

CoMFRE Multiphase Flow Research

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

A quadrature-based CFD model for turbulent reacting flows coupled with population balance

A. PASSALACQUA^{1,2}, E. MADADI-KANDJANI¹, J. HEYLMUN¹, R. O. FOX²

¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Iowa State University ²Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Iowa State University

> 9th International Conference on Multiphase Flow May 22nd – 27th, 2016, Firenze, Italy

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Science Foundation of the United States, under the SI2 – SSE award NSF – ACI 1440443 is gratefully acknowledged.



Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The Multi-Inlet Vortex Reactor (MIVR)
- 3. PDF transport models for turbulent reacting flows
- 4. Mixing and reaction in the MIVR
- 5. Population balance for flashnanoprecipitation in the MIVR
- 6. Conclusions



J. C. Cheng and R. O. Fox, "Kinetic Modeling of Nanoprecipitation using CFD Coupled with a Population Balance," Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, vol. 49, pp. 10651-10662, Nov 3 2010

Multi inlet vortex reactor (MIVR)

The multi-inlet vortex reactor (MIVR) is used for flash nanoprecipitation to manufacture functional nanoparticles:

- **Electronics**: provided a powerful path to developing small and powerful electronic components.
- Drug Delivery: nano-sized micelles accumulate in tumors via the enhanced permeability and retention effect.
 - Nanoparticles doped on hydrogels for cancer treatment

The MIVR achieves fast mixing by inducing turbulent swirling flow from four inlet streams.

A reliable numerical simulation is required to understand the fluid dynamics of the MIVR and to optimize its design and performance.

Reference Multi Inlet Vortex Reactor



Y. Shi, J. C. Cheng, R. O. Fox and M. G. Olsen, "Measurements of turbulence in a microscale multi-inlet vortex nanoprecipitation reactor" *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, vol. 23, 075005(10pp), 2013.

Simulation workflow

A sequential workflow is used to investigate the MIVR:



Assumptions

- Particles have small Stokes number and do not affect the fluid motion
- The flash nano-precipitation does not significantly affect mixing

Flow field

- Incompressible steady-state RANS simulation (simpleFoam solver in OpenFOAM[®])
- MIVR generates swirling flow, with higher turbulent intensity in the center of the mixing chamber
- Most of the fluid-particle interactions happen in the center of chamber and thus it is the place where the turbulent dissipation (ε) is higher.



Steady state solution of the velocity (left), turbulent kinetic (middle) and turbulent dissipation (right) of the flow.

Flow field and turbulent quantities



Steady state solution of the velocity (left), turbulent kinetic (middle) and turbulent dissipation (right) of the flow.

Reactive mixing modeling

We consider the evolution equation for the joint composition PDF $f(\phi, \mathbf{x}, t)$:



Chemical kinetics

We consider a simple case of two competitive consecutive reactions



where

- R is the desired product
- S is the byproduct

$$\circ \ \frac{k_1}{k_2} = O(10^3)$$

Rewrite PDF in terms of

- Mixture fraction ξ
- Reaction progress variables Y₁, Y₂

$$f(\mathbf{\phi}, \mathbf{x}, t) \to f(\xi, Y_1, Y_2, \mathbf{x}, t)$$

$$\xi_{st} = \frac{c_{A_0}}{c_{A_0} + c_{B_0}}$$

$$\mathbf{c}_A = c_{A_0} [1 - \xi - (1 - \xi_{st})Y_1]$$

$$c_B = c_{B_0} [\xi - \xi_{st}(Y_1 + Y_2)]$$

$$c_R = c_{B_0} \xi_{st}(Y_1 - Y_2)$$

$$c_S = c_{B_0} \xi_{st} Y_2$$

Quadrature-based moment methods

The evolution equation for the joint composition PDF of mixture fraction, progress variable one and two $f(\xi, Y_1, Y_2; \mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \langle U_i \rangle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\Gamma_T \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{\xi}}{\langle \xi'^2 \rangle} (\langle \xi \rangle - \xi) + S(\xi) \right) f \right] \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_1} \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{Y_1}}{\langle Y_1'^2 \rangle} (\langle Y_1 \rangle - Y_1) + S(Y_1) \right) f \right] \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial Y_2} \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{Y_2}}{\langle Y_2'^2 \rangle} (\langle Y_2 \rangle - Y_2) + S(Y_2) \right) f \right] \\ \text{Apply moment definition} \qquad \qquad M_{njk} = \int \xi^n Y_1^j Y_2^k f d\xi dY_1 dY_2 \\ \text{Finite set of moment transport equations} \\ \frac{\partial M_{njk}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{U} M_{njk} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\Gamma_T \nabla M_{njk} \right) = h \quad \text{Closure problem} \end{cases}$$

Direct quadrature method of moments - DQMOM

The PDF is presumed to have the form:

$$f(\phi, x, t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} w_{\alpha} \delta(\phi - \phi_{\alpha})$$

where w_{α} and ϕ_{α} are quadrature weights and abscissae obtained from the transported moments by means of a moment inversion algorithm



Challenges with DQMOM

Singularity in the correction terms

- Numerical instability if untreated
- Need of smoothing functions (Akroyd et al., Chem. Eng. Sci, 2010)
- Removal of correction terms (but it leads to incorrect predictions of the variance of the mixture fraction!)

Transports non-conservative quantities (weights and abscissae)

CQMOM:

- Avoids singularities
- Transports conserved quantities (moments) rather than nonconservative quantities



J. Akroyd, A. J. Smith, L. R. McGlashan, and M. Kraft, "Numerical investigation of DQMoM-IEM as a turbulent reaction closure," Chemical Engineering Science, vol. 65, pp. 1915-1924, Mar 15 2010.

Conditional quadrature method of moments

We rewrite the joint composition PDF in terms of conditional PDFs:

$$f(\xi, Y_1, Y_2) = f(Y_2|\xi, Y_1)f(\xi, Y_1) = f(Y_2|\xi, Y_1)f(Y_1|\xi)f(\xi)$$

we then consider the conditional moments

$$\left\langle Y_{1}^{j}\right\rangle (\xi) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \int Y_{1}^{j} f(Y_{1}|\xi) \mathrm{d}Y_{1}$$

$$\langle Y_2^k \rangle (\xi, Y_1) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \int Y_2^k f(Y_2 | \xi, Y_1) \mathrm{d} Y_2$$

and we represent the moments of PDF as

$$M_{njk}(\mathbf{x},t) = \iiint \xi^n Y_1^j Y_2^k f(\xi, Y_1, Y_2; \mathbf{x}, t) \mathrm{d}\xi \, \mathrm{d}Y_1 \, \mathrm{d}Y_2$$

Conditional quadrature method of moments

We represent the PDF as (assuming one node for Y_1 and Y_2):

$$f(\xi, Y_1, Y_2; \mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} w_\alpha \delta(\xi - \xi_\alpha) \delta(Y_1 - Y_{1_\alpha}) \delta(Y_2 - Y_{2_\alpha})$$

and we represent the moments of PDF as:

$$M_{njk}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} w_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha}^{n} Y_{1_{\alpha}}^{j} Y_{2_{\alpha}}^{k}$$

We consider:

- $\,\circ\,$ Two quadrature nodes for the ξ direction
- One quadrature node for the Y_1 direction
- One quadrature node for the Y_2 direction

 $M_{000}, M_{100}, M_{200}, M_{300}$

 M_{010}, M_{110}

 M_{001}, M_{011}

Moment transport equations in CQMOM

$$\frac{\partial M_{njk}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\mathbf{U}M_{njk}\right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\Gamma_{T}\nabla M_{njk}\right) \qquad \text{Scale similarity}$$

$$= \frac{n\varepsilon_{\xi}}{\langle \xi'^{2} \rangle} \left(M_{n-1jk}M_{100} - M_{njk}\right) \qquad \frac{\varepsilon_{\xi}}{\langle \xi'^{2} \rangle} = C_{\xi}\frac{\varepsilon}{k}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} w_{\alpha}R_{1\alpha} \left(j\xi_{\alpha}^{n}Y_{1\alpha}^{j-1}Y_{2\alpha}^{k}\right) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} w_{\alpha}R_{2\alpha} \left(k\xi_{\alpha}^{n}Y_{1\alpha}^{j}Y_{2\alpha}^{k-1}\right)$$

$$R_{1\alpha}(\xi_{\alpha}, Y_{1\alpha}, Y_{2\alpha}) = \xi_{st}k_{1}c_{B_{0}}\left(\frac{1-\xi_{\alpha}}{1-\xi_{st}} - Y_{1\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{\xi_{\alpha}}{\xi_{st}} - Y_{1\alpha} - Y_{2\alpha}\right)$$

$$R_{2\alpha}(\xi_{\alpha}, Y_{1\alpha}, Y_{2\alpha}) = \xi_{st}k_{2}c_{B_{0}}(Y_{1\alpha} - Y_{2\alpha})\left(\frac{\xi_{\alpha}}{\xi_{st}} - Y_{1\alpha} - Y_{2\alpha}\right)$$

Mixing - Simulation setup

Two opposing inlet streams for solvent



Two opposing inlet streams for nonsolvent

$$M_{000} = 1 \qquad M_{100} = 0$$
$$M_{200} = 0 \qquad M_{300} = 0$$
$$M_{010} = 0 \qquad M_{110} = 1$$
$$M_{001} = 0 \qquad M_{101} = 0$$

Pure mixing (no reaction)

- Mixture fraction represents the mixing progress.
- Two streams have $\langle \xi \rangle = 0$ and two other have $\langle \xi \rangle = 1$.
- Through micromixing, mixture fraction relaxes to value $\langle \xi \rangle = 0.5$.
- $\langle \xi \rangle = 0.5$ is when the simulation is fully converged.
- In FNP processes $\langle \xi \rangle$ is key, since the solvent and non-solvent should reach a specific ratio to precipitate.



The mixture fraction (left), concentration A (middle), and concentration B (right).

Predicted mixture fraction



Predicted variation of mixture fraction

• The variance of the mixture fraction an be written as:

 $\left<\xi'^2\right>=M_2-M_1^2$

- $\langle \xi'^2 \rangle$ decays quickly after inlet streams enter the reactor.
- Variance is higher in the quarter top and quarter bottom planes compare to middle plate inside the mixing chamber.
- Higher variance is obtained at middle plate near to inlet streams.
- Since the flow is turbulent, the variation of mixture fraction is not big.



The variance inside the mixing chamber shown in three plates, middle, top quarter (q2t) and bottom quarter (q2b).

- Simulations show that mostly inside the mixing chamber, species B is consumed.
- The main reaction happens between A and B since the rate of their reaction is O(1000) higher than the secondary reaction.



The concentration of C_A , C_B for competitive consecutive reaction (Middle plane displayed for the chamber).

- The main product R is mostly produced inside the chamber and moves toward the exit.
- The byproduct S is produced due to the presence of R and B, when A is absent (mixing limitation).



The concentration of product and bi-product for competitive consecutive reaction (Middle plane displayed for the chamber).



Concentration of C_A , C_B for competitive consecutive reaction (Middle plane displayed for the chamber).



The concentration of product and bi-product for competitive consecutive reaction (Middle plane displayed for the chamber).



The concentration of C_A , C_B , C_R , C_S for competitive consecutive reaction.

Flash nanoprecipitation solubility curve

The straight line indicates the mixing line between solvent and anti-solvent.

At ξ_{mix} , the two streams are well-mixed.

On the solubility curve, the aggregation kernel "activates" above ξ_p (solubility limit of polymer).

This phenomena is introduced to the equations as a Heaviside function (i.e. $\Theta_p = \Theta(\xi - \xi_p)$).



J. C. Cheng et al. "A competitive aggregation model for Flash NanoPrecipitation." *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 351, pp. 330-342, Nov 15 2010.

Aggregation Mechanisms

1) Free coupling



2) Unimer insertion



3) Large aggregate fusion



J. C. Cheng et al. "A competitive aggregation model for Flash NanoPrecipitation." *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 351, pp. 330-342, Nov 15 2010.

Aggregation Kernels

Free coupling

$$\beta_{1,1}^{\text{free}} = 4\pi\Theta_p (D_1 + D_1) (R_1^{\text{coll}} + R_1^{\text{coll}})$$

Unimer insertion

$$\beta_{p,1}^{\text{ins}} = 4\pi\Theta_p A_{1,p}^{\text{ins}} \frac{\left(R_p^{\text{coll}} + R_1^{\text{coll}}\right) \left(R_p^{\text{coll}} + R_p^{\text{cor}} + R_1^{\text{coll}}\right) \left(D_p + D_1\right) D_{p,1}^{\text{ins}}}{\left(D_p + D_1\right) R_p^{\text{cor}} + \left(R_p^{\text{coll}} + R_1^{\text{coll}}\right) D_{p,1}^{\text{ins}}}$$

Large aggregate fusion

$$\beta_{p,1}^{\text{fus}} = 4\pi\Theta_p A_{1,p}^{\text{fus}} \frac{\left(R_p^{\text{coll}} + R_i^{\text{coll}}\right) \left(R_p^{\text{coll}} + R_p^{\text{cor}} + R_i^{\text{coll}} + R_i^{\text{cor}}\right) \left(D_p + D_i\right) D_{p,i}^{fus}}{\left(D_p + D_1\right) \left(R_p^{\text{cor}} + R_i^{\text{cor}}\right) + \left(R_p^{\text{coll}} + R_1^{\text{coll}}\right) D_{p,i}^{\text{fus}}}$$

PBE for flash-nanoprecipitation in the MIVR

The evolution equation for the population of polymers:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \langle \boldsymbol{U} \rangle \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \left(\Gamma_{t} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\varepsilon_{\xi}}{\langle \xi'^{2} \rangle} (\langle \xi \rangle - \xi) f \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{m}} \left[\frac{\varepsilon_{\xi}}{\langle \xi'^{2} \rangle} (\langle \underline{m} \rangle - \underline{m}) f \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{m}} \left[\underline{R}(\xi, \underline{m}) f \right] \end{aligned}$$

where

- $f(\xi, L; \mathbf{x}, t)$ is the joint composition PDF of mixture fraction and PBE
- $\underline{R}(\xi, \underline{m}) = \frac{d\underline{m}}{dt}$ is change of moments in PBE given ξ
- <u>m</u> is the moment vector for PBE
- $\circ \langle oldsymbol{U}
 angle$ is the mean velocity
- Γ is the diffusion coefficient
- *t* is time



PBE for flash-nanoprecipitation in the MIVR

$$\underline{R}(\xi,\underline{m}) = \underbrace{\frac{L^2}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\beta((L^3 - \lambda^3)^{1/3}, \lambda)}{(L^3 - \lambda^3)^{2/3}} f((L^3 - \lambda^3)^{1/3}; \mathbf{x}, t) f(\lambda; \mathbf{x}, t) d\lambda}_{\text{birth of particles due to aggregation}} - f(L; \mathbf{x}, t) \int_{0}^{\infty} \beta(L, \lambda) f(\lambda; \mathbf{x}, t) d\lambda}_{\text{death of particles due to aggregation}}}$$

$$Apply \text{ moment definition for PBE source terms}} \qquad \text{Aggregation kernel}}$$

$$\langle \underline{R}(\xi, \underline{m}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j (L_i^3 + L_j^3)^{k/3} \beta(L_i, L_j) - \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i L_i^k \sum_{j=1}^{N} w_j \beta(L_i, L_j)}$$

PBE for flash-nanoprecipitation in the MIVR

Moments of mixture fraction

$$\frac{\partial \langle \xi^{k} \rangle}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{U} \langle \xi^{k} \rangle) - \nabla \cdot (\Gamma_{T} \nabla \langle \xi^{k} \rangle) = \underbrace{\frac{k \varepsilon_{\xi}}{\langle \xi^{\prime 2} \rangle}}_{\langle \xi^{\prime 2} \rangle} (\langle \xi^{k-1} \rangle \langle \xi \rangle - \langle \xi^{k} \rangle)$$
Scale similarity
Conditional moments of PBE
$$\frac{\partial \langle \underline{m} \rangle}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{U} \langle \underline{m} \rangle) - \nabla \cdot (\Gamma_{T} \nabla \langle \underline{m} \rangle) = \langle \underline{R}(\xi, \underline{m}) \rangle$$

$$\frac{\partial \langle \xi \underline{m} \rangle}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{U} \langle \underline{\xi} \underline{m} \rangle) - \nabla \cdot (\Gamma_{T} \nabla \langle \underline{\xi} \underline{m} \rangle) = \frac{\varepsilon_{\xi}}{\langle \xi^{\prime 2} \rangle} (\langle \xi \rangle \langle \underline{m} \rangle - \langle \xi \underline{m} \rangle) + \langle \xi \underline{R}(\xi, \underline{m}) \rangle$$
Source term for conditional
PBE moments
$$\langle \underline{R}(\xi, \underline{m}) \rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} p_{\alpha} \underline{R}(\xi_{\alpha}, \underline{m}_{\alpha})$$

PBE for FNP in MIVR – Predicted particle size

Particle size measured at the center of the mixing chamber



Mean particle size at the center of the mixing chamber

- Predicted by DQMOM (Cheng et al.,)
 - 4.37 of initial polymer length
- Predicted in present work
 - 4.01 of initial polymer length

Satisfactory agreement between the two models

Summary

A new conditional quadrature method of moments was developed for turbulent reactive mixing problems

The method avoids problems with singularity in the DQMOM formulation and preserves a larger number of moments than DQMOM

CQMOM has shown its efficiency controlling more moments than DQMOM at comparable computational cost

The PBE with aggregation kernel predicts the average size of particles formed in the MIVR in agreement with DQMOM

Thank you!