



CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE MANAGEMENT SKILLS OF MANAGERS TO ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE ACCORDING TO THE OPINIONS OF EMPLOYEES WORKING IN DIRECTORATE OF YOUTH SERVICES AND PROVINCIAL DIRECTORATE OF YOUTH AND SPORTSⁱ

**Cumaali Yavuz¹,
Yunus Emre Karakaya¹ⁱⁱ,
Tamer Karademir²**

¹Assist. Prof. Dr., Firat University,
Faculty of Sports Sciences, Turkey

²Assoc. Prof. Dr., Sütçü İmam University,
High School of Physical Education and Sports, Turkey

Abstract:

This study was conducted in order to reveal the effects of management skills of managers to organizational climate, according to the opinions of employees working in the Directorate of Youth Services and Provincial Directorate of Youth and Sports. The sample group of the study comprises of 73 randomly chosen employees from five cities (Elazığ: 17 employees, Diyarbakır: 10 employees, Gümüşhane: 14 employees, Isparta: 18 employees and Kırklareli: 14 employees). In order to collect the data for the study, “Contributions of Perceived Management Skills of Managers to Organizational Climate Scale”, which was developed by Akar (2006), was adopted. The Scale form consists of 4 subscales and a total of 30 matters. These are “Job Centeredness”, “Tolerance”, “Close Control” and “Contempt”. In the data analysis, frequency and percentage calculations were used. In terms of the mean points on the scale, determinant statistics, normality analysis, and homogeneity results were examined. Due to the inability of the data to present a normal distribution, in independent pair group comparisons, Mann-Whitney U test of non-parametric tests was adopted while Kruskal-Wallis H test was adopted in multiple group comparisons. The statistical meaningfulness degree Alpha (α) error rate was adopted as $p < 0.05$. The following results were obtained as a result of the mentioned tests: No statistically significant difference was observed according to the sample group’s variables of marital status and educational level. In the “Job Centeredness”

ⁱ A part of this study was verbally presented as a conference paper in the 15th International Sports Sciences Congress “Physical Activity, Sports, Health and Society 2023”, conducted between 15-18 November 2017 in Antalya.

ⁱⁱ Correspondence: email emrekarakaya@firat.edu.tr

subscale of the scale, meaningful differences were observed in the professional seniority (0.041<p) and service time (0.039<p). In the “*Tolerance*” subscale, meaningful differences were observed in the variables of gender (0.012<p) and professional seniority (0.045<p). Furthermore, in the “*Contempt*” subscale, meaningful differences were observed in the variable of the place of duty (0.015<p).

Keywords: physical capacities; breathing; shooting performance

1. Introduction

The increase in the needs of humans and the obligation to meet the needs in a rational way, which is one of the major outcomes of modernization, has required individuals to cooperate. As a result of this, organizations to achieve this aim has emerged from a collective effort in the society (Can, 2005; Yılmaz, 2008). It is observed that organizations have been active in social life in a modern way for the last one hundred and fifty years. It is also note-worthy that organizations, which are investigated scientifically in detail, struggle to survive in the long term (Taşçı, 2013: 4). The belief regarding whether the political behavior in the organization is beneficial or harmful for the individual or the organization is related to how this behavior is perceived rather than how it occurred. Thus, the way the attitudes and behaviors of the organization and the individuals are perceived by the others in the organization plays a significant role in the evaluation of the political environment formed in the organization. This is because individuals' perceptions of their environment as a political one do not depend on the reality of whether political behaviors exist in the organization (Buenger et al., 2007).

A number of definitions regarding the organizational climate exist in the literature. Etymologically, the word climate originates from Greek and possesses the meaning of “*tendency*”. Organizational climate, which gained a vital importance as a modern area of interest by organizational theorists, researchers and practitioners since 1960s, is expressed as the interactions with social and organizational variables in an organization (Şişman, 2012). Management scientists approached and conceptualized this concept in various periods and with its various aspects. Investigated conceptually, the organizational climate is defined as “*the psychological environment in which the individuals exhibit their behaviors*”. The organizational climate appears before us as an employee-focused approach at the psychological level, which is aimed at understanding attitudes, emotions and behaviors of employees. Thus, it is observed that the studies conducted on this concept investigate the organizational climate by measuring matters regarding individual psychological perceptions of employees, their attitudinal reactions and the experiences had in this organization (Gürkan, 2006: 52). Organizational climate also reveals the point of view regarding how the properties of the organization are perceived outside as a whole (Tavşanlı et al., 20016: 821). Organizational climate is also defined as a metaphor that is used for identifying the emotional atmosphere that dominates the organization (Yılmaz and Altınkurt, 2013), distinguishing the

organization from other organizations. This is because the organization is composed of the general impressions of the organization, which is formed by its members as a result of interacting with the members of other organizations, the organization structure, the organization policies and the organizational processes. This demonstrates the properties existing only in that organization (Önen, 2008).

Organization climate is a subjective matter that can generally be manipulated by individuals' powers or effects (Brock et al., 2005: 89). Organizational climate reflects unique properties of organizations such as the organizational culture. This state of uniqueness can be present in different departments of the same organization. Another property of organizational culture is that it is not similar to the formal structure of the structure in that it demonstrates an informal property. While all of the employees might perceive the physical structure of the organization the same, the perception of the organizational climate that forms its psychological structure can be varied as many as its employees (Tutar & Altınöz, 2010: 197). Organizational climate attaches the organization's health to the measurement and evaluation of personal perceptions in the working environment of the employees. These personal observations function as a total data that demonstrates how well the organization is performing and how well the employees are treated (Giles, 2010: 68). With organizational climate, an environment where organizations' individual, organizational and environmental qualities form the human behavior in the organization is described. An organizational climate that incorporates openness, credibility, sincerity, reliability, participation and helpfulness, thus, high levels of expectation and satisfaction is an ideal organizational climate (Pariltı & Tolon, 2011).

1.2 Aim and Significance of the Study

In the globalized world, the changes and innovations experienced have taken many organizations under their influence. By providing coordination between the future aims of the organization, current innovations should be developed to conduct the current tasks in a better way and opportunities for possible future-positions to be assumed should be provided. These circumstances bring along the subject of organizational climate. In the modern management mentality, organization managers' attention paid to organizational climate is significant for both organizational efficiency and employee satisfaction.

Organizational climate demonstrates the way the employees feel about the atmosphere in the organization. In order for an organization to improve, employees should feel good about themselves for they are the main resource of an organization. In cases where organizations demand smart, innovative, professional and positive team members, it is essential for them to create an organizational climate with a healthy working environment in order for the employees to demonstrate positive behaviors in conjunction with the various changing scenarios in the world.

Thus, sports institutions are obliged to create a suitable and positive organizational climate in order to ensure an effective coordination. Each sports

institution is distinguished from others by their own organizational climate. Each organization, along with unique cultural and organizational structures, resembles other organizations. This should be regarded as a natural process. Acknowledgment of a sports institution's organizational climate also facilitates the understanding of the reflection of the behaviors adopted by the institution's managers and employees on the organization's success. In this study conducted within this framework, it was aimed to reveal effects of the managers' management skills on the organizational climate according to the perceptions of the employees who work in the Directorate of youth services and provincial directorate of youth and sports.

2. Method

In this study, the "quantitative method" was adopted and the relational screening model was adopted as the research model. Karasar (2008: 81) defined the relational screening model as a research model that aims to determine the existence and the level of covariance between two or more variables.

The study group was chosen by random method and it consists of 73 employees who work in provincial directorates of youth and sports in five cities (Elazığ: 17 employees (% 23.3), Diyarbakır: 10 employees (% 13.7), Gümüşhane: 14 employees (% 19.2), Isparta: 18 employees (% 24.7) and Kırklareli: 14 employees (% 19.2)).

For the data collection, the "*Contributions of Perceived Management Skills of Managers to Organizational Climate Scale*", which was developed by Akar (2006) and contains 30 matters in order to collect data regarding the behaviors of managers in organizational climate, was adopted. The general reliability coefficient for the scale was determined to be 0.952 by Akar (2006). Yıldırım (2009) conducted a factor analysis of the scale in order to determine the construct validity and factor structure of the scale to be used in his study. The suitability of the data for the factor analysis, which was collected by the scale, was analyzed by the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) coefficient and Bartlett Sphericity test. In the conducted analysis, the KMO value was determined to be 0.961 and thus, it was determined that the scale was suitable for factor analysis. In the factor analysis, the techniques of "*Principal Component Analysis*" and "*Varimax with Kaiser Normalization*" were adopted. In this analysis, the Eigenvalue was determined as 1, and it was determined that the matters were grouped into 4 subscales. Of these 4 subscales, the first one explained the 28.43% of the total variance while the second one explained the 21.13% of the total variance followed by the third subscale with 12.54% and fourth subscale with 6.82%. The total amount of variance explained was determined as 69.10%. The results of the rotated factor matrix indicated that the loads of 30 matters of the scale were above 0.30, and thus, it was decided to keep all of the matters for further analyses. The "*Job Centeredness*" subscale had 16 matters while the "*Tolerance*" subscale had 8 matters followed by "*Close Control*" subscale with 3 matters and "*Contempt*" subscale with 3 matters. For the matters included in the data collection tool, a 5-point Likert type of rating scale was adopted. The scoring intervals and agreements levels used for

determining the perceptions of sports employees are as the following: “*Completely Disagree (1.00-1.80)*”, “*Partially Agree (1.81-2.60)*”, “*Disagree (2.61-3.40)*”, “*Agree (3.41-4.20)*” and “*Completely Agree (4.21-5.00)*”. In this scoring system, the most positive expression was scored as 5 while the most negative was scored as 1, and interpreted in this way. In the development stage of the scale, instead of calculating the total score for each scale, the total mean scores for each matter forming the subscales were selected as the baseline.

In the data analysis, frequency and percentage calculations were conducted. Additionally, in terms of the mean scores of the scale, descriptive statistics, normality analysis and homogeneity results were investigated. Because the data did not demonstrate a normality distribution, non-parametric tests, Mann-Whitney U test for two samples and Kruskal-Wallis H test for multiple samples, were conducted. “Spearman’s Rho correlation analysis” was conducted in order to determine the level and the direction of the relationships between dependent variables. The statistical significance level (alpha (α) error rate) was determined as $p < 0.05$.

3. Findings

In accordance with the aims of the study, the findings obtained from the perceptions of the study group were presented below.

Table 1: The results of the Mann Whitney U test conducted to determine whether a significant difference existed in the gender variable of the study group

Subscale	Gender	N	X	SD	Z	p
Job Centeredness	Male	55	3.39	0.96	-0.167	0.868
	Female	18	3.46	0.87		
Tolerance	Male	55	3.33	0.99	-2.451	0.012*
	Female	18	2.42	1.25		
Close Control	Male	55	3.47	1.01	-0.715	0.475
	Female	18	3.66	1.03		
Contempt	Male	55	2.92	0.85	-0.884	0.377
	Female	18	3.14	0.58		

* $p < 0.05$

According to Table 1, it was determined that a statistically significant difference existed in the “Tolerance” subscale of managers’ level of understanding management abilities according to the variable of gender. It was also determined that the male managers in the study perceived the attitude and behavior of tolerance at a higher level.

Table 2: The results of the Mann Whitney U test conducted to determine whether a significant difference existed in the marital status variable of the study group

Subscale	Marital Status	N	X	SD	Z	p
Job Centeredness	Single	29	3.43	0.97	-0.220	0.826
	Married	44	3.40	0.92		
Tolerance	Single	29	3.21	1.15	-0.130	0.897
	Married	44	3.27	1.01		
Close Control	Single	29	3.73	0.97	-1.523	0.128
	Married	44	3.37	1.02		
Contempt	Single	29	3.00	0.80	-0.250	0.803
	Married	44	2.96	0.79		

According to Table 2, it was determined that there was no statistically significant difference in the managers' perceptions levels of management levels according to the marital status variable.

Table 3: The results of the Kruskal Wallis-H test conducted to determine whether a significant difference existed in the education level variable of the study group

Subscale	Education Level	N	X	SD	X ²	p
Job Centeredness	Secondary Education	3	3.72	0.46	1.617	0.655
	Associate's Degree	11	3.12	0.91		
	Bachelor's Degree	52	3.47	0.92		
	Postgraduate Degree	7	3.30	1.23		
Tolerance	Secondary Education	3	3.50	0.33	2.100	0.976
	Associate's Degree	11	3.18	1.01		
	Bachelor's Degree	52	3.27	1.09		
	Postgraduate Degree	7	3.05	1.32		
Close Control	Secondary Education	3	3.66	0.33	1.158	0.763
	Associate's Degree	11	3.15	1.32		
	Bachelor's Degree	52	3.57	0.98		
	Postgraduate Degree	7	3.66	0.94		
Contempt	Secondary Education	3	3.55	0.76	2.007	0.571
	Associate's Degree	11	2.93	0.77		
	Bachelor's Degree	52	2.93	0.83		
	Postgraduate Degree	7	3.09	0.53		

According to Table 3, it was determined that there was no statistically significant difference in the managers' perceptions levels of management levels according to the education level variable.

Table 4: The results of the Kruskal Wallis-H test conducted to determine whether a significant difference existed in the professional seniority variable of the study group

Subscale	Professional Seniority Period	N	X	SD	X ²	p	Difference
Job Centeredness	1-5 years (a)	47	3.53	0.95	6.410	0.041*	b<a
	6-10 years (b)	14	2.93	0.68			
	11 years and above (c)	12	3.51	1.01			
Tolerance	1-5 years (a)	47	3.34	1.10	6.189	0.045*	b<c
	6-10 years (b)	14	2.71	0.95			
	11 years and above (c)	12	3.51	0.91			
Close Control	1-5 years (a)	47	3.65	0.95	2.352	0.308	-
	6-10 years (b)	14	3.14	1.15			
	11 years and above (c)	12	3.41	1.02			
Contempt	1-5 years (a)	47	2.97	0.82	0.057	0.972	-
	6-10 years (b)	14	2.92	0.75			
	11 years and above (c)	12	3.02	0.80			

*p<0.05

According to Table 4, it was determined that statistically significant differences existed between the managers' perceptions levels of management abilities in the "Job Centeredness" and "Tolerance" subscales according to the professional seniority variable. According to the perceptions of the study group, the group with 6-10 years of professional seniority had higher perceptions for attitude and behaviors of job centeredness and tolerance of managers.

Table 5: The results of the Kruskal Wallis-H test conducted to determine whether a significant difference existed in the service time variable of the study group

Subscale	Service Time in Position	N	X	SD	X ²	p	Difference
Job Centeredness	1-5 years (a)	52	3.51	0.95	5.065	0.039*	a>b
	6-10 years (b)	14	2.94	0.83			
	11 years and above(c)	7	3.23	1.01			
Tolerance	1-5 years (a)	52	3.31	1.13	2.107	0.349	-
	6-10 years (b)	14	3.01	0.90			
	11 years and above (c)	7	3.21	0.87			
Close Control	1-5 years (a)	52	3.57	1.04	1.004	0.605	-
	6-10 years (b)	14	3.40	0.89			
	11 years and above (c)	7	3.33	1.10			
Contempt	1-5 years (a)	52	2.96	0.83	5.434	0.015*	c>a, b
	6-10 years (b)	14	3.49	0.67			
	11 years and above (c)	7	2.85	0.83			

*p<0.05

According to Table 5, it was determined that statistically significant differences existed between the managers' perceptions levels of management abilities in the "Job Centeredness" and "Contempt" subscales according to the service time variable. According to the perceptions of the study group, the group with 1-5 years of service time had higher perceptions of managers' attitudes and behaviors in the "Job

Centeredness” subscale while the group with 6-10 years of service time had higher perceptions of managers’ attitudes and behaviors in the *“Contempt”* subscale.

Table 6: The results of the correlation analysis between the subscales

		1	2	3	4	
Spearman's Rho	Job Centeredness (1)	p	-			
		r	1.000			
		N	73			
	Tolerance (2)	p	0.000	-		
		r	0.863*	1.000		
		N	73	73		
	Close Control (3)	p	0.000	0.000	-	
		r	0.550*	0.561*	1.000	
		N	73	73	73	
	Contempt (4)	p	0.473	0.382	0.004	-
		r	0.085	0.104	0.337*	1.000
		N	73	73	73	73

*p<0.05

According to Table 6, among the subscales of the *“Contributions of Perceived Management Skills of Managers to Organizational Climate Scale”*, a positive linear correlation at a high level was observed between the *“Job Centeredness”* and *“Tolerance”* subscales ($r=0.863$; $p<0.05$). Furthermore, a moderate level of positive linear correlation was observed between the *“Job Centeredness”* and *“Close Control”* subscales ($r=0.550$; $p<0.05$). Similarly, a moderate level of positive linear correlation was identified between the *“Tolerance”* and *“Close Control”* subscales ($r=0.561$; $p<0.05$). Between the subscales of *“Close Control”* and *“Contempt”*, a low level of linear positive correlation was detected ($r=0.337$; $p<0.05$).

4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this section, the findings obtained as a result of the analyses conducted in the study were evaluated. According to the gender variable of the study group, significant differences were determined in the managers’ perception levels of management abilities in the *“Tolerance”* subscale. It was also observed that managers’ attitudes and behaviors of tolerance were higher for the male group. No significant difference was observed for the other subscales. The reason for male employees more positive perceptions in the *“Tolerance”* subscale, compared to females, was thought to be due to males’ higher tendencies for cooperation, their more tolerant approach to mistakes in the institution and their higher interest for dealing with personal problems. In the evaluation of other studies conducted, no significant difference was observed in the employees’ perceptions of organizational climate according to the gender variable (Diş, 2015; İllez, 2012; Memduhoğlu & Şeker, 2011; Nurluöz et al., 2010; Kasırga & Özbek, 2008; Tahaoğlu, 2007; Yaşar, 2005). Furthermore, in the study conducted by Akar (2006), it was determined that there was no significant difference in the perceptions of teachers

serving in educational institutions in the subscales of “*Contempt, Job Centeredness and Tolerance*”, which constitute the managers’ behaviors in the organizational climate while a significant difference was reported in the perceptions regarding the “*Close Control*” subscale.

According to the marital status variable of the study group, it was determined that no significant difference existed between the perceived levels of managers’ management abilities. In the study conducted by Çokluk (2001), it was reported that research assistants’ evaluation of the organizational climate did not constitute a significant difference in terms of the marital status.

According to the education level variable of the study group, it was determined that no significant difference existed between the perceived levels of managers’ management abilities. In the evaluation of the studies regarding the variable of education level, the following results were reported: in the studies conducted by Yaşar (2005) and Karadağ et al. (2018), no significant difference was observed between the education level and the organizational climate. In the study conducted by Akar (2006), no significant difference was reported in perceptions of teachers serving in educational institutes in the “*Duty*” subscale, which constitutes the managerial types of managers. In the study conducted by Aksoy (2006), it was reported that there a significant and negative relationship between the perceived organizational climate scores and education levels. In the study conducted by Özdemir (2006), it was reported that there was a decrease in the positive perception of organizational climate with increasing education level of the study group. In the study conducted by Erol (2014), it was reported that the academics with the highest academic title in the hierarchy, due to generally being in a managerial position, perceived the organizational climate in more supportive and innovative ways, compared to academics in subordinate positions. In the study conducted by Nurluöz et al. (2010), it was reported that with higher academic titles, academics perceived the managers’ behaviors and the general atmosphere of the faculty more positively. In the study conducted by İlleez (2012), it was reported that with employees’ higher positions in the organizational hierarchy, their possibility to perceive the environment more supportive increases while on the contrary, with lower positions in the organizational hierarchy, the organizational climate is perceived as bureaucratic.

According to the professional seniority variable of the study group, statistically significant differences were determined in the subscales of “*Job Centeredness*” and “*Tolerance*” in the perception levels of managers’ management abilities. It was also determined that the group with 6-10 years of professional seniority had lower perceptions of “*Job Centeredness*” and “*Tolerance*” attitudes and behaviors of managers. In these subscales, the reason for employees with 6-10 years of professional seniority to have perceptions that are more negative was thought to be related to their higher levels of occupational burnout. In the evaluation of other studies, the following results were observed: In the study conducted by Çokluk (2001), it was reported that there was no significant difference in the characteristics of organizational climate

according to the professional seniority. Kasırğa & Özbek (2008) reported in their study investigating the organizational climate in schools of physical education and sports that there was no significant difference between the academics' professional seniorities and their perceptions of organizational climate. In the study conducted by Küçüköde (2005) in the Çukurova University, Turkey, it was reported that academics with higher organizational seniority had more positive views towards the elements of organizational climate. In the study conducted by Vigoda-Gadot & Talmud (2010), it was reported that young academics with lower organizational seniority placed more importance on organizational support compared to those with higher organizational seniority.

Among the subscales of the scale used in the study, a positive linear correlation at a high level was observed between the "*Job Centeredness*" and "*Tolerance*" subscales while a positive linear correlation at a moderate level was detected between the "*Job Centeredness*" and "*Close Control*" subscales. No significant relationship was observed between the subscales of "*Job Centeredness*" and "*Contempt*". A positive linear correlation at a moderate level was also observed between the subscales of "*Tolerance*" and "*Close Control*". However, there was no significant relationship between the subscales of "*Tolerance*" and "*Contempt*". A positive linear correlation at a low level was observed between the subscales of "*Close Control*" and "*Contempt*". These findings indicated that with increasing levels of job centeredness, the study groups' levels of tolerance and close control increased. On the other hand, with increasing close control, the levels of contempt were also increased even though it was a slight one. In the investigation of the studies conducted on the subject of organizational climate, the following results were observed: In the study conducted by Gök (2009) investigating the effects of organizational climate on the employees' motivations, it was reported that 74.6% of the employees had healthier and more positive perceptions. In the study conducted by Korkmaz (2011) investigating the effects of organizational climate and organizational health on the organizational dedication in educational institutions, it was reported that a positive climate had a positive effect on organizational dedication, which is another significant variable for organizations. In the study conducted by Arabacı (2011), it was reported that employees in universities had lower perceptions of organizational climate in terms of organizational communication. In the study conducted by Bucak (2002), it was reported that employees of the faculty had moderate levels of perceptions of superior-subordinate relationship in terms of the organizational climate.

In sports institutions where there is a desire to gain competitive advantages and to easily adapt to the ever-changing environment, it is acknowledged that the need for employees with high perceptions of organizational climate and high job performances is increasing every day. Managers should be aware of the fact that the way of creating a performance-oriented sports institution is through regulations to provide the members of the organization with positive perceptions that create organizational dedication and hospitable working environments. It should be acknowledged that the organizational

climate is the most vital element affecting the job performance. The managers, whose employees change and improve rapidly, and who encourage their employees in taking risky decisions in environments, support them in line with the decisions they take and have open communication channels in sports institutions with a hospitable and friendly atmosphere, will improve the job performance. Thus, as a result of the improvement in the job performance of employees, it is believed that organizational success can be achieved. As it was mentioned above, organizational climate is not only an element that affects only one individual but also has a quality of contributing to the productivity and efficiency of the organization. Moreover, the management has an obligation to create an organizational climate where employees are motivated to work eagerly and effectively as well as to regulate the organizational processes.

The results of the study revealed that perceiving sports employees as supportive and positive is one of the most important factors affecting sports employees in having a positive perception of organizational climate. The positive perception of the organizational climate of sports employees by the employees will initially affect the feelings of the employees, reflecting on the outside as positive emotions and behaviors. This will enable sports employees' expectations to be met in terms of quantity and quality. Additionally, studies to be conducted on this topic with a larger sample and different variables will provide better insights into employees' perceptions of organizational climate. This study also revealed that the management of the organizational climate in a positive way also contributes to reducing the problem of alienation. Managers in sports institutions should also consider the perceptions and wishes of employees while taking decisions. Because the climate of an organization is not stable and on the contrary, ever-changing, sports managers should constantly receive feedbacks about the organizational climate and should implement regulations accordingly. Rewarding sports employees, improving working environments and planning events for enhancing the communication between employees could lead to a more positive perception of organizational climate. Furthermore, sports managers' appreciation of their employees, providing opportunities for their employees to utilize their abilities and evaluating creative ideas can greatly contribute to improving the organizational climate.

References

1. Akar, A. (2006). The contribution of the skills of managers' administration skills to organization climate in respect of teachers' perception in primary education schools. Gazi University, Master' Thesis, Ankara.
2. Aksoy H. (2006). The effects of organizational climate on motivation. Marmara University, Institute of Social Sciences, Master' Thesis, İstanbul.
3. Arabacı, İ. B. (2011). Organizational climate of Fırat University. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 3 (1), p. 161-180.

4. Bock G. W., Robert W. Z., Kim Y. G. & Lee, J. L. (2005). Behavioral intention formation in knowledge sharing: examining the roles of extrinsic motivators, social-psychological forces, and organizational climate. *MIS Quarterly, Special Issue on Information Technologies and Knowledge Management*, 29 (1), p. 89.
5. Bucak, B. E. (2002). Abant izzet baysal üniversitesi eğitim fakültesinde örgüt iklimi: yönetimde ast-üst ilişkileri (trans.: The organizational climate in the education faculty of Abant İzzet Baysal University: the relationships of superior-subordinate in management). *Muğla University, Journal of Educational Sciences Institute*, (7), s. 11-27.
6. Buenger, C. M., Monique, F., Robert, W. B. & Nick M. (2007). A study of the perceptions of organizational politics scale (pops) for use in the university classroom. *Developments in Business Simulation and Experiential Learning*, (34), p. 294-301.
7. Can, H. (2005). *Organizasyon ve yönetim* (trans.: Organisation and management). 7th Edition, Ankara: Siyasal Kitabevi.
8. Çokluk, Ö. (2001) Ankara üniversitesi eğitim bilimleri fakültesinde görev yapan araştırma görevlilerinin fakültenin örgüt iklimi özelliklerine ilişkin algı ve değerlendirmeleri (trans: Perceptions and evaluations of research assistants serving in the faculty of educational sciences in Ankara University regarding the features of the organizational climate in the faculty). *Eurasian Journal of Educational Research*, (5), p. 45-51.
9. Diş, O. (2015). The relationship between organizational climate and power sources the school principals' use. *Atatürk University, Educational Sciences Institute, Master's Thesis, Erzurum*.
10. Erol, E. (2014). The relationship between organizational climate and perceptions of organizational politics in educational faculties. *Pamukkale University, Institute of Educational Sciences, Doctorate Dissertation, Denizli*, p. 104.
11. Giles, P. (2010). The impact of adult degree-completion programs on the organizational climate of Christian colleges and universities. *Walden University, Doctorate Dissertation*, p. 68.
12. Gök, S. (2009). A study on the influence of organizational climate on motivation of employees. *International Journal of Human Sciences*, (6), p. 587-605.
13. Gökçen, G. A. (2014). Regarding to teachers' perception, effect of managers' managerial abilities on school climate. *Yeditepe University, Institute of Educational Sciences, Master's Thesis, İstanbul*, p. 9-26.
14. Gürkan, G. Ç. (2006). Organizational commitment: Organizational climate's effect on organizational commitment and research of the relationship between organizational climate and organizational commitment in Trakya University. *Master's Thesis, Trakya University, Institute of Social Sciences, Edirne*, p. 52.
15. İlleez, Z. (2012). The effect of organizational climate on organizational commitments of employees: An application in hospitality enterprises. *Master's Thesis, Gazi University, Institute of Education Sciences, Ankara*.

16. Karadağ, D., Sezer, S. Y. & Karadağ, M. (2018). Evaluation of the opinions of sports clubs administrators related to planning process (elazığ sample). *Turkish Studies*, 13 (3), p. 389-408.
17. Karasar, N. (2008). *Bilimsel araştırma yöntemi: kavramlar, ilkeler, teknikler* (trans.: Scientific research methods: concepts, principles, techniques). Ankara: Nobel Yayın Dağıtım, p. 81.
18. Kasırga, İ. & Özbek, O. (2008). Organizational climate in the higher schools of physical education and sport. *Spormetre Journal of Physical Education and Sports Science*, 6 (2), p. 59-68.
19. Korkmaz, M. (2011). The effects of organizational climate and organizational health on organizational commitment in primary schools. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 17, p. 117-139.
20. Küçükgöde, V. (2005). Perceptions of faculty members about organizational climate at Çukurova University Faculty of Agriculture. Master's Thesis, Cukurova University, Institute of Science and Technology, Adana.
21. Memduhoğlu B. H. & Şeker, G. (2011). Organizational climate of primary schools in the view of teachers. *Inönü University Journal of the Faculty of Education*, 12 (1), p. 1-26.
22. Nurluöz, Ö., Birol, C. & Silman, F. (2010). Examining administrative behaviors of educational administrators in universities by perceptions of academic staff and students. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 16 (4), p. 579-599.
23. Önen, L. (2008). Effect of culture on organizational climate. Master's Thesis, Marmara University, İstanbul.
24. Özdemir F. (2006). The effect of organizational climate on job satisfaction level: An evaluative study in textile sector. Cukurova University, Institute of Social Sciences, Doctorate Dissertation, Adana.
25. Parıltı, N. & Tolon, M. (2011). *Yerel yönetimlerde imaj kavramı ve olası sonuçları* (trans.: The concept of image and its possible outcomes in local administrations). Access: <http://w3.gazi.edu.tr/web/metehan/1.pdf>. Access Date: 04.06.2017.
26. Şişman, M. (2012). *Eğitimde mükemmellik arayışı: etkili okullar* (trans.: The search for perfection in education: effective schools). 3. Baskı, Pegem Yayıncılık, Ankara.
27. Tahaoğlu F. (2007). The effect of leadership roles of primary school principals on organizational climate (a case study in Gaziantep). Gaziantep University, Institute of Social Sciences, Master's Thesis, Gaziantep.
28. Taşcı, D. (2013). *Örgüt kuramına giriş* (trans.: Introduction to the concept of organization). In Taşcı, D. ve Erdemir, E. (Ed.), *Örgüt teorisi (Organization theory)*. Eskişehir: Anadolu University Publications, p. 1-29.
29. Tavşanlı, Ö. F., Birgül, K. & Oksal, A. (2016). Analysing the variables that predict secondary school pupils' perceptions of the school climate.. *International Periodical for the Languages, Literature and History of Turkish or Turkic*, 11 (9), p. 821.

30. Tutar, H. & Altınöz, M. (2010). The effect of organizational climate on employee performance: a study on the employees of ostim manufacturing enterprises. Ankara University, Faculty of Sports Sciences, 65 (2), p. 197.
31. Vigoda-Gadot, E. & Talmud, I. (2010). Organizational politics and job outcomes: The moderating effects of trust and social support. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 40 (11), p. 2829-2861.
32. Yaşar, Ö. (2005). The Effect of organizational trust on organizational climate: A case study in industrial companies of Gaziantep. Gaziantep University, Institute of Social Sciences, Master's Thesis, Gaziantep.
33. Yıldırım, M. (2009). Teachers' perceptions of principals' management skills' contribution to the school climate in elementary schools (the example of bahçelievler district, İstanbul). Beykent University, Institute of Social Sciences, Master's Thesis, İstanbul.
34. Yılmaz, K. (2008). Eğitim yönetiminde değerler (trans.: Values in education management). Pegema Yayıncılık, Ankara.
35. Yılmaz, K. & Altinkurt, Y. (2013). Adaptation of organizational climate scale into Turkish: The validity and reliability study. *Trakya University Journal of Education*, 3 (1), p. 1-11.

Cumaali Yavuz, Yunus Emre Karakaya, Tamer Karademir
CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE MANAGEMENT SKILLS OF MANAGERS TO ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE
ACCORDING TO THE OPINIONS OF EMPLOYEES WORKING IN DIRECTORATE OF YOUTH SERVICES AND
PROVINCIAL DIRECTORATE OF YOUTH AND SPORTS

Creative Commons licensing terms

Authors will retain the copyright of their published articles agreeing that a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) terms will be applied to their work. Under the terms of this license, no permission is required from the author(s) or publisher for members of the community to copy, distribute, transmit or adapt the article content, providing a proper, prominent and unambiguous attribution to the authors in a manner that makes clear that the materials are being reused under permission of a Creative Commons License. Views, opinions and conclusions expressed in this research article are views, opinions and conclusions of the author(s). Open Access Publishing Group and European Journal of Physical Education and Sport Science shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability caused in relation to/arising out of conflict of interests, copyright violations and inappropriate or inaccurate use of any kind content related or integrated on the research work. All the published works are meeting the Open Access Publishing requirements and can be freely accessed, shared, modified, distributed and used in educational, commercial and non-commercial purposes under a [Creative Commons attribution 4.0 International License \(CC BY 4.0\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).