

The Routledge Companion to Cinema and Gender

(E. Ann Kaplan, Patrice Petro, Dijana Jelača, and Kristin Hole, eds.).

London, Routledge, pp. 25-35

Sandra Ponzanesi

BIO:

Sandra Ponzanesi is Professor of Gender and Postcolonial Studies at the Department of Media and Culture Studies, Utrecht University, The Netherlands. She is the author of *The Postcolonial Cultural Industry* (Palgrave, 2014), and *Paradoxes of Postcolonial Culture* (Sunny, 2004), and editor of multiple volumes, among which *Postcolonial Cinema Studies* (Routledge, 2012). For more info: www.ponzanesi.com.

Postcolonial and Transnational Approaches to Film and Feminism

Cinema and feminism have a long relationship, which was established in response to the women's movement of the 1970s in concomitance with the development of film theory. Major initial concerns of feminist film studies were the distorted representations of women in films and correcting the overlooked contribution of women in film history, both as directors and as active agents in the film industry. Slowly more theoretical and complex questions emerged in relation to issues of

authorship, representation, and spectatorship. There was a major split between on the one hand the more socially oriented Anglo-Saxon perspectives, concerning politics and the rebalancing of women's representation and presence, and on the other the more psychoanalytic orientation of European continental approaches (Alison 2010, White 1998). Here, issues of cinefeminism or cine-psychoanalysis dominated with leading figures such as Laura Mulvey, Jacqueline Rose, Kaja Silverman, Teresa de Lauretis and many others. These theorists criticized the naïve essentialism of early feminism, moving the focus from biological sexual identity, seen as tied to nature, to 'gender', seen as a social construct shaped by cultural and historical contingency, variable and therefore reconstructable. Rather than focus on the image of 'women', feminist theorists transferred their attention to the gendered nature of vision itself and the role of voyeurism, fetishism, and narcissism, and the construction of a masculinist view of women. Laura Mulvey's seminal essay "Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema" (1975) was at the forefront of these transformations, identifying how visual pleasure in classic Hollywood cinema reproduces a structure of male looking at female to-be-looked-at-ness, a binary structure which mirrors the asymmetrical power relations operative in the real social world.

Since the 1990s, feminist film studies has expanded its analytic paradigm to interrogate the representation of race, ethnicity, religion, sexuality, and the nation (Wiegman 1998), including a new understanding of the role of audiences, consumption, and participatory culture, and shifting from textual analysis to broader cultural studies perspectives that include the role of institutions, reception, and technology. This is especially due to the contribution of black feminism in the US (see Hollinger 2012), and their critique of psychoanalysis as a Western universalistic framework of

patriarchy (see Gaines 1986, hook 1992, Young 1995, Kaplan 1997, Doane 1991) and the rise of postcolonial studies, following the milestone publication of Edward Said's *Orientalism* (1978) and its aftermath.

The development of postcolonial studies strongly impacted on the way of analyzing representations of the Other, asking for a rethinking of long-standing tropes and stereotypes about cultural difference, but also the gendering and racialization of otherness. This implied methodological interrogations on how visual representations are implicated in the policing of boundaries between East and West, between Europe and the Rest, the self and the other. The implications for the colonization of the imagination are far more encompassing and lasting than the acknowledgment of the missing role of black figures in cinema, as they challenge the implicit and intrinsic Eurocentrism of much media representation and film theory (Shohat & Stam 1994). Eurocentrism shrinks cultural heterogeneity into a single paradigmatic perspective in which Europe, and by extension the West, is seen as a unique source of meaning and ontological reality. Eurocentrism emerged as a discursive justification for European colonial expansions, making the colonizers, and their civilizational ideology, the lens through which the world is seen and value, judgment, and objectivity attributed (Shohat & Stam 1994, pp. 2-3). It justifies imperial practices under the motto of the white man's burden and the need to bring civilization and progress to the rest of the world. Eurocentrism implied also the forging of race theories and race discourses in order to create a clear distinction between colonizers and the colonized. The eugenics of empire emerged by making the colonies the laboratories of the empire and the battleground in which to ventilate and develop white superiority and supremacy.

Empire cinema contributed to specific ways of seeing, making films that legitimated the domination by the colonial centers of colonial

peripheries. Colonial images of gender, race, and class carried ideological connotations that confirmed imperial epistemologies and racial taxonomies, depicting natives, in documentary or more fictional films, as savages, primitive and outside modernity.

Ella Shohat has written extensively on the crucial role of sexual difference for the culture of empire. In her seminal article “Gender and the Culture of Empire: Toward a Feminist Ethnography of the Cinema,” she discusses how imperial narratives are organized around metaphors of rape, fantasies of rescue, and eroticized geographies. Gender and colonial discourses intersect with Hollywood’s exploitation of Asia, Africa, and Latin America as the pretexts for eroticized images (Shohat 2006, p. 47)

“Exoticising and eroticizing the Third World allowed the imperial imaginary to play out its own fantasy of sexual domination. [...] Indeed, cinema invented a geographically incoherent Orient, where a simulacrum of coherence was produced through the repetition of visual leitmotifs. Even as cinema itself evoked and changed over a century, The Orient continued to be mechanically reproduced from film to film and from genre to genre.” (2006, p 49)

The escape to Oriental representations of the Harem, with belly dancers and dark-haired women, allowed flesh to be shown without risking censorship. Popular culture and cinema extended the Eurocentric assumptions of scientific disciplines such as philology, anthropology, and historiography from the era of the silent movies with films such as *The Sheik* (1921) with Rudolph Valentino, to more recent and contemporary films such as *Lawrence of Arabia* (1962) and *Raiders of the Lost Ark* (1981), the *Sheltering Sky* (1990) and *The English Patient* (1996).

Despite the fact that postcolonial analysis must take into account national and historical specificities it is obvious that these tropes and stereotypes have stretched and extended through time almost unaltered, signifying an enduring colonial legacy in the realm of visual representation of the other and of the Third World.

In her incisive “Post-Thirdist World Culture. Gender, Nation and the Cinema,” Shohat examines recent post-Thirdist World feminist film productions in order to see how the reversing of the imperial and exoticizing representations takes place. In order to go beyond the binarism of First and Third Worlds, the term “post-Thirdist World” indicates a moving away from this dichotomy towards more intertwined and diasporic formations that signify transnational formations and modes of production. Though the nation is not surpassed or erased in the transnational, post-Thirdist analysis, it proposes an engagement with issues of nation, race, and gender, critiquing national movements and ethnic communities that are exclusive and monocultural.

“Rather than merely ‘extending’ a preexisting First World feminism, as a certain ‘Euro-diffusionism’ would have it, post-Third Worldist cultural theories and practice create a more complex space for feminisms, open to the specificity of community culture and history [...] Third world feminist histories can be understood as feminist if seen in conjunction with the resistance work these women have performed within their communities and nations” (Shohat 2006, p. 294).

Post-Third Worldist feminist cinema goes beyond the First World feminist preoccupation with seeking alternative images of women and searching for new cinematic and narrative forms that challenged

mainstream films and subverted the notion of “narrative pleasure” based on the “male gaze.” Post-Third Worldist feminist films conduct a struggle on two fronts, both aesthetic and political, challenging historiography and proposing formal innovation. Yet Post-Third Worldist feminist cinema engages with but also contextualizes the politics of the Third Cinema movement, the militant cinema proposed by Glauber Rocha’s “Aesthetic of Hunger” (1965), Octavio Getino and Fernando Solana’s manifesto “Towards a Third Cinema” (1969), and Julio Garcia Espinosa’s “For an Imperfect Cinema” (1969), who championed a kind of “guerilla cinema” that was produced with few means but had the subversive goals of empowering the masses and contesting the hegemonic role of a Hollywood focused on entertainment and escapism. Third Cinema embraced Fanon’s vision of the “wretched of the earth” (1963) and the need to revisit politics and representations from the perspective of the colonized, who look and fight back with a vengeance. The camera assumes the military role of the weapon in order to shoot back the realities and conditions of disenfranchised and marginalized people, which are often neglected in the glossy and polished productions of the First Cinema. It is a cinema that engages with non-professional actors, independence movements, and collective actions that surpass the role of individual heroic narratives and oedipal structures.

Yet, as for the revolutionary movements, it is a cinema that foregrounds universal battles that are by default male and proposes a priority of national principles often to the detriment of the gender agenda, which becomes coopted in a so-called united action. Ella Shohat and Ranjana Khanna have called attention to the ways in which gender politics and Third Cinema have sometimes missed each other, Shohat calling for the above-mentioned ‘Post-Thirdist’ critical approach and Khanna for a ‘Fourth cinema’ in which women’s voices and gazes would

not be assimilated in the political program of their male counterparts (Khanna 1998; Shohat 2006).

In her article “The Battle of Algiers and the *Nouba of the Women of Mont Chenoua*. From Third to Fourth Cinema” (1998), Khanna claims that guerilla cinema is inadequate for representing or documenting “the *feminine*, the *excess*, a profound enunciation or crisis in representation, sometimes known as *jouissance*...” (p. 14). *The Battle of Algiers*, which was an Italian-Algerian production made in 1965-66, is an obvious example of this shortcoming. Directed by the Italian Marxist Gillo Pontecorvo, the film was scripted with the collaboration of the Third Cinema guru Solanas, after being commissioned by the leader of the Algerian Front de Libération Nationale, Yacef Saadi. Made to document the history of the clandestine army and tactics of resistance, it uses a grainy black-and-white documentary style while putting a disclaimer in the very opening that challenges the realism we are solicited to believe in: “not one foot of newsreel or documentary has been used.” The use of a hand-held camera and telephoto lenses, the realization of negative from the positive images which conferred a special graininess to the gritty reality, the use of non-professional actors, the mixture of French and Arabic, are all aspects complying with the immediacy and truthfulness of the documentary style. Yet this is set in contrast with the creation of fictional figures such as General Mathieu, a powerful editing style, the use of freeze frames, the crafting of fictional newsreel encompassing images of torture, and the use of the powerful and melodramatic music of Ennio Morricone, which go against the tenets of Third Cinema. The use of “Ali’s Theme” as a musical leitmotif (referring to the character Ali La Pointe) and the use of Bach’s *St. Matthew Passion* are testimonies to the director’s magisterial combination of Third Cinema with avant-garde European cinematic traditions. Pontecorvo managed to combine a

“politics of truth” with a “documentary aesthetic” that requires an aestheticization and narrativization of reality in order to reach a more truthful impact than the classic documentary (Harrison 2007).

The film is an ode to people’s decolonization struggle and it is still used nowadays by the Pentagon to discuss the strategies and tactics of Islamic rebellious groups (O’Reiley 2010). It is also much debated for its ambivalent documentary style as illustrated above and for being censored by the French government upon its release. The film was widely acclaimed outside France and won the Golden Lion at the Venice Film Festival in 1966, but the French boycotted the ceremony and banned the film, which was not shown until 1971 in France (see Patricia Callé 2007).

A different critique of the film was offered by Khanna in her appeal for a Fourth Cinema and by Djamila Amrane Minne in the article “Women at War.” Both critics pointed out the shortcomings in the filmic representations of women’s participation in the liberation struggle. *The Battle of Algiers* contains one of the most emblematic scenes of women’s active role in the struggle for independence, showing three Algerian women undergoing a true makeover, bleaching their hair, and wearing Western French clothes, in order to pass unobserved at checkpoints between the Kasbah and the French city, while carrying bombs:

“When one examines the role of women, for example, which is my principal concern here, one finds surprisingly widespread militant activity. *The Battle of Algiers* sets out to pay tribute to women activists, beginning with the image of a woman pushing a straw basket with her foot and ending with the demonstration of December 1960, in which a woman without veil is seen dancing with a flag that bears the initials ‘FLN’. However, their contribution to the struggle – unlike those of men and children – is

severely underplayed. Women appear on screen for a mere fifteen of the film's 121 minutes and, at times, the significance of their role in the war of national liberation is overlooked altogether.” (Amrane Minne 2007, p. 342).

The Battle of Algiers was from the most difficult period of the resistance and it gave women its most vital role. Yet Amrane Minne contends that the role of women in the film is not only short but merely a supportive one to male militancy. They are what Anne McClintock has described as simple weapons in a male arsenal, and they operate according to a designated agency, more than out of free will. In the film, Amrane points out, there is a “complete absence of speaking roles for women activists. Women are almost totally silent throughout the film” (Amrane Minne 2007, p. 347). This is shown in the film by letting the FLN leader, Djafar, come and look at the three women after their makeover, in order to approve, and see whether they will be fit for the task. Amrane mentions that not only did women play a more significant role and even partially replace men in the resistance, but there were also famous Algerian women leaders who risked their lives, were tortured, and even sentenced to death, such as the famous case of Djamila Bouhired and Djamila Boupacha.¹

Even though *The Battle of Algiers* may have focused on the military strategies used by the liberation movements, focusing on a

¹ Djamila Boupacha was a militant of the FLN and was arrested in 1960 for an attempted attack in Algiers. Sentenced to death on June 28, 1961, she became a national case thanks in part to the intervention of Simone de Beauvoir and Gisèle Halimi. Her confessions, which were obtained under torture and rape, changed the opinion in a trial by media of the methods used by the French army in Algeria, Boupacha was given amnesty and freed on April 21, 1962. See Quinan 2014.

specific theme and telling a partial story of a bigger truth, it is important to focus on what remains unspoken, helping to write history.

While Amrana focuses on the role and presence of women at war in cinema, Khanna concentrates on the more fundamental question of the crisis of representation in Third Cinema. *The Battle of Algiers* represents the birth of a nation in documentary style to redress the balance given the dominance of propagandistic and stereotypical cinema. In the context of Third Cinema, where the camera is a weapon and cinema is guerrilla warfare, Woman falls out of the system of representation. According to Khanna, this crisis signals a self-reflective moment in representation in which subaltern figures cannot speak, or report the trauma of memory through words:

“but can enact a space in which ‘silence’ – not speech – is recognized as a symbolic space of political non-representation. A Fourth cinema, which moves beyond the guerrilla cinema where the camera is a weapon, is a revolutionary cinema of the cocoon, where the metaphor of the birth of a nation is not repressed into denial of the feminine, where film could give a voice, silence and image to women in the revolution where this uncanny could become reified on the screen. Representation which reflects back on itself exists without a renegotiation of imagery, and brings the imagery to crisis.” (Khanna 1998, p. 26)

Khanna defines Fourth Cinema as a stage in cinema of decolonization, about the birth of a nation from the perspective of the women participating in the moment of decolonization, looking for a cinema which would be more inclusive with room for the subalterns and women,

and points towards the inability of Third Cinema to represent the violence experienced by women in the process of decolonization.

It points to Third Cinema's inability to represent the different symbolic violence played out by and upon the body of colonized women. All of these different notions of Post-Thirdist and Fourth Cinema point to a dissatisfaction with existing analytical frames and the need to reorient cinema in a way in which not only women's perspective but also other ways of seeing and accounting for difference, otherness and hybridity are tackled, in both aesthetic and political terms. After Third Cinema, a proliferation of new labels emerged: world cinema, exile cinema, accented cinema, haptic cinema, migrant cinema, diasporic cinema, intercultural cinema, transnational cinema, and border cinema, all attempting to indicate the porous character of a cinema that is no longer linked to national schools and traditions, and signaling the diasporic nature of its subject matter and of new global apparatuses, showing the disaffection with and overcoming of dominant paradigms such as Hollywood, Art Cinema, and Third Cinema, and the emergence of new modes of production that project the local onto the global (Bollywood, Nollywood) in diasporic and diffractive ways.

“ film has always been a transcultural phenomenon, having as it does the capacities to transcend ‘culture’ to create modes of fascination which are readily accessible and which engage audiences in ways independent of their linguistic and cultural specificities.” (Rey Chow 1998, p. 174)

In *Accented Cinema* Naficy (2000) explores, for example, the characteristic of an “accented cinema” thematically but also stylistically,

questioning the notion of belonging and identity, confinement and borders, while exploring language uses (multilingualism, orality, accents, and slangs), and modes of narration (epistolary, autobiographical, e-mailing) in addition to haptic elements that can express a sense of nostalgia and loss, through smell and touch. On this note, the “Intercultural cinema” proposed by Laura Marks (2000) is a cinema that questions belonging and identity, but also engages with the phenomenological experience of homelessness and the politics of displacement (Marks 2000), stylistically and visually engaging with nostalgia and the feeling of loss through haptic visuality. Transnational cinema as described by Marciniak, Imre, and O’Healy (2007) focuses on the intricate connection between the local and the global, the domestic and the foreign, questioning the legitimacy of national borders while critiquing the easy rhetoric of connectivity and progress, pointing out the operation of neocolonialism and how it undermines the emerging of new subjectivities across borders.²

Postcolonial Cinema

Postcolonial cinema has much in common with all these developments that contest ethnic, minority, immigrant, or hyphenated essentialist labels while pointing to more porous and layered dynamics. Yet the focus of postcolonial cinema is on the analysis of contemporary or past asymmetries as dictated by multiple and overlapping histories of conquest

² According to Bhaskar Sarkar, “the postcolonial and the transnational are both spatio-temporal categories: each indexes an historical break marking a before and after, and simultaneously conjures up a geopolitical topography. [...] The postcolonial is best understood as both an historical stage, and a generalized political stance.” (Bhaskar Sarkar 2010, p. 124).

and colonialism. Postcolonial cinema is therefore to be understood not as a new genre, or a new rubric, but as an optic through which questions of postcolonial historiography, epistemology, subjectivity, and geography can be addressed (Ponzanesi & Waller 2012, p. 3). Postcolonial cinema refers to a conceptual space that opens up occluded frames and proposes a new engagement with the visual that is decolonized and de-orientalized, becoming a relational mode of representation, breaking down the *grands récits* and opening the space for specificities that refract larger, often repressed, omitted or deleted, unofficial histories of nations, communities, gender, and subaltern groups.

Feminist examples include Trinh T. Minh-ha, who pointed out in her groundbreaking documentary *Reassemblage* (1982) that she intends "not to speak about/Just speak nearby," unlike more conventional ethnographic documentary films, signaling the need not to make the other the object of interpretation but let cinema as a medium and postcolonialism as an epistemology connect to difference as an empowering and generative source of creativity.

If the postcolonial optic articulated by Trinh is less explicitly polemical than Third Cinema, it is still political and concerned with hegemony and oppression. Even though postcolonial filmmakers engage with the critique of institutions in more oblique ways than the iconic figures of freedom fighters and revolutionary leaders, they still engage with societal issues by questioning and problematizing the cinematic tools, media technologies, and distribution networks, through which we receive images and information:

“Postcolonial cinema, while maintaining engagement with collectives, refocuses on the specificity of the individuals.

Protagonists are not present as ego ideals or everypersons, though,

but as multi-dimensional figures – often marginalized, subordinated, displaced or deterritorialised – whose subjectivities as well as subject positions are open to the unexpected, the unpredictable, which may enter from somewhere beyond our particular epistemological ken” (Ponzanesi & Waller 2012, p. 7-8).

The challenge and denaturalization of the colonial episteme is the task of postcolonial film theory, which focuses on the unframing of occluded histories, breaking with universalisms and learning to navigate more relational modes of knowledge production.

There are various examples of films, filmmakers, and productions that could rightly pertain to the realm of postcolonial cinema and also a series of films that might precede the technical definition of postcolonial cinema but which enter into that optic of critique that interrogates Eurocentrism, the bourgeois paradigm of filmmaking, negotiating with hegemonic structures. Within Indian cinema there are glorious examples that would qualify as predecessors to postcolonial feminist filmmaking; for example Satyajit Ray’s famous *Charulata* (The Lonely Wife, 1964), which is based on a novella by Rabindranath Tagore, *Nastanirh* (The Broken Nest). The film takes place in the 1870s, in pre-independence India, in the gracious home of a workaholic newspaper editor and his lonely wife, Charulata (played by Madhabi Mukherjee). The film exposes the subtle texture of a caged marriage and the tale of a woman attempting to find her own voice. It depicts the devastating love developing between Charu and the husband’s brother Amal (played by Soumitra Chatterjee), a poet who is summoned to keep his brother’s wife occupied and help her with her writing.

The slowness of the film, the sumptuousness of the camera and the delicate handling of ennui, passion, creativity, and loyalty create a real spectacle of banalities and larger feminist and universal themes.

The filming of the wealthy house with its restricted space and compressing walls expresses the loneliness and marginalization of talented women even in upper-class circles, a theme that would be taken up by the Indian feminist filmmaker Aparna Sen, with *Paroma* (1984), defined by Aparna Sen herself as one of the most feminist of her films. The film is about Paroma (played by Raakshee), a 40-year-old married woman whose settled and very predictable life turns upside down when she meets an expat photographer, Rahul (played by Mukul Sharma). He chooses her to pose for a magazine in order to make a photo-essay on "An Indian Housewife." When he asks her the simple question "What do you think, Paroma?" her world starts to open up, and she rediscovers herself through his glamorous photos and the unleashing of a passion that will lead to their affair. The affair is more a symptom of her self-discovery but when Rahul betrays her by publishing her semi-nude photos in the journal without her consent, she has a breakdown and is ostracized by the family. When the family accepts her back, she refuses to feel any sense of guilt and explores other venues of self-realization, such as looking for a job through a friend.

Thus Aparna Sen adds the clear twist to Ray's ending, which was a stilted reconciliation between husband and wife, showing in *Paroma* the uncompromising need for self-realization and growth. Many of these feminist themes have been picked up by Indian filmmakers in the diaspora such as Deepa Mehta, Mira Nair and Gurinder Chadha, who have all received wide international acclaim. The Indo-Canadian Deepa Mehta has produced a successful oeuvre with her *Elements Trilogy*, which is clearly devoted to feminist issues depicted through a

postcolonial lens in which issues of lesbianism (*Fire*, 1998), partition (*Earth*, 1998), and widowhood (*Water*, 2005) are outspokenly addressed.

Similarly Mira Nair has marked her international career in the USA through a critical take on South Asians in the diaspora, and on issues of gender and sexuality at home. Her *Salaam Bombay* (1988), on child prostitution in Bombay, has been accused of orientalism and confirming the stereotypical images of downtrodden Indian women and children. Yet the film's strongest feature is its realism and the use of non-professional actors, which pays homage to the poverty and squalor of urban lives for the underclasses. Her subsequent *Mississippi Masala* (1991) deals with the issue of interracial love between blacks and Indians in the US (starring Denzel Washington and Sarita Choudhury), and the widely internationally acclaimed *Monsoon Wedding* (2000) deals with the theme of incest, arranged marriages, and diasporic connections, combining a Bollywood-style wedding spectacle with the raw reality of child abuse and family divisions. *Monsoon Wedding* won the Golden Lion in Venice, a rare achievement for women filmmakers.

“The female characters in Nair and Mehta's film rebel against their oppression through the exploration of their sexuality and the reclaiming of their bodies. By stretching the boundaries of their sexual identities, these women speak out in resistance through the language of their bodies.” (Moodley 2003, p. 68).

Kenyan born, British based Gurinder Chadha is also one of these highly successful transnational and postcolonial feminist filmmakers, in which the issues around the role of women in Indian society, the intricacies of interethnic and religious relations, globalization, and the cultural industry

emerge. Chadha's early film *Bhaji on the Beach* (1993) is an excellent example of low-budget filming to great effect. Focusing on a community of South Asian women on a leisure trip to the seaside (Blackpool), the film unleashes all the generational, religious, sexual, racial, and gender problems of diasporic life, combining comic and tragic moments with dexterity and verve. Her more successful and well-known *Bend it Like Beckham* launched Chadha into international fame, with the tale of an Indian girl wanting to become a footballer against all patriarchal and ethnic stereotypes. The film's overwhelming success allowed Chadha to make her own Bollywood blockbuster, *Bride and Prejudice* (2004), starring Miss World 1994, Aishwarya Rai, a tongue-in-cheek adaptation of Jane Austen's novel, where the dynamics of class and gender of the 19th century are transferred into a postcolonial response (Ponzanesi 2014).

Recent Indian productions such as *Queen* testify to the entrance of feminist and subversive topics into mainstream filmmaking. The story of a woman abandoned on the altar by her fiancé who then travels to Europe for her honeymoon alone and discovers herself through a series of hilarious and heart-warming encounters.³ *Queen* became an instant hit, signaling for many the entry of feminism in Hindi-Bollywood cinema.

Other postcolonial feminist filmmakers can be mentioned around the world, connecting different cinematic traditions, geographical specificities, and feminist concerns. *Measures of Distance* (1988), made by Palestinian video and performance artist Mona Hatoum, displays the

³ See Priyanka Borpujari, "India's wandering women with cameras," *Open Democracy*, September 9, 2015 <https://www.opendemocracy.net/5050/priyanka-borpujari/indias-wandering-women-with-cameras>

fragmented memories of different generations, mothers in the civil war in Lebanon and daughters dispersed in the West. The voice narrates a tale of geographical distance while communicating great emotional closeness, through the mixture of genres and media, letters, audiotapes, photographs, voice-overs, and past images played in the present, mixing Arabic with English, creating multiple generations, geographies, and temporalities. As Shohat writes, *Measures of Distance* also probes issue of sexuality and the female body:

“In Western popular culture, the Arab female body, whether in the art form of the veiled, bare-breasted women who posed for French colonial photographers or the Orientalist harems and belly dancers of Hollywood film, had functioned as a sign of the exotic. But rather than adopt a patriarchal strategy of simply censoring female nudity, Hatoum deploys the diffusively sensuous, almost pointillist images of her mother’s nakedness to tell a more complex story with nationalist overtones. She uses diverse strategies to veil the images from voyeuristic scrutiny. [...] The superimposed words in Arabic script serve to ‘envelop’ her nudity. ‘Barring’ the body, the script metaphorizes her inaccessibility, visually undercutting the intimacy that is verbally expressed in other registers.”(Shohat 2006, pp. 311-312).

Fragments of letters, body, and dialogues superimposed create a sense of continuity and fracture between the personal and national, the experience of exile and the past of a country which no longer exists. This particularly aesthetic achievement, which has great political and affective overtones, has been also appropriated, but with very different effects, by Shirin Neshat, Iranian-American artist, who in her very famous photographic

series *Women of Allah* (1993-1997) superimposed old, poetic texts and scriptures onto faces, hands, bodies, playing between the veiling and unveiling, the profane and the poetic, making the body speak out through unconventional techniques and a very multimedia effect.⁴

Shirin Neshat received many accolades for her first feature-length film *Women Without Men* (2009), a poetic rendition of four women's stories challenging patriarchy, politics, sexuality, and religion. A photographer by training, Neshat conveys the stunning power of images through an oneiric and surreal visual style, which at times has also been accused of biting into orientalist representations to win Western audiences over.

Yet the road for women filmmakers is not an easy one. In order to find representations by women and about women, it is often necessary to rely on specific festivals or specific sections of documentary filmmaking. There is of course a wealth of established and up-and-coming women filmmakers who are contributing to feminist postcolonial cinema in unique ways, sometimes in their struggle as a cineaste in a male-dominated world, sometimes through their subject matter that focuses on women's representation and the renewal of the cinematic language.

Euzhan Palcy, from Martinique, is notable for being one of the first black

⁴ It is believed that Ayan Hirsi Ali took inspiration from Neshat but also possibly from Mona Hatoum in her highly contested film *Submission* (2004), made with the collaboration of Dutch filmmaker Theo Van Gogh, who was killed in 2004 by a Muslim as a consequence of what had been considered an offensive film. The film showed the images of semi-nude women, veiled, with Koranic texts inscribed on their bodies which discussed their submission to God and the man they should obey, read out loud in a voice-over with an American accent. The film wanted to show how fundamentalist law foments a culture of violence and abuse against women. The film created a highly contested debate among feminists and liberal vis-à-vis the issue of religion and of self-determination. Though stylistically resonant with Hatoum's and Neshat's work, the aim and result are completely different and instrumental, and it has divided feminists and postcolonial thinkers worldwide. (See Van Wichelen & De Leeuw 2005).

women directors to direct a major Hollywood studio production, with MGM producing a *Dry White Season* (1989), a South African apartheid drama based on André Brink's novel of the same name. The film starred Donald Sutherland, Marlon Brando, and Susan Sarandon among others, therefore making Palcy famous for being the only woman to have directed Marlon Brando. The film explored themes of race, gender, and politics from an explicitly feminist perspective. Other up-and-coming directors from the Caribbean are Mary Wells from Jamaica (*Kingston Paradise*, 2013), Mariette Monpierre from Guadeloupe (*La Bonheur d'Elza*, 2012), and Mitzi Allen from Antigua (*The Sweetest Mango*, 2015), to mention a few examples.

Moufida Tlatli from Tunisia has also established herself as a prominent African woman in cinema. Her *The Silences of the Palace* (1994) is a painful story of a singer who visits the palace where her mother was once employed as a servant, signaling the last days of French influence in Tunis and the rise of the independence movement. The visit to the palace unleashes many traumatic recollections of her mother's abuse and sexual harassment by the palace owners and her mother's attempt to spare her daughter from the same destiny at her own expense. The film speaks not only to the struggle of the past but also to the struggle of the future where women are not per se liberated from bondage in the new post-independence Tunisia, but are caught up with new militant rhetoric, therefore showing continuity with past oppression but also the transformation into new possibilities for emancipation.

These examples summarize the complexities of categorizing postcolonial feminist filmmaking in either a chronological or a consistent geographical pattern. They demonstrate that the postcolonial as an optic can be applied to the dialogue between the political and the aesthetic in different ways. It is an approach that does not just privilege the issue of

women behind the camera, or their representation on screen, but interrogates the visual language used, and the innovations introduced, that can also be used to reflect on productions of the past and how they speak to the present through a postcolonial awareness and deconstructive gaze.

Identifying new visual registers that are not colonizing is important in order to account for how race, ethnicity, class, religion, and sexual desires can be articulated from new vantage points without losing the connection to different filmic traditions and political realities. Yet postcolonial and transnational approaches to film and feminism also signal the need to widen the palette by stretching the postcolonial optic to films that are made across a wider spectrum than the strictly postcolonial temporalities but that corroborate the understanding of patterns of domination and resistance linked to colonial and neocolonial dynamics, reading against the grain, and offering space for feminist interventions.

References:

Amrane Minne, D. D. (2007) "Women at War," *Interventions*.
International Journal of Postcolonial Studies, 9(3), pp. 340-349.

Borpujari, P. (2015) "India's Wandering Women with Cameras," *Open Democracy*, September 9, 2015
<https://www.opendemocracy.net/5050/priyanka-borpujari/indias-wandering-women-with-cameras>.

Butler, A. (2010) "Feminist Perspectives in Film Studies," in James Donald and Michael Renov (eds), *The Sage Handbook of Film Studies*, London: Sage, pp. 391-407.

Caillé, P. (2007) "The Illegitimate Legitimacy of the Battle of Algiers in French Film Culture," *Interventions, International Journal of Postcolonial Studies*, 9(3), pp. 371-388.

Chow, R. (1998) "Film and Cultural Identity," in John Hillis and Pamela Church Gibson (eds), *Oxford Guide to Film Studies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 169-175.

Doane, M. A. (1991) "Dark Continents: Epistemologies of Racial and Sexual Difference in Psychoanalysis and the Cinema," in *Femmes Fatales*, New York and London, pp. 209-248.

Espinosa, J.G. (1979) "For an imperfect cinema," trans. J. Burton, *Jump Cut: A Review of Contemporary Media*, 20, pp. 24-26. (First published in Spanish as Por un Cine imperfecto, *Cine Cubano*, 14, 1966).

Fanon, F. (1963) *The Wretched of the Earth* (published in French in 1961), preface by J.-P. Sartre, trans C. Farrington, New York: Grove Weidenfeld.

Gaines, J. (1986) "White privilege and Looking Relations; Race and Gender in Feminist Film Theory," *Screen*, 29(4), pp. 12-27.

Getino, O. and Solanas, F. (1969) "Toward a Third Cinema" (published in Spanish in 1969), *Cineaste*, 4(3), pp. 1–10.

Harrison, N. (2007) "Pontecorvo's 'Documentary' Aesthetics. *The Battle of Algiers* and the Battle of Algiers," *Interventions*, 9(3), pp. 389-404.

Hollinger, K. (2012) "Feminist Film Studies and Race," In Karen Hollinger, *Feminist Film Studies*, London and New York, pp. 190-227.

hooks, b. (1992) *Black Looks: Race and Representation*, Boston: South End Press.

Kaplan, E. A. (1997) *Looking to the Other: Feminism, Film and the Imperial Gaze*, New York: Routledge.

Khanna, R. (1998) "The Battle of Algiers and the Nouba of the Women of Mont Chenoua: From Third to Fourth Cinema," *Third Text*, 12(43), pp. 13–21.

Loshitzky, Y. (2010) *Screening Strangers. Migration and Diaspora in Contemporary European Cinema*, Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Marciniak, K., A. Imre and A. O'Healy (eds.) (2007) *Transnational Feminism in Film and Media*, New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

Marks, L. (2000) *The Skin of the Film: Intercultural Cinema, Embodiment and the Senses*, Durham: Duke University Press.

Moodley, S. (2003) "Postcolonial Feminisms Speaking Through an 'Accented' Cinema: The Construction of Indian Women in the Films of Mira Nair and Deepa Mehta," *Agenda* 38, African Feminisms Three, pp. 66-75.

Mulvey, L. (1975) "Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema," *Screen*, 16(3), pp. 6-18.

Naficy, H. (2000) *An Accented Cinema. Exilic and Diasporic Filmmaking*, Princeton: Princeton University Press.

O'Reily, M. (2010) "The Return of the Battle of Algiers in Mediterranean Shadows: Race, Resistance and Victimization," *California Italian Studies Journal* 1(1) (online).

Rocha, G., (1995) "An Aesthetic of Hunger" (published in Portuguese in 1965), trans. R. Johnson and B. Hollyman, in R. Johnson and R. Stam (eds), *Brazilian Cinema*, New York: Columbia University Press, pp. 68–71.

Ponzanesi, S. and Waller, W. (2012) *Postcolonial Cinema Studies*, London: Routledge.

Quinan, C. (2014) "Uses and Abuses of Gender and Nationality: Torture and the French-Algerian War," in S. Ponzanesi (ed.) *Gender, Globalization and Violence. Postcolonial Conflict Zones*, New York and London: Routledge, pp. 111-125.

Said, E. (1978) *Orientalism*, London: Pantheon Books.

Sarkar, B. (2010) "Postcolonial and Transnational Perspectives" in James Donald and Michal Renov (eds) *The Sage Handbook of Film Studies*, London: Sage, pp.123-143.

Shohat, E. (2006) *Post-Third Worldist Culture: Gender, Nation, and the Cinema*," in Ella Shohat (ed.) *Taboo Memories, Diasporic Voices*, Durham: Duke University Press, pp. 290-330.

Shohat, E. (2006) "Gender and the Culture of Empire: Toward a Feminist Ethnography of the Cinema," in E. Shohat (ed.) *Taboo Memories, Diasporic Voices*. Durham: Duke University Press, pp. 17-69.

Shohat, E. and Stam, R., (1994) *Unthinking Eurocentrism: Multiculturalism and the Media*, London: Routledge.

Spivak, G. C. (1987) *In Other Worlds: Essays in Cultural Politics*, New York: Methuen.

Trinh, M. T. (1992) *Framer Framed*, New York: Routledge.

Young, L. (1995) "Fear of the Dark. 'Race,'" *Gender and Sexuality in the Cinema*, London: Routledge.

Van Wichelen, S. and De Leeuw, M. (2005) "Please, Go Wake up! Submission, Hirsi Ali and the 'War of Terror' in the Netherlands," *Feminist Media Studies*, 5(3), pp. 325-340.

White, P. (1998) "Feminism and Film," in John Hillis and Pamela Church Gibson (eds), *Oxford Guide to Film Studies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 117-131.

Wiegman, R. (1998) "Race, Ethnicity and Film," in John Hillis and Pamela Church Gibson (eds), *Oxford Guide to Film Studies*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.158-168.