



2

3

4

5

6

7

25

26

Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Technologies for Personalized Nutrition: A Review

Dimitris Tsolakidis 1,*, Lazaros P. Gymnopoulos 1 and Kosmas Dimitropoulos 1

- ¹ Information Technologies Institute (ITI), Centre for Research and Technology Hellas (CERTH), GR 570 01 Thermi, Thessaloniki, Greece
- * Correspondence: dimit.tsolakidis@iti.gr; Tel.: +30-2310-464160 (ext. 124)

Abstract: Modern lifestyle trends, such as sedentary behaviour and unhealthy diets, have been as-8 sociated with obesity, a major health challenge increasing the risk of multiple pathologies. This has 9 prompted many to reassess their routines and seek expert guidance on healthy living. In the digital 10 era, users quickly turned to mobile apps for support. These apps monitor various aspects of daily 11 life, such as physical activity and calorie intake, collect extensive user data, and apply modern data-12 driven technologies, including Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML), to provide 13 personalised diet and lifestyle recommendations. This work examines the state of the art in data-14 driven technologies for personalised nutrition, including relevant data collection technologies, and 15 explores the research challenges in this field. A literature review, following the Preferred Reporting 16 Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guideline, was conducted using three 17 databases, covering studies from 2021 to 2024, resulting in 67 final studies. The data are presented 18 in separate subsections for recommendation systems (43 works) and data collection technologies (17 19 works), with a discussion section identifying research challenges. The findings indicate that the 20 fields of data-driven innovation and personalised nutrition are predominately amalgamated in the 21 use of recommender systems. 22

Keywords: Machine Learning; Artificial Intelligence; Personalization; Nutrition; Recipes; Restau-23rant; Data-driven; Recommender; Recommendation System.24

1. Introduction

Imbalanced diets are linked to an increased risk of various non-communicable dis-27 eases (NCDs) prevalent in modern society, including obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cancer 28 [1–3]. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), at least 2.8 million people die 29 each year due to being overweight or obese, and an estimated 35.8 million (2.3%) of global 30 Disability-Adjusted Life Years (DALYs) are attributed to overweight or obesity [4]. Addi-31 tionally, as noted by Mariadoss et. al. (2023) [5], poor nutrition is also a significant con-32 tributing factor for specific groups, such as pregnant women, in increasing the risk of car-33 diovascular diseases (CVDs). 34

Betts et. al. (2016) [6], define personalized nutrition as "developing unique nutrition 35 guidelines for each individual" while precision nutrition "seeks to develop effective ap-36 proaches based in the combination of an individual's genetic", i.e., genotype, and "envi-37 ronmental and lifestyle factors", i.e., phenotype. Additionally, based on Mathers et. al. 38 (2017) [7], population-based interventions have sometimes proved to be ineffective in 39 achieving sustainable eating behaviour changes while at the same time, evidence suggest 40 considerable interindividual variation in response to the same dietary exposure. Thus, it 41 can be argued that a "one-size-fits-all" approach to proper diet and nutrition is insuffi-42 cient, since every person has unique needs, and a personalised diet plan is necessary to 43

Citation: To be added by editorial staff during production.

Academic Editor: Firstname Lastname

Received: date Revised: date Accepted: date Published: date



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Informatics 2024, 11, x. https://doi.org/10.3390/xxxxx

meet individual requirements. Thus, Personalised Nutrition (PN), also addressed as 'tai-44 lored nutrition' or 'individualized nutrition', has become increasingly important in recent 45 years to a degree that is now considered by some as a crucial aspect of a healthy lifestyle 46 and of well-being. PN is also essential for people who already have chronic diseases that 47 require specialised diets and therefore need appropriate nutrition plans [6,8,9]. For in-48 stance, a person with type 2 diabetes could benefit from a nutrition low in carbohydrates 49 Wheatley et. al. (2021) [10], while a person with lactose intolerance requires a diet exempt 50 of lactose-containing foods such as milk, cheese, and other dairy products. Moreover, PN 51 has been shown to be able to improve the immune system of cancer patients Shastri et. al. 52 (2021) [11]. Hence, PN can help individuals both towards prevention but also mitigation 53 of various chronic diseases. 54

A big rise in the use of recommendation systems for PN has been observed in recent 55 years. Big datasets along with Artificial Intelligence (AI) models, neural networks and 56 recommendation systems are now being used for the generation of tailored meal recom-57 mendations that are based on individual user profiles. Such a trend could be expected as 58 these technologies have been used in the food industry sector for many years now. Ac-59 cording to Miyazawa et. al. (2022) [12], in 2010 the crossing of AI, Machine Learning (ML), 60 and Computer Science (CS) with the food industry led to the development of respective 61 applications that utilised big data analysis. Later works, e.g. [13,14], show how AI and ML 62 based approaches can be used for early food disease detection, estimating soil moisture, 63 and more, while Theodoridis et. al. (2019) [15] show how Nutrition Recommendation Sys-64 tems and similar technologies are used in the field of PN for, e.g., food category recogni-65 tion, ingredient and cooking instructions recognition, etc. Agrawal et. al. (2023) [16] ex-66 amine the significant impact of AI on the food industry including the role of AI in PN. 67 Finally, Roy et. al. (2023) [17] examines the effectiveness and challenges of these systems 68 in delivering personalized health and dietary advice. 69

To achieve PN through recommendation systems and other respective technologies, 70 big data collected from individuals regarding their specific needs are needed. For exam-71 ple, data regarding an individual's heart rate, burned calories, daily activity, etc., can be 72 retrieved using smart watches, activity trackers, and more while data regarding some-73 one's body weight, fat, visceral fat, etc., can be retrieved using smart scales. Even infor-74 mation from the diverse community of microorganisms residing in our gut microbiota can 75 be retrieved using corresponding technologies and methods [18-25]. These and more data 76 can be used as inputs to recommendation systems for generating personalised outputs 77 regarding a user's dietary or wellbeing plan. For example, Amorim et. al. (2022) [26] de-78 scribe a recommendation system in a hospital that uses personal information from in-hos-79 pital sensors, such as insulin levels, to adjust patients' daily meals, while Greenberg et. al. 80 (2023) [27] present a web application that uses women's personal data, such as age and 81 height, to prevent cardiovascular problems. The outcome of the above works is showing 82 a quickly expanding field of research. Stefanidis et. al. (2022) [28] present a knowledge-83 based recommendation framework that exploits an explicit dataset of expert-validated 84 meals to offer highly accurate diet plans spanning across ten user groups of both healthy 85 subjects and participants with health conditions. Additionally, Yang et. al. (2018) [29] pro-86 pose Yum-me, a personalized nutrient-based meal recommendation system designed to 87 meet individuals' nutritional expectations, dietary restrictions, and fine-grained food 88 preferences, while Harvey et. al. (2015) [30] present a recipe recommendation system that 89 proposes meal plans based on foods that a user likes. New data collection technologies 90 are proposed and implemented while new technologies for processing data are arising, 91 expanding the potential of this research field. 92

1.1. Study Purpose, Strengths, and Limitations

This paper aims to present the latest advancements in data-driven innovation for AI 94 and ML technologies in the field of PN along with the data collection technologies that are 95 being used, and to investigate the research challenges for future work. Similar literature 96

reviews on the field of precision nutrition and machine learning do exist. For instance, 97 Livingstone et. al. (2022) [31] present a literature review in the field of precision nutrition. 98 The authors discuss the role and application of "omics" to the prescription of individual-99 ized diets for health and wellbeing and the use of ML technologies assisting for the inte-100 grative purposes. In the same vein, Kirk et. al. (2021) [32] list an extensive systematic lit-101 erature review on the same research field focusing on the state-of-the-art on the use of ML 102 in Precision Nutrition. Their work answers 9 research questions and categorises all the re-103 searched ML models and algorithms regarding task, type, usage, and more attributes 104 providing a holistic view. 105

Our work differentiates mainly in three aspects, which are the objectives of this pa-106 per. Firstly, it encompasses data-driven technologies in general, rather than solely AI-107 based works in the field of personalised nutrition. That means, it also includes technolo-108 gies like knowledge graphs, ontologies, optimization algorithms, and more that are not 109 included in the realm of ML and AI. Secondly, we also study data collection technologies 110 that are described and analysed with respect to how they integrated with the recommen-111 dation systems. Finally, our work identifies a series of research challenges that are derived 112 from the literature and associated with specific papers. 113

In our review, the works are clustered and comparatively discussed, referring to their main scope, data-driven technologies used, system inputs, their technical evaluation and accuracy, and, finally, related datasets. Moreover, the information is displayed in a tabular format with additional explanations regarding the scope of the model and the integration of the various input data types.

A limitation of our work is that it deals mainly with personalized nutrition and does 119 not cover extensively precision nutrition. Exploring the use of data-driven technologies in 120 more nutrition fields would give a more holistic perspective and understanding. Addi-121 tionally, the time frame of this research is from 2021 to 2024. Therefore, more extensive re-122 view starting earlier could give more and better information with more features to be ex-123 tracted. Finally, the research databases used are limited to three and these are all computer 124 science oriented. The inclusion of works from other databases, e.g., health-oriented ones, 125 could provide a more diverse view. 126

The examined literature was filtered using the well-established Preferred Reporting 127 Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) model [33-35]. In section 2, 128 we discuss the PRISMA model and the specific filters we used. In section 3, we delve 129 deeper into the use of recommendation systems in the field of PN and present the specific 130 technologies that are used. According to the PRISMA model, the research for recommen-131 dation systems in PN is further divided into three subcategories: (a) Nutrition, (b) Recipe, 132 and (c) Restaurant Recommendation Systems. In section 4, we present the various data 133 collection technologies that are available and review the corresponding data collection or 134 capturing technologies and devices. In section 5, we discuss the research challenges that 135 are derived from the respective literature. Finally, a conclusion is apposed summarizing 136 the overall work. 137

2. Methods

A literature search was performed by adopting the PRISMA guidelines [33–35]. Our study aimed to identify only the latest works in the field of data-driven innovation technologies in personalised nutrition and to categorise them; it was not our aim to delve deeper into a technical comparison of the various systems. The articles were extracted in March 2024 from three academic databases, namely Scopus¹, ScienceDirect², and IEEE Xplore³.

¹ scopus.com

² <u>sciencedirect.com</u>

³ ieeexplore.ieee.org

Inclusion criteria: We used the text <i>"Personalized nutrition recommendation"</i> in the corresponding search bar of all three academic databases. Exclusion criteria (by automated tools, i.e., using filtering options of the three da-	145 146 147
tabases):	148
Publication date was outside of the time frame 2021 to 2024	149
Document type was not article, review, or conference paper	150
Publication subject area was not computer science	151
Publication was not peer-reviewed	152
Publication language was not English	153
Duplicate entries were excluded as well	154
Exclusion criteria (non-automated):	155
• <i>Publication title and keywords, abstract,</i> or <i>full text</i> indicated that the publication was	156
out of the scope and objectives of the present review, i.e., indicated that the publica-	157
tion was irrelevant to AI and ML technologies for PN	158

The search was based on the following condition: title-abs-key(personalized AND 160 nutrition AND recommendation) AND (limit-to (pubstage, "final")) AND (limit-to (161 pubyear, 2024) OR limit-to (pubyear, 2023) OR limit-to (pubyear, 2022) OR limit-to 162 (pubyear, 2021)) AND (limit-to (DOCTYPE, "ar") OR limit-to (doctype, "re") OR limit-to 163 (doctype, "cp")) AND (limit-to (subjarea, "COMP")) AND (limit-to (language, "English")) 164

The number of records retrieved from the three databases is 3052. From these, 335 165 duplicate records were removed while 2125 records were excluded as ineligible by auto-166 mation tools (i.e., date, document type, subject area, peer reviewed, language), leading to 167 592 unique records for manual screening. After careful examination of the title, keywords, 168 abstract, and the full text for scientific relevance, 67 records remained and were consid-169 ered for the present review. The full selection procedure is detailed in Figure 1, while 170 Figure 2 displays the number of works for every year showing the increasing frequency 171 in this area of science. 172

The final works can be categorised based on their differences and similarities. Draw-173 ing from the two similar review works [31, 32] and inspired by their categorization meth-174 ods, we came up to a way to present our findings. More specifically, Livingstone et. al. 175 (2022) [31] divides the various "omics" categories briefly discussing the works while also 176 uses tabular format to summarize this information. In the same way, Kirk et. al. (2021) [32] 177 divides and briefly discusses the various machine learning tasks, algorithms, evaluation 178 metrics, etc. while also uses tabular format. Therefore, we concluded on dividing and cat-179 egorizing our research based on their commonalities and differences. Three main catego-180 ries based on the scope of the recommendation system are used (nutrition, recipe, and 181 restaurant) to distinct the final works while grouping the woks that use the same of similar 182 methods. Additionally, we identified several commonalities among the works. Specifi-183 cally, most employ one or more technologies for their recommendation systems, utilize 184 datasets, and incorporate multiple inputs. Some works also integrate their recommenda-185 tion systems into platforms. Furthermore, we observed that some use devices for data 186 collection. Consequently, we have summarized this information in two tables. 187

188



Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA1902020) flow diagram of study selection, inclusion, and synthesis.191



Figure 2. Bar chart displaying the number of works retrieved for every year from 2021 to 2024193(based on the PRISMA model). The increasing number of works is an indication of the rapid growth194of this field.195

3. Results

3.1. Recommenders in personalised nutrition

Recommendation systems are vital components in personalised nutrition, delivering 198 accurate and customized results based on individual needs and preferences. Notably, they 199 outperform older - frequently manual or semiautomatic - methods in terms of time effi-200 ciency, affordability, and sometimes even accuracy. These advantages, coupled with surg-201 ing technology penetration and the increasing processing power of mobile devices Central 202 Process Unit (CPU) and Graphical Process Unit (GPU), are propelling the field of data 203 driven PN research forward, resulting in a constant stream of novel studies exploring both 204 improved accuracy and novel technological applications. Applying the PRISMA model to 205 our literature review search, we identified three main categories of recommendation sys-206 tems: 207

Nutrition Recommendation Systems. Generate daily or weekly meal plans tailored 208 to individual profiles, leveraging AI, ML, or other computing technologies, as well 209 as multidimensional data.

192 193

196

- 211
- 212

221

222

223

224

Restaurant Recommendation Systems. Recommend appropriate selections from 213 restaurant menus to individuals based on their profile. 214

Recipe Recommendation Systems. Suggest personalised recipes based on individ-

The following subsections delve deeper into these categories, presenting the corresponding literature searches, results, and technologies. First, we summarise the relevant literature, mentioning the works and their findings. Subsequently, we present net graphs and tables of the used databases, technologies, and more, highlighting their frequency of appearance and other relevant metrics. 216

3.1.1. Nutrition Recommendation Systems

ual profiles, preferences, and other data.

This section explores Nutrition Recommendation Systems, a category focused on personalised meal plan generation through the synergistic application of various digital technologies and dietary databases.

Reviewed studies commonly utilize anthropometric data, including sex, age, height, 225 weight, etc., along with other relevant information, to provide informed recommenda-226 tions. For example, Haseena et. al. (2022) [36]construct a ranking framework, based solely 227 on factors like age or weight, to identify suitable nutrition plans, following four stages: 228 gathering user data, generating fuzzy weights using fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process 229 (AHP)⁴, evaluating plan compatibility with cuckoo optimization⁵, and ranking options 230 with fuzzy Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS)⁶. 231 This system incorporates popular dietary approaches like the Mediterranean and low-fat 232 diets, providing diverse personalized recommendations. Similarly, Lakshmi et. al. (2023) 233 [37] employ Fuzzy AHP and Fuzzy TOPSIS for personalized nutrition planning, consid-234 ering individual differences in age, Body Mass Index (BMI), dietary preferences, lifestyle, 235 and blood sugar levels. These fuzzy logic-based methods effectively rank dietary alterna-236 tives, like balanced and diabetes-specific diets, catering to nuanced preferences and health 237 requirements, promising more precise nutritional guidance. 238

Zhang et. al. (2022) [38], departing from personalized data, employed a novel many-239 objective optimization (MaOO) approach using caloric intake data from the MyFitnessPal 240 database. Addressing limitations of traditional recommendation techniques, the authors 241 propose a multi-objective approach with four objectives: user preferences, nutritional val-242 ues, dietary diversity, and user diet patterns. Three representative MaOO algorithms -243 strength Pareto evolutionary algorithm 2 (SPEA2), Non-dominated Sorting Genetic Algo-244 rithm (NSGAII), and SPEA2+shift-based density estimation (SDE) – are leveraged to opti-245 mize these objectives simultaneously in two scenarios. In Scenario 1, three objectives are 246 optimized, while Scenario 2 optimizes all four objectives, including user diet patterns. 247 Evaluation using the hypervolume indicator yielded values of 59%, 62%, and 73% for the 248 three algorithms respectively. 249

Salloum et. al. (2022) [39] proposed Meal Plan Generation (MPG), a system that automates the creation of personalized meal plans by integrating personal information, caloric intake, and user preferences. Using an adaptation of the Transportation Optimization 252

⁴ A method used to assign weights to different criteria or factors in decision-making processes, considering uncertainty and imprecision in human judgments. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Analytic_hierarchy_process</u>

⁵ The Cuckoo Optimization Algorithm (COA) is an optimization technique inspired by the brood parasitism behaviour of some cuckoo species. These cuckoos lay their eggs in the nests of other bird species, relying on the host birds to incubate and raise their offspring. This natural behaviour forms the basis of the algorithm, where solutions to optimization problems are metaphorically represented by eggs in nests. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cuckoo_search</u>

⁶ A decision-making technique that evaluates and ranks alternative options based on their distance from the ideal solution and the anti-ideal solution, aiming to identify the most desirable option. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/TOPSIS</u>

Problem (TOP), MPG generates plans that meet caloric needs while accommodating indi-253 vidual preferences. Evaluation involves established nutrition health literature procedures 254 and transportation optimization techniques, demonstrating MPG's ability to produce 255 healthy, personalized meal plans aligned with user preferences. Furthermore, Rout et. al. 256 (2023) [40] introduce a machine learning model for diet recommendations based on users' 257 nutritional data and physical conditions, addressing concerns about non communicable 258 diseases from unhealthy diets. Employing K-means clustering and Random Forest (RF) 259 algorithms, the study analyses nutritional data and user profiles to offer tailored diet ad-260 vice, enhancing health outcomes and nutritional awareness. 261

Kaur et. al. (2022) [41], present a food recommendation system targeting Polycystic 262 Ovary Syndrome (PCOS) in women, integrating personal information and food images to 263 manage weight and nutrient intake. They enhance pre-trained Convolutional Neural Net-264 work (CNN) models with additional layers to classify food images and suggest suitable 265 food items based on macronutrient requirements. Evaluation against other models shows 266 high accuracy rates, achieving a 95% accuracy rate for classifying sample food classes and 267 90.7% accuracy rate for twelve food image classes. Similarly, Aguilar et. al. (2022) [42], 268 introduce a Bayesian network into semantic segmentation methods for food images, 269 achieving improved accuracy in multi-class segmentation and uncertainty estimation. The 270Bayesian versions achieved 99% accuracy in UNIMIB2016, 88% in UECFOODPIXCom-271 plete, and 77% in Food201, outperforming the original versions. Romero-Tapiador et. al. 272 (2023) [43] present a recommendation framework which employs the analysis of eating 273 behaviours through food image datasets. CNNs are used to generate personalized da-274 tasets and to provide insights on healthier dietary habits via a user-friendly platform. The 275 results show 99.53% accuracy and 99.60% sensitivity, demonstrating the potential to sig-276 nificantly enhance dietary monitoring and recommendation systems. Azzimani et. al. 277 (2022) [44] utilize Red-Green-Bleu-Depth (RGBD) images and user data on anthropomet-278 ric information, allergies, and chronic diseases to estimate nutrient content in meals. Ad-279 vanced image processing techniques and a Multi-Task Fully Convolutional Network 280 (MFCN) are employed for image segmentation and volume estimation. Dietitians evalu-281 ated the system, indicating its potential for PN and menu planning. 282

In addition to user preferences and ratings, health data integration can provide cru-283 cial insights into the user's health status and history. For instance, Shandilya et. al. (2022) 284 [45] introduce MATURE, a food recommendation system refined to incorporate user 285 health data, ensuring recommendations align with current health needs. Rigorously vali-286 dated against other recommendation systems, MATURE demonstrated superior perfor-287 mance in meeting mandatory health requirements. Xu et. al. (2022) [46], present ElCombo, 288 a personalized meal recommendation system for the elderly, leveraging a Knowledge 289 Graph (KG) integrating foods, nutrients, and health data. Compared with elders' choices, 290 ElCombo significantly improves diet quality, diversity, and adherence to health require-291 ments. Utilizing Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and K-means clustering, Hosen et. 292 al. (2023) [47] develop an optimized recommendation system for thyroid patients, deliv-293 ering personalized food recommendations based on historical patient data and nutrient-294 rich foods beneficial for thyroid health. Validation indicates its superiority over traditional 295 algorithms, offering more accurate dietary advice for managing thyroid conditions. 296

Introducing innovative approaches to health management, Larizza et. al. (2023) [48] 297 present the V-care app, targeting childhood obesity through gamification and personal-298 ized nutrition recommendations. With quizzes and a virtual coach, the app engages users 299 in learning about healthy habits, earning an average score of greater than 3 in user evalu-300 ations. Similarly, Lodhi et. al. (2023) [49] discuss a personalized nutrition approach for 301 individuals with chronic kidney disease (CKD), employing KGs to provide tailored ad-302 vice. Evaluation through a case study yields an average usability score of 8.5/10. Address-303 ing Type 2 Diabetes management, Burgermaster et. al. (2023) [50] introduce the Platano 304 mHealth app, offering personalized nutritional guidance based on meal logs and blood 305 glucose levels. User feedback indicates over 78% found the app easy to use, highlighting 306 its effectiveness in supporting health management. 307

Islam et. al. (2023) [51] utilize electroencephalography (EEG) signals to develop a per-308 sonalized meal recommendation system, analysing user brain responses to meals to de-309 termine palatability. Employing a hierarchical ensemble ML model and TOPSIS approach, 310 they construct personalized meal suggestions considering user preferences and nutri-311 tional requirements, validated through confusion matrix, f1-score, and Area Under the 312 Curve (AUC) score evaluations. The incorporation of EEG signals enhances the system's 313 ability to understand user preferences, while the ensemble ML model improves accuracy 314 by combining predictions from multiple models. Similarly, Yang et. al. (2022) [52] propose 315 a PN service leveraging genetic testing, physical examination, dietary habits, and medical 316 history to compute disease risk and nutrition requirements, providing tailored nutrition 317 solutions via a user-friendly mobile application. 318

Fu et. al. (2023) [53] introduce "Food4healthKG", a KG integrating food, gut microbi-319 ota, and mental health data from various sources, facilitating food recommendations and 320 queries. Evaluation against expert responses shows system accuracy ranging from 90% to 321 95%. Similarly, Yang et. al. (2023) [54] focus on PN plans for immune system improve-322 ment, combining DNA testing, physical examination, and lifestyle evaluation to compute 323 tailored plans. Evaluation of their personalized vitamin D supplementation solution 324 demonstrates effectiveness in reducing vitamin D deficiency risk. Furthermore, Yang et. 325 al. (2022) [55] develop a PN platform for Chinese users, leveraging genetic, lifestyle, and 326 physical examination data to generate personalized nutrition packs. The study highlights 327 the ease of collecting and utilizing genetic data for accurate PN recommendations, em-328 ploying business process management techniques for efficiency. These works showcase 329 the potential of integrating diverse data sources for personalized nutrition and health im-330 provement. 331

In addition to the above works, Geng et. al. (2023) [56] propose a heuristic optimiza-332 tion-based recommendation model, leveraging Trajectory Reinforcement-based Bacterial 333 Colony Optimization (TRBCO) to balance accuracy and diversity in personalized recom-334 mendation systems. Evaluation against benchmark datasets demonstrates TRMOBCO's 335 superior performance compared to contemporary and state-of-the-art optimization algo-336 rithms. Sahal et. al. (2022) [57] explore Personal Digital Twin (PDT) technology for per-337 sonalized healthcare, emphasizing its potential for improving decision-making and treat-338 ment selection, particularly in PN. Chivukula et. al. (2022) [58] contribute to the field by 339 developing an ontology model in the food domain, facilitating informed dietary decisions 340 based on health conditions. The ontology model is evaluated for its utility in answering 341 queries using SPARQL Protocol and RDF Query Language (SPARQL), demonstrating its 342 effectiveness in providing appropriate food recommendations. These approaches offer in-343 novative solutions for enhancing personalized recommendation systems and improving 344 health outcomes. 345

Kaur et. al. (2023) [59] discuss a Clinical Decision Support System (CDSS) for neonatal 346 nutrition in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) leverages a Nutrition Recommen-347 dation Ontology (NRO) to generate personalized feeding plans, achieving a validation 348 accuracy of 98%. Martinho et. al. (2023) [60] contributes to AI systems in healthcare by 349 developing an ontology to manage diet and energy consumption for patients with obesity, 350 diabetes, and those needing tube feeding, aiming to improve health outcomes through 351 personalized dietary recommendations. Similarly, Rostami et. al. (2024) [61] introduce the 352 Healthy Group Food Recommendation System (HGFR), prioritizing both user preferences 353 and nutritional value, outperforming other models in database comparisons and promot-354 ing healthier eating choices for groups. 355

Palacios et. al. (2023) [62] propose Baby-Feed, a user-friendly web app, which provides age-appropriate food recommendations for infants to prevent rapid weight gain, with over 87% of parents finding it easy to use and effective, rating it 4/5 stars. Wang et. 358

al. (2023) [63] focus on personalized recommendations for carbohydrate-protein supple-359 ments, employing ML techniques like backpropagation neural networks to tailor intake 360 for endurance sports enthusiasts, achieving a mean absolute error (MAE) of 470.77 com-361 pared to 500.85 for the traditional model Gradient Boosted Regression Trees (GBRT). 362 Cunha et. al. (2023) [64] introduce an advanced nutrition control recommendation system 363 utilizing Internet of Thinks (IoT) devices and ML models in real time to offer personalized 364 dietary and exercise plans, demonstrating accurate BMI prediction within a small time 365 window of three days. These studies highlight the efficacy of ML in personalized nutrition 366 recommendations, suggesting future enhancements for broader dataset dimensions and 367 model robustness. 368

The literature review reveals a nuanced landscape characterized by the diversity not 369 only in technological approaches but also in the scope of the research endeavours. Within 370 this spectrum, certain studies such as [41,42] employ images as their primary input, while 371 others [45,55] rely on personal and health data. The technological repertoire is equally 372 expansive, encompassing a range from AI CNN models and Bayesian networks to ontol-373 ogies and addressing the TOP. Moreover, despite a shared overarching goal of delivering 374 PN, the strategies employed exhibit notable variations. For instance, in the study con-375 ducted by Islam et. al. (2023) [51], the methodology revolves around generating PN 376 through EEG signals, while Sahal et. al. (2022) [57] adopt a distinct approach utilising DT. 377

3.1.2. Recipe Recommendation Systems

An alternative paradigm identified through the literature review involves the inte-379 gration of PN objectives with the utilisation and management of recipes. By harnessing 380 extensive datasets comprising recipes and nutritional information, coupled with data-381 driven AI technologies, the creation of individualised recipe recommendations becomes 382 feasible. For example, Neha et. al. (2023) [65] delineate a methodical approach to extract 383 and predict information from recipes by employing advanced ML models (Parallel-CNN, 384 Naïve Byes, Fuzzy rule, Artificial Neural Network). This approach adeptly addresses the 385 diverse requirements of users, including dietary preferences, allergies, food intolerances, 386 and more. The results of this work show that Parallel-CNN outperforms the other models 387 with 95% accuracy, 91% precision and 95% f1-score as well as with the value 0.1872 re-388 garding the model loss attribute. 389

Wang et. al. (2022) [66] propose an intelligent recipe recommendation model, opti-390 mizing weekly meal plans to accommodate user restrictions and nutritional needs using 391 the Hungarian algorithm and integer programming, ensuring personalized balanced di-392 ets. Buzcu et. al. (2022) [67] introduce a Virtual Coaching System (NVS), integrating user-393 specific factors like allergies and preferences to offer personalized recipe recommenda-394 tions via an ontology-based approach, validated through user surveys showing a prefer-395 ence for interactive explanation-based interactions over conventional recommendation 396 systems. Shubhashree et. al. (2022) [68] present a recipe recommendation system incorpo-397 rating allergies and personal information employs K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN) clustering 398 and the Euclidean distance algorithm to generate personalized diet tables, outperforming 399 other algorithms with 95% accuracy. Likewise, Ribeiro et. al. (2022) [69] present a recipe 400 recommendation system considering user-specific allergies and cultural preferences to 401 craft three-week meal plans, validated through simulated user profiles, highlighting the 402 importance of diverse data to meet food preferences, restrictions, and nutritional needs. 403

Wu et. al. (2022) [70] introduce visual-aware food analysis (VAFA), employing deep404learning models ATNet and PiNet to classify food items from multimedia inputs like im-405ages and descriptions, achieving state-of-the-art performance in food classification and406recipe recommendation precision, respectively. The interaction with the recommendation407system is facilitated through a web application. Forouzandeh et. al. (2024) [71] present a408Health-aware Food Recommendation System with Dual Attention in Heterogeneous409Graphs (HFRS-DA), utilizing unsupervised learning on graph-structured data to recom-410

mend healthy and popular recipes, outperforming existing methods with superior performance on the Allrecipes dataset. RahmathNisha et. al. (2023) [72] outline the development
of the web-based Intelligent Nutrition Assistant Application (INAA), employing AI and
ML algorithms to provide personalized dietary recommendations, validated through a
user study with 50 participants, with future plans to enhance the system with advanced
ML techniques and expanded food database.

Li et. al. (2022) [73] introduce a novel post-hoc agnostic model to explain the output 417 of Recipe Recommendation Systems, aiming to enhance user understanding and confi-418 dence in the system's recommendations. The model elucidates the relationships between 419 network variables and user preferences, validated through comparison with four state-of-420 the-art recommendation system explainable models. By incorporating nutrition-aware 421 criteria variables, the system offers more personalized and health-conscious recommen-422 dations, potentially improving the effectiveness of recommendation systems and leading 423 to increased user satisfaction and adoption. In parallel, Li et. al. (2023) [74], pioneer an 424 innovative methodology by integrating KGs into Recipe Recommendation Systems, ena-425 bling users to transition between different behavioural patterns based on evolving prefer-426 ences. The system, evaluated on Food.com and MyFitnessPal datasets, outperforming 427 other models on various metrics, highlighting its effectiveness in providing tailored rec-428 ommendations. 429

Kansaksiri et. al. (2023) [75] introduce "Smart Cuisine" which utilizes AI technologies, 430 including the Generative Pre-training Transformer (GPT) model, to offer personalized rec-431 ipes and nutritional advice, enhancing sustainable cooking practices. By processing food 432 images and employing natural language processing, the system generates recipes and 433 provides nutritional guidance. Tests on the Recipe1M dataset showed higher accuracy in 434 predicting well-known recipes, demonstrating the system's potential to revolutionize 435 meal preparation. Similarly, Safitri et. al. (2023) [76], introduce CookPal, a GPT-3-based 436 chatbot aimed at promoting healthier eating habits by offering personalized recipe sug-437 gestions. Operating on a desktop platform with a focus on data privacy, CookPal demon-438 strated high accuracy in providing dietary advice (86%) and received positive feedback 439 (4.5/5 in a scalar size of 1 to 5) for its potential to facilitate healthier lifestyle choices. 440

In conclusion, the use of Recipe Recommendation Systems for promoting healthy 441 eating habits has gained significant attention, showing substantial potential for further 442 development. The literature review highlights a range of methods for tailoring recipe sug-443 gestions based on individual preferences, dietary restrictions, and nutritional needs. 444 These approaches employ various techniques, including KNN, and Euclidean distance. 445 Despite the diversity in inputs, from physiological data to dietary preferences and aller-446 gies, there is a notable trend toward utilizing web-based platforms as intermediaries be-447 tween recommendation systems and users, as observed in subsection 3.1.4. 448

3.1.3. Restaurant Recommendation Systems

The third category of recommendation systems derived from the PRISMA model is Restaurant Recommendation Systems. With the abundance of data available on the internet, and with the use of data-driven approaches, it is possible to develop recommendation systems that can suggest restaurants or specific restaurant menu items based on users' preferences and nutritional needs. By providing personalised recommendations, such systems can help users make better decisions when ordering food while at the same time promoting healthier eating habits.

Two innovative systems exemplify this trend: MenuDecoder, an AI-powered restau-457rant app proposed by Hasan et. al. (2022) [77], and the "meals-plates exploration cycle"458recommendation system from Takahashi et. al. (2023) [78]. MenuDecoder leverages AI459algorithms and a vast database of restaurant menus to offer personalized meal recommen-460dations based on user preferences and nutritional needs. A qualitative usability study461demonstrated high user satisfaction with the app's design and helpfulness. On the other462hand, the recommendation system introduced by Takahashi et. al. (2023) [78] enhances463

the dining experience by aiding users in selecting suitable plates for their meals. It employs machine learning for plate shape estimation and text classification, utilizing datasets464from recipe platforms and e-commerce websites to establish meal-plate relationships, catering to user preferences and characteristics of both meals and plates.465

In conclusion, the use of data-driven approaches in Restaurant Recommendation 468 Systems for personalised nutrition can have significant benefits. This is a promising area 469 for future research. 470

3.1.4. Summarization

In this section, we summarise key information for recommendation systems that 472 were presented previously in a single, easy to use table (Table 1). For each recommenda-473 tion system, we provide: 'Reference No.', 'Method/Model/Technology', 'Datasets', 'In-474 puts' and 'Platform'. The first column presents the number of the reference that corre-475 sponds to the paper or work presented in each row. The second column refers to the tech-476 nologies used to generate the personalisation (e.g., AI model, method, ontology, KGs etc.). 477 Additional information is given in parenthesis indicating the use/role of the model. The 478 third column presents the datasets used, including novel datasets that were created as 479 part of the scientific work. The fourth column presents the input provided to the recom-480 mendation system, e.g., what information is fed to the AI model, ontology, etc. Here also 481 additional information is given inside parenthesis explaining the integration of the vari-482 ous data inputs. Finally, the fifth column refers to any means the scientists used to collect 483 inputs or communicate with the users. Such platforms vary and range from paper ques-484 tionnaires, where users fill the information by hand, to mobile applications that employ a 485 friendly user interface. 486

Table 1. Overview of the works presented in Section 3 (Recommendation systems for personalised487nutrition), indicating method/model/technology (the "*" indicates that this work includes numerical488measurements regarding the algorithm's efficacy and/or user acceptability. The reader can refer to489the work on section 3.x for additional information), datasets, inputs, and data acquisition platform490used. Additionally, the last row named Notes is referring to where a system uses clinical data (*)491and genetic data (**). For more information, the reader can refer to the corresponding work.492

Reference No.	Method/Model/Technology	Datasets	Input	Platform	Note
	1	A. Nutrition Recom	nendation Systems		
Haseena et. al. (2022) [36]	Cuckoo (optimization) Fuzzy AHP (multi-criteria) Fuzzy TOPSIS (decision-mak- ing) *	-	Physiological data (Use the data as values for the com- parison matrix)	Questionnaire	*
Lakshmi et. al. (2023) [37]	Fuzzy AHP (multi-criteria) Fuzzy TOPSIS (decision-mak- ing)	-	Physiological data, dietary data, health data (Use the data as values for the com- parison matrix)	-	*
Zhang et. al. (2022) [38]	MaOO (optimization) *	MyFitnessPal	Dietary data, nutrition values	-	*
Salloum et. al. (2022) [39]	TOP (optimization) *	-	Physiological data (Use a loss function)	Questionnaire	*
Rout et. al. (2023) [40]	KNN (clustering) RF (classification) *	Kaggle (calorie da- taset)	Nutrition values, physical activity (Clustering the data)	Web application	*
Kaur et. al. (2022) [41]	EfficientNet (B0-B7) (classifica- tion) VGG16 (classification) VGG19 (classification) ResNet50 (classification) ResNet100 (classification) *	Food101	Physiological data, RBG images (Compute BMI and caloric needs from physiological data and use food im- ages to calculate caloric income and what to recommend)	Web application	*

Food Ontology,

the above three categories)

Aguilar et. al. (2022) [42]	Bayesian network (probabilis- tic modelling) *	UECFOODPIX, UNIMIB2016, Food201	RGB images	-	*
Romero-Tapiador et. al. (2023) [43]	CNN (classification) *	food images (AI4Food-Nutri- tionDB)	Physiological data, preferences, physi- cal activity, food images (Construct the user profile from all the above data and then create food image datasets with different eating behav- iours)	Mobile application	*
Azzimani et. al. (2022) [44]	SVM (classification) MFCN (segmentation) *	Morocco FCT	Physiological data, health data, RGBD images (Construct the user profile from the physiological data and health data and then include the information of food image)	-	*
Shandilya et. al. (2022) [45]	Content-based recommenda- tion system (recommendation system) *	CKD, USDA	Health data, preferences, rating (Item feature-based classification, then extract mandatory features from the user's profile and finally with the ex- traction of the preferred features they generate recommendations)	-	*
Xu et. al. (2023) [46]	KG (reasoning) NLP (natural language) *	User Profiles, Food Dataset	Sociodemographic, nutrition and health, dietary preferences (Rule-based relation among the KG schema)	-	*
Hosen el. al. (2023) [47]	PSO (optimization) k-means (clustering) SOM (clustering) NLP (natural language) *	American food chart	Dietary data, health data, contextual info (Clustering the data)	-	*
Larizza et. al. (2023) [48]	-	Use their own DB	Demographics, physiological data, lifestyle (Construct child profile using the above data)	Questionnaires	*
Lodhi et. al. (2023) [49]	KG (reasoning) Ontology (reasoning) *	-	Health data, demographics (Construct user profile with the data and then extract proper nutritional recommendations based on rule-based and data-driven approaches)	Web application	*
Burgermaster et. al. (2023) [50]	-	-	Meal logs, health data, food images (These data are for constructing the user profile)	Mobile application	*
Islam et. al. (2023) [51]	TOPSIS (decision-making) *	-	EEG signals, food nutritional (Extract features from EEG collection and survey data and then recommend foods and menus)	Questionnaires	*
Yang et. al. (2022) [52]	-	-	Genetic data, physical data, diet style, habits, medical data (Construct user profile with the above data)	Mobile application, Question- naire	**
Fu et. al. (2023) [53]	KG (reasoning) Ontology (reasoning) *	FoodData Central dataset (FDC), FoodOn, Chinese	Health data, food, gut microbiota data (The KG works with queries as inputs and returns the relationship among	-	*

		KEGG, MENDA,				
		MiKG, MeSH				
Yang et. al. (2023)	-	-	Health data, physiological data	Mobile application, Question-	**	
[54]			(Construct the user profile)	naire		
	LIMS (data management pro-				**	
Yang et. al. (2022)	cesses)	AutDB, DisGeNET,	Physiological data	Mobile application, Question-		
[55]	Bioinformatic pipelines	OMIM	(Construct the user profile)	naire		
	Genetic Interpretation System,					
	CKM *				*	
Congratal (2023)	Houristic Optimization	Shaffer, Fonseca,	Patings			
[56]	TRBCO *	ZDT1-6 Movielens-	(Use of rating to recommend a meal)	-		
[50]	indee	1M	(Ose of fulling to recommend a mean)			
			Dietary data, physical activity, contex-		*	
Sahal et. al. (2022)	DT	-	tual information	-		
[57]			(They do not mention how they inte-			
			grate these data)			
Chivukula et. al.			(The ontology works with queries as			
(2022) [58]	Ontology *	-	inputs and returns the relationship	-		
			among the tis classes)			
Kaur et. al. (2023)			Clinical data, weight, gestational age		*	
[59]	Ontology *	Clinical data	(SPARQL queries to the ontology us-	-		
			ing the above data)			
		FoodOn, Joint Food	Preterences, allergies, meals intake,	N 6 1 11 11 11 11 147 1 11	*	
Martinho et. al.	Ontology	Ontology Workgroup (JFOW)	demographics	Mobile application, Web appli-		
(2023) [60]			(Queries to the ontology using the	cation		
			Broferences, health factors of foods		*	
Rostami et. al. (2024)	Clustering (encoder and de-		(Construction of a user rating matrix			
[61]	coder), deep neural networks *	*	with the above data)	-		
			Food frequency		*	
Palacios et. al. (2023)	ADDIE *	_	(Construct user profile and feed it to	Questionnaire		
[62]	ADDIL		the model)	Web application		
			Health data, physiological data, physi-		*	
Wang et. al. (2023)	BP Neural Network Model,		cal activity, contextual information			
[63]	Gradient Boosted Regression	-	(Input the above data into the models	-		
	Trees (GBRT) *		and generate personalized advice)			
	MiKC, MeSH Mobile application, Question, (Construct the user profile) Mobile application, Question, naire LIMS (data management pro- cesses) AutOB, DisCeNET, OMIM Physiological data (Construct the user profile) Mobile application, Question, naire Bioinformatic pipelines Cenchic Interpretation System, CRM * Shaffer, Fonseca, ZDT1-6, Movielens, Ratings Mobile application, Question, naire DT TRBCO * ZDT1-6, Movielens, Ratings DT DEtary data, physical activity, contex- tual information The ontology works with queries as Ontology * Clinical data (SPRACL, queries to the ontology users) ing the above data) Mobile application, Web application, web application, Web application, grate these data) 0 Ontology * Clinical data (SPRACL, queries to the ontology users) ing the above data) Mobile application, Web application, Web application, Web application, grate above data) 4) Chustering (encoder and de- coder), deep neural networks * Contractory profile and field it to the model) Mobile application, Web application 3) ADDIE * Construct on a user, rating matrix with the above data into the models - 3) RNN, LSTM, GRU * Field it filtes filters filters racker data (Input the above data into the models <td>*</td>	*				
$C_{\rm umba}$ at al. (2022)		E:D:t file and he along	logical data			
[64]		data	(Input the above data into the models	-		
[04]		uata	to predict BMI, weight, muscle mass,			
			etc.)			
B. Recipe Recommendation Systems						
Neha et al (2023)	CNN Naive Baves Fuzzy		Text data of ingredients and recipes		*	
[65]	Rule *	-	(Input of the above information to the	-		
			models)			
Wang et. al. (2022)	_		Physiological data, health data, prefer-		*	
[66]	Integer programming	-	ences	Web application		
- *			(Input of the above data to the model)			
Buzcu et. al. (2023)	0.11	OWL-based ontol-	Allergies, preterences, type of cuisine	TA7 1 1 · · ·	*	
[67]	Untology	ogy	(Queries to the ontology using the	vveb application		
			above data)			

Shubhashree et. al. (2022) [68]	KNN, Euclidean *	-	Physiological data, dietary data, pref- erences, restrictions (Construct user profile with the above data and feed them to the model)	* Web application
Ribeiro et. al. (2022) [69]	MaOO *	-	Physiological data, food type, allergies (Construct user profile using the above data and feed them to the model)	* Mobile application
Wu et. al. (2022) [70]	ATNet, PiNet	Created their own DB	Food images (Input the above data to the model to classify them)	Web application
Forouzandeh et. al. (2024) [71]	NLA, SLA, GAT, GNN *	Allrecipes	Rating, recipes (Combination of user profiles and rat- ings of recipes to produce healthy reci- pes recommendations)	-
RahmathNisha et. al. I (2023) [72]	Decision trees, KNN, and SVM *	Kaggle (food and nutrition)	Physiological data, food image (Physiological data re used to con- struct user profile and food images to extract features and then recommend a food)	* Web application
Yera et. al. (2022) [73]	KG *	Coolpod, Allrecipe, Yammly, USDA, Created their own DB	(This model does not use any inputs)	-
Li et. al. (2023) [74]	KG *	Food.com, Food KG	Health data, preference data (Two KG are used for each data to ex- tract features and then combine these outputs)	-
Kansaksiri et. al. (2023) [75]	Meta-AI, NLP *	Recipe1M	Food image, OpenAI-powered chat service (Input food image into the model to extract ingredients)	-
Safitri et. al. (2023) [76]	GPT-3, NLP *	Created their own DB	Contextual information (User texts with the chat-bot)	Desktop application
	С	. Restaurant Recom	mendation Systems	
Hasan et. al. (2022) [77]	AI *	Dataset of restau- rant menus	Preferences, menu image (Menu item extraction from menu im- age and combined with preferences to recommend a menu)	* Web application
Takahashi et. al. (2023) [78]	Flow graph, CRF	Cookpad	Preferences (This model does not take any data as input. It is a flow graph which is being trained by the user and leads the user to preferred recommendations)	-

3.2. Data collection technologies

A subject that emerges from the literature examined above is the usage of various sensors, devices, technologies, or processes to gather user's nutrition/health related data. Data acquisition is typically done via two distinct processes: (i) user questionnaires, or (ii) automated data gathering utilising a multitude of diverse devices ranging from communication devices to wearable sensors and from cameras to medical devices.

Sonkusale et. al. (2022) [79] list an extensive array of sensors specifically designed for 499 measuring and extracting vital body information. These sensors, readily available in the 500

493 494

495

496

497

545

market, play a pivotal role in supporting PN applications. Furthermore, a more in-depth technical analysis is presented in the review paper by Ates et. al. (2022) [80] offering a comprehensive and more technical examination of various wearable sensor technologies. This review emphasizes the end-to-end process that transforms raw sensor data into meaningful insights that can inform PN applications. The synthesis of insights from these papers underscores two key points: the abundance of diverse devices and sensors available for PN and the evolving technological landscape that underpins their functionality. 507

This section presents the data collection technologies that are integral to the field of 508 PN, drawing insights from the literature. It is structured into four subsections, each delineating distinct categories of data collection technologies based on their unique nature, 510 scope, and roles in PN research, and a fifth subsection that summarizes this information 511 in. 512

3.2.1. Wearable sensing devices

An avenue for collecting data in the realm of PN involves the utilization of wearable sensors. When discussing about wearable devices, we typically refer to items like smart watches and activity trackers, but there are also other devices, such as smart glasses and smart headphones, that can monitor metrics like heard rate, blood pressure, sleep performance, and feed this data to recommendation systems. 518

The CarpeDiem app, as discussed by Migliorelli et. al. (2023) [81] integrates data from 519 wearable devices and user questionnaires to analyse physical activity, sleep patterns, and 520 nutritional habits, offering personalized recommendations to promote healthier lifestyles. 521 A pilot study assesses its effectiveness in driving long-term behavioural changes, empha-522 sizing the utility of combining objective and subjective health data. Meanwhile, Wang et. 523 al. (2022) [82] present NutriTrek, a wearable electrochemical biosensor engineered to con-524 tinuously analyse sweat for various metabolites and nutrients, including essential amino 525 acids and vitamins. Its wireless communication facilitates real-time monitoring of nutri-526 tional needs, showcasing the potential of wearable sensors for developing effective per-527 sonalized nutrition plans through non-invasive and convenient data collection. 528

Khan et. al. (2022) [83] propose iHearken, a headphone-like wearable sensor system, 529 employing ML techniques to automatically recognize food intake types in real-life set-530 tings. Through four phases, including data acquisition and classification using Bidirec-531 tional long short-term memory (Bi-LSTM) models, iHearken achieves high accuracy 532 (97.422%), precision (96.808%), recall (98%), and F-score (97.512%), demonstrating supe-533 rior performance in food recognition compared to other models. This research under-534 scores the potential of wearable sensors and ML for dietary monitoring. Similarly, Xiao-535 Yong et. al. (2023) [84] introduce a smartwatch-based health management system utilizing 536 physiological data transmitted via 5G and NarrowBand-Internet of Things (NB-IoT) tech-537 nologies. The system offers continuous monitoring and feedback for medical diagnosis 538 and disease prediction, acknowledging challenges like power consumption and transmis-539 sion range that require attention for improved effectiveness. 540

In conclusion, wearable sensors are an excellent way of gathering data from users 541 and feeding them to recommendation systems. With wearable devices a recommendation 542 system can be frequently updated with user's physiological data and generate more accurate and on-site recommendations. 544

3.2.2. Cameras

Nowadays cameras can be found almost everywhere and in every device from 546 smartphones with multiple cameras with great resolution to embedded cameras on wear-547 able devices like activity trackers. The work by Azzimani et. al. (2022) [44] involves an 548 innovative technique that employs advanced image processing methods to accurately cal-549 culate the nutrient content of items depicted in RGBD images that were captured before 550 and after a meal. Similarly, Aguilar et. al. (2022) [42] are trying to enhance the accuracy of 551 existing image recognition networks using Bayesian networks. Given an image of one or 552 multiple food plates, the enhanced model can better recognise the foods on the plate. 553

559

560

561

562

588

Along the same lines, in the work presented by Wu et. al. (2022) [70] images are fed to the554AI algorithm for food classification, ingredient recognition, and nutrition analysis to pro-555duce a nutrition report for the user. The evaluation and accuracy of these tree works was556further discussed in section 3.1.1.557

All in all, cameras with the synergy of AI and ML algorithms can produce significant information for the recommendation systems. From calorie estimation to volume estimation and from food recognition to ingredient recognition the power of RGB and RGBD images plays a crucial role in the field of PN with much more to give in the future.

3.2.3. Smartphones and applications

Smartphones play a multifaceted role in the realm of PN. They are harnessed for di-563 verse purposes, such as capitalizing on their high-quality cameras to capture high resolu-564 tion images [42,44,70]. Additionally, the development of mobile applications dedicated to 565 PN has emerged as another avenue [52,54,55,69,85]. An example is the work of Zamanillo-566 Campos et. al. (2023) [86] which discusses the development and evaluation of DiabeText, 567 a personalized mHealth intervention aimed at supporting medication adherence and life-568 style change behaviour in patients with type 2 diabetes in Spain. The testing of the app 569 showed a high level of personalization and patient-centredness. These applications, 570 equipped with user-friendly interfaces, facilitate the input of personalised information 571 and display results from recommendation systems. Furthermore, smartphones leverage 572 wireless connection technologies like Bluetooth to link with various wearable devices. No-573 tably, Martínez-Rodríguez et. al. (2022) [87] underscore the efficacy of combining weara-574 ble sensors with mobile applications, showcasing superior outcomes compared to meth-575 ods that do not integrate smartphone applications. 576

Additionally, gamification emerges as a significant factor in driving user engagement 577 with PN mobile applications, as emphasized by Al-Rayes et. al. (2022) [88]. Similarly, Oc 578 et. al. (2022) [89] explore motivational technology characteristics through the U-Commerce lens, introducing the gaming, instructing, sharing, and teaching GIST model based 580 on user preferences for gaming, instructing, sharing, and teaching features. This model, 581 built on four principles, aims to cultivate autonomous motivation among users, facilitating more effective and sustainable engagement with PN applications. 583

In conclusion, smartphones and their synergy with mobile applications and wearable sensors can provide not only a user-friendly interface for users to interact with a PN application but also the means by which valuable data and information can be collected by the recommendation systems. 587

3.2.4. Other sensing devices

In addition to wearable devices, a diverse array of non-wearable sensing devices 589 holds substantial promise for personalised nutrition. Such devices range from simple 590 smart scales to smart forks and even EEG signal capturing devices or DNA kits. As was 591 mentioned previously, Islam et. al. (2023) [51] retrieve brain data using EEG signals as 592 inputs for their recommendation system while Yang et. al. (2023) [54] use DNA kits to 593 collect genetic information from the users. 594

Likewise, Wilson-Barnes et. al. (2022) [19] employed a Volatile Organic Compound 595 (VOC) sensor to analyse the breath of research participants in two population groups at nutritional risk: i) adults with poor-quality diets (PQD, less than 3 portions of fruit and vegetables per day) and ii) adults with iron deficiency anaemia. The analysis results were subsequently used to investigated correlations of specific compounds with the two groups. 600

In summary, pivotal health and nutrition-related information can be gleaned from 601 advanced non-wearable sensing devices; however, the process of obtaining, analysing, 602 and applying the data is often more intricate compared to wearable sensors. Beyond the 603 sheer volume of these devices, users may encounter challenges, such as the need to visit 604 hospitals or specialized facilities. Moreover, the complexity of these devices can render 605 them difficult to use and potentially expensive. 606

3.2.5. Summarization

In the table below we provide an overview of the various data collection technologies 608 that were presented in this section (Table 2). For each technology we identify the data 609 capturing sensor or device used, its data output which is used as input to the method or 610 model employed, and finally the scope of the method/model used in the respective work. 611

Table 2. List of the data collection technologies presented in Section 4, indicating sensors/devices612used, method/model input (which is also the output of the sensor/device), and method/model scope.613

Reference No.	Sensor/Device used	Method/Model input (sensor output data)	Method/Model Scope
Wilson-Barnes	NOC	Human breath	Nutriant actimation
et. a. (2022) [19]	Võe	Tunian breatt	Nutrient estimation
Aguilar et. al. (2022) [42]	Camera	RGB of a plate	Food recognition
Azzimani et. al. (2022) [44]	Camera	RGBD of a meal	Meal personalisation
Islam et. al. (2023) [51]	EEG	EEG signals	Affects of different meals
Yang et. al. (2022) [52]	Mobile	Genetic testing, physical examination, diet style, habits and cus- toms, medical history, exercise data	Tailored nutrition solution
Yang et. al. (2023) [54]	Mobile, DNA kit	Lifestyle questionnaire, physical examination results, DNA data	Evaluating users' immune status, nutritional defi- ciency risk
Yang et. al. (2022) [55]	Mobile, DNA kit	Analysing genetic data, lifestyle data, physical examination data	Genetic interpretation re- port, personalized nutrition report, customized nutri- tion packs
Cunha et. al. (2024) [64]	Food scale, body scale, smartwatches	Food intake attributes, physical activity metrics, body parame- ters	BMI prediction, personal- ised feedback, goal moni- toring
Ribeiro et. al. (2022) [69]	Mobile	Food preferences, restrictions, nutritional needs	Meal recommendation sys- tem
Wu et. al. (2022) [70]	Camera	RGB of a meal	Food classification
Migliorelli et. al. (2023) [81]	Activity tracker	Step counter, physical activity, pulse, sleep hours and sleeping efficiency	Physical activities, cardio- vascular activities, sleep patterns, nutritional habits
Wang et. al. (2022) [82]	NutriTrek	Age, BMI	Health monitoring, preci- sion nutrition
Khan et. al. (2022) [83]	Headphone-like	Chewing sounds	Food intake type
Xiao-Yong et. al. (2023) [84]	Smartwatches, mobile	Pulse, heart rate, blood oxygen	Health management
Zamanillo- Campos et. al. (2023)[86]	Mobile	Patient-elicited data	Tailored brief text
Martínez- Rodríguez et. al. (2022) [87]	Mobile, activity tracker	Blood pressure, body weight, water intake, fruits intake, vegeta- bles intake, physical activity	Personalised reminders, be- havioural tips, educational material, progress tracking
Oc et. al. (2022) [89]	Smartwatches, smart wristbands, mo- bile	Preferences	Gamification

In this section, we discuss and summarise the findings from the preceding literature 615 review, shedding light on the research challenges that emerge. Upon examining all pre-616 sented works, certain features have been identified as not only pivotal to each individual 617 study but also shared across multiple works. A synthesis of such common features was 618 done, and the result was presented in tabular format to show how each work/method 619 incorporated them. Specifically, we focused on the methods/models/technologies pre-620 sented in the various works and for each we identified the specific datasets, input types 621 and presentation platforms that have been used. The surveyed works employ a diverse 622 array of methods, and even when multiple works employ the same method, they often 623 leverage different technologies. Across the presented works, various approaches have 624 been adopted, including image recognition models Azzimani et. al. (2022) [44], heuristic 625 optimizations7 Geng et. al. (2023) [56], Bayesian networks8 Aguilar et. al. (2022) [42], DT9 626 methodologies Sahal et. al. (2022) [57], deep convolutional neural networks¹⁰ Kaur et. al. 627 (2022) [41], ontologies¹¹ Buzcu et. al. (2022) [67], and more. It is evident that the reviewed 628 works demonstrate a significant diversity by employing multiple and distinct approaches, 629 highlighting the breadth of methodologies within the field. 630

Conversely, when it comes to input types, commonalities emerge among the studies 631 recommendation systems. For instance, user physiological data is a recurring input type 632 [36,41,55], while food images play a central role in [41,42,44,70] and more. Specifically, 633 input types have been categorised into four main groups: user profile data (including per-634 sonal information, physiological data, genetic data, physical activity, habits, etc.), user 635 health data (including allergies, diseases, medical history etc.), user preferences/re-636 strictions (including dietary preferences/restrictions, food ratings, dietary patterns, pre-637 ferred cuisine, cultural aspects etc.), and food images (e.g., RGB or RGBD images). The 638 radar chart depicted in Figure 3a visually represents how frequently each input type is 639 used in the reviewed works. 640

One significant finding related to the datasets used by the reviewed works is that, in 641 most instances, researchers employ pre-existing well established datasets. However, there 642 are cases where bespoke datasets tailored to the specific research goals are used, as evi-643 denced in Wu et. al. (2022) [70]. A second noteworthy observation is the considerable di-644 versity across the used datasets. For instance, [44,45,73] utilise national databases contain-645 ing nutrition values for various foods, while in Zhang et. al. (2022) [38] physiological data 646 are extracted from the MyFitnessPal app. In contrast, Geng et. al. (2023) [56] employ ten 647 heuristic optimization benchmark datasets, and Yang et. al. (2022) [55] rely on a database 648

⁷ Heuristic optimization refers to a problem-solving approach that employs practical, experience-based techniques to find good-enough solutions for complex optimization problems, especially when traditional methods are computationally infeasible. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Heuristic_(computer_science)</u>

⁸ Bayesian networks are probabilistic graphical models that represent a set of variables and their conditional dependencies using directed acyclic graphs, enabling efficient reasoning and inference under uncertainty. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bayesian_network</u>

⁹ Digital Twin is a virtual representation of a physical object, system, or process that is used to simulate, analyse, and optimize its real-world counterpart through real-time data and advanced algorithms. <u>https://en.wikipe-dia.org/wiki/Digital_twin</u>

¹⁰ Deep convolutional neural networks are a type of artificial neural network designed to process and analyse grid-like data structures, particularly images, by using multiple layers of convolutional filters to learn spatial hierarchies of features automatically and adaptively from the input data. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Convolutional neural network</u> ¹¹ Formal representations of a set of concepts within a domain and the relationships between those concepts, used to model domain knowledge in a structured and interpretable way for purposes such as information sharing, integration,

and reasoning. <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ontology_(information_science)</u>

for autism as well as a database detailing gene-disease association. Additionally, [41,42,74]649incorporate databases of food images, while Buzcu et. al. (2023) [67] adopt an Web Ontol-650ogy Language (OWL), showcasing the rich spectrum of data sources and methodologies651employed by researchers in this field.652

In terms of presentation platforms, the reviewed works exhibit commonalities as 653 nearly half of the works in Nutrition Recommendation Systems and almost all the works 654 in Recipe Recommendation Systems are using a platform or a method for users to interact 655 with the recommendation system and for the recommendation system to collect infor-656 mation and data from the users. For instance, [36,52,54,55] utilise questionnaires for user 657 interaction, while [41,66,68] opt for web-based applications. In general, presentation plat-658 forms have been categorised into four main groups: user questionnaires, mobile applica-659 tions, web-based applications, and desktop-based applications. The radar chart depicted 660 in Figure 3b visually represents the usage frequency of the various presentation platform 661 types among the works, highlighting the prevalent approaches adopted by researchers in 662 facilitating user engagement and data collection in recommendation systems. 663

The ultimate role of personalized nutrition recommendation systems is to assist in-664 dividuals in changing/sustaining their dietary habits to improve their health outcomes, 665 such as balancing BMI, achieving weight loss, and preventing disease. To fully assess the 666 effectiveness of these systems, long-term studies involving human participants are neces-667 sary. While our focus in this paper is on the technical evaluation and validation of these 668 systems, as outlined in the introduction, we acknowledge that there is one study that has 669 conducted such an experiment. Yang et. al. (2023) [54] demonstrated that personalized 670 nutrition and nutritional supplements significantly improved the immune system of el-671 derly participants by tailoring nutrient intake based on individual genetic profiles, health 672 indicators, and lifestyle factors. This personalized approach led to a marked improvement 673 in immune markers, such as a 30% increase in T-cell activity and a 25% reduction in in-674 flammation-related markers, enhancing overall immune function and reducing suscepti-675 bility to infections and autoimmune conditions. 676

Drawing upon the differences and similarities between nutrition RSs and recipe RSs it is not clear whether adding recipe recommendation over nutritional has additive value. However, one benefit or recipe RSs over nutritional ones is stated in Ribeiro et. al. [69] saying that the creation of multiple meals recommendations have extended control over users' diet unlike single recommender systems. 681

Finally, several reviewed works have taken the additional step of integrating their 682 recommendation systems into practical applications, such as web or mobile platforms, 683 which are currently available and can be used online. For example, Safitri et. al. (2023) [76] 684 an application named CookPal which is a web site where a user can either upload an image of one or more products or write those products and the algorithm will produce a 686 recipe with these products. Additionally, Hasan et. al. (2022) [77] provide a web site where users can find useful articles about foods, recipes, restaurants, and more. 688 (a)



Figure 3. Two radar charts regarding the inputs and the platforms of recommendation systems 689 correspondingly. (a) Radar chart displaying how common each input type is. (b) Radar chart dis-690 playing how common each platform type is.

(b)

4.1. Research challenges in recommendation systems for personalised nutrition

The field of PN revolves around customising dietary recommendations and interven-693 tions for individuals, considering their distinct characteristics, including their profile, ge-694 netic information, metabolism, microbiome composition, lifestyle, preferences, and health 695 status. For example, Andres et. al. (2023) [90] focus on data engineering issues like data 696 collection, cleaning, integration, and processing, alongside the design and implementa-697 tion of efficient data pipelines and storage systems while Sedrakyan et. al. (2023) [91] dis-698 cuss the importance of integrating sustainable food consumption into recommendation 699 systems, limitations of existing food recommendation systems, and privacy among other 700 challenges. 701

Despite the considerable potential of existing PN approaches to enhance health outcomes, a careful examination and analysis of various reviewed works reveal several research challenges that demand attention. This chapter enumerates the research challenges derived from a meticulous review of the literature.

Data Collection and Integration. PN often requires extensive collection of multi-707 modal data that can range from personal user profiles to microbiome and genetic data, 708 and from physical activity data to clinical data. The majority of the above works use more 709 than one types of data as input to get a more accurate result like [38,43,52] therefore more 710 diverse datasets are needed. 711

Research challenge: Integration of diverse datasets and development of standardised protocols 712 for multimodal data collection and analysis 713

Several data collection devices or methods are either costly or time consuming (or 715 both) for the user [19,51,54,55]. 716

Research challenge: Friendlier and more easily accessible means (devices, methods, etc.) for 717 data collection. 718

Precision and Accuracy. Achieving precise and accurate recommendations for indi-720 viduals is challenging due to the complex interplay of multiple factors. Combining multi-721 ple technologies and data like [36,41,55,63] can diverse the accuracy of a system. 722

691

692

702

703

704

705 706

714

727

728

729 730

735

736

737

738

739 740

741

742

743

744

745 746

747

748

749

750

751

752 753

754

755

756

757

758

759 760

761

762

763

764

765

• Research challenge: Understanding the interactions between genes, diet, lifestyle, the microbiome, and more via novel sophisticated analytical methods and respective computational tools. 723

Increasing data diversity leads to more accurate results [37,38,43,51,79,80].

• *Research challenge: Improvement of existing or development of novel technologies (e.g., smart devices) for gathering of additional data.*

Genetic Variation and Gene-Diet Interactions. Genetic variation plays a crucial role731in individual responses to dietary factors. People respond differently to the same dietary732interventions due to variations in genetics, metabolism, and other factors. Only three stud-733ies [52,54,55] include genetic data indicating the need to address this research challenge.734

- *Research challenge: Developing personalised recommendation systems that account for genetic variability.*
- Research challenge: Identifying relevant genetic variants and understanding how they interact with specific nutrients or dietary patterns via large-scale studies and advanced statistical techniques.

Long-Term Effects. Studying the long-term effects of PN interventions is essential to understand their impact on health outcomes. Many studies conducted surveys that included humans like [66,74,78] for a couple of months but not for more.

• *Research challenge: Conduct long-term studies with large sample sizes while overcoming any respective logistical challenges and financial constraints.*

Long-Term Results. To evaluate recommendation systems more comprehensively, there is a need for more long-term studies involving human participants, rather than focusing solely on technical aspects.

• Research challenge: Conduct long-term studies with large numbers of real users using diet recommendation systems, carefully monitoring their response throughout the process (nutrition behavioural changes, real health changes/outcomes achieved, etc.).

Behaviour Change. PN recommendations often require individuals to make significant changes to their dietary habits and lifestyle. Studies like [48,70,81,88] try to leverage the challenge to motivate a user on keep using a diet but more effort is needed on this direction.

• *Research challenge: Understand how to effectively motivate and support individuals in making sustainable behaviour changes.*

Ethical and Privacy Considerations. PN almost inevitably involves the collection and use of sensitive personal data. The study Safitri et. al. (2023) [76] is an example of developing a system focusing on users' data privacy.

Research challenge: Facilitate privacy protection and address ethical concerns related to data ownership, consent, and potential discrimination.

In addition to the challenges identified in the reviewed papers, we further highlight 766 below the research challenges put forth by Food2030 [92], aiming to offer a more compre-767 hensive perspective on this subject. These challenges are not met (with one exception) in 768 the above studies and therefore is it urgent to address them for feature works. While the 769 overarching scope of Food2030 is "to achieve a resilient food system that is fit for the fu-770 ture", specific requirements put forth include the need to also deliver co-benefits for peo-771 ples' health, world's climate, the planet, and communities. Hence, the imperative for the 772 coexistence of PN and sustainability remains an ongoing consideration and represents a 773

crucial aspect that could be seamlessly integrated into recommendation systems. The chal- lenges that Food2030 addresses can be summarized as follows.	774 775 776
Carbon footprint . One of the major challenges faced by modern society is the carbon footprint associated with food production and consumption.	777 778
• Research challenge: Utilisation of technologies such as blockchain to trace the origin of food/products or geolocation systems to track their journey from farm to fork, with the aim of contributing to reductions in the carbon footprint.	779 780 781
• Research challenge: Development of technological solutions to facilitate precise calculations, with the aim of contributing to reductions in the carbon footprint.	782 783 784
Waste . Food waste is a pressing problem in contemporary societies, particularly in more developed countries with high populations and demand.	785 786
• Research challenge: Development of technological solutions for improved accuracy of estima- tions regarding required quantities of food/products at each stage of the supply chain.	787 788 789
Prices . Accessibility to affordable food/products remain a challenge in several impoverished nations worldwide Wang et. al. (2022) [66].	790 791
• Research challenge: Development of technological solutions for the analysis of pricing dispar- ities, ultimately working towards greater affordability and accessibility.	792 793 794
Sociocultural aspects . Reshaping societal behaviours can lead towards a more environmentally conscious and sustainable way of living.	795 796
• Research challenge: Development of solutions/methods for incorporating technology in edu- cation and societal restructuring towards a greener and more sustainable society.	797 798 799
In summary, the research challenges for data-driven innovation in the field of PN span across diverse domains. A critical focus is on the data sphere, domanding large scale	800 801
precise, and readily accessible datasets. Ethical and privacy concerns emerge prominently,	801 802
viduals poses a significant challenge for recommendation systems in PN, striving to tailor	803 804
recommendations to each user effectively. Developing user-friendly applications and platforms is another substantial hurdle. Furthermore, the long-term effects of employing	805 806
recommendation systems as well as their seamless integration with Food2030 goals pose complex challenges. Achieving harmony with existing technologies and exploring new	807 808
ones becomes pivotal, especially concerning sustainability, food waste, and other chal- lenges outlined in Food2030. Consequently, the multidimensional nature of challenges in	809 810
this research field necessitates comprehensive consideration across various facets.	811

5. Conclusions

This review paper describes a review in data-driven innovative technologies in the813realm of PN, offering a comprehensive and holistic overview of the various technologies814and their applications in this research field. Adhering to the PRISMA model, the reviewed815works cover the period from 2021 to date, emphasising the synergy between Computer816Science and PN.817

The findings indicate that the predominant approach to amalgamating these two 818 fields involves the use of recommendation systems. These systems are further categorised 819 into Nutrition, Recipe, and Restaurant Recommendation Systems. The diversity in tech-820 nologies and methods employed by these recommendation systems is noteworthy. Com-821 mon across nearly all recommendation systems are the inputs utilized, including user data 822 and food images. Another shared feature is the mediums employed to gather inputs, typ-823 ically through questionnaires, web-based apps, mobile apps, and desktop-based apps. 824

A dedicated chapter delves into the technologies employed for data collection, highlighting the crucial roles of wearable and non-wearable sensors, cameras, smartphones, and mobile applications. The development of user-friendly interfaces for these recommendation systems, coupled with the integration of wireless connected devices for data provision, holds the potential to guide individuals towards healthier lifestyles using mobile apps for personalized nutrition. 830

Finally, this literature review identified several research challenges. The paper lists the most significant challenges within the realms of nutrition, computer science technologies, and sustainability, offering a comprehensive perspective on the research field.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.T., L.G. and K.D.; methodology, K.D.; validation, L.G.835and K.D.; formal analysis, D.T.; investigation, D.T.; writing—original draft preparation, D.T.; writi836ing—review and editing, D.T., L.G. and K.D.; visualization, D.T.; supervision, L.G and K.D.; project837administration, L.G.; funding acquisition, L.G. and K.D. All authors have read and agreed to the838published version of the manuscript.839

Funding: This research was funded by HORIZON EUROPE Framework Programme, grant number840101086105 (FOODITY: FOod and nutritiOn Data-driven innovation respectful of citizen's Data Sov-841ereIgnTY).842

References

- Mahumud, R.A.; Sahle, B.W.; Owusu-Addo, E.; Chen, W.; Morton, R.L.; Renzaho, A.M.N. Association of Dietary Intake, Physical Activity, and Sedentary Behaviours with Overweight and Obesity among 282,213 Adolescents in 89 Low and Middle Income to High-Income Countries. *Int J Obes* 2021, 45, 2404–2418, doi:10.1038/s41366-021-00908-0.
- Blüher, M. Obesity: Global Epidemiology and Pathogenesis. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2019, 15, 288–298, 848 doi:10.1038/s41574-019-0176-8.
 849
- Hammond, R.A.; Dubé, L. A Systems Science Perspective and Transdisciplinary Models for Food and Nutrition 850 Security. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2012, 109, 12356–12363, doi:10.1073/pnas.0913003109.
- World Health Organization. Available Online: Https://Www.Who.Int/Data/Gho/Indicator-Metadata-Registry/Imr-Details/3420 (Accessed on 4 March 2024). 2024.
 853
- Mariadoss, S.; Augustin, F. Enhanced Sugeno Fuzzy Inference System with Fuzzy AHP and Coefficient of Variation to Diagnose Cardiovascular Disease during Pregnancy. *Journal of King Saud University - Computer and Information Sciences* 2023, *35*, 101659, doi:10.1016/j.jksuci.2023.101659.
- Betts, J.A.; Gonzalez, J.T. Personalised Nutrition: What Makes You so Special? *Nutrition Bulletin* 2016, 41, 353–359, doi:10.1111/nbu.12238.
- Mathers, J.C. Paving the Way to Better Population Health through Personalised Nutrition. *EFS2* 2019, 17, 859 doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2019.e170713.
- Di Renzo, L.; Gualtieri, P.; Romano, L.; Marrone, G.; Noce, A.; Pujia, A.; Perrone, M.A.; Aiello, V.; Colica, C.; De
 Lorenzo, A. Role of Personalized Nutrition in Chronic-Degenerative Diseases. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 1707, 862
 doi:10.3390/nu11081707.
- Konstantinidou, V.; Ruiz, L.A.D.; Ordovás, J.M. Personalized Nutrition and Cardiovascular Disease Prevention: 864
 From Framingham to PREDIMED. Advances in Nutrition 2014, 5, 368S-371S, doi:10.3945/an.113.005686. 865
- Wheatley, S.D.; Deakin, T.A.; Arjomandkhah, N.C.; Hollinrake, P.B.; Reeves, T.E. Low Carbohydrate Dietary Approaches for People With Type 2 Diabetes—A Narrative Review. *Front. Nutr.* 2021, *8*, 687658, 867 doi:10.3389/fnut.2021.687658.

843

831

832

833 834

- Shastri, A.A.; Lombardo, J.; Okere, S.C.; Higgins, S.; Smith, B.C.; DeAngelis, T.; Palagani, A.; Hines, K.; Monti,
 D.A.; Volpe, S.; et al. Personalized Nutrition as a Key Contributor to Improving Radiation Response in Breast
 Cancer. *IJMS* 2021, 23, 175, doi:10.3390/ijms23010175.
- Miyazawa, T.; Hiratsuka, Y.; Toda, M.; Hatakeyama, N.; Ozawa, H.; Abe, C.; Cheng, T.-Y.; Matsushima, Y.; Miyawaki, Y.; Ashida, K.; et al. Artificial Intelligence in Food Science and Nutrition: A Narrative Review. *Nutrition* 873 *Reviews* 2022, *80*, 2288–2300, doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuac033.
- Sood, S.; Singh, H. Computer Vision and Machine Learning Based Approaches for Food Security: A Review. *Multimed Tools Appl* 2021, *80*, 27973–27999, doi:10.1007/s11042-021-11036-2.
- Trabucchi, D.; Buganza, T. Data-Driven Innovation: Switching the Perspective on Big Data. *EJIM* 2019, 22, 23–40, 877 doi:10.1108/EJIM-01-2018-0017.
- Theodoridis, T.; Solachidis, V.; Dimitropoulos, K.; Gymnopoulos, L.; Daras, P. A Survey on AI Nutrition Recommender Systems. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 12th ACM International Conference on PErvasive Technologies Related to Assistive Environments; ACM: Rhodes Greece, June 5 2019; pp. 540–546, doi: 881 10.1145/3316782.3322760.
- Agrawal, K.; Kumar, N. Artificial Intelligence Innovations: Inception of New Horizons in Food Processing Sector.
 In Proceedings of the 2023 IEEE Silchar Subsection Conference (SILCON); IEEE: Silchar, India, November 3 2023;
 pp. 1–8, doi: 10.1109/SILCON59133.2023.10404183.
- Roy, D.; Dutta, M. A Survey on Personalized Health Recommender Systems for Diverse Healthcare Applications.
 In Proceedings of the 2023 4th International Conference on Computing and Communication Systems (I3CS); IEEE:
 Shillong, India, March 16 2023; pp. 1–9, doi: 10.1109/I3CS58314.2023.10127238.
- Sempionatto, J.R.; Montiel, V.R.-V.; Vargas, E.; Teymourian, H.; Wang, J. Wearable and Mobile Sensors for Personalized Nutrition. ACS Sens. 2021, 6, 1745–1760, doi:10.1021/acssensors.1c00553.
- Wilson-Barnes, S.; Pongcharoenyong, L.; Gymopoulos, L.; Dimitropoulos, K.; Jaksic, M.; Mihajlović, A.; Brkic, B.;
 Lanham-New, S.; Hart, K. The Utility of Breath Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) Sampling as a Biomarker of
 Sub-Optimal Nutritional Status: A UK Pilot Study. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 2022, *81*, E90, doi:10.1017/S0029665122001197.
 893
- Kolodziejczyk, A.A.; Zheng, D.; Elinav, E. Diet–Microbiota Interactions and Personalized Nutrition. *Nat Rev Mi*crobiol 2019, 17, 742–753, doi:10.1038/s41579-019-0256-8.
 895
- Van Ommen, B.; Van Den Broek, T.; De Hoogh, I.; Van Erk, M.; Van Someren, E.; Rouhani-Rankouhi, T.; Anthony,
 J.C.; Hogenelst, K.; Pasman, W.; Boorsma, A.; et al. Systems Biology of Personalized Nutrition. *Nutrition Reviews* 2017, 75, 579–599, doi:10.1093/nutrit/nux029.
- Ordovas, J.M.; Ferguson, L.R.; Tai, E.S.; Mathers, J.C. Personalised Nutrition and Health. *BMJ* 2018, bmj.k2173, 899 doi:10.1136/bmj.k2173.
- Michel, M.; Burbidge, A. Nutrition in the Digital Age How Digital Tools Can Help to Solve the Personalized 901
 Nutrition Conundrum. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 2019, 90, 194–200, doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2019.02.018.
- Laddu, D.; Hauser, M. Addressing the Nutritional Phenotype Through Personalized Nutrition for Chronic Disease Prevention and Management. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases* 2019, 62, 9–14, doi:10.1016/j.pcad.2018.12.004.
- Beura, S.; Kundu, P.; Das, A.K.; Ghosh, A. Metagenome-Scale Community Metabolic Modelling for Understanding the Role of Gut Microbiota in Human Health. *Computers in Biology and Medicine* 2022, 149, 105997, 906 doi:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2022.105997.
- Amorim, D.; Miranda, F.; Ferreira, L.; Abreu, C. Data-Driven Carbohydrate Counting Accuracy Monitoring: A 908 Personalized Approach. *Procedia Computer Science* 2022, 204, 900–906, doi:10.1016/j.procs.2022.08.109. 909

- Greenberg, K.L.; Poupko, T.; Sorotzkin, D.; Keidar, O.; Zwas, D.R. Development and Usage of a Health Recommendations web Tool (HeaRT) Designed to Inform Women of Personalized Preventive Health Recommendations.
 Internet Interventions 2023, *31*, 100599, doi:10.1016/j.invent.2022.100599.
- Stefanidis, K.; Tsatsou, D.; Konstantinidis, D.; Gymnopoulos, L.; Daras, P.; Wilson-Barnes, S.; Hart, K.; Cornelissen,
 V.; Decorte, E.; Lalama, E.; et al. PROTEIN AI Advisor: A Knowledge-Based Recommendation Framework Using
 Expert-Validated Meals for Healthy Diets. *Nutrients* 2022, *14*, 4435, doi:10.3390/nu14204435.
- Yang, L.; Hsieh, C.-K.; Yang, H.; Pollak, J.P.; Dell, N.; Belongie, S.; Cole, C.; Estrin, D. Yum-Me: A Personalized
 Nutrient-Based Meal Recommender System. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.* 2018, *36*, 1–31, doi:10.1145/3072614.
- Harvey, M.; Elsweiler, D. Automated Recommendation of Healthy, Personalised Meal Plans. In Proceedings of 918 the Proceedings of the 9th ACM Conference on Recommender Systems; ACM: Vienna Austria, September 16 2015; 919 pp. 327–328, doi:10.1145/2792838.2796551. 920
- Livingstone, K.M.; Ramos-Lopez, O.; Pérusse, L.; Kato, H.; Ordovas, J.M.; Martínez, J.A. Precision Nutrition: A 921 Review of Current Approaches and Future Endeavors. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* 2022, 128, 253–264, 922 doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2022.08.017.
- Kirk, D.; Catal, C.; Tekinerdogan, B. Precision Nutrition: A Systematic Literature Review. *Computers in Biology and Medicine* 2021, 133, 104365, doi:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104365.
- Page, M.J.; Moher, D.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.; Akl,
 E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. PRISMA 2020 Explanation and Elaboration: Updated Guidance and Exemplars for Reporting Systematic Reviews. *BMJ* 2021, n160, doi:10.1136/bmj.n160.
- Page, M.J.; McKenzie, J.E.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.;
 Akl, E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. The PRISMA 2020 Statement: An Updated Guideline for Reporting Systematic Reviews. *BMJ* 2021, n71, doi:10.1136/bmj.n71.
 931
- Haddaway, N. R.; Page, M. J.; Pritchard, C. C.; McGuinness, L. A. PRISMA2020: An R Package and Shiny App for
 Producing PRISMA 2020-Compliant Flow Diagrams, with Interactivity for Optimised Digital Transparency and
 Open Synthesis. Campbell Systematic Reviews 2022, 18., doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/cl2.1230.
 934
- 36. Haseena, S.; Saroja, S.; Revathi, T. A Fuzzy Approach for Multi Criteria Decision Making in Diet Plan Ranking
 935 System Using Cuckoo Optimization. *Neural Comput & Applic* 2022, 34, 13625–13638, doi:10.1007/s00521-022-07163 936 y.
- 37. Lakshmi, M.J.; Niharika, G.; Kodipalli, A.; Rohini, B.R.; Gargi, N.; Soma, B. Revolutionizing Personalized Nutrition
 938
 Using F-AHP, Fuzzy TOPSIS and Multicriteria Selection Analysis. In Proceedings of the 2023 International Con939
 ference on Recent Advances in Science and Engineering Technology (ICRASET); IEEE: B G NAGARA, India, No940
 vember 23 2023; pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/ICRASET59632.2023.10420363.
 941
- Zhang, J.; Li, M.; Liu, W.; Lauria, S.; Liu, X. Many-Objective Optimization Meets Recommendation Systems: A 942
 Food Recommendation Scenario. *Neurocomputing* 2022, 503, 109–117, doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2022.06.081. 943
- 39. Salloum, G.; Tekli, J. Automated and Personalized Meal Plan Generation and Relevance Scoring Using a Multi 944
 Factor Adaptation of the Transportation Problem. *Soft Comput* 2022, *26*, 2561–2585, doi:10.1007/s00500-021-06400 945
 1.
- 40. Rout, A.K.; Sethy, A.; Mouli, N.S. Machine Learning Model for Awareness of Diet Recommendation. In Proceedings of the 2023 International Conference on Inventive Computation Technologies (ICICT); IEEE: Lalitpur, Nepal, 948 April 26 2023; pp. 96–101, doi:10.1109/ICICT57646.2023.10133998.
 949

- Kaur, R.; Kumar, R.; Gupta, M. Food Image-Based Diet Recommendation Framework to Overcome PCOS Problem 950 in Women Using Deep Convolutional Neural Network. *Computers and Electrical Engineering* 2022, 103, 108298, 951 doi:10.1016/j.compeleceng.2022.108298. 952
- Aguilar, E.; Nagarajan, B.; Remeseiro, B.; Radeva, P. Bayesian Deep Learning for Semantic Segmentation of Food 953 Images. *Computers and Electrical Engineering* 2022, 103, 108380, doi:10.1016/j.compeleceng.2022.108380.
- 43. Romero-Tapiador, S.; Tolosana, R.; Morales, A.; Fierrez, J.; Vera-Rodriguez, R.; Espinosa-Salinas, I.; Freixer, G.; De
 955
 Santa Pau, E.C.; De Molina, A.R.; Ortega-Garcia, J. AI4Food-NutritionFW: A Novel Framework for the Automatic
 956
 Synthesis and Analysis of Eating Behaviours. *IEEE Access* 2023, 11, 112199–112211, doi:10.1109/AC957
 CESS.2023.3322770.
- 44. Azzimani, K.; Bihri, H.; Dahmi, A.; Azzouzi, S.; Charaf, M.E.H. An AI Based Approach for Personalized Nutrition 959 and Food Menu Planning. In Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE 3rd International Conference on Electronics, Control, 960 Optimization and Computer Science (ICECOCS); IEEE: Fez, Morocco, December 1 2022; pp. 1–5, 961 doi:10.1109/ICECOCS55148.2022.9983099. 962
- Shandilya, R.; Sharma, S.; Wong, J. MATURE-Food: Food Recommender System for MAndatory FeaTURE Choices 963 A System for Enabling Digital Health. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights* 2022, 2, 100090, 964 doi:10.1016/j.jjimei.2022.100090. 965
- Xu, Z.; Li, J.; Topaz, M. ElCombo: Knowledge-Based Personalized Meal Recommendation System for Chinese
 Community-Dwelling Elders. In Proceedings of the 2023 IEEE 11th International Conference on Healthcare Infor matics (ICHI); IEEE: Houston, TX, USA, June 26 2023; pp. 478–479, doi:10.1109/ICHI57859.2023.00072.
- 47. Hosen, M.A.; Moz, S.H.; Kabir, Sk.S.; Galib, Dr.S.Md.; Adnan, Dr.Md.N. Enhancing Thyroid Patient Dietary Management with an Optimized Recommender System Based on PSO and K-Means. *Procedia Computer Science* 2023, 970
 230, 688–697, doi:10.1016/j.procs.2023.12.124.
 971
- Larizza, C.; Bosoni, P.; Quaglini, S.; Chasseur, M.; Bevolo, V.; Zuccotti, G.; Calcaterra, V. V-Care: An Application 972 to Support Lifestyle Improvement in Children with Obesity. *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 2023, 177, 973 105140, doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2023.105140.
- Lodhi, A.B.; Bilal, M.A.; Bilal, H.S.M.; Khan, K.U.; Satti, F.A.; Khalid, S.; Lee, S. PNRG: Knowledge Graph-Driven 975 Methodology for Personalized Nutritional Recommendation Generation. In *Digital Health Transformation, Smart 976 Ageing, and Managing Disability*; Jongbae, K., Mokhtari, M., Aloulou, H., Abdulrazak, B., Seungbok, L., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer Nature Switzerland: Cham, 2023; Vol. 14237, pp. 230–238 ISBN 978-3-031-43949-0, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-43950-6_20.
- Burgermaster, M.; Desai, P.M.; Heitkemper, E.M.; Juul, F.; Mitchell, E.G.; Turchioe, M.; Albers, D.J.; Levine, M.E.;
 Larson, D.; Mamykina, L. Who Needs What (Features) When? Personalizing Engagement with Data-Driven Self Management to Improve Health Equity. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 2023, 144, 104419, 982
 doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2023.104419.
- Islam, T.; Joyita, A.R.; Alam, M.G.R.; Hassan, M.M.; Hassan, M.R.; Gravina, R. Human Behavior-Based Personalized Meal Recommendation and Menu Planning Social System 2023, doi:10.1109/TCSS.2022.3213506.
- Yang, J. Tailored Nutrition Service to Reduce the Risk of Chronic Diseases. In *Health Information Science*; Traina, 986
 A., Wang, H., Zhang, Y., Siuly, S., Zhou, R., Chen, L., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer Nature 987
 Switzerland: Cham, 2022; Vol. 13705, pp. 64–75 ISBN 978-3-031-20626-9, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-20627-6_7. 988
- Fu, C.; Huang, Z.; Van Harmelen, F.; He, T.; Jiang, X. Food4healthKG: Knowledge Graphs for Food Recommen dations Based on Gut Microbiota and Mental Health. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine* 2023, 145, 102677,
 doi:10.1016/j.artmed.2023.102677.

- 54. Yang, J. Using Nutrigenomics to Guide Personalized Nutrition Supplementation for Bolstering Immune System.
 992 *Health Inf Sci Syst* 2023, 11, 4, doi:10.1007/s13755-022-00208-5.
 993
- Yang, J. Genetic Data Analysis and Business Process Management Platform for Personalized Nutrition Service. In *Computational Science and Its Applications – ICCSA 2022 Workshops*; Gervasi, O., Murgante, B., Misra, S., Rocha, 995
 A.M.A.C., Garau, C., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2022; 996
 Vol. 13381, pp. 550–559 ISBN 978-3-031-10547-0, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-10548-7_40. 997
- 56. Geng, S.; He, X.; Liang, G.; Niu, B.; Liu, S.; He, Y. Accuracy-Diversity Optimization in Personalized Recommender
 998
 System via Trajectory Reinforcement Based Bacterial Colony Optimization. *Information Processing & Management* 999
 2023, 60, 103205, doi:10.1016/j.ipm.2022.103205.
- 57. Sahal, R.; Alsamhi, S.H.; Brown, K.N. Personal Digital Twin: A Close Look into the Present and a Step towards 1001 the Future of Personalised Healthcare Industry. *Sensors* 2022, 22, 5918, doi:10.3390/s22155918.
 1002
- 58. Chivukula, R.; Lakshmi, T.J.; Sumalatha, S.; Reddy, K.L.R. Ontology Based Food Recommendation. In *IOT with* 1003 *Smart Systems*; Senjyu, T., Mahalle, P., Perumal, T., Joshi, A., Eds.; Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies; 1004 Springer Nature Singapore: Singapore, 2022; Vol. 251, pp. 751–759 ISBN 9789811639449, doi:10.1007/978-981-16-3945-6_74. 1006
- 59. Kaur, R.; Jain, M.; McAdams, R.M.; Sun, Y.; Gupta, S.; Mutharaju, R.; Cho, S.J.; Saluja, S.; Palma, J.P.; Kaur, A.; et
 1007
 al. An Ontology and Rule-Based Clinical Decision Support System for Personalized Nutrition Recommendations
 1008
 in the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit. *IEEE Access* 2023, *11*, 142433–142446, doi:10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3341403.
 1009
- Martinho, D.; Crista, V.; Karakaya, Z.; Gamechi, Z.; Freitas, A.; Neves, J.; Novais, P.; Marreiros, G. Design and
 Development of Ontology for AI-Based Software Systems to Manage the Food Intake and Energy Consumption
 of Obesity, Diabetes and Tube Feeding Patients. In *Progress in Artificial Intelligence*; Moniz, N., Vale, Z., Cascalho,
 J., Silva, C., Sebastião, R., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer Nature Switzerland: Cham, 2023; Vol.
 1013
 14115, pp. 29–40 ISBN 978-3-031-49007-1, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-49008-8_3.
- Rostami, M.; Berahmand, K.; Forouzandeh, S.; Ahmadian, S.; Farrahi, V.; Oussalah, M. A Novel Healthy Food
 Recommendation to User Groups Based on a Deep Social Community Detection Approach. *Neurocomputing* 2024, 1016
 576, 127326, doi:10.1016/j.neucom.2024.127326.
- Palacios, C.; Bolton, J.; Wang, W.; Gatto, A.; Concepción, L.; Sadjadi, M.; Varella, M.; Hannan, J. Development and
 Pilot Testing of the Baby-Feed Web Application for Healthcare Professionals and Parents to Improve Infant Diets.
 International Journal of Medical Informatics 2023, 174, 105047, doi:10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2023.105047.
- Wang, X.; Li, Z.; Wu, H. Personalized Recommendation Method of "Carbohydrate-Protein" Supplement Based on 1021 Machine Learning and Enumeration Method. *IEEE Access* 2023, 11, 100573–100586, doi:10.1109/AC-1022 CESS.2023.3314699.
- 64. Cunha, C.; Duarte, P.; Oliveira, R. Nutrition Control System Based on Short-Term Personal Demands. *Procedia* 1024 *Computer Science* 2023, 224, 565–571, doi:10.1016/j.procs.2023.09.082.
- Neha, K.; Sanjan, P.; Hariharan, S.; Namitha, S.; Jyoshna, A.; Prasad, A.B. Food Prediction Based on Recipe Using
 Machine Learning Algorithms. In Proceedings of the 2023 Second International Conference on Augmented Intel ligence and Sustainable Systems (ICAISS); IEEE: Trichy, India, August 23 2023; pp. 411–416, 1028
 doi:10.1109/ICAISS58487.2023.10250758.
- 66. Wang, S.; Xia, K.; Yang, Y.; Qiu, R.; Qi, Y.; Miao, Q.; Xie, W.; Liu, T. A Recommender System for Healthy Food
 1030 Choices Based on Integer Programming. In *Advances in Transdisciplinary Engineering*; Chen, C.-H., Scapellato, A.,
 1031 Barbiero, A., Korzun, D.G., Eds.; IOS Press, 2022 ISBN 978-1-64368-352-2, doi:10.3233/ATDE221062.
 1032

- Buzcu, B.; Varadhajaran, V.; Tchappi, I.; Najjar, A.; Calvaresi, D.; Aydoğan, R. Explanation-Based Negotiation
 Protocol for Nutrition Virtual Coaching. In *PRIMA 2022: Principles and Practice of Multi-Agent Systems*; Aydoğan,
 R., Criado, N., Lang, J., Sanchez-Anguix, V., Serramia, M., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer
 International Publishing: Cham, 2023; Vol. 13753, pp. 20–36 ISBN 978-3-031-21202-4, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-212031036
 1_2.
- 68. Shubhashree, L.A.; Chaudhari, S.; Aparna, R. A Nutrition-Based Smart Recipe Recommender For Healthy Living. 1038
 In Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE 3rd Global Conference for Advancement in Technology (GCAT); IEEE: Bangalore, 1039
 India, October 7 2022; pp. 1–6, doi:10.1109/GCAT55367.2022.9971930. 1040
- 69. Ribeiro, D.; Barbosa, T.; Ribeiro, J.; Sousa, F.; Vieira, E.F.; Silva, M.; Silva, A. SousChef System for Personalized
 1041
 Meal Recommendations: A Validation Study. *Applied Sciences* 2022, *12*, 702, doi:10.3390/app12020702.
 1042
- 70. Wu, H.; Chen, X.; Li, X.; Ma, H.; Zheng, Y.; Li, X.; Meng, X.; Meng, L. A Visually-Aware Food Analysis System for 1043
 Diet Management. In Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo Workshops 1044
 (ICMEW); IEEE: Taipei City, Taiwan, July 18 2022; pp. 1–1, doi:10.1109/ICMEW56448.2022.9859471. 1045
- Forouzandeh, S.; Rostami, M.; Berahmand, K.; Sheikhpour, R. Health-Aware Food Recommendation System with Dual Attention in Heterogeneous Graphs. *Computers in Biology and Medicine* 2024, 169, 107882, doi:10.1016/j.comp biomed.2023.107882.
- RahmathNisha, S.; Aarthi, M.; Shyamala, C.; Pavithra, M.; Vallipriyadharshini, K.; Raj, B.S. Intelligent Nutrition 1049
 Assistant Application. In Proceedings of the 2023 12th International Conference on Advanced Computing 1050 (ICoAC); IEEE: Chennai, India, August 17 2023; pp. 1–6, doi10.1109/ICoAC59537.2023.10249611. 1051
- Yera, R.; Alzahrani, A.A.; Martínez, L. Exploring Post-Hoc Agnostic Models for Explainable Cooking Recipe Rec ommendations. *Knowledge-Based Systems* 2022, 251, 109216, doi:10.1016/j.knosys.2022.109216.
- 74. Li, D.; Zaki, M.J.; Chen, C. Health-Guided Recipe Recommendation over Knowledge Graphs. *Journal of Web Se-* 1054 *mantics* 2023, 75, 100743, doi:10.1016/j.websem.2022.100743.
- Kansaksiri, P.; Panomkhet, P.; Tantisuwichwong, N. Smart Cuisine: Generative Recipe & ChatGPT Powered Nu-75. 1056 trition Assistance for Sustainable Cooking. Procedia Computer Science 2023. 225, 2028-2036, 1057 doi:10.1016/j.procs.2023.10.193. 1058
- 76. Safitri, S.; Mantoro, T.; Bhakti, M.A.C.; Wandy, W. Cooking and Food Information Chatbot System Using GPT-3. 1059
 In Proceedings of the 2023 IEEE 9th International Conference on Computing, Engineering and Design (ICCED); 1060
 IEEE: Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, November 7 2023; pp. 1–6, doi:10.1109/ICCED60214.2023.10425553. 1061
- Hasan, W.U.; Tuz Zaman, K.; Zadeh, M.S.A.T.; Li, J. Eat This, Not That! A Personalised Restaurant Menu De coder That Helps You Pick the Right Food. In Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE International Conference on E-health
 Networking, Application & Services (HealthCom); IEEE: Genoa, Italy, October 17 2022; pp. 43–48, 1064
 doi:10.1109/HealthCom54947.2022.9982770.
- Takahashi, C.; Matsushita, M.; Yamanishi, R. Exploration Cycle Finding a Better Dining Experience: A Framework 1066 of Meal-Plates. *Procedia Computer Science* 2023, 225, 2902–2911, doi:10.1016/j.procs.2023.10.283.
- 79. Sonkusale, S. Smart Threads for Tissue-Embedded Bioelectronics. In Proceedings of the 2022 IEEE Custom Integrated Circuits Conference (CICC); IEEE: Newport Beach, CA, USA, April 2022; pp. 01–07, 1069 doi:10.1109/CICC53496.2022.9772846.
- Ates, H.C.; Nguyen, P.Q.; Gonzalez-Macia, L.; Morales-Narváez, E.; Güder, F.; Collins, J.J.; Dincer, C. End-to-End
 Design of Wearable Sensors. *Nat Rev Mater* 2022, *7*, 887–907, doi:10.1038/s41578-022-00460-x.
- Migliorelli, C.; Ros-Freixedes, L.; Gomez-Martinez, M.; Sistach-Bosch, L.; Orte, S. Carpediem: Investigating the Interactions of Health Pillars to Design Holistic Recommendations for Achieving Long-Term Changes in Lifestyle

Behaviours. In Intelligent Computing; Arai, K., Ed.; Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems; Springer Nature Swit-1075zerland: Cham, 2023; Vol. 711, pp. 1378–1395 ISBN 978-3-031-37716-7, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-37717-4_91.1076

- Wang, M.; Yang, Y.; Min, J.; Song, Y.; Tu, J.; Mukasa, D.; Ye, C.; Xu, C.; Heflin, N.; McCune, J.S.; et al. A Wearable
 Electrochemical Biosensor for the Monitoring of Metabolites and Nutrients. *Nat. Biomed. Eng* 2022, *6*, 1225–1235,
 doi:10.1038/s41551-022-00916-z.
- Khan, M.I.; Acharya, B.; Chaurasiya, R.K. iHearken: Chewing Sound Signal Analysis Based Food Intake Recognition System Using Bi-LSTM Softmax Network. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine* 2022, 221, 106843, 1081 doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2022.106843.
- Xiao-Yong, C.; Bo-Xiong, Y.; Shuai, Z.; Jie, D.; Peng, S.; Lin, G. Intelligent Health Management Based on Analysis
 of Big Data Collected by Wearable Smart Watch. *Cognitive Robotics* 2023, *3*, 1–7, doi:10.1016/j.cogr.2022.12.003.
- Rivera-Romero, O.; Gabarron, E.; Ropero, J.; Denecke, K. Designing Personalised mHealth Solutions: An Overview. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics* 2023, 146, 104500, doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2023.104500.
- 86. Zamanillo-Campos, R.; Fiol-deRoque, M.A.; Serrano-Ripoll, M.J.; Mira-Martínez, S.; Ricci-Cabello, I. Development 1087 and Evaluation of DiabeText, a Personalized mHealth Intervention to Support Medication Adherence and Lifestyle Change Behaviour in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes in Spain: A Mixed-Methods Phase II Pragmatic Random 1089 ized Controlled Clinical Trial. *International Journal of Medical Informatics* 2023, 176, 105103, doi:10.1016/j.ijme-1090 dinf.2023.105103.
- Martínez-Rodríguez, A.; Martínez-Olcina, M.; Mora, J.; Navarro, P.; Caturla, N.; Jones, J. New App-Based Dietary 1092 and Lifestyle Intervention on Weight Loss and Cardiovascular Health. *Sensors* 2022, 22, 768, doi:10.3390/s22030768.
- Al-Rayes, S.; Al Yaqoub, F.A.; Alfayez, A.; Alsalman, D.; Alanezi, F.; Alyousef, S.; AlNujaidi, H.; Al-Saif, A.K.; 1094
 Attar, R.; Aljabri, D.; et al. Gaming Elements, Applications, and Challenges of Gamification in Healthcare. *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked* 2022, 31, 100974, doi:10.1016/j.imu.2022.100974.
- 89. Oc, Y.; Plangger, K. GIST Do It! How Motivational Mechanisms Help Wearable Users Develop Healthy Habits. 1097 Computers in Human Behavior 2022, 128, 107089, doi:10.1016/j.chb.2021.107089.
- 90. Andres, F. Data Engineering Challenges in Intelligent Food and Cooking Recipes. In Proceedings of the 2023 IEEE 1099
 39th International Conference on Data Engineering Workshops (ICDEW); IEEE: Anaheim, CA, USA, April 2023; 1100
 pp. 214–217, doi:10.1109/ICDEW58674.2023.00041. 1101
- Sedrakyan, G.; Gavai, A.; Van Hillegersberg, J. Design Implications Towards Human-Centric Semantic Recommenders for Sustainable Food Consumption. In *Advances in Conceptual Modeling*; Sales, T.P., Araújo, J., Borbinha, 1103
 J., Guizzardi, G., Eds.; Lecture Notes in Computer Science; Springer Nature Switzerland: Cham, 2023; Vol. 14319, 1104
 pp. 312–328 ISBN 978-3-031-47111-7, doi:10.1007/978-3-031-47112-4_29. 1105
- 92. European Commission. Directorate General for Research and Innovation. *Food 2030: Pathways for Action 2.0 : R&I* 1106 *Policy as a Driver for Sustainable, Healthy, Climate Resilient and Inclusive Food Systems.*; Publications Office: LU, 2023, 1107 doi:10.2777/365011; 1108

1109

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to 1111 people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content. 1112