

The logo for OpenUP HUB, with "OpenUP" in blue and orange and "HUB" in a grey, blocky font.


OA Tage 2018, Graz




OpenUP Objectives

30 month EC-funded project to:


- Support **RRI** and **advance** a more **open** and **gender-sensitive** science system
- **Increase knowledge and use of innovative methods** for



Methodology



Target communities





Expected Results

- **Cohesive framework** for Review – Disseminate – Assess phases of the research lifecycle
- **7 pilots** involving researchers from 4 scientific communities to validate the proposed mechanisms

Pilot 1-3 : Peer review for conferences, research data, data in Humanities

Pilot 4-5: Innovative dissemination of research output in specific contexts

Pilot 6-7: Measuring impact of research output applied to specific contexts

- **Open dialogue** with and **training** for the community
- Practical policy **recommendations & guidelines**

Activities

1. Landscape scans: Map out the alternative tools and services


2. Produce information resources

Toolbox : Guidelines & checklists

Case studies & must-reads

Features, SWOT results

3. Organize trainings and workshops



OpenUP Hub - <https://www.openuphub.eu/>

Activities

4. Contributing to the developing open science discourse

- Create ties with other EU projects – aligning efforts in researching open peer review and open science practices.
- Sharing taxonomies (FOSTER),
- Building on previous research (OpenAIRE), developing collaborations.
- Open science advocacy work: organizing workshops and webinars.



Policy recommendations

Recommendation #1	Specific actions	Target stakeholders
Run pilots that implement OPR practices to generate evidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none">· Provide funding to service providers to enable OPR-friendly infrastructural changes· Fund projects that test OPR, collect data and provide evidence on results and impact of adoption of OPR in different disciplines	EU and national policy makers Research funders Publishers

Recommendation #2	Specific actions	Target stakeholders
Create incentives for and strengthen monitoring of innovative research dissemination	<ul style="list-style-type: none">· Provide financial incentives for innovative research dissemination activities· Provide trainings to increase dissemination skills of researchers· Ensure monitoring and recognition of dissemination activities taking disciplinary differences into consideration <p>Align usage of alternative metrics in assessment activities to gauge use of new dissemination channels</p>	EU and national policy makers Research funders Institutional decision makers Libraries

Policy recommendations

Recommendation #3	Specific actions	Target stakeholders
Increase awareness of and train researchers on alternative metrics	<ul style="list-style-type: none">· Train researchers and institutional decision makers on alternative metrics· Ensure monitoring and recognition of various research outputs and activities· Promote success stories of using alternative metrics	EU and national policy makers Research funders Institutional decision makers Libraries
Recommendation #4	Specific actions	Target stakeholders
Exploit ongoing policy developments and integrate OPR, innovative dissemination and alternatives metrics in research workflows	<ul style="list-style-type: none">· Provide funding incentives for innovative research dissemination· Provide trainings to increase dissemination skills of researchers· Ensure monitoring and recognition of dissemination activities taking disciplinary differences into consideration· Prefer open sources of altmetrics information where possible to ensure reproducibility and re-usability	EU and national policy makers Research funders Institutional decision makers Libraries
Recommendation #5	Specific actions	Target stakeholders
Study the effects of Open Science practices on gender and diversity issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none">· Fund research activities to collect data and analyse the evidence of Open Science practices on gender and diversity issues in research and academia• Ensure adequate training and awareness raising to mitigate possible negative effects	EU and national policy makers Research funders

The OpenUP Hub



Review




Assess



Disseminate


OpenUP Hub is an **open, dynamic** and **collaborative** knowledge environment that systematically captures, organizes and categorizes research outcomes, best practices, tools, & guidelines

...all relevant to three phases of the research lifecycle: **Review** – **Assessment** – **Dissemination**. Always through the prism of **Open Science!**



The three toolboxes offer information and advice
on Review-Assessment-Dissemination

The OpenUP Hub



The three toolboxes offer information and advice on Review-Assessment-Dissemination



The OpenUP Hub...



is for



Young Scholar



Researcher



Project manager



Funder



Policy maker



Open Science
advocate



Publisher



Librarian



is for you...

openuphub.eu

The OpenUP Hub: Read, write & connect!



Blog

Are you an Open Science advocate? Join the community and share your ideas!



Calendar

Find the events that interest you.



Observatory

Sense the community pulse!



Members

Meet & connect with other community members!



OpenScience Q&A

Have a question on Open Science? Join the OpenScience Q&A.



Sign in

Join the OpenUP Hub community to read, write & connect!

Read, write & connect!



Peer review training

by Edit Gorogh



Training programs are essential for reviewers regardless of the review methodology they are involved in for several reasons. Which are the current training possibilities?

[CONTINUE READING](#) | [LEAVE COMMENT](#)

Preprints and peer review

by Edit Gorogh



Preprinting is a quite common practice across a range of disciplines. What quality control mechanisms exist to ensure the good quality of a preprint?

[CONTINUE READING](#) | [LEAVE COMMENT](#)

REGISTER TO SUBMIT ARTICLE

- Responsible Research & Innovation
- Gender Equality
- Innovative Dissemination
- Impact Assessment
- Peer Review
- Open Science

open science OpenUP
innovative dissemination
open peer review peer review
open access altmetrics open data
OpenUP Pilots open science fair osfair2017 review
assessment FAIR OpenMinTeD Open access advocacy
Impact science communication FOSTER
benefits of openness



Helps all stakeholders who have a “stake” in the research lifecycle to connect!

Read, write & connect!



The screenshot shows the 'Ask Open Science' website interface. At the top, there is a navigation bar with icons for 'All Activity', 'Questions', 'Unanswered', 'Tags', 'Users', and 'Ask a Question', along with a 'Login' button. Below the navigation bar is a section titled 'Recent questions and answers' with a search bar on the right. The main content area displays a list of five questions with their respective details:

- Are there any Open Science blog aggregators?**
answered Sep 11 in Open Science by cogdog (30 points)
209 views
tags: blog, open-science, communication, community
- How to create a stable, low cost project website and blog with input first in LaTeX + BibTeX, then in Markdown?**
answered Sep 10 in Open Science by jcolomb (40 points)
345 views
tags: website, cms, blog, latex, markdown
- what's the current best blog platform for open science?**
answered Sep 10 in Open Science by jcolomb (40 points)
129 views
tags: discussion, outreach, blog
- How to behave if I see/observe/assume unethical scientific practice or scientific fraud**
answered Sep 6 in Open Science by Heidi (318 points)
57 views
tags: fraud, unethical, ethics, good-scientific-practice, gsp, munichrocs
- Who should get coauthorship for a paper?**
answered Aug 17 in Open Science by Christian Pleitsch (745 points)
111 views
tags: munichrocs, authorship, coauthorship, collaboration

On the right side, there is a sidebar with a search bar, a notice, and a 'Categories' section. The notice reads: 'Ask Open Science used to be called Open Science Q&A but we changed the name when we registered the domain ask-open-science.org. Everything else stays the same: We are still hosted by **Bielefeld University Library**. If you participated in the Open Science beta at StackExchange, please **reclaim your user account** now – it's already here! **E-mail the webmaster**'. The 'Categories' section shows 'All categories' and 'Main (154)'.



Any questions on Open Science? Share them with the members of the Ask Open Science and get your answers. Or, provide answers to people who ask...



HELLENIC REPUBLIC
National and Kapodistrian
University of Athens



Thanks!

openuphub.eu

[@ProjectOpenUP](https://twitter.com/ProjectOpenUP)

[/projectopenup](https://facebook.com/projectopenup)

