0), (1), \dots, (n-1)]$

when $m = 1$

..... (23).

The following results are obtained from formulæ (18), (21), (22), and (23). I have not given proofs of them, as they are obtained, like (19) and (20), by common algebraical substitution and reduction; but I have verified them carefully, and believe them to be free from error:

$$C_2(1, 2, \dots n) = \frac{n+1 \cdot n \cdot n-1 \cdot 3n+2}{24},$$

$$C_3(1, 2, \dots n) = \frac{(n+1)^2 n^2 (n-1)(n-2)}{48},$$

$$C_4(1, 2, \dots n) = \frac{n+1 \cdot n \cdot n-1 \cdot n-2 \cdot n-3}{8 \lfloor 6} (15n^2+15n^2-10n-8),$$

$$C_2(1, 4, \dots n^2) = \frac{n+1 \cdot n \cdot n-1 \cdot 2n+1 \cdot 2n-1 \cdot 5n+6}{3 \lfloor 5},$$

$$C_3(1, 4, \dots n^2) = \frac{n+1 \cdot n \cdot n-1 \cdot n-2 \cdot 2n+1 \cdot 2n-1 \cdot 2n-3}{9 \lfloor 7} \times (35n^2+91n+60).$$

In the last two formulæ it is remarkable that

$C_2(1, 4, \dots n^2)$ is divisible by $2n+1 \cdot 2n-1$; i.e., by $\Delta n^2 \cdot \Delta(n-1)^2$.

$C_3(1, 4, \dots n^2)$ is divisible by $\Delta n^2 \cdot \Delta(n-1)^2 \cdot \Delta(n-2)^2$.

It is well known that $C_1(1, 4, \dots n^2) = \frac{n+1 \cdot n \cdot 2n+1}{6}$, which is divisible by Δn^2 ; but I do not know whether similar results hold for $C_4(1, 4, \dots n^2)$... or not.

Since $C_r(a_0, a_1, \dots a_{n-1}) = \left(\sum_0^n a_n\right)^r$, $C_r[(0), (1), \dots (n-1)]$ may be found by a repeated use of the Euler-Maclaurin sum formula.

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2(1, 2, \dots n) &= \left(\sum_0^n \overline{n+1} \right)^2 \\
 &= \sum_0^n \overline{n+1} \left(\frac{n^2}{2} + n - \frac{n}{2} \right) \\
 &= \sum_0^n \left(\frac{n^3}{2} + n^2 + \frac{n}{2} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{n^4}{8} + \frac{n^3}{3} + \frac{n^2}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n^3}{2} + n^2 + \frac{n}{2} \right) \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad + \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{3n^3}{2} + 2n \right) \\
 &= \frac{3n^4 + 2n^3 - 3n^2 - 2n}{24} = \frac{n+1 \cdot n \cdot n-1 \cdot 3n+2}{24}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since reading this paper I have re-written it in accordance with some valuable suggestions, for which I am indebted to Prof. Cayley, and have added to it considerably.

March 10th, 1876.

W. SPOTTISWOODE, Esq., F.R.S., Vice-President, in the Chair.

Messrs Arthur Cockshott and R. T. Wright were elected, and Messrs. E. B. Elliott, C. M. Leudesdorf, and J. W. Russell, were admitted into the Society.

The following communications were made to the Society:—

Prof. Cayley, "On the Bicursal Sextic, and the Problem of Three-Bar Motion."

Prof. Clifford, "On the Classification of Geometric Algebras."

Presents received:—

Extraits de deux lettres adressées à D. B. Boncampagni par M. le Comte Léopold Hugo (extrait des *Atti dell' Accademia Pontificia de' Nuovi Lincei*, 19 Dec., 1875).

"Sur une classe de points singuliers de surfaces," par H. G. Zeuthen (from the *Math. Annalen*, ix.), Copenhagen, 27 Août, 1875.

Extrait d'une lettre de M. Ch. Hermite à M. L. Königsberger "Sur le développement des fonctions elliptiques suivant les puissances croissantes de la variable" (*Crelle*, 81 vol.)

Lettre de M. Ch. Hermite à M. Borchardt "Sur la fonction de Jacob Bernoulli" (*Crelle*, vol. 79).

Extrait d'une lettre de M. Ch. Hermite à M. Borchardt "Sur la

transformation des formes quadratiques ternaires en elles-mêmes" (Crelle, 78 vol.)

Extrait d'une lettre de M. Ch. Hermite à M. Borchardt "Sur la réduction des formes quadratiques ternaires" (Crelle, vol. 79).

The same to the same on a property of Bernoulli's numbers (Crelle, vol. 81).

"Sur les développements de la fonction $F(x) = sn^ax \cdot cn^bx \cdot dn^cx$, où les exposants sont entiers," par M. Ch. Hermite (Bihang till K. Svenska vet Akad. Handlingar. Band 3, No. 10), Stockholm, 1875.

Extrait d'une lettre de M. Ch. Hermite de Paris à M. L. Fuchs de Gottingue "Sur quelques équations différentielles linéaires" (Crelle, vol. 79).

(The above presented by M. Ch. Hermite.)

"Nouvelles propriétés géométriques de la surface de l'onde qui s'interprètent en Optique," par M. A. Mannheim, 7 Février, 1876.

"Démonstration géométrique d'une relation due à M. Laguerre" (the same, 6 Mars, 1876).

"Association Française pour l'avancement des Sciences—Congrès de Nantes, Paris, 1875;" containing "Recherches sur la surface de l'onde (21 Août 1875), "Propriétés des diamètres de la surface de l'onde et interprétation physique de ces propriétés" (25 Août, 1875).

(The above presented by M. Mannheim.)

Carte de Visite likeness and photograph, from Dr. H. G. Zeuthen.

On Three-Bar Motion. By Prof. CAYLEY.

[Read March 10th, 1876.]

The discovery by Mr. Roberts of the triple generation of a Three-Bar Curve, throws a new light on the whole theory, and is a copious source of further developments.* The present paper gives in its most simple form the theorem of the triple generation; it also establishes the relation between the nodes and foci; and it contains other researches. I have made on the subject a further investigation, which I give in a separate paper, "On the Bicursal Sextic"; but the two papers are intimately related, and should be read in connection.

The Three-Bar Curve is derived from the motion of a system of three bars of given lengths pivoted to each other, and to two fixed

* See his paper "On Three-Bar Motion in Plane Space," *ante*, pp. 15—23, which contains more than I had supposed of the results here arrived at. There is no question as to Mr. Roberts' priority in all his results.