

THE COMPLETE EXISTENTIAL THEORY OF
HURWITZ'S POSTULATES FOR ABELIAN
GROUPS AND FIELDS*

BY B. A. BERNSTEIN

1. *Introduction.* Hurwitz has proposed sets of postulates for abelian groups and fields.† If F' , F'' , F_n denote his sets for denumerable, continuous, and finite fields respectively, G' , G'' , G_n the corresponding sets for abelian groups, then I have proved in another paper‡ the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *Postulate-sets F' , F'' , G' , G'' , G_n ($n > 1$) are each completely independent; postulate-set F_n is completely independent§ when, and only when, n exceeds 2 and is a power of a prime.*

The object of this note is to investigate postulate-set F_n further, and to prove the following theorem, which, together with Theorem A establishes the *complete existential theory*§ of each of Hurwitz's six postulate-sets for abelian groups and fields.

THEOREM B. *For postulate-set F_n , when n exceeds 2 and is not a power of a prime, there exists no system having the character $(++++++)$, but there exist systems having all the other characters; when $n = 2$ there exist no systems having the characters $(-++++)$ and $(-+-+)$, but there exist systems having all the other characters.*

2. *Hurwitz's Postulates F_n for Finite Fields.* For finite fields Hurwitz's postulates are as follows (K , \oplus , \odot being undefined):
(A₁) If a , b , c , $a \oplus b$, $c \oplus b$, and $a \oplus (c \oplus b)$ belong to K , then $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (c \oplus b)$.

* Presented to the Society April 8, 1922.

† W. A. Hurwitz, *Postulate-sets for abelian groups and fields*, ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS, (2), vol. 15 (1913), p. 93.

‡ *On complete independence of Hurwitz's postulates for abelian groups and fields*, ANNALS OF MATHEMATICS, (2), vol. 24 (1922).

§ See E. H. Moore, *Introduction to a form of general analysis*, New Haven Mathematical Colloquium, Yale University Press, p. 82.

- (A₂) If a and b belong to K , then there is an element x of K such that $a \oplus x = b$.
- (M₁) If $a, b, c, a \odot b, c \odot b$, and $a \odot (c \odot b)$ belong to K , then $(a \odot b) \odot c = a \odot (c \odot b)$.
- (M₂) If a and b belong to K , and $a \oplus a \neq a$, there is an element x of K such that $a \odot x = b$.
- (D) If $a, b, c, a \odot b, a \odot c, b \oplus c, (a \odot b) \oplus (a \odot c)$ belong to K , then $a \odot (b \oplus c) = (a \odot b) \oplus (a \odot c)$.
- (N_n) K contains $n > 1$ elements.*

3. *Proof of Theorem B.* The proof of Theorem B is obtained with the help of the table below.†

(1) Systems 1-32 of the table have the characters $(\pm\pm\pm\pm\pm-)$ for F_n .

(2) Let 1'-32' be the systems obtained from 1-32 by substituting (a) the class of *least positive residues modulo n* for the class of integers and (b) the *least positive residue modulo n* of $a + b, ab, a - b$ for $a + b, ab$, and $a - b$ respectively, except that $a^2 + (b - 1)^2$ in 16 is left unchanged. Then when $n > 2$, systems 2'-32' will have the characters $(\pm\pm\pm\pm\pm+)$ except $(+++++)$; when $n = 2$, systems 1'-9', 11'-20', 22'-32' will be systems having all the characters $(\pm\pm\pm\pm\pm+)$ except $(-++++)$ and $(-+-+--)$.

(3) When $n > 2$ and, not a power of a prime there exists no field.

(4) When $n = 2$ there exists no system having the character $(-++++)$. For, since postulates (A₁) and (D) have to be contradicted, and (A₂) satisfied, $a \oplus b$ must be defined by the table

\oplus	0	1
0	1	0
1	1	0

Further, since postulates (M₁) and (M₂) have to be satisfied,

* Postulate-sets F' and F'' are obtained from set F_n by substituting for (N_n) respectively: (N') K is countably infinite, (N'') K has the cardinal number of the continuum. Sets G_n, G', G'' are obtained from F_n, F', F'' respectively by omitting (M₁), (M₂), and (D).

† This table may also be used conveniently in the proof of Theorem A.

$a \odot b$ must be defined by one or the other of the tables:

\odot	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	1

\odot	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	0

That is, we must have either

$$a \oplus b = b + 1 \pmod{2}, \quad a \odot b = a + b + 1 \pmod{2}$$

or else

$$a \oplus b = b + 1 \pmod{2}, \quad a \odot b = a + b \pmod{2}.$$

But for either case postulate (D) would be satisfied.

(5) That when $n = 2$ there is no system having the character $(-+-+--)$ I have shown in the paper cited above.

This completes the proof of our theorem.

SYSTEMS HAVING THE CHARACTERS $(\pm\pm\pm\pm\pm\pm)$ FOR F_n

No.	Character	K	a \oplus b	a \odot b
1	(+++++-)	Integers*	a + b	ab
2	(++++--)	"	a + b	a + b
3	(+++--+)	"	a + b	0
4	(++-+++)	"	a + b	b
5	(+-++++)	"	a	a + b
6	(-++++-)	"	b	a + b
7	(+++---)	"	a + b	1
8	(++-+--)	"	a + b	b + 1
9	(+-+---)	"	0	a + b
10	(-+----)	"	a - b	a + b
11	(++-+-)	"	a + b + 1	b + 0/a
12	(+-+--)	"	0	0
13	(-+-+)	"	b + 1	a/0
14	(+-+++)	"	0	b
15	(-+++-)	"	b	b
16	(--++++)	"	$b + \frac{0}{a^2 + (b-1)^2}$	a
17	(++-----)	"	a + b	a + 1
18	(+-+----)	"	0	1
19	(-++----)	"	b + 1	1
20	(+---+--)	"	0	b + 1
21	(-+---+)	"	a - b	a - b
22	(--++---)	"	ab + a	a + b
23	(+---+)	"	1	b + 0/a
24	(-+---+)	"	b + 1	b + 0/a
25	(--++---)	"	ab + a	0
26	(-+++--)	"	a + 1	b
27	(+----+)	"	0	a + 1
28	(-+----)	"	b + 1	a + 1
29	(--++---)</td <td>"</td> <td>a + 1</td> <td>a</td>	"	a + 1	a
30	(-+++--)	"	b + 0/a	b + 0/a
31	(--++---)</td <td>"</td> <td>ab + a</td> <td>b + 1</td>	"	ab + a	b + 1
32	(-+++--)	"	a + 1	a + 1

* Positive, negative, and 0.