







Berlin University Alliance Collaboration Platform CoARA Action Plan

Version 1.0 (August 2024)

Contact:

Dr. Sybille Hinze

Center for Open and Responsible Research,

Objective – Advancing Research Quality and Value

Berlin University Alliance Kleine Präsidentenstraße 1 10178 Berlin

Email: sybille.hinze@berlin-university-alliance.de

Preamble

The Berlin University Alliance Collaboration Platform (BUA Collaboration Platform) joined the Coalition on Advancing Research Assessment (CoARA) in April 2023. By joining CoARA, the BUA Collaboration Platform commits itself to reviewing the criteria, instruments and processes of research assessment, aiming to implement practices that ensure that diverse types of research outputs, practices and activities as well as different career paths, personal circumstances and framework conditions are taken into account when assessing the quality and impact of research.

The BUA Collaboration Platform, legally set up as a joint public corporation, is the management unit of the Berlin University Alliance, which is the Alliance of the Freie Universität Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Technische Universität Berlin and Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin (BUA partners). The four partners joined forces to overcome institutional and disciplinary boundaries in order to create an integrated research environment and to turn Berlin into one of the world's leading research hubs. The Berlin University Alliance is funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and the State of Berlin as part of the Excellence Strategy of the federal and state governments.

While the Collaboration Platform does not perform research on its own, it coordinates, supports and facilitates activities within and across the BUA partner organizations, i.e., its main functions are:

- supporting excellent researchers beyond institutional boundaries and
- sharing resources and providing new joint services

within the Alliance. The Berlin University Alliance pursues these tasks along five Objectives (Focusing on Grand Challenges, Fostering Knowledge Exchange, Advancing Research Quality and Value, Promoting Talent and Sharing Resources) and 3 Cross Cutting Themes (Diversity and Gender Equality, Teaching and Learning an Internationalization).

The subjects of the BUA's evaluation processes are therefore in particular the selection procedures for projects and individuals to be funded by the BUA as well as their performance monitoring and evaluation during and after the funding. Decisions regarding the assessment processes within the BUA partners are and remain within their autonomy. Yet, the vision and overarching aim of the Alliance is to turn Berlin into an integrated research environment by facilitating research collaboration, career development and knowledge exchange across the traditional boundaries of personal networks, institutions and disciplines. Consequently, assessment processes should be based on a shared understanding of values, compatible processes, procedures, and criteria. The BUA Collaboration Platform facilitates their formation and contributes to creating a common understanding and orientation on current developments and principles of research assessment.

In its effort to fulfill the commitments outlined in this Agreement, the BUA Collaboration Platform has developed the following action plan to guide its activities related to research assessment.

Co	mmitment	Sco	рре	Action	Responsibility	Time Frame
1.	Recognise the diversity of contributions to, and careers in, research in accordance with the needs and nature of the research	a)	Internal BUA Collaboration Platform (BUA ColPf) processes, i.e., measure and project selection, monitoring and assessment	Review selection, monitoring and assessment processes and criteria in place. Identify and implement selection, monitoring and assessment processes and criteria to accommodate diversity of and within BUA activities.	BUA management (overall), Center for Open and re- sponsible Research (CORe) in collaboration with BUA Quality Management Unit (QMU) (operational)	Status: Ongoing Review of existing guidelines / SOPs to be finalized by end 2024 Draft for adjusted / new processes and criteria by mid- 2025 Continuous reflection in the context of new selection processes and annual review
		b)	With relevance for BUA partner organizations	While decisions regarding the assessment processes and criteria applied within the BUA partners are within their autonomy, BUA ColPf contributes to develop a shared understanding of values, compatible processes, procedures, and criteria (see commitment 5).	BUA Objective 3 (Advancing Research Quality and Value)	Status: Ongoing, to be continued for the term of BUA
2.	Base research assessment primarily on qualitative evaluation for which peer review is central, supported by responsible use of quantitative indicators	a)	Internal BUA CoIPf processes, i.e., measure and project selection, monitoring and assessment	BUA ColPf project selection processes have been based on peer review from the beginning and will continue to do so. Quantitative indicators are used very selectively. Still, guidelines and SOPs outlining the selection, monitoring and assessment principles will be reviewed	BUA management (overall) Center for Open and responsible Research (CORe) in collaboration with BUA Quality Management Unit (QMU) (operational)	Status: Ongoing Review to be finalized by end 2024 Draft for new guidelines / SOPs by mid-2025 Continuous reflection in the context of new assessment and selection processes and annual review

		1		and novice of (value and the state of value		
				and revised (where needed)		
				in line with the principle.		
		b)	With relevance for BUA	Implementing guidelines	BUA Objective 3 (Advancing	Concept for trainings on peer
			partner organizations	and SOPs will be comple-	Research Quality and	review by end 2024
				mented by building up	Value)	
				knowledge and skills about		
				peer review processes.		
3.	Abandon inappropriate	a)	Internal BUA ColPf pro-	Within BUA funding lines	BUA management (overall)	Status: Ongoing
.	uses in research assess-	۵,	cesses, i.e., measure and	provision of publication-	Center for Open and re-	Review to be finalized by end
	ment of journal- and		project selection, moni-	based metrics (JiF and / or h-	sponsible Research (CORe)	2024
	•			· · · ·	1 .	
	publication-based met-		toring and assessment	index) is not required, these	in collaboration with BUA	Draft for adjusted / new pro-
	rics, in particular inap-			indices are not considered in	Quality Management Unit	cesses and criteria by mid-
	propriate uses of Journal			selection processes. Still, to	(QMU) (operational)	2025
	Impact Factor (JIF) and h-			clarify refraining from using		Continuous reflection in the
	index			these indicators, guidelines		context of new assessment
				and SOPs will be reviewed,		and selection processes and
				revised and communicated.		annual review Status: Ongo-
						ing, to be continued for the
						term of BUA
		b)	With relevance for BUA	While the decision on as-	CORe	
		ĺ <i>'</i>	partner organizations	sessment processes per-		
			,	formed within the BUA part-		
				ners remain within their au-		
				tonomy, the BUA contrib-		
				utes to continuously engag-		
				ing with relevant stakehold-		
				ers within BUA organizations		
				and beyond in order to ad-		
				vocate responsible use of		
				I -		
				adequate indicators. In par-		
				ticular, CORe engages in		
				provision of education of		

				training about adequate use		
				of quantitative indicators in		
				particular as an active mem-		
				ber of the Consortium run-		
				ning the European Summer		
				School for Scientometrics		
				(esss) which provides train-		
				ing and state-of the art sci-		
				entometric methods, proce-		
				dures, indicators.		
4.	Avoid the use of rankings	a)	Internal BUA ColPf pro-	BUA ColPf itself is not a re-		
	of research organisations		cesses	search organization and		
	in research assessment			thus, rankings are not rele-		
				vant at this level.		
		b)	With relevance for BUA	BUA continuously engages	CORe	Status: Ongoing, to be contin-
			partner organizations	with all stakeholders within		ued for the term of BUA
				BUA organizations and be-		
				yond, in particular by offer-		
				ing training about adequate		
				use of quantitative indica-		
				tors (see also above engage-		
				ment in esss).		
5.	Commit resources to re-			For BUA, ensuring research	BUA Objective 3	Status: Ongoing, to be contin-
	forming research assess-			quality is both an institu-		ued for the term of BUA
	ment as is needed to			tional goal and a field of re-		
	achieve the organiza-			search. While developing as-		
	tional changes commit-			sessment processes per-		
	ted to			formed within the BUA part-		
				ners remain within their au-		
				tonomy, BUA supports pro-		
				jects and activities that fur-		

	1				
			ther develop existing scien-		
			tific expertise on relevant		
			aspects of scientific perfor-		
			mance, research quality and		
			their assessment leading to		
			adapt procedures imple-		
			mented by the BUA ad their		
			partner organizations.		
	a)	Internal BUA ColPf pro-	Establishment of organiza-		Status: Relevant units (CORe
		cesses	tional unit and structures fo-		and QMU) established and op-
			cusing on research monitor-		erational, ongoing, to be con-
			ing and assessment.		tinued for the term of BUA
	b)	With relevance for BUA	Provision of support		
		partner organizations	measures with relevance for		Status: Ongoing, to be contin-
			organization-specific reform		ued for the term of BUA
			initiatives.		
6. Review and develop re-	a)	Internal BUA ColPf pro-	Advocating transparent, ap-	BUA Objective 3	Status: Ongoing, to be contin-
search assessment crite-		cesses & b) With rele-	propriate and progressive		ued for the term of BUA
ria, tools and processes		vance for BUA partner or-	evaluation of individual re-		
		ganizations	searchers and research		
			teams in line with interna-		
			tional initiatives is part of		
			the recently released BUA		
			mission statement on Open		
			Science. In addition, as		
			stated above (commitment		
			5) BUA supports projects		
			and activities to develop sci-		
			entific expertise on relevant		
			aspects of scientific perfor-		
			mance, research quality and		

		their assessment which will		
		be taken into account when		
		adapting procedures within		
		the BUA partner organiza-		
		tions. However, decisions re-		
		garding assessment pro-		
		cesses performed within the		
		BUA partners remain within		
		their autonomy.		
7. Ra	aise awareness of re-	Continuously engage within	CORe & QMU	Status: Ongoing, to be contin-
se	earch assessment re-	and beyond BUA with the		ued for the term of BUA
fo	orm and provide trans-	scientific community and		
pa	arent communication,	discusses the need for and		
gu	uidance, and training on	the effects of responsible re-		
as	ssessment criteria and	search assessment. Consult		
pr	rocesses as well as their	with the units in charge of		
us	se	project selection about pro-		
		cesses in place and criteria		
		applied. Use existing and set		
		up new communication		
		channels to inform about		
		processes and criteria ap-		
		plied, e.g., use BUA Newslet-		
		ter and develop dedicated		
		webpage. Implement rele-		
		vant content in educational		
		and training modules, e.g.,		
		the BUA-module Research		
		Quality and Open Science.		
	kchange practices and	Share knowledge and expe-	CORe	Status: Ongoing, to be contin-
	xperiences to enable	riences among the BUA part-		ued for the term of BUA
	utual learning within	ners in an organized man-		
an	nd beyond the Coalition	ner, actively contribute to		

	CoARA, e.g., in relevant		
	working group(s) and the		
	National Chapter Germany.		
9. Communicate progress	Communicate the state of	CORe	Status: Ongoing, to be contin-
made on adherence to	play of changes and their		ued for the term of BUA,
the Principles and Imple-	implementation of the		launch website by end of 2024
mentation of the Com-	Agreement on Reforming		ladiisii weesite sy ena si 252 i
mitments	Research Assessment, i.e.,		
	report regularly to the BUA		
	governing bodies (Bord of		
	Directors, Executive Board),		
	BUA Management, Steering		
	Committees, Management		
	Units. Facilitate exchange		
	with stakeholders in the		
	house responsible for en-		
	gaging with the CoARA com-		
	mitments and use the com-		
	munication of the progress		
	as a guiding example. Inform		
	the broader scientific com-		
	munity (BUA partner organi-		
	zations and beyond) through		
	dedicated webpage and BUA		
	Newsletter.		
10. Evaluate practices, crite-	For BUA, ensuring research	CORe	Status: Ongoing, to be contin-
ria and tools based on	quality is both an institu-		ued for the term of BUA
solid evidence and the	tional goal and a field of re-		
state-of-the-art in re-	search. BUA supports pro-		
search on research, and	jects and activities that fur-		
make data openly availa-	ther develop existing scien-		
ble for evidence gather-	tific expertise on relevant		
ing and research			

Berlin University Alliance Collaboration Platform - CoARA Action Plan - Version 1.0 (August 2024)

aspects of sci	entific perfor-	
mance, resea	rch quality and	
their assessm	ent leading to	
adapt proced	ures imple-	
mented by th	e BUA partner	
organizations	. Make re-	
search result	s publicly avail-	
able – fundin	g provided	
	eady requests	
OA publishing	•	
results.		