## [CHUK111015norec] (recording is missing)

1) in [CHUK290412A8A\_0001] can it also be *Saŋt<sup>h</sup>ilo?*  $tc^h ojta$ , kukpa hin, ?uçaŋ hin lont<sup>h</sup>aŋlo? instead of *Saŋt<sup>h</sup>ilo?*  $tc^h ojta$ , kukpa hin, ?uçaŋ hin lonbagijo?gana? What is the difference? <u>After</u> coming? While coming? See also [CHUK290412A8A\_0004] *çak<sup>h</sup>jakk<sup>h</sup>o* dzubagijo?gana, bej lamba? ?onbale. while staying at Shakhyak? TSD çak<sup>h</sup>jakga  $tc^h$ onagai 'while staying at Shakhjak'? If it is 'while', then what is the difference with = gaŋk<sup>h</sup>o e.g. *çak<sup>h</sup>jakk<sup>h</sup>o* dzubagaŋk<sup>h</sup>o? dzuba?  $dzurenk^h$ o 'while staying' is only in future not in past. See also [CHUK290412A8A\_0026] hanbagijo?gana 'while was brought' so 'while were staying'? unbana gai/upphana gai  $\rightarrow$  . lonbakho different. lonbagang, mi phunki dina chumbi.

2) in [CHUK290412A8A\_0001] can it also be *lønbagijo?gana K<sup>h</sup>idzowk<sup>h</sup>o dzulon* or lønbagijo?gana K<sup>h</sup>idzowk<sup>h</sup>o *dzuba* or *lønbagijo?gana* K<sup>h</sup>idzowk<sup>h</sup>o *dzupi* instead of lønbagijo?gana K<sup>h</sup>idzowk<sup>h</sup>o dzubale? What is the difference? I.e. what is the difference between-ba, -ni, -ba-le and -lon? Is it a difference per person: ga/nan/woj tchukkho dzubale YES or ga/naŋ/woj tc<sup>h</sup>ukk<sup>h</sup>o dzuloŋ ONLY IF DOESN'T REMEMBER HIM/HERSELF? gaq onu deythakpa aybagangkho ga chukkho juba/jubale. ga katikho bomdilakho julong (u were small so don't remember it correctly but parents or someone else must have told me. so it was a reality but not in own memory). go ga chukkho jubale, (hoko ga bomdila kho juba now I am in bomdila, temporarily, but leaving to somewhere else), thalamongkha ga bomdila kho judeq. Perhaps it is 'had stayed' or is that dzulon? JULONG gives an impression that the speaker would have known about it. It is a long long time ago, speaker doesn't know, so julong doesn't fit in. juba is imperfective. it means that they would still be staying there now. julongbe is perfective means that it is finished there is no present relevance or reality in it so BE. JUNYI means he saw them living there so also not possible.

3) –de? is not person-bound, e.g. naŋ t<sup>h</sup>amoŋ abe ledaja? What are you doing these days? Answer can be ga ogjaraŋ dzude? I am staying just like that (i.e. without work) or war ogjaraŋ dzude? They are staying just like that. –de? describes an event taking place at present and is done/observed/seen/heard etc. by the speaker himself. YES CORRECT.

4) in [CHUK290412A8A\_0002] the difference between ogi there and okho there? ogi is there as in place or then as in time? ogilo? = and then? see also in [CHUK290412A8A\_0008]. It appears to mean and then, from then onwards. OGI = ONYI = HERE, OCHI = UTHU = THIS. ONYIGAI = OGILOQ = FROM NOW. HOKOLOQ = from now, it occurs but is consider wrong. it should be said OGILOQ = ONYIGAI.

5) in [CHUK290412A8A\_0002] cetbale –bale can be with both intransitive verbs (dzubale) as well as with transitive verbs e.g. wojjo? nanni rup cibale. he gave to you? YES. With which persons does –bale go e.g. wojjo? cetbale/ go? cetbale is also correct? YES masanno? duktchu cetbale. means the strongman made the spring water to come out? or the strongman took out the spring water? YES. masanggai drukchu shona chum bi. \*masangngoq drukchunyi shetbale because water is an unlijdend voorwerp. not a patient. shethanbale = shonphanna. cetda = come out intransitive.

is it wrong to say masaŋŋo? duktc<sup>h</sup>u cetba/cetni/cetloŋ? MASANGNGOQ DRUKCHU SHETLONG can be said. If the water is still there but the speaker didn't see it that can be said!

or is çetda also to take out transitive? The -o? indicates that çetbale is transitive. But –bale does not make an intransitive verb transitive because how could 1) dzubale be explained? See also in [CHUK290412A8A\_0003] çetda 'take out v.tr.' 'come out v.intr.' cf. TSB çonp<sup>h</sup>ale vs. çole. What about çethanda? çett<sup>h</sup>onda? NO because a spring cannot be carried or lifted.

Does –le confer a sense of completion of an event as something that happened in the past? PERFECTIVE.

What happens if = bej is added i.e. cetbalebej? If someone asked nangngoq drukchu adang shetda? goq drukchu bistiba shetbalebey/shetbale. IT IS NOT -BEY BUT -BE! If the addressee doesn't really believe you and you want to ASSERT the VALIDITY of the information of something that happened in the past. SHETBASHI is for FUTURE. E.g. adang shetbaq? mongkha shetbashi. when will u take it out. I will take it really out tomorrow.

6) in [CHUK290412A8A\_0003] is it çak<sup>h</sup>ja or çak<sup>h</sup>jak? sa-okhyak RED SOIL.

7) in [CHUK290412A8A\_0004] is it correct -ba? in bej lamba? ?oŋbale? (They) went to search for fire. Or is -ba also correct? NO ONLY BAQ