

Sparse complete sets for coNP: Solution of the P versus NP problem

Frank Vega

Joysonic, Uzun Mirkova 5, Belgrade, 11000, Serbia

Abstract

P versus NP is considered as one of the most important open problems in computer science. This consists in knowing the answer of the following question: Is P equal to NP? A precise statement of the P versus NP problem was introduced independently in 1971 by Stephen Cook and Leonid Levin. Since that date, all efforts to find a proof for this problem have failed. Another major complexity class is coNP. Whether $NP = coNP$ is another fundamental question that it is as important as it is unresolved. In 1979, Fortune showed that if any sparse language is coNP-complete, then $P = NP$. We prove there is a possible sparse language in coNP-complete. In this way, we demonstrate the complexity class P is equal to NP.

Keywords: Complexity Classes, Sparse, Complement Language, Completeness, Polynomial Time

2000 MSC: 68Q15, 68Q17

Introduction

In computational complexity theory, a sparse language is a formal language (a set of strings) such that the complexity function, counting the number of strings of length n in the language, is bounded by a polynomial function of n . The complexity class of all sparse languages is called *SPARSE*. *SPARSE* contains *TALLY*, the class of unary languages, since these have at most one string of any one length.

Fortune showed in 1979 that if any sparse language is *coNP-complete*, then $P = NP$ (this is Fortune's theorem) [1]. Mahaney used this to show in 1982 that if any sparse language is *NP-complete*, then $P = NP$ [2]. A simpler proof of this based on left-sets was given by Ogihara and Watanabe in 1991 [3]. Mahaney's argument does not actually require the sparse language to be in *NP*, so there is a sparse *NP-hard* set if and only if $P = NP$ [2].

We create a class with the opposite definition, that is a class of languages that are dense instead of sparse. We show there is a sequence of languages that are in *NP-complete*, but their density grows as much as we go forward into the iteration of the sequence. The first element of the sequence is a variation of the *NP-complete* problem known as *HAM-CYCLE* [4]. The next element in the sequence is constructed from this new version of *HAM-CYCLE*. Indeed, each language is created from its previous language in the sequence.

Email address: vega.frank@gmail.com (Frank Vega)

Since the density grows according we move forward into the sequence, then there must be a language so much dense such that its complement is sparse. Fortunately, we find this property from a language of this sequence when the bit length n of the binary strings tends to infinity. However, this incredible dense language is still *NP-complete*. Thus, the complement of this language remains in *coNP-complete*, because the complement of every *NP-complete* language is complete for *coNP* [5].

In this way, we find a possible sparse language in *coNP-complete*. As a consequence of Fortune's theorem, we demonstrate that P is equal to NP . To sum up, we proved there is a sparse complete set for *coNP* and therefore, we just solved the P versus NP problem.

1. Basic Definitions

Let Σ be a finite alphabet with at least two elements, and let Σ^* be the set of finite strings over Σ [6]. A Turing machine M has an associated input alphabet Σ [6]. For each string w in Σ^* there is a computation associated with M on input w [6]. We say that M accepts w if this computation terminates in the accepting state, that is $M(w) = \text{"yes"}$ [6]. Note that M fails to accept w either if this computation ends in the rejecting state, that is $M(w) = \text{"no"}$, or if the computation fails to terminate [6].

The language accepted by a Turing machine M , denoted $L(M)$, has an associated alphabet Σ and is defined by

$$L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* : M(w) = \text{"yes"}\}.$$

We denote by $t_M(w)$ the number of steps in the computation of M on input w [6]. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $T_M(n)$ the worst case run time of M ; that is

$$T_M(n) = \max\{t_M(w) : w \in \Sigma^n\}$$

where Σ^n is the set of all strings over Σ of length n [6]. We say that M runs in polynomial time if there is a constant k such that for all n , $T_M(n) \leq n^k + k$ [6]. In other words, this means the language $L(M)$ can be accepted by the Turing machine M in polynomial time. Therefore, P is the complexity class of languages that can be accepted in polynomial time by deterministic Turing machines [7]. A verifier for a language L is a deterministic Turing machine M , where

$$L = \{w : M(w, c) = \text{"yes"} \text{ for some string } c\}.$$

We measure the time of a verifier only in terms of the length of w , so a polynomial time verifier runs in polynomial time in the length of w [6]. A verifier uses additional information, represented by the symbol c , to verify that a string w is a member of L . This information is called certificate. NP is the complexity class of languages defined by polynomial time verifiers [8]. If NP is the class of problems that have succinct certificates, then the complexity class *coNP* must contain those problems that have succinct disqualifications [8]. That is, a "no" instance of a problem in *coNP* possesses a short proof of its being a "no" instance [8].

A function $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ is a polynomial time computable function if some deterministic Turing machine M , on every input w , halts in polynomial time with just $f(w)$ on its tape [9]. Let $\{0, 1\}^*$ be the infinite set of binary strings, we say that a language $L_1 \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is polynomial time reducible to a language $L_2 \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$, written $L_1 \leq_p L_2$, if there is a polynomial time computable function $f : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ such that for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$,

$$x \in L_1 \text{ if and only if } f(x) \in L_2.$$

An important complexity class is *NP-complete* [5]. A language $L \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ is *NP-complete* if

- $L \in NP$, and
- $L' \leq_p L$ for every $L' \in NP$.

If L is a language such that $L' \leq_p L$ for some $L' \in NP\text{-complete}$, then L is *NP-hard* [5]. Moreover, if $L \in NP$, then $L \in NP\text{-complete}$ [5]. A principal *NP-complete* problem is *HAM-CYCLE* [7].

An instance of the language *HAM-CYCLE* is a simple graph $G = (V, E)$ where V is the set of vertices and E is the set of edges, each edge being an unordered pair of vertices [7]. We say $(u, v) \in E$ is an edge in a simple graph $G = (V, E)$ where u and v are vertices. A simple graph is an undirected graph without multiple edges or loops [7]. For a simple graph $G = (V, E)$ a simple cycle in G is a sequence of distinct vertices $\langle v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k \rangle$ such that $(v_k, v_0) \in E$ and $(v_{i-1}, v_i) \in E$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ [7]. A Hamiltonian cycle is a simple cycle of the simple graph which contains all the vertices of the graph. A simple graph that contains a hamiltonian cycle is said to be hamiltonian; otherwise, it is nonhamiltonian [7]. The problem *HAM-CYCLE* asks whether a simple graph is hamiltonian [7].

2. Results

Definition 2.1. A dense language on m is a formal language (a set of **binary** strings) such that for a positive integer n_0 , the counting of the number of strings of length $n \geq n_0$ in the language is greater than or equal to 2^{n-m} where m is a real number and $0 \leq m \leq 1$. The complexity class of all dense languages on m is called *DENSE(m)*.

In this work, we are going to represent the simple graphs with an adjacency-matrix [7]. For the adjacency-matrix representation of a simple graph $G = (V, E)$, we assume that the vertices are numbered $1, 2, \dots, |V|$ in some arbitrary manner. The adjacency-matrix representation of a simple graph G consists of a $|V| \times |V|$ matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$ such that $a_{i,j} = 1$ when $(i, j) \in E$ and $a_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise [7]. In this way, every simple graph of k vertices is represented by k^2 bits.

Observe the symmetry along the main diagonal of the adjacency matrix in this kind of graph that is called simple. We define the transpose of a matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$ to be the matrix $A^T = (a_{i,j}^T)$ given by $a_{i,j}^T = a_{j,i}$. Hence the adjacency matrix A of a simple graph is its own transpose $A = A^T$.

Definition 2.2. The language *NON-SIMPLE* contains all the graph that are represented by an adjacency-matrix A such that $A \neq A^T$

Lemma 2.3. *NON-SIMPLE* $\in P$.

Proof. Given a binary string x , we can check whether x is an adjacency-matrix which is not equal to its own transpose in time $O(|x|^2)$ just iterating each bit $a_{i,j}$ in x and checking whether $a_{i,j} \neq a_{j,i}$ or not where $|\dots|$ represents the bit-length function [7]. \square

Definition 2.4. The language *HAM-CYCLE'* contains all the binary strings z such that $z = xy$, the bit-length of x is equal to $(\lfloor \sqrt{|z|} \rfloor)^2$ and $x \in \text{HAM-CYCLE}$ or $x \in \text{NON-SIMPLE}$ where $|\dots|$ represents the bit-length function and y could be the empty string.

Lemma 2.5. *HAM-CYCLE'* $\in NP\text{-complete}$.

Proof. Given a binary string x we can decide in polynomial time whether $x \notin NON-SIMPLE$ just verifying when $x = x^T$. In this way, we can reduce in polynomial time a simple graph $G = (V, E)$ of k vertices encoded as the binary string x such that when x has k^2 bits and $x \notin NON-SIMPLE$ then

$$x \in HAM-CYCLE \text{ if and only if } x \in HAM-CYCLE'.$$

Then, we can reduce in polynomial time each element of $HAM-CYCLE$ to $HAM-CYCLE'$. Therefore, $HAM-CYCLE'$ is in NP -hard. Moreover, we can check in polynomial time whether a binary string z such that $z = xy$ where the bit-length of x is equal to $(\lfloor \sqrt{|z|} \rfloor)^2$ and complies with $x \in HAM-CYCLE$ or $x \in NON-SIMPLE$ since $HAM-CYCLE \in NP$, $NON-SIMPLE \in P$ and $P \subseteq NP$ [8]. Consequently, $HAM-CYCLE'$ is in NP . Hence, $HAM-CYCLE' \in NP$ -complete. \square

Lemma 2.6. $HAM-CYCLE' \in DENSE(1)$.

Proof. OEIS A000088 gives the total number of graphs on n unlabeled points [10]. For 8 points there are 12346 so just over half the graphs on 8 points are Hamiltonian [10]. For 12 points, the highest in the Hamiltonian list, there are 152522187830 Hamiltonian graphs out of 165091172592 which would claim that over 92% of the 12 point graphs are Hamiltonian [10]. For $n = 2$ there are two graphs, neither of which is Hamiltonian [10]. For $n < 8$ over half the graphs are not Hamiltonian [10]. It does not seem surprising that once n gets large most graphs are Hamiltonian [10].

Choosing a graph on n vertices at random is the same as including each edge in the graph with probability $\frac{1}{2}$, independently of the other edges [11]. You get a more general model of random graphs if you choose each edge with probability p [11]. This model is known as $G_{n,p}$ [11]. It turns out that for any constant $p > 0$, the probability that G contains a Hamiltonian cycle tends to 1 when n tends to infinity [11]. In fact, this is true whenever $p > \frac{c \log n}{n}$ for some constant c . In particular this is true for $p = \frac{1}{2}$, which is our case [11].

For all the binary strings z such that $z = xy$ where the bit-length of x is equal to $(\lfloor \sqrt{|z|} \rfloor)^2$, the amount of elements of size $|z|$ in $HAM-CYCLE'$ is equal to the number of binary strings $x \in HAM-CYCLE$ or $x \in NON-SIMPLE$ multiplied by $2^{|z| - (\lfloor \sqrt{|z|} \rfloor)^2}$. Since the number of Hamiltonian graphs increases as much as we go further on n , it does not seem surprising either that once n gets large most binary strings belong to $HAM-CYCLE'$. Certainly, we can affirm for a sufficiently large positive integer n'_0 , all the binary strings of length $n \geq n'_0$ which belong to $HAM-CYCLE'$ are indeed more than or equal to 2^{n-1} elements. In this way, we prove $HAM-CYCLE' \in DENSE(1)$. \square

Definition 2.7. We will define a sequence of languages $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ for every possible integer $1 \leq k$. We state $HAM-CYCLE'_1$ as the language $HAM-CYCLE'$. Recursively, from a language $HAM-CYCLE'_k$, we define $HAM-CYCLE'_{k+1}$ as follows: A binary string xy complies with $xy \in HAM-CYCLE'_{k+1}$ if and only if $x \in HAM-CYCLE'_k$ or $y \in HAM-CYCLE'_k$ such that $|x| = |y|$ when $|xy|$ is even and $|x| + 1 = |y|$ when $|xy|$ is odd where $|\dots|$ represents the bit-length function. When $|y| = 1$, then x is equal to the empty string.

Lemma 2.8. For every integer $1 \leq k$, $HAM-CYCLE'_k \in NP$.

Proof. This is true for $k = 1$. Every string xy which belongs to $HAM-CYCLE'_2$ complies with $x \in HAM-CYCLE'_1$ or $y \in HAM-CYCLE'_1$ such that $|x| = |y|$ when $|xy|$ is even and $|x| + 1 = |y|$ when $|xy|$ is odd. Moreover, every string $xyvw$ which belongs to $HAM-CYCLE'_3$ complies with $x \in HAM-CYCLE'_1$ or $y \in HAM-CYCLE'_1$ or $v \in HAM-CYCLE'_1$ or $w \in HAM-CYCLE'_1$ such

that $|xy| = |vw|$ when $|xyvw|$ is even and $|xy|+1 = |vw|$ when $|xyvw|$ is odd, $|x| = |y|$ when $|xy|$ is even and $|x| + 1 = |y|$ when $|xy|$ is odd and $|v| = |w|$ when $|vw|$ is even and $|v| + 1 = |w|$ when $|vw|$ is odd. Furthermore, we can extend this property for every positive integer $k > 3$ in $HAM-CYCLE'_k$. Indeed, $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ is in NP for every integer $1 \leq k$, because the verification of whether the whole string or substrings are indeed elements of $HAM-CYCLE'_1$ can be done in polynomial time with the appropriated certificates. \square

Theorem 2.9. *For every integer $1 \leq k$, $HAM-CYCLE'_k \in NP$ -complete.*

Proof. This is true for $k = 1$ by Lemma 2.5. Let's assume is valid for some positive integer $1 \leq k'$. Let's prove this for $k' + 1$. We already know the adjacency-matrix of n^2 zeros represents a simple graph of n vertices which does not contain any edge. This kind of a simple graph does not belong to $HAM-CYCLE'_1$. Suppose, we have an instance y of $HAM-CYCLE'_{k'}$. We can reduce y in $HAM-CYCLE'_{k'}$ to zy in $HAM-CYCLE'_{k'+1}$ such that

$$y \in HAM-CYCLE'_{k'} \text{ if and only if } zy \in HAM-CYCLE'_{k'+1}$$

where the binary string z is exactly a sequence of $|y|$ zeros. Due to this reduction remains in polynomial time for every positive integer $1 \leq k'$, then we show $HAM-CYCLE'_{k'+1}$ is in NP -hard. Moreover, $HAM-CYCLE'_{k'+1}$ is also in NP -complete, because of Lemma 2.8. \square

Theorem 2.10. *For every integer $1 \leq k$, if the language $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ is in $DENSE(k')$ for every natural number $n' \geq n_0$, then $HAM-CYCLE'_{k+1}$ is in $DENSE(\frac{k'}{2})$ for every integer $n' \geq 2 \times n_0 + 1$.*

Proof. If the language $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ is in $DENSE(k')$ for every natural number $n' \geq n_0$, then for every integer $n \geq n_0 + 1$ the amount of elements of size $n + i$ in $HAM-CYCLE'_{k+1}$ (where $i = n$ or $i = n - 1$) is greater than or equal to

$$2^{i-k'} \times 2^n + 2^{n-k'} \times (2^i - 2^{i-k'}).$$

This is because there must be more than or equal to $2^{i-k'}$ elements of size i in $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ which are prefixes of the binary strings of size $n + i$ in the language $HAM-CYCLE'_{k+1}$. Moreover, there must be more than or equal to $2^{n-k'}$ elements of size n in $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ which are suffixes of the binary strings of size $n + i$ in $HAM-CYCLE'_{k+1}$. If we join both properties, we obtain the sum described by the formula above.

Indeed, this formula can be simplified to

$$2^{n+i-k'} + 2^{n+i-k'} \times (2^0 - 2^{-k'})$$

and extracting a common factor we obtain

$$2^{n+i-k'} \times (1 + (1 - 2^{-k'}))$$

which is equal to

$$2^{n+i-k'} \times (2 - \frac{1}{2^{k'}}).$$

Nevertheless, for every real number $0 \leq k' \leq 1$

$$(2 - \frac{1}{2^{k'}}) \geq 2^{\frac{k'}{2}}.$$

Certainly, if we multiply both member of the inequality by $2^{k'}$, we obtain

$$(2^{k'+1} - 1) \geq 2^{k' + \frac{k'}{2}}$$

which is equivalent to

$$2^{k'} \times (2 - 2^{\frac{k'}{2}}) \geq 1$$

that it is true for every real number $0 \leq k' \leq 1$. Thus

$$2^{n+i-k'} \times (2 - \frac{1}{2^{k'}}) \geq 2^{n+i-k'} \times 2^{\frac{k'}{2}}$$

where

$$2^{n+i-k'} \times 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} = 2^{n+i-(k'-\frac{k'}{2})} = 2^{n+i-\frac{k'}{2}}.$$

Since every binary string of size n' has also the bit-length $n+i$ for some natural number n (where $i = n$ or $i = n-1$), then there are more than or equal to $2^{n'-(\frac{k'}{2})}$ elements of the language $HAM-CYCLE'_{k+1}$ with length $n' \geq 2 \times n_0 + 1$. In this way, we show $HAM-CYCLE'_{k+1}$ is in $DENSE(\frac{k'}{2})$ for every integer $n' \geq 2 \times n_0 + 1$. \square

Lemma 2.11. $HAM-CYCLE'_k \in DENSE(\frac{1}{2^{k-1}})$ for every natural number $n \geq 2^{k-1} \times n'_0 + 2^{k-1} - 1$ where the constant n'_0 is the positive integer used in the Definition 2.1 and Lemma 2.6 for $HAM-CYCLE'$.

Proof. According to Lemma 2.6, $HAM-CYCLE'_1$ is in $DENSE(1)$ for every natural number $n \geq n'_0 = 2^{1-1} \times n'_0 + 2^{1-1} - 1$. Consequently, due to Theorem 2.10, $HAM-CYCLE'_2$ is in $DENSE(\frac{1}{2})$ for every natural number $n \geq 2 \times n'_0 + 1 = 2^{2-1} \times n'_0 + 2^{2-1} - 1$. Moreover, $HAM-CYCLE'_3$ is in $DENSE(\frac{1}{4})$ for every natural number $n \geq 4 \times n'_0 + 3 = 2^{3-1} \times n'_0 + 2^{3-1} - 1$ and so forth ... and thus, for every language $HAM-CYCLE'_k$, we have $HAM-CYCLE'_k \in DENSE(\frac{1}{2^{k-1}})$ for every natural number $n \geq 2^{k-1} \times n'_0 + 2^{k-1} - 1$. \square

Corollary 2.12. *There is a language $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ such that $HAM-CYCLE'_k \in DENSE(0)$ when the bit length n of the binary strings tends to infinity.*

Proof. When k tends to infinity, then $\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}$ tends to 0. In this way, when k tends to infinity, then $HAM-CYCLE'_k \in DENSE(0)$ as a consequence of Lemma 2.11. However, when k tends to infinity, then the constant n_0 becomes exponentially larger in relation to k where n_0 is the positive integer used in the Definition 2.1 for $HAM-CYCLE'_k$. In this way, the density is total for some language $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ when the bit length n of the binary strings tends to infinity. Consequently, this language $HAM-CYCLE'_k$ may actually exist. \square

Theorem 2.13. *There is a sparse language in $coNP$ -complete.*

Proof. As a consequence of Corollary 2.12, the complement of a language $HAMILTON-PATH'_k$ is sparse when the bit length n of the binary strings tends to infinity. Thus, the complexity of counting the number of strings with length n in the complement of this language is bounded by a polynomial function on n . Indeed, a language is sparse if and only if its complement is in $DENSE(0)$ when the bit length n of the binary strings tends to infinity [2]. Indeed, the sparse languages are called sparse because there are a total of 2^n strings of length n , and if a language only contains polynomially many of these, then the proportion of strings of length

n that it contains rapidly goes to zero as n grows (which means its complement should be in $DENSE(0)$ when n tends to infinity) [2]. However, according to Theorem 2.9, the complement of this language $HAMILTON-PATH'_k$ must be in $coNP$ -complete, because the complements of the NP -complete problems are complete for $coNP$. \square

Lemma 2.14. $P = NP$.

Proof. By the Fortune's theorem, if any sparse language is $coNP$ -complete, then $P = NP$ [1]. As result of Theorem 2.13, there is a possible sparse language in $coNP$ -complete. In conclusion, we demonstrate that P is equal to NP . \square

Conclusion

No one has been able to find a polynomial time algorithm for any of more than 300 important known NP -complete problems [4]. A proof of $P = NP$ will have stunning practical consequences, because it leads to efficient methods for solving some of the important problems in NP [12]. The consequences, both positive and negative, arise since various NP -complete problems are fundamental in many fields [12]. This result explicitly concludes supporting the existence of a practical solution for the NP -complete problems because $P = NP$.

Cryptography, for example, relies on certain problems being difficult. A constructive and efficient solution to an NP -complete problem such as $3SAT$ will break most existing cryptosystems including: Public-key cryptography [13], symmetric ciphers [14] and one-way functions used in cryptographic hashing [15]. These would need to be modified or replaced by information-theoretically secure solutions not inherently based on P - NP equivalence.

There are enormous positive consequences that will follow from rendering tractable many currently mathematically intractable problems. For instance, many problems in operations research are NP -complete, such as some types of integer programming and the traveling salesman problem [4]. Efficient solutions to these problems have enormous implications for logistics [12]. Many other important problems, such as some problems in protein structure prediction, are also NP -complete, so this will spur considerable advances in biology [16].

But such changes may pale in significance compared to the revolution an efficient method for solving NP -complete problems will cause in mathematics itself. Research mathematicians spend their careers trying to prove theorems, and some proofs have taken decades or even centuries to find after problems have been stated. For instance, Fermat's Last Theorem took over three centuries to prove. A method that is guaranteed to find proofs to theorems, should one exist of a "reasonable" size, would essentially end this struggle.

Indeed, with a polynomial algorithm for an NP -complete problem, we could solve not merely one Millennium Problem but all seven of them [17]. This observation is based on once we fix a formal system such as the first-order logic plus the axioms of ZF set theory, then we can find a demonstration in time polynomial in n when a given statement has a proof with at most n symbols long in that system [17]. This is assuming that the other six Clay conjectures have ZF proofs that are not too large such as it was the Perelman's case [17].

Besides, a $P = NP$ proof reveals the existence of an interesting relationship between humans and machines [17]. For example, suppose we want to program a computer to create new Mozart-quality symphonies and Shakespeare-quality plays. When $P = NP$, this could be reduced to the easier problem of writing a computer program to recognize great works of art [17].

References

- [1] S. Fortune, A note on sparse complete sets, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 8 (3) (1979) 431–433.
- [2] S. R. Mahaney, Sparse complete sets for NP: Solution of a conjecture by Berman and Hartmanis, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* 25 (1982) 130–143.
- [3] M. Ogiwara, O. Watanabe, On polynomial time bounded truth-table reducibility of NP sets to sparse sets, *SIAM Journal on Computing* 20 (1991) 471–483.
- [4] M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, 1st Edition, San Francisco: W. H. Freeman and Company, 1979.
- [5] O. Goldreich, *P, NP, and NP-Completeness: The basics of computational complexity*, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [6] S. Arora, B. Barak, *Computational complexity: a modern approach*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [7] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, C. Stein, *Introduction to Algorithms*, 3rd Edition, The MIT Press, 2009.
- [8] C. H. Papadimitriou, *Computational complexity*, Addison-Wesley, 1994.
- [9] M. Sipser, *Introduction to the Theory of Computation*, Vol. 2, Thomson Course Technology Boston, 2006.
- [10] The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, Number of graphs on n unlabeled nodes, at <http://oeis.org/A000088> (August 2018).
- [11] B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2001. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511814068.
- [12] S. A. Cook, The P versus NP Problem, at <http://www.claymath.org/sites/default/files/pvsnp.pdf> (April 2000).
- [13] S. Horie, O. Watanabe, Hard instance generation for SAT, *Algorithms and Computation* (1997) 22–31.
- [14] F. Massacci, L. Marraro, Logical cryptanalysis as a SAT problem, *Journal of Automated Reasoning* 24 (1) (2000) 165–203.
- [15] D. De, A. Kumarasubramanian, R. Venkatesan, Inversion attacks on secure hash functions using SAT solvers, in: *International Conference on Theory and Applications of Satisfiability Testing*, Springer, 2007, pp. 377–382.
- [16] B. Berger, T. Leighton, Protein folding in the hydrophobic-hydrophilic (HP) model is NP-complete, *Journal of Computational Biology* 5 (1) (1998) 27–40.
- [17] S. Aaronson, $P \stackrel{?}{=} NP$, *Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity*, Report No. 4.