Information Exchange Management as a Service for Network Function Virtualization Environments

Lefteris Mamatas, Member, IEEE, Stuart Clayman, Member, IEEE, and Alex Galis, Member, IEEE

Abstract—The Internet landscape is gradually adopting new 2 communication paradigms characterized by flexibility and adapt-3 ability to the resource constraints and service requirements, 4 including network function virtualization (NFV), software-5 defined networks, and various virtualization and network slicing 6 technologies. These approaches need to be realized from mul-7 tiple management and network entities exchanging information ⁸ between each other. We propose a novel information exchange 9 management as a service facility as an extension to ETSI's NFV 10 management and orchestration framework, namely the virtual 11 infrastructure information service (VIS). VIS is characterized by 12 the following properties: 1) it exhibits the dynamic characteris-13 tics of such network paradigms; 2) it supports information flow 14 establishment, operation, and optimization; and 3) it provides a 15 logically centralized control of the established information flows ¹⁶ with respect to the diverse demands of the entities exchanging 17 information elements. Our proposal addresses the information 18 exchange management requirements of NFV environments and information-model agnostic. This paper includes an exper-19 **is** 20 imental analysis of its main functional and non-functional 21 characteristics.

Index Terms—Network function virtualization, NFV manage ment and orchestration, information exchange management as a
 service.

25

I. INTRODUCTION

THERE is a major shift in the Internet towards using *virtualized and programmable network functions* offeravailability, dynamic resource scaling (both up & down for elasticity), network function flexibility, as well as adaptabilty benefits. The Network Function Virtualization (NFV) [5] concept implements network functions in software (such as middleboxes) by running them on commodity hardware like servers and switches, thereby reducing both the specialized infrastructure and the operational costs. Furthermore, the Virtualized Network Functions (VNFs) and the proposed equivalent NFV architectures [5], [6] bring significant efficiency and flexibility benefits. Considering that the number

Manuscript received February 22, 2016; revised May 26, 2016 and June 18, 2016; accepted June 30, 2016. This work was partially supported by the European Union DOLFIN [1] and UniverSELF [2] projects of the 7th Framework Program, and the 5GEX [3] and SONATA [4] projects of the EU H2020. The associate editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was P. Chemouil.

L. Mamatas is with the Department of Applied Informatics, University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki GR-546 36, Greece (e-mail: emamatas@uom.gr).

S. Clayman and A. Galis are with the Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University College London, London WC1E 7JE, U.K. Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TNSM.2016.2587664

of middleboxes deployed in the Internet is comparable to the number of routers, NFV will be beneficial.

1

The above aspects are associated with a number of manage-41 ment and orchestration challenges which need to be addressed. 42 The challenges include: (i) how to exploit this dynamism 43 and flexibility, (ii) how to ensure that the required func-44 tions are being deployed and operating in a coherent and 45 on-demand basis, and (iii) how to confirm that the solu-46 tion remains manageable [7]. In this context, the European 47 Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI), which leads 48 the relevant NFV activities, proposed the Management and 49 Orchestration (MANO) framework. MANO focuses on the 50 provisioning of VNFs and the relevant operations, includ-51 ing orchestration and lifecycle management capabilities of 52 the associated physical and virtual resources supporting the 53 VNFs [6]. Most of the NFV platforms in research collaborative 54 and industrial projects are influenced by MANO [7]. 55

An important aspect here is to design the right resource 56 management abstractions which enable efficient orchestration 57 of such flexible functions, while hiding the heterogeneity of 58 the multi-vendor equipment. We argue that these capabili-59 ties should be enabled by distributed NFV Entities, (which 60 include NFV management components, VNFs, together with 61 legacy management features for Network Functions), all hav-62 ing the necessary information to perform dynamic configu-63 ration changes [7] and/or to consume the information based on service necessity. According to [7], a facility supporting 65 lightweight coordination among distributed decision makers 66 with an aim to optimize both the usage of resources and the 67 performance of services, is a key research issue. 68

Along these lines, the ETSI NFV ISG introduced ref-69 erence points exchanging information elements and con-70 trol messages [6], i.e., the interconnection points between 71 the MANO functional blocks and the external management 72 entities. A number of ETSI documents [8]-[11] elaborate 73 the definitions of the interfaces and the relevant infor-74 mation entity specifications. Although particular information exchange requirements are identified throughout the 76 documents, the details of such operations and the proto-77 cols are left for future work or considered implementation 78 issues. 79

In this paper, we architect and implement an *Information*⁸⁰ *Exchange Management as a Service* solution. This realizes⁸¹ *Information Exchange Orchestration* which we define as an⁸² augmentation of information exchange management and its⁸³ relevant processes with capabilities for logically centralized⁸⁴ information flow establishment, optimization, coordination,⁸⁵

1932-4537 © 2016 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

AQ1

⁸⁶ and synchronization. Since the flows communicate *manage*⁸⁷ *ment/control information elements* or *VNF state* are different
⁸⁸ from other monitoring flows or data flows, they are referred
⁸⁹ to as information flows or state flows in this paper.

For effective management, it is important to maintain both global and local views of the network environment in a resource efficient way, but according to the diverse requirements of entities producing or consuming particular information. We suggest that the MANO architecture should be sextended with our proposal, thus improving MANO's service provisioning and network resources orchestration capabilities, through supporting adaptable information exchange features. Using off-the-shelf monitoring software does not suffice, since it does not match the dynamic and flexibility characteristics to of NFVs.

Other approaches to information handling focus on fixed 101 102 and static networks, such as the TMF Information Framework 103 related works [12], [13]. Although ETSI is working on 104 MANO information modeling aspects (e.g., the working 105 document [8]), there is no consensus from the different stake-106 holders on the various information model proposals, as these models have not yet fully evolved for the highly dynamic 107 ¹⁰⁸ NFV environments and they can only be considered as starting points [7]. Our facility provides information exchange facili-109 110 ties and complements the information modeling work at the level of information exchange orchestration. For these reasons, 111 we created the VIS facility to be information model agnostic. 112 This allows for wider applicability, as it can support particu-113 114 lar information models in the future, and it also applies to the 115 multi-segmentation / slicing of a network, where each slice ¹¹⁶ may have its own separated information model.

This paper presents an abstracted and logically centralized information exchange management service, as an architectural feature of ETSI MANO [6], namely the Virtual Infrastructure Information Service (VIS). The VIS orchestrates information flows between the NFV Entities, which are configurable and ize can be information producers and information consumers (or sources and sinks). The VIS processes involve:

- (a) The registration of information producers and consumers
- with their corresponding requirements and constraints
- (e.g., information model to use, maximum data rate,"freshness" of information etc);
- (b) The negotiation activity between the entities and the VIS
 that matches producers with consumers, and defines the
 configuration of the required information flows; and
- (c) The information flows establishing and monitoring
 through using efficient data paths based on the global
 view of the network and the expressed entity requirements and constraints.

¹³⁵ Each information flow establishment considers both the reg-¹³⁶ istration information of the participating entities (e.g., NFV ¹³⁷ Entities) and the global performance goals in the system ¹³⁸ (coming from relevant orchestration or higher-level manage-¹³⁹ ment functions and expressing the business strategies of the ¹⁴⁰ service and infrastructure providers). At any point, the VIS ¹⁴¹ may trigger a re-negotiation and flow re-establishment for ¹⁴² some or all of the information flows, in case of a differ-¹⁴³ ent high-level performance goal decision or an unexpected event appearance, such as a failure. The VIS also supports the 144 following: 145

- (d) The collection, aggregation/processing, dissemination, 146 storage, and indexing of information; 147
- (e) Various communication methods between the 148 management entities, including the Push/Pull, 149 Publish/Subscribe, and Direct Communication method; 150
- (f) Interfaces for exchanging information and for configuring the information flows;
- (g) Alignment to both physical and virtual network space 153
 (i.e., for management facilities and VNFs, respectively); 154
 and 155
- (h) An extensible architecture, allowing improvements to its ¹⁵⁶ behaviour when a relevant demand arises. ¹⁵⁷

Our complementary work [14] presents a fully detailed ¹⁵⁸ description of the VIS software components, the subcomponents, the interfaces, and the associated data flows, ¹⁶⁰ interactions and operations between these components. It ¹⁶¹ includes a VIS functional validation analysis in a Software-Defined Infrastructure context. VIS is available as an opensource solution at [15]. ¹⁶⁴

Here we include experiments validating how VIS behaves ¹⁶⁵ in terms of the following non-functional key characteristics: ¹⁶⁶ (i) its *adaptability* to various numbers of applications, topology ¹⁶⁷ sizes, and requested communication methods; (ii) its *flexibility* ¹⁶⁸ to support global and local tuning of specified performance ¹⁶⁹ trade-offs; and (iii) its *scalability and stability* in cases of ¹⁷⁰ resource exhaustion. ¹⁷¹

Section II contrasts the proposed platform with the related 172 works. Section III motivates our proposal, discusses its information model agnostic operation and presents example usecases. Section IV highlights the VIS architecture along with 175 its design and implementation details. Section V describes 176 our experimental methodology and validates experimentally 177 the behavior of the proposed platform, in terms of adaptability, scalability, flexibility and stability. Finally, Section VI 179 concludes the paper. 180

II. RELATED WORK

181

Network Function Virtualization brings IT closer to the communication technologies through the softwarization of network functions. This strategy enables flexibility in service deployment and reduces the operational and infrastructure costs significantly. In practice, it requires a distributed operation of multiple NFV Entities, including MANO functions and VNFs. These distributed decision-making entities operate based on a global, per domain, view or on a local view of the network environment. Such a capability can be supported by an infrastructure that collects, processes, and disseminates information characterizing the system.

We argue that different NFV Entities have their own particular needs in terms of information characteristics and network constraints. For example, a network function that handles a failure is associated with real-time constraints (namely, to fix the error as soon as possible and avoid escalating the problem), but others may work efficiently in the background, exploiting unused resources.

Information manipulation should be abstracted away in a 200 201 dedicated MANO function, while supporting logically central-²⁰² ized intelligence, and be both adaptable and programmable. In ²⁰³ the past, such capabilities were mainly tightly-coupled within software components (being in the same NFV entity that con-204 sumes or produces the information). Another option is the use 205 off-the-shelf monitoring facilities as complementary tools 206 plugins. However, they are general purpose systems that are or 207 not aligned with or adapted to the dynamic requirements of 208 the NFV environments. 209

Most relevant NFV proposals focus on VNFs or on network 210 211 state management. Among them, solutions like the [16]–[18] ²¹² handle the state separately, whilst others provide coordinated 213 state management, e.g., [19]-[22]. OpenNF [19] is a con-214 trol plane architecture coordinating both internal Network 215 Function (NF) and network forwarding state. It provides a 216 communication path between the NFs and the controller. 217 A protocol for communication between the VNFs and the ²¹⁸ controllers have been proposed in [23]. In [20], the authors introduce a logically centralized state management solution 219 for middleboxes based on OpenNF. It aims to minimize the 220 control-plane interactions through removing the OpenFlow / 221 OpenNF controller from the critical path during state and 222 traffic transfer. In their proposal, the state and packets are 223 ²²⁴ transferred between the VNFs in a peer-to-peer fashion.

Other proposals focus on the specific problems of VNF migration or VNF elasticity. In [21], the authors proposed a zer solution called *Pico Replication (PR)* focusing on the replication of flow-specific state using techniques from Virtual Machine replication systems. *FreeFlow* [22] splits flowzer specific state among replicas and dynamically re-balances both existing and new flows across them, enabling elasticity zer (i.e., scaling up or down) of network services.

In contrast to the above VNF state handling proposals, 233 VIS is an extension to the MANO architecture providing 234 abstracted information management facilities to different types 235 236 of NFV entities, such as NFV management entities and VNFs. Additionally, VIS supports the exchange of state and man-237 agement information between the MANO functions and the 238 VNFs. The complex problems of VNF inter-communication, 239 240 including state synchronization due to VNF migration, or ²⁴¹ information support for SFC orchestration aspects are left for 242 future work.

There are a number of *Information as a Service* proposals, in the context of clouds, that are mainly focussed on data analytics or SOAs and business-aligned services, such as [24]–[26]. The VIS *Information Exchange Management as a Service* proposal focuses on the information management aspects rather than on the information itself.

Some solutions, like OpenDaylight [27], use *netconf* (or its RESTful equivalent *restconf*) that supports communication of configuration/operational data, RPCs and notifications. The netconf protocol is tightly coupled with the YANG information model [28], [29], and is used for the installation, manipulation and deletion of network devices configuration, while the YANG model represents both configuration and state data of network elements. Netconf is standardized and supports transaction-safe configuration of devices. Compared to VIS,



Fig. 1. The VIS as an NFV MANO Extension.

netconf is a protocol for device configuration rather than an ²⁵⁸ abstracted information exchange service for a wide range of ²⁵⁹ NFV entities, including MANO functions and VNFs. VIS does ²⁶⁰ not exclude communication with the network devices using ²⁶¹ a similar protocol as one of the options for the deployed ²⁶² information flows. ²⁶³

In our work, we consider *Information Exchange* ²⁶⁴ *Management* as a cornerstone feature of the MANO ²⁶⁵ architecture whereby Information manipulation is provided by ²⁶⁶ a logically centralized service, in a way that is consistent with ²⁶⁷ the general performance goals of the system. Thereby, a clear ²⁶⁸ network view is maintained: at a system; at a domain level; ²⁶⁹ or at a local level using logically centralized intelligence, ²⁷⁰ techniques for programmability, and an abstracted design. To ²⁷¹ our knowledge, this is the first work proposing a functionally ²⁷² rich *Information Exchange Management as a Service* facility ²⁷³ that is aligned to NFV environments. ²⁷⁴

III. ABSTRACTING INFORMATION EXCHANGE

In this section, we motivate the use of VIS as a facil- 276 ity for handling information exchange in NFV environments, 277 and elaborate its information-agnostic operation and discuss 278 representative use-cases. 279

A. An Information Service as a MANO Extension

We show the Network Functions Virtualisation Management 281 and Orchestration (MANO) framework [6] and its relation with 282 our VIS platform in figure 1. MANO presents the management 283 and orchestration aspects for the provisioning of VNFs with 284 their related operations, such as the functions for configuration and the infrastructure that hosts them, where the latter is 286 called Network Function Virtualization Infrastructure (NFVI). 287 MANO consists of three main functional blocks: (i) the 288 Virtualized Infrastructure Manager (VIM) which is responsible for controlling and managing the NFVI compute, storage and network resources; (ii) the VNF Manager (VNFM) 291 that performs the VNFs lifecycle management; and (iii) the 292 NFV Orchestrator (NFVO) performing resource orchestration 293

275

²⁹⁴ (via the VIM and NFVI) and the lifecycle management ²⁹⁵ of network services. The MANO framework includes a ²⁹⁶ number of data repositories and reference points (as func-²⁹⁷ tional descriptions of interfaces) and other external func-²⁹⁸ tional blocks interacting with MANO, including the Element ²⁹⁹ Management System (EMS), the VNFs, the Operation System ³⁰⁰ Support (OSS)/Business System Support functions (BSS) and ³⁰¹ the NFVI.

A number of ETSI documents define the specific MANO 302 ³⁰³ interfaces and their information exchange primitives [8]–[11], 304 but the connectivity service details for the relevant information 305 flows are either not described or considered implementa-306 tion issues. In these documents, a number of information 307 interoperability aspects are identified. They advocate the adop-308 tion of an information producer-consumer paradigm using 309 loosely-coupled interfaces and allowing different entities to 310 consume the information based on service necessity, e.g., the 311 services, applications and associated business and operational ³¹² processes. Beyond that, they advise the use of either a pub-³¹³ sub mechanism for notifying the context information changes, 314 that may support information filtering, or using a relevant 315 polling process. An example interaction is presented between 316 the NFVO and the VIM, in order for the former to follow the 317 resource allocation updates. Our VIS system implements such 318 features, including the dynamic matching of information pro-319 ducers with consumers, the definition of the granularity level 320 of information, pub/sub, together with polling mechanisms and information filtering. 321

We argue that the MANO information exchange capabili-322 323 ties should be abstracted away within a logically-centralized ³²⁴ information service, realizing the above features, while being 325 scalable, adaptable, and flexible to the diverse orchestration 326 and service requirements. Such a strategy brings the follow-327 ing advantages: (i) the information flows are adaptable to 328 the orchestration requirements and the dynamic network con-329 ditions; (ii) crucial NFV entities overcoming a systematic problem could be prioritized; (iii) information elements may 330 be communicated to various information consumers and rep-331 332 resented in compatible formats, and (iv) the co-existing infor-333 mation exchange processes can be optimized in a collective 334 manner.

We show the proposed VIS augmenting NFV MANO with abstracted information exchange capabilities in figure 1. In this view VIS is considered as an external functionality and we present the high-level VIS architecture and its basic interactions with the three main MANO functions, namely the NFV Orchestrator, the VNF Manager, and the Virtualized Infrastructure Manager. The NFVO and the high-level services and management applications can influence the general behavior and performance of VIS (e.g., by defining global perdef formance goals). There is a new reference point in the figure I - I - N f vo - which connects the VIS functionality to the NFV orchestrator.

The MANO data repositories (i.e., the NS Catalog, VNF Catalog, NFV Instances, and NFVI resources) can potentially be integrated with the VIS *Information Storage and Indexing* function. We did not remove these data repositories from the main MANO architecture, in order to highlight the mapping of the elements and also to allow incremental adoption of ³⁵² VIS. Until their integration, these repositories communicate ³⁵³ with the VIS through the reference point *I-Vnfm*. Such integration does not exclude the direct communication of MANO ³⁵⁵ functions with the repositories, but delegates a relevant information exchange decision to the VIS (to use the VIS direct ³⁵⁷ communication method). ³⁵⁸

B. Information-Model Agnostic Operation

Information elements are exchanged in the operation of the ³⁶⁰ ETSI NFV facilities. Such information may describe a network service, a VNF, a Physical Network Function (PNF), ³⁶² a Virtual Link (VL), the Resource Allocation of the NFVI, ³⁶³ aspects of a Service Function Chain like a VNF Forwarding ³⁶⁴ Graph (VNFG), etc. The information elements may be either ³⁶⁵ static, residing in descriptors (e.g., deployment templates for ³⁶⁶ VNFs or network services), or dynamic, residing in records ³⁶⁷ (i.e., runtime representations of VNF or network service ³⁶⁸ instances). ³⁶⁹

Many information models, which can be used in NFV environments, are being devised and are progressing in parallel. ³⁷¹ These include: ATIS NFV [30], CIM [31], ETSI Information ³⁷² Model [8], ITU-T Information Model [32], MEF Common ³⁷³ Information Model [33], IETF YANG [34], TMF SID [13], or ³⁷⁴ OASIS TOSCA [35]. The proposed models each have particular advantages. The SID Service Model is suitable for ³⁷⁶ OSS/BSS systems, i.e., to represent the information primitives between the MANO, the OSS/BSS and the EMS. ³⁷⁸ YANG is already used as a candidate for modeling the ETSI ³⁷⁹ NFV information elements, where as TOSCA can describe ³⁸⁰ service components and their relationships using a service ³⁸¹ topology. ³⁸²

A full network service can be realized by chaining VNFs 383 with PNFs, but there is not yet a unified information model 384 that can cross the physical and virtual space from the ser- 385 vice to the network resources level. A way forward could be 386 a federated information model using common and consensu- 387 ally defined and inter-operable terms, concepts, objects (e.g., 388 common data types and vocabularies, specifications of fault 389 codes across multiple resources etc). This is defined as a key 390 challenge in [6]. Another option could be to support transla- 391 tion between different information models at different parts of 392 the SFCs. This issue is much more challenging in the context 393 of end-to-end services over a combination of NFV functions, 394 infrastructure, and legacy interconnected network systems, in 395 the highly dynamic NFV environments and their evolutions 396 (e.g., multi-domain services, services over multiple network 397 slices and mobile network extensions). 398

The VIS provides Information Exchange Management as a ³⁹⁹ Service capabilities that are information-model agnostic, i.e., ⁴⁰⁰ by focusing on the information exchange aspects rather than ⁴⁰¹ the information itself. The VIS information flow establishment ⁴⁰² features support the negotiation between producers and consumers of meta-data regarding the information model to use. ⁴⁰⁴ In this way, different information models may be used in different parts of a deployed network service using appropriate ⁴⁰⁵ model translators. Such aspects are important and deserve their ⁴⁰⁷

⁴⁰⁸ own independent study. We closely follow the evolution of ⁴⁰⁹ the information models and we plan to integrate the relevant ⁴¹⁰ capabilities in the future.

411 C. Example Use-Cases

Here, we discuss example use-cases inspired by the ETSI
NFV works that demonstrate the advantages of using VIS as
a MANO extension:

• Securing resources for several tenants [36] - MANO is 415 designed to enable resource sharing between different ten-416 ants, i.e., a number of co-existing tenants can secure and 417 allocate resources, avoiding resource management race 418 conditions and service degradation. In telco environments 419 that have stringent SLAs, specific reliability and perfor-420 mance requirements may be in place. NFVO is the central 421 point of orchestration of resource consumption by VNFs 422 and network services but the resources are being reserved 423 by the VIM. The problem is becoming more challenging 424 in cases of sport events or natural disasters, where net-425 work services should scale up to accommodate the extra 426 traffic. Such information exchange between NFVO, the 427 VIM and the VNFs is crucial to adapt to such challenging 428 network conditions and strict QoS requirements, being 429 able to prioritize tenants or entities managing resources 430 facing performance issues. 431

Reliable operation of NFV environment [37] - MANO 432 collects reliability parameters and event monitoring or 433 failure events for available VNFs, physical components, 434 or other external functions. After some time, statisti-435 cal data about network service element failures can be 436 used to handle systematic failures. VIS can handle the 437 collection of such performance and fault information, 438 through interacting with the NFVO, VNFM and VIM, by 439 collecting real-time information from their attached ele-440 ments. For example, OpenStack instance fault detection 441 and associated event delivery mechanisms can be slow to 442 support a fast failure recovery. Ideally, faults should be 443 analysed and resolved as soon as possible at the func-444 tional block that has sufficient and in-time information 445 to perform the root-cause analysis and correlation, and 446 then to determine the necessary corrective action. VIS is 447 responsible for performing this challenging task. 448

We observe that different operations have alternative require-449 450 ments for information exchange. For example, the Network Service fault management operation, described here [6], [36], 451 452 requires real-time and guaranteed delivery of pub-sub type 453 of notifications. However, the VNF Software Image manage-454 ment [6], [36] does have such strict delay requirements, but 455 may be resource-expensive in terms of bandwidth. Such software images may be handled by the VIS (instead of the 456 VIM repositories). The VNF fault management operation [6] 457 458 assumes the involvement of both NFVO and VNFM, since there is no direct communication between NFVO and VNFs. 459 VIS can be used for the detection of performance issues or 460 461 faults (e.g., implementing VNF health-checking) and decou-462 ple the involvement of the MANO functions at the level of 463 performance issues or fault detection.



Fig. 2. The VIS Architecture and Basic Interactions.

The next section has further architectural details of our 464 proposal. 465

The Virtual Infrastructure Information Service (VIS) is 468 an information management facility that offers abstracted 469 and logically centralized information manipulation (including 470 information collection, information aggregation / processing, 471 information storage & indexing, and information distribution) 472 across NFV Entities, such as MANO functions and VNFs. The 473 VIS uses two separate interfaces as part of *I-Nfvo* for communication with the NFV Entities and the three core primitives. 475 The interfaces are: 476

- The *Information Management Interface* which is used for 477 information manipulation configuration, including the NFV 478 Entities registration to the VIS, the management of internal 479 VIS functions and the establishment, operation and optimization of information flows and 481
- The *Information Exchange Interface* that offers the actual management information orchestration capability between the VIS and the NFV Entities. 484

In figure 2, we show the above two interfaces and present 485 the three VIS core functions which are described in detail here: 486

Information Collection and Dissemination (ICD): The 467 ICD is responsible for organizing communication of management information or VNF state, including optimization of the 469 relevant information flows. It offers facilities for: *Information* 490 *Collection* – communication of information from the entities to the VIS; *Information Dissemination* – dissemination of 492 information from the VIS to the entities; and an *Information* 493 *Flow Controller*. The *Information Flow Controller* oversees 494 such functions, including controlling the information flows 495 establishment, operation and relevant optimization aspects. 496 For example, it supports negotiation of information requirements and constraints, matches information sources with 498 sinks, etc. The following communication methods are supported for information: (i) *Pull from Entity* in which VIS 500 pulls requested information from the source on behalf of the 501 ⁵⁰² sink; (ii) *Pull from Storage* where the sink retrieves infor-⁵⁰³ mation from the VIS storage; (iii) *Publish/Subscribe* method ⁵⁰⁴ where the VIS keeps the local NFV Entity storages updated ⁵⁰⁵ with subscribed information; and (iv) *Direct Communication* ⁵⁰⁶ that implements direct source to sink communication by-⁵⁰⁷ passing the VIS. The communication method established by ⁵⁰⁸ VIS is part of an information flow negotiation decision and ⁵⁰⁹ can be revoked by VIS when a local or global requirement ⁵¹⁰ appears.

Information Storage and Indexing (ISI): The ISI pro-511 512 vides storage and indexing functionalities for the VIS. The 513 NFV Entity Registration module allows the NFV Entities to 514 express their information manipulation requirements and capa-515 bilities. The ISI function maintains an Entity registry, storing 516 specifications for the available information to be collected, 517 retrieved, or disseminated. The Information Storage module 518 offers alternative storage options to the information, accord-519 ing to its requirements and characteristics, specified during an 520 entity registration phase beforehand. The Information Location module provides information location capabilities to the VIS. 521 These Locaters are pointers to the original data, rather than 522 containing the actual values. Information locaters can be col-523 lected as part of an information processing operation or used in 524 the establishment of direct communication flows between NFV 525 526 Entities. This feature supports the reference elements intro-527 duced here [6], which carry references to another information 528 elements and are represented by URIs.

Information Processing and Knowledge Production 529 530 (IPKP): The IPKP augments VIS with information process-531 ing, information aggregation and global picture information ⁵³² production capabilities. The *Information Aggregation* module ⁵³³ applies aggregation functions (e.g., MAX, MIN, AVERAGE, ...) 534 to the collected data before they are stored or disseminated. The data may be filtered at the aggregation level for optimiza-535 ⁵³⁶ tion purposes. This component can be flexible enough to be given different aggregation specifications in order to process 537 538 the data in a varying way. The Knowledge Production module generates global picture information through processing / 539 540 aggregating information. Reasoning and inference mechanisms 541 are best suited for this process, with the requirement that 542 the necessary input information should be immediately avail-543 able in storage or can be produced in real-time, using an 544 information collection operation – an aspect left for future work. 545

Overall, the VIS acts as a workflow controller for the infor-546 547 mation flows that help maintain a global picture of the system, whilst considering the information exchange between NFV 548 549 Entities and signaling changes in the information flows when-550 ever it is needed. For example, if there is a performance ⁵⁵¹ problem or a change in requirements, VIS locates and enforces 552 the most appropriate data paths for the information flows each 553 time. This configuration change of information flows takes ⁵⁵⁴ place dynamically at any point in time, and is either triggered 555 at a high level (e.g., from NFVO) or at a low level (due to 556 a change in requirements or constraints of an involved NFV 557 Entity). This information flow negotiation facility is related 558 to the information exchange orchestration features (namely 559 control and optimization), and is elaborated below.



Fig. 3. VIS - Controlling and Optimizing Information Flows.

A. Controlling and Optimizing Information Flows

To elaborate the realization of the VIS information flow 561 control and optimization aspects we use a representative example. Consider a situation with two NFV Entities: (1) A Virtual 563 Network Management (VNM) NFV Entity that provides management & control facilities for virtual infrastructures, including support of traffic monitoring; and (2) An Entity Placement 566 Optimization (EPO) NFV Entity that optimizes the data flow 567 over a virtual network through adapting the positioning of 568 communicating nodes (e.g., data servers) in response to the 569 dynamic network conditions. 570

560

In this example, shown in figure 3, the VNM (on the 571 left) provides traffic monitoring information from a particular virtual network to the EPO (on the right). The EPO takes 573 optimization decisions for the network based on this information, and repositions the communicating nodes in order to 575 optimize the network communication. 576

The information flow negotiation and optimization pro- 577 cesses include three basic phases, elaborated below: 578

Phase 1 - Entities Registration: In this first phase, the 579 entities, as part of their registration processes, communi- 580 cate specific information to the VIS using the Information 581 Management Interface. This includes: (i) information they 582 can offer instantly or after an information collection process; 583 (ii) information they can offer after a further processing that 584 involves the IPKP function; (iii) information they require; 585 (iv) particular constraints in the information source - such as 586 maximum granularity of information collection or minimum 587 network delay; (v) specific requirements for the requested 588 information, such as information accuracy objectives and QoS 589 requirements for the involved information flows; and (vi) sup- 590 ported or requested information model representations to use. 591 Each time a new entity is registered or a configuration update 592 takes place, this triggers one or more information flow nego- 593 tiation processes (which could be cascading, due to VNF SFC 594 inter-dependencies). 595

In the example, the VNM registers the information it can 596 offer (including the information type – the topology in this 597 case, and also measurements on the various link loads) and 598 also registers its relevant QoS constraints (for example, it mon-599 itors links once per 10 secs). This information can be offered 600 instantly (as it does not require an information collection pro-601 cess to start, since it monitors the network continuously). 602

⁶⁰³ The EPO registers with the information type, (again topology), ⁶⁰⁴ as its required information and its QoS requirements (in its ⁶⁰⁵ case, it requires link load measurements once per 30 secs).

Phase 2 - Information Flow Negotiation: In the second phase VIS, through its Information Flow Controller module of the *ICD*, oversees the information flow negotiation processes between the entities providing information and those entities requiring information. An information flow is establiable between two entities either directly or by involving the VIS, in case the requested information is available in the VIS storage.

This phase is composed of the following steps: (i) selecting a number of potential information flow ends based on the information type, (ii) matching the information sources with requirements and constraints, (iii) determining the information flow configuration with global-level and flow-level optimization considerations. In case of an unsuccessful negotiation (i.e., when the requirements do not match any of the constraints for any combination), the sources and sinks may update their registration information through relaxing their requirements, which then triggers new negotiations.

In the example in figure 3, the VIS matches the VNM with the EPO and decides the information flow parameters, based on the expressed information flow requirements and constraints, the existing network conditions and the potential global performance goals in the system. The information flow decision includes a rule to use the Push/Pull communication method. With this method, the VNM pushes periodically information to the VIS and the EPO pulls the latest information from the VIS less frequently. The VIS stores that information through the ISI function.

Phase 3 - Information Flow Establishment: In this third 635 636 phase, the VIS establishes the information flow through the 637 Information Management Interface. The latter takes as input 638 the information flow configuration decision and enforces it to: (i) the network through the respective entities, and (ii) the VIS functions they are associated with. As the appropriate 640 context environment for the new information flow has been 641 642 prepared, a suitable path between the participating nodes is 643 then established. This process considers the locations of the 644 entities producing and requiring information and the required VIS nodes (e.g., aggregation points, storage points, etc) as 645 well as the potential traffic characteristics. After that, the 646 647 Information Exchange Interface can be accessed anytime from 648 the information sink entities in order to receive the required 649 information.

In our example, a new information flow configuration is decided on and communicated to the two NFV entities and stored in the VIS. The information flow is established and the EPO can retrieve the required information from the VNM method – the VIS using the decided information flow communication method – the Push/Pull method. The EPO NFV Entity can then take network optimization decisions using that information.

There is also a global optimization process in the VIS that is triggered periodically or when a global performance objective change is requested from NFVO or a high-level management application. This process takes optimization decisions using the aggregated information from the configuration and performance of all established information flows and is related with a restructuring of the VIS functions themselves.

The global-optimization algorithms may discard or update 664 information flow configurations already in place for estab- 665 lished information flows. This process takes as an input the 666 global picture of all the established information flows, includ- 667 ing their performance measurements, and provides as an output 668 different information flow configurations better aligned to the 669 new updated demands for a new global objective. The process 670 may initiate a number of re-negotiations, and we study such 671 a scenario in the experimental results section. As an exam- 672 ple, the distributed VIS nodes may be increased, decreased, 673 or repositioned in order to better accommodate all of the 674 established information flows and the global optimization goal. 675 These processes are part of the quality enforcement function- 676 ality of the VIS and all the corresponding decisions are being 677 taken within the Information Flow Controller module of the 678 ICD function. 679

In practice, the information flow performance should con- 680 sider the potential overhead of the negotiations, especially in 681 case of a dynamic environment, or flow inter-dependencies 682 which can result in cascading negotiations. Along these lines, 683 both local and global performance objectives are defined with 684 a priority level (e.g., high, medium or low). This allows VIS 685 to control the responsiveness of information flow configura- 686 tion to both the dynamically changing network conditions and 687 the requirements at the different network viewpoints. As we 688 show in experimental results (Section V-B2), VIS allows us to 689 consider the impact of a change in the flow-level configuration 690 to the global performance and vice-versa. The priority level of 691 the global and local performance goals can be defined in ways 692 to satisfy particular demands, e.g., to have fixed information 693 flows in case the impact of negotiation is high. 694

The VIS handles information flows between NFV entities which have relatively stable requirements, and any extra overhead introduced mainly takes place with application bootstrapping. In our experience, the number of information flows is significantly lower than the number of the co-existing data flows in the network. Clearly, there is a trade off between some overhead (e.g., latency and computation processing) and the flexibility to control the information flows. Another aspect is that this negotiation does not happen with every data flow, but whenever an entity demands change. So, mice data flows can be associated with a fixed information flow configuration and avoid the extra negotiation overhead. We believe this aspect is complicated enough to require its own independent study.

B. The VIS Implementation Details

We now discuss the VIS implementation details, following on from the design specifications presented above. The VIS architecture was carefully designed to support a numto technologies, while providing facilities to select and configure the most appropriate ones each time. We have implemented a number of features that can effectively demonstrate the main VIS capabilities, although a full VIS can support a significantly wider range of technologies. A summary of the 716

TABLE I SUMMARY OF VIS IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

VIS Component	Implementation Details and Artefacts
Information Collection and Dissemination	REST based Communication, Entity Registration / Configuration Update, Filtering / Accuracy Objectives [38], JSON Representation of Requirements / Constraints - including a lightweight version, Push/Pull - Pub/Sub - Direct Communication Methods, Integration with Lattice Monitoring System [39], Alternative Placement VIS Nodes and Path Optimization Algorithms [40], Alternative Protocol Stacks for Virtual and Physical Network Space.
Information Storage and Indexing	Redis Key-Value Database [41], Timeindexing Storage [42], URI Representation of Information, URI Scoping Support, Historical Storage Capabilities.
Information Processing and Knowledge Production	Information Aggregation, Aggregation Points' Placement Optimization Algorithms [40], Support for New Ag- gregation Functions [38], Knowledge Production Triggering, Information Collection for Knowledge Production, Placeholder for Knowledge Production Algorithms.
Information Flow Establishment and Optimization	Information Flows Registry, Information Flows Negotiation Heuristic Supporting Flow Interdependencies and Prioritization Levels in Optimization Processes, Flow-level and Global-level Performance Monitoring, Measurements Visualization, Logically centralized Traffic Engineering for Information Flows.
Information Management and Information Exchange Interfaces	REST based Interfaces, Open APIs for Applications Deployed at both Virtual and Physical Entities, Support for All ICD Features, Lightweight Messaging Option.

⁷¹⁷ associated features and artefacts in the main VIS components ⁷¹⁸ is given in Table I.

VIS supports a number of communication methods: Publish / Subscribe, Push / Pull, and Direct Communication (by-passing the VIS). We have implemented two variations of the Push / Pull: (i) the *Pull From Entity* method in which VIS retrieves the requested information from the source on behalf of the sink, and (ii) the *Pull From Storage* method in which the sink retrieves the information directly from the VIS storage.

All the VIS interfaces are REST based and use JSON 727 728 representations for exchanged information. Each information 729 element is represented by a unique URI, and URI scoping 730 can be used with wildcards. We collect information from 731 the network devices and get performance measurements (i.e., 732 flow and global level) using the Lattice monitoring framework [39]. The VIS supports filtering at both the information 733 734 collection and information aggregation levels using appropri-735 ate accuracy objectives, which are expressed in the information 736 flow configuration. The VIS supports a number of database 737 technologies for storing data. In our case, we use the redis 738 NoSQL database [41] for all information types except those 739 using timestamps, where it is more efficient to use the 740 Timeindexing database [42]. Historical storage capabilities are 741 also supported.

The information flow negotiation facility uses a custom r43 negotiation heuristic and rule parser, having as input the r44 information flow requirements and resource constraints, repr45 resented in a JSON format, and producing information flow r46 configurations based on the expressed rules and the specir47 fied rule priority levels. The information flow configurations r48 use the same representation, and are communicated from the r49 VIS to the respective NFV Entities using the *Information* r50 *Management Interface* and are stored in the VIS storage.

The same component considers flow inter-dependencies reprise and may trigger new negotiations when a crucial parameter reprise changes. For example, this can happen when an entity shares reprise information being retrieved from another entity and one of the flows requires changes in its configuration. This aspect is very reprise useful in a Service Function Chaining context, where an adapreprise tation of the service chain can trigger changes in one or more VNFs (e.g., due to updates in the VNF network connectivity 758 topology graph). This is an important aspect that deserves a 759 separate study and is considered as a future work. The output 760 of the negotiation includes determining the most appropriate 761 data paths for the information flows by using the dynamic 762 node selection algorithms presented in [40] and by having the 763 global network view as an input. We use the same algorithms 764 for the optimal placement of all distributed VIS compo-765 nents (e.g., the VIS nodes and the information aggregation 766 points).

V. VIS PLATFORM EXPERIMENTAL EVALUATION

768

This section provides an evaluation and validation of the 769 VIS platform. First, we detail our experimental setup, relevant 770 methodological issues, the performance metrics we used, plus 771 our experimental scenarios. Then we present the experimental 772 results from these scenarios, showing data from runs with 30, 773 100, and 500 virtual routers. 774

For our experimental evaluation, we combined and interop- 775 erated the VIS with our own experimental Software-Defined 776 Infrastructure platform, called the Very Lightweight Software- 777 Driven Network and Services Platform (VLSP), in order to 778 provide a full working environment. A description of VLSP 779 can be found in [43], where we show the relation of VLSP to 780 other relevant architectural approaches. We used the VLSP as a 781 test facility realizing features from the MANO VNF Manager 782 (VNFM) and the Virtualized Infrastructure Manager (VIM) 783 substrates, e.g., lightweight VNF manipulation, resource allo-784 cation and optimization etc. The working proof-of-concept 785 system comprising of the VIS integrated with the VLSP has 786 been deployed on a distributed testbed. Main VIS features 787 have been design and demonstrated in the context of the 788 UniverSELF project [2], [44], [45]. 789

In our experiments, there is a distributed VIS deployment over a distributed virtual infrastructure. The number of VIS nodes increases as the topology size increases, and we place the VIS nodes according to the topology size, using the PressureTime placement algorithm [40]. At this point of implementation, the VIS capabilities are shared between the distributed VIS nodes deployed onto the virtual infrastructure 796 ⁷⁹⁷ and the one instance of the VIS software at a physical host ⁷⁹⁸ that is connected to a centralized database.

Each new virtual router is dynamically assigned to the physical machine with the least processing load, by using a configurable *Placement Engine* built in to VLSP. We plan experiment further with alternative resource allocation and placement algorithms. A survey of this very important subject of Virtual Network Embedding is presented in paper [46].

805 A. Experimental Details and Methodology

In our experimental runs, we used the following hardware: 807 (i) 2 servers with 2 Intel Quad (4 cores) 2.5GHz CPUs and 808 8GB of physical memory, (ii) 4 servers with 8 AMD Opteron 809 Quad-core (4 cores) 2.347GHz CPUs and 32GB of physi-810 cal memory, and (iii) 5 servers with 16 Intel Xeon (4 cores) 811 2.27GhZ CPUs and 32GB of physical memory.

Each experimental run started with creation of a new virtual network topology being deployed on all 11 physical servers. The topology consists of the number of Virtual Routers (VR), specified in the each run configuration, and a number of virtual links being created randomly. The link details are picked from a distribution (i.e., a discrete distribution with a minnum of one, to maintain connectivity). The routers to be are connected are chosen at random by using the well-known Barabasi-Albert (BA) preferential attachment model [47]. We use this model as it captures some features of the real Internet topology. We ensure that network disconnection events keep the network connected at all times.

To stress test the VIS, we have created our own example 824 825 NFV Entities with diverse requirements in terms of informa-826 tion handling, including applications collecting information 827 from the virtual routers and applications requesting informa-828 tion from the VIS. All entities support four communication 829 methods (i.e., the Pull from Entities, the Pull from Storage, 830 the Publish/Subscribe and the Direct Communication). The ⁸³¹ information sources and information sinks have been randomly ⁸³² deployed. As a next step, the VLSP assigned all of the entities 833 to the most appropriate VIS node, where the chosen strat-834 egy was to choose the VIS node being closest to them. The 835 entities can specify and update their own requirements at any 836 point of the communication (e.g., by changing their requested 837 communication method, their local performance goal, or their 838 minimum / maximum data rates etc). This triggers appropriate 839 information flow negotiations.

The entities periodically transmit performance measurements to the VIS over the negotiated information flows. We performed tests with entities deployed at the virtual routers or sta as standalone physical applications, resembling both types of NFV entities (i.e., management components and VNFs). Then, sta after a warm-up period, the communication began.

All of the experiments have a stochastic nature, with random network topologies and random placements of entities. The test runs have been executed several times to ensure replicability of our observations, where ten replications was deemed appropriate for safe analysis as that produced a very low standard deviation of the values. For each run, data is sampled either from all information flows or from a group of them having similar characteristics, in order to gather the following 853 metrics: 854

- Average Response Time: The average time taken from the request of a piece of information from a sink, to the point that it is received. For the case of Publish/Subscribe, the request is resolved locally (i.e., from the local NFV Entity storage keeping up-to-date information).
- *Information Freshness:* The time taken from the production of the new information to the point it reaches the requesting NFV Entity. This is one way to quantify the *quality of information.*
- Average CPU Load: The average CPU load value associated with the VIS software. This allows us to monitor 865 the VIS behaviour, in terms of processing requirements. 866
- *Total Memory Storage Used:* The total memory storage ⁸⁶⁷ used in the VIS. The data for this metric comes directly ⁸⁶⁸ from the internal data structures and the chosen database ⁸⁶⁹ technology (Redis [41] in our case). ⁸⁷⁰

The average values of all the above metrics is calculated every 871 10 seconds in a separate metric collection aggregator. 872

B. Experimental Results

We carried out experiments highlighting aspects such as ⁸⁷⁴ the adaptability, the flexibility, and the stability / scalability ⁸⁷⁵ behaviour of the VIS based on the following scenarios: ⁸⁷⁶

- Scenario 1 Adaptability: To demonstrate how the VIS 877 adapts to different conditions in terms of NFV Entity 878 requirements and information flows number. Adaptability 879 refers to the ability to change VIS to fit to occurring 880 changes in the information flows.
- *Scenario 2 Flexibility:* To highlight how the VIS supports concurrent diverse needs, while serving a global ⁸⁸³ performance goal. In other words, showing how the local ⁸⁸⁴ optimization with the global optimization aspects are ⁸⁸⁵ being balanced. ⁸⁸⁶
- Scenario 3 Scalability / Stability: To show how resource ⁸⁸⁷ exhaustion can be tackled by enforcing a global performance optimization goal. The limits of the system are ⁸⁸⁹ explored using an experiment with a large number of virular outers and many information flows. Scalability refers ⁸⁹¹ to the ability of the VIS to handle growing networks elements and usage in a graceful manner and its ability to ⁸⁹³ enlarged to accommodate that growth. Stability refers to ⁸⁹⁴ the degree to which VIS must work/operate in a changing ⁸⁹⁵ environment.

Each of these scenarios are discussed in more detail in ⁸⁹⁷ the following sections presenting: the *Adaptability of VIS* in ⁸⁹⁸ Section V-B1; the *Flexibility of VIS* in Section V-B2; and the ⁸⁹⁹ *Scalability and Stability of VIS* in Section V-B3. ⁹⁰⁰

1) Adaptability of VIS: For this first scenario we experimentally explore the adaptability properties of the VIS, given ⁹⁰² the diverse network environment conditions and the varying ⁹⁰³ NFV Entities' requirements and constraints. We used a topology of 100 virtual routers, while the number of management ⁹⁰⁵ information flows ranged from 5 to 30. The scenario uses up ⁹⁰⁶ to 60% of the routers as sources and sinks for management ⁹⁰⁷













(e) Average Response Time (Publish/Subscribe Method)



(c) Average Response Time (Pull from Entities Method)



(f) Average Response Time (Direct Communication Method)



(d) Average Response Time (Pull from Storage Method)

Fig. 4. Impact of Information Flows Number.





(f) Average Information Freshness (30 VRs and 3 Flows)

Fig. 5. Impact of Communication Method.

Flows)

908 and state information, and a number of routers for the dis-⁹⁰⁹ tributed VIS nodes, thus matching a wide range of realistic 910 NFV environment deployments, in terms of flow numbers. We 911 executed the experiments with different communication meth-912 ods, as outlined in Section IV. The main goal is to quantify 913 the impact of the information flows number on the behaviour 914 of VIS and the performance of the respective NFV Entities. 915 The results are shown in figures 4a-4f.

As we can see from figure 4(a), which shows CPU load, 916 and figure 4(b), which shows memory consumption, the VIS 917 accommodates a number of flows well, based on resource 918 availability. We use the Pull from Entities method in this exam- 919 ple, but a similar behaviour was noticed for other methods 920 as well. There is a minor increase in the processing load 921 and memory consumption of VIS, as the number of infor- 922 mation flows increases. However, this increase is stable and 923



(a) VIS CPU Load (Pull from Entities to Direct Communication Method)





(b) VIS Memory Consumption (Pull from Entities to Direct Communication Method)





(c) Average Response Time (Pull from Entities to Direct Communication Method)



(f) Average Information Freshness (Pull from Storage to Direct Communication Method)

(d) Average Information Freshness (Pull from Entities to Direct Communication Method)



 $_{924}$ predictable. According the figures 4(c) to 4(f), the average 925 response time shows a minor increase as the number of infor-926 mation flows increases. Here, the response time may exhibit ⁹²⁷ a minor jitter, (in the range of milliseconds), that can increase with information flows contention. We have determined that 928 ⁹²⁹ many of these spikes occur due to task and thread switching ⁹³⁰ and other low-level OS processes that may run in the servers, and are not VIS attributes. These spikes will not happen with 931 dedicated hardware hosting the VNFs (i.e., using separate net-932 work processors). Furthermore, fully distributed methods (e.g., 933 Direct Communication) do not have this issue (figure 4(f)). As 934 ⁹³⁵ the involvement duration of the VIS (including the centralized ⁹³⁶ storage behind it) is gradually reduced, the jitter is reduced as well. 937

We plan to run more VIS instances on the physical hosts, 938 939 and also to deploy a distributed database to see how this 940 issue is improved. For example, the Pull from Entities method involves the VIS more than the *Pull from Storage* method. The 941 Direct Communication method involves the VIS for informa-942 ⁹⁴³ tion flow performance monitoring and negotiation aspects only. This gives significant advantages to the Direct Communication 944 945 method for applications that have real-time constraints. In the ⁹⁴⁶ case of the *Publish/Subscribe* method (figure 4(e)), the average ⁹⁴⁷ response time is almost zero, because information is retrieved 948 from local storage (however it may not be fresh, as we show 949 in the next scenario).

At this point, we explore how the choice of communica-⁹⁵¹ tion method impacts the performance of the global system ⁹⁵² and the different NFV Entities. We executed four different ⁹⁵³ sets of runs with a topology of 30 virtual routers and 3 infor-⁹⁵⁴ mation flows, varying the communication method used. As ⁹⁵⁵ can be seen from figures 5(a) and 5(b), the impact on the

(e) Average Response Time (Pull from Storage to Direct Communication Method)



(a) Average Response Time (The Renegotiating Flow)



(b) Average Response Time (The Rest of Flows)

Fig. 7. Local Tuning of Involved Performance Trade-offs.

VIS is insignificant in terms of memory consumption but 956 varies in terms of processing load. The *Direct Communication* 957 method produces the least load to the VIS, while the *Pull from* 958 *Storage* and the *Publish/Subscribe* methods produce the most. 959 The *Pull from Entities* method seems to be closer to the last 960 two methods, in terms of processing load. As we increased 961 the topology size to 100 virtual routers and the information 962

(a) VIS CPU Load (Direct Communication







2500 Flows 1 Flows 2 Flows 5 Flows 10 Flows 2 Flows 10 Flows 3 Flows 3 Flows 3 Flows 3 Flows 5 Flows 10 Flows 10 Flows 10 Flows 3 Flows 10



(c) Average Response Time (Direct Communication Method)



(e) VIS Memory Consumption (Handling Jitter (f) Average Response Time (Handling Jitter Issue) Issue)

Fig. 8. Impact of Topology Scale.

⁹⁶³ flows size to 10, a difference in terms of memory consump-⁹⁶⁴ tion appears (see figure 5(d)). As was expected, the *Direct* ⁹⁶⁵ *Communication* method requires the least consumption and ⁹⁶⁶ the *Pull from Storage* method the most. However, the relative ⁹⁶⁷ difference of the different methods in terms of processing load ⁹⁶⁸ appears the same (figure 5(c)).

Based on the figures 5(e) and 5(f), we observe the following:

- (i) the *Pull from Entities* method has the higher response
 time but very good information freshness.
- (ii) the *Pull from Storage* method is characterized by a
 very low response time, but may not retrieve fresh
 information.

975 (iii) the *Publish/Subscribe* method is characterized by an
976 almost zero response time, but may not be associated
977 with fresh information.

(iv) the *Direct Communication* method has a lower response time compared to the *Pull from Entities* method, but higher compared to the *Pull from Storage* and *Publish/Subscribe* methods. However, it can retrieve the most fresh information.

(v) in the case of lower information flow contention, thereis no response time jitter.

According the above, we see that VIS supports a number of communication options for information handling with alternative behaviour in terms of resource utilization, response time, and quality of information. The VIS can adapt to diverse NFV
Entity requirements and global system characteristics.

2) *Flexibility of VIS:* In this section we demonstrate the flexibility aspects of the VIS. For the same VIS global behaviour, in terms of memory consumption and CPU load (i.e., figures 6(a), 6(b) for *Pull from Entities* method), we can used tune the relevant performance trade-offs to meet the needs of

the NFV Entities. If we trigger a change in the global per- 995 formance goal, at one point in time, in order to switch the 996 communication method of flows, this allows a global tun- 997 ing of the performance of some selected or all established 998 information flows. As an example, switching from the Pull 999 from Entities method to the Direct Communication method 1000 improves average response time and information freshness, 1001 while at the same time it minimizes response time jitter 1002 (figures 6(c), 6(d)). It also involves tuning performance trade- 1003 offs as well. If we switch, at some point in time, from the Pull 1004 from Storage method to the Direct Communication method, 1005 we trade average response time for information freshness 1006 (figures 6(e), 6(f)). Therefore, we improve the quality of infor- 1007 mation if we tolerate more delays in order to retrieve the 1008 information. Such performance updates can be maintained by 1009 an autonomic control loop at the VIS level.

In the previous example, a change is triggered in the 1011 global performance goal that impacts all existing flows. The 1012 VIS implementation supports changes to the local perfor- 1013 mance goals at the NFV Entity level as well as global goal 1014 changes that impact a subset of the established information 1015 flows. 1016

Figure 7(a) shows that a particular NFV Entity may request 1017 a renegotiation of its own information flow(s). This may 1018 involve a different tuning of the local performance trade-offs 1019 (i.e., improving response time in this example) but with a 1020 minor or zero impact in the performance of other co-existing 1021 information flows (see figure 7(b)). In this example, we range 1022 the total number of flows from two to five. Such behaviour 1023 can be associated with autonomic control loops at the NFV 1024 Entity level.

3) Scalability and Stability of VIS: In this section, we 1026 stress test our infrastructure with large topologies (up to 1027

Method)

1028 500 virtual routers). The main goal here is to investigate 1029 its behaviour in terms of scalability and stability. As is ¹⁰³⁰ shown in figures 8(a), 8(b), 8(c), large scales can be reached. 1031 Figure 8(a) highlights how VIS CPU load increases with the 1032 topology size. Since the number of information flows remains 1033 the same (10 in this example), there is no impact on the memory consumption (figure 8(b)). The next figures (i.e., 1034 1035 figures 8(d), 8(e), 8(f), 8(f)), show how VIS can trade an 1036 increased jitter in response-time for a slight increase in the $_{1037}$ average response time (figure 8(f)), in the case of a large scale 1038 topology and gradual resource exhaustion. In this example, 1039 we enforced a global performance goal change that switches 1040 the communication method from *Pull from Storage* to *Direct* 1041 Communication. This strategy can be associated with a con-1042 trol loop that detects and tackles systematic stability problems. We plan to introduce such a management capability in the near 1043 1044 future.

1045

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have argued that abstracted logically cen-1046 tralized information manipulation should be a fundamental 1047 feature of NFV MANO, and that it should follow the underly-1048 ing dynamics of the NFV environments. We have architected 1049 1050 and implemented a solution along these lines, the Virtual Infrastructure Information Service (VIS), which exhibits man-1051 agement and state information flow establishment, operation, 1052 and optimization between the NFV entities. We have exper-1053 1054 imentally validated the behaviour of VIS in terms of (i) its 1055 Adaptability, (ii) its Flexibility, and (iii) its Stability and 1056 Scalability.

The design of VIS has been presented and the experiments 1057 1058 undertaken here have shown that:

- (i) A global picture of the information flow manipulation 1059 aspects in the system can be maintained. This allows 1060 an appropriate tuning of the relevant performance trade-1061 offs, at a local or a global level. 1062
- (ii) The local requirements of the NFV Entities can be 1063 met, while the global behaviour of the system can be 1064 monitored and predicted. 1065
- The global behaviour of the system can adapt, often with (iii) 1066 a minor impact on the local requirements, to the different 1067 NFV Entities. 1068

1069 Consequently its appropriateness to NFV MANO has been validated and confirmed. 1070

To extend our work and to continue our investigations, we 1071 blan to implement and research the following VIS aspects: 1072 L

- The full integration of VIS with the OpenMANO [48], 1073 codebase [49]. 1074
- · Investigate issues related to the co-existence of alter-1075 native information models, including the negotiation of 1076 the information model to use and the required model 1077 translators. 1078
- Research a number of NFV state synchronisation scenar-1079 ios for stateful network functions, i.e., VNF migration or 1080 SDC adaptability. 1081
- Investigate a number of optimization strategies and asso-1082 1083
 - ciate them with different high-level performance goals,

including improving energy efficiency in the system. 1084 Evaluate complete autonomic control loops, at both local 1085 and global levels, for tackling performance and stability 1086 problems. 1087

- Determine how VIS behaves in dynamic environments 1088 and the involved trade-offs being associated with the 1089 information flow negotiation complexity and the delay- 1090 sensitive applications. 1091
- Observe the impact of resource allocation algorithms for 1092 different types of virtual resources, allowing us to reach 1093 even larger scales. Experiment with more VIS instances 1094 and alternative allocations of VIS functions between 1095 the VIS nodes at the virtual and physical space, i.e., 1096 reach even larger scales with less performance spikes (as 1097 highlighted in Section V-B1 of Experimental Results). 1098
- Consider ideas inspired from the Information-Centric 1099 Networks (ICNs) paradigm [50], e.g., data applications 1100 can be communicating over negotiated flows, while the 1101 global behaviour of the system will be monitored and 1102 controlled in a logically centralized manner. 1103

We will continue working towards further releases of VIS 1104 implementations as open-source software, including the docu- 1105 mentation of its detailed design and implementation artefacts. 1106

REFERENCES

- [1] DOLFIN. (2013). DOLFIN FP7 Project. [Online]. Available: 1108 http://www.dolfin-fp7.eu 1109
- UniverSELF. (2010). UniverSELF FP7 Project. [Online]. Available: 1110 [2] http://www.univerself-project.eu/ 1111
- [3] 5GEX. (2015). EU H2020-5G Multi-Domain Exchange (5GEx) 1112 Project. [Online]. Available: https://5g-ppp.eu/5GEx 1113
- [4] SONATA. (2015). EU H2020–5G Service Programing and 1114 Orchestration for Virtualized Software Networks. [Online]. Available: 1115 https://5g-ppp.eu/sonata/ 1116
- [5] M. Chiosi et al., "Network functions virtualisation," White paper at the 1117 SDN and OpenFlow World Congress, ETSI, Sophia Antipolis, France, 1118 Tech. Rep., 2012. 1119
- [6] ETSI. (2014). GS NFV-MAN 001. [Online]. Available: http:// 1120 www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gs/NFV-MAN/001_099/001/01.01.01_60/ 1121 gs_nfv-man001v010101p.pdf 1122
- [7] R. Mijumbi et al., "Network function virtualization: State-of-the-art 1123 and research challenges," IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts., vol. 18, no. 1, 1124 pp. 236-262, 1st Quart. 2016. 1125
- ETSI. (2015). NFV Information Model WI IFA015 (Working Document). 1126 [8] [Online]. Available: http://docbox.etsi.org/ISG/NFV/Open/Drafts/ 1127 IFA015_NFV_Information_Model/NFV-IFA015v020.zip 1128
- Available: 1129 [9] ETSL (2016).GS NFV-IFA 005 [Online] http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gs/NFV-IFA/001_099/005/02.01.01_60/ 1130 gs_NFV-IFA005v020101p.pdf 1131
- [10] ETSI. (2015). GS NFV-IFA 006 [Online]. Available: 1132 http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gs/NFV-IFA/001_099/006/02.01.01_60/ 1133 gs_NFV-IFA006v020101p.pdf 1134
- NFV-REL 002. [11] ETSI. (2015).GS [Online]. Available: 1135 http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gs/NFV-REL/001_099/002/01.01.01_60/ 1136 gs_nfv-rel002v010101p.pdf 1137
- [12] TMF. (2015). TMF Information Framework (SID). [Online]. Available: 1138 http://www.tmforum.org/information-framework-sid/ 1139
- J. P. Reilly, "Implementing the TM forum information framework (SID): 1140 [13] A practitioner's guide," 2011. AO4 1141
- L. Mamatas, S. Clayman, and A. Galis, "A flexible information service 1142 [14] for management of virtualized software-defined infrastructures," Int. J. 1143 1144 AQ5 Netw. Manag., 2016.
- [15] L. Mamatas, S. Clayman, and A. Galis, "The virtual infrastruc- 1145 ture information service open source software," University College 1146 London, London, U.K., Tech. Rep., 2015. [Online]. Available: 1147 http://clayfour.ee.ucl.ac.uk/ikms/index.html 1148
- [16] A. Gember et al., "Stratos: A network-aware orchestration layer for 1149 virtual middleboxes in clouds," arXiv preprint arXiv:1305.0209, 2013. 1150

1107

AO

- 1151 [17] D. A. Joseph, A. Tavakoli, and I. Stoica, "A policy-aware switching layer
 1152 for data centers," ACM SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev., vol. 38,
- no. 4, pp. 51–62, Oct. 2008.
 Z. A. Qazi *et al.*, "Simple-fying middlebox policy enforcement using SDN" ACM SUCCOMM Commun. Proc. arXiv 12. 42.
- SDN," ACM SIGCOMM Comput. Commun. Rev., vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 27–38, 2013.
 A. Gember-Jacobson et al., "OpenNF: Enabling innovation in net-
- A. Gember-Jacobson *et al.*, "OpenNF: Enabling innovation in network function control," in *Proc. SIGCOMM*, Chicago, IL, USA, 2014,
 pp. 163–174.
- B. Kothandaraman, M. Du, and P. Sköldström, "Centrally controlled distributed VNF state management," in *Proc. SIGCOMM Workshop Hot Topics Middleboxes Netw. Function Virtualization*, London, U.K., 2015, pp. 37–42.
- 1164 [21] S. Rajagopalan, D. Williams, and H. Jamjoom, "Pico replication: A high availability framework for middleboxes," in *Proc. 4th Annu. Symp.* 1166 *Cloud Comput.*, Santa Clara, CA, USA, 2013, Art. no. 1.
- 1167 [22] S. Rajagopalan, D. Williams, H. Jamjoom, and A. Warfield,
 "Split/merge: System support for elastic execution in virtual middleboxes," presented at the 10th USENIX Symp.
 1170 Netw. Syst. Design Implement. (NSDI), Lombard, IL, USA,
 1171 2013, pp. 227–240. [Online]. Available: https://www.usenix.org/
 1172 conference/nsdi13/technical-sessions/presentation/rajagopalan
- 1173 [23] J. Batalle, J. F. Riera, E. Escalona, and J. A. Garcia-Espin,
 "On the implementation of NFV over an OpenFlow infrastructure:
 Routing function virtualization," in *Proc. IEEE SDN Future Netw. Services (SDN4FNS)*, Trento, Italy, 2013, pp. 1–6.
- 1177 [24] A. Dan, R. Johnson, and A. Arsanjani, "Information as a service: Modeling and realization," in *Proc. Int. Workshop Syst. Develop. SOA*
- 1179Environ. (SDSOA) ICSE Workshop], Minneapolis, MN, USA, 2007, p. 2.1180[25]V. Dwivedi and N. Kulkarni, "Information as a service in a data analytics
- scenario—A case study," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Web Services (ICWS)*,
 Beijing, China, 2008, pp. 615–620.
- 1183
 [26]
 Open Data Center Alliance. (2013). Master Usage Model:

 1184
 Information as a Service Rev. 1.0. [Online]. Available:

 1185
 http://www.opendatacenteralliance.org/docs/Information_as_a_Service_

 1186
 Master_Usage_Model_Rev1.0.pdf
- 1187 [27] J. Medved, R. Varga, A. Tkacik, and K. Gray, "OpenDaylight: Towards a model-driven SDN controller architecture," in *Proc. IEEE 15th Int. Symp. World Wireless Mobile Multimedia Netw.*, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 2014, pp. 1–6.
- 1191 [28] R. Enns, M. Bjorklund, J. Schoenwaelder, and A. Bierman, "Network
 configuration protocol (NETCONF)," Internet Engineering Task Force,
 Fremont, CA, USA, RFC 6241, 2011.
- 1194 [29] J. Schönwälder, M. Björklund, and P. Shafer, "Network configuration management using NETCONF and YANG," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 166–173, Sep. 2010.
- 1197 [30] ATIS. (2016). ATIS NFV Forum. [Online]. Available: 1198 http://www.atis.org/nfv/index.asp
- 1199 [31] DMTF. (2016). DMTF Common Information Model (CIM). [Online].
 Available: http://www.dmtf.org
- 1201 [32] ITU-T. (2016). *ITU-T Information Model*. [Online]. Available: 1202 http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-M.3100/en
- [203] [33] MEF. (2013). MEF Common Information Model. [Online]. Available:
 https://www.mef.net/Assets/Technical_Specifications/PDF/MEF_7.2.pdf
- I205 [34] IETF. (2014). YANG Data Model for Interface Management. [Online].
 Available: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7223
- 1207 [35] OASIS. (2015). OASIS TOSCA. [Online]. Available: http://docs.oasisopen.org/tosca/tosca-nfv/v1.0/csd01/tosca-nfv-v1.0-csd01.pdf
- 1209
 [36]
 ETSI.
 (2016).
 GS
 NFV-IFA
 010.
 [Online].
 Available:

 1210
 http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gs/NFV-IFA/001_099/010/02.01.01_60/
 gs_NFV-IFA010v020101p.pdf
- 1212
 [37]
 ETSI.
 (2016).
 GS
 NFV-REL
 003.
 [Online].
 Available:

 1213
 http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gs/NFV-REL/001_099/003/01.01.01_60/
 gs_NFV-REL003v010101p.pdf
- 1215 [38] L. Mamatas, S. Clayman, M. Charalambides, A. Galis, and G. Pavlou,
 "Towards an information management overlay for emerging networks,"
 1217 in *Proc. Netw. Oper. Manag. Symp. (NOMS)*, Osaka, Japan, 2010,
 1218 pp. 527–534.
- 1219 [39] S. Clayman, A. Galis, and L. Mamatas, "Monitoring virtual net-works with lattice," in *Proc. IEEE/IFIP Netw. Oper. Manag. Symp. Workshops (NOMS Wksps)*, Osaka, Japan, 2010, pp. 239–246.
- R. G. Clegg, S. Clayman, G. Pavlou, L. Mamatas, and A. Galis,
 "On the selection of management/monitoring nodes in highly dynamic networks," *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, vol. 62, no. 6, pp. 1207–1220, Jun. 2013.
- 1226 [41] J. Zawodny, "Redis: Lightweight key/value store that goes the extra 1227 mile," *Linux Mag.*, vol. 31, Aug. 2009.

- [42] S. Clayman. (May 2003). *Time Indexing—An Introduction*. [Online]. 1228 Available: http://www.timeindexing.com/documentation/papers.html 1229
- [43] L. Mamatas, S. Clayman, and A. Galis, "A service-aware virtualized 1230 software-defined infrastructure," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 53, no. 4, 1231 pp. 166–174, Apr. 2015.
- [44] N. Koutsouris *et al.*, "Conflict free coordination of SON functions in 1233 a unified management framework: Demonstration of a proof of con- 1234 cept prototyping platform," in *Proc. IFIP/IEEE Int. Symp. Integr. Netw.* 1235 *Manag. (IM)*, Ghent, Belgium, May 2013, pp. 1092–1093. 1236
- [45] N. Koutsouris *et al.*, "Managing software-driven networks with a unified 1237 management framework," in *Proc. IFIP/IEEE Int. Symp. Integr. Netw.* 1238 *Manag. (IM)*, Ghent, Belgium, May 2013, pp. 1084–1085. 1239
- [46] A. Fischer, J. F. Botero, M. T. Beck, H. De Meer, and X. Hesselbach, 1240
 "Virtual network embedding: A survey," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, 1241
 vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 1888–1906, 4th Quart. 2013.
- [47] A.-L. Barabási and R. Albert, "Emergence of scaling in random 1243 networks," *Science*, vol. 286, no. 5439, pp. 509–512, 1999. 1244
- [48] D. Lopez, "Openmano: The dataplane ready open source NFV MANO 1245 stack," in Proc. IETF Meeting, Dallas, TX, USA, 2015. 1246
- [49] TN Labs. (2016). OpenVIM & OpenMANO. [Online]. Available: 1247 https://github.com/nfvlabs/openmano 1248
- [50] B. Ahlgren, C. Dannewitz, C. Imbrenda, D. Kutscher, and B. Ohlman, 1249
 "A survey of information-centric networking," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, 1250
 vol. 50, no. 7, pp. 26–36, Jul. 2012.



Lefteris Mamatas received the Ph.D. degree 1252 from the Department of Electrical and Computer 1253 Engineering, Democritus University of Thrace, 1254 Greece. He is an Assistant Professor with the 1255 Department of Applied Informatics, University of 1256 Macedonia, Greece. He was a Researcher with the 1257 University College London, Space Internetworking 1258 Center/Democritus University of Thrace, and 1259 DoCoMo Eurolabs, Munich. He has published 1260 around 50 papers in international journals and 1261 conferences. His research interests lie in the areas of 1262

software-defined networks, network management, opportunistic networks, and 1263 energy efficient communication. He has participated in several international 1264 research projects, such as Dolfin, Autonomic Internet, UniverSELF, and 1265 others. He served as the General Co-Chair for the WWIC 2016 conference 1266 and the INFOCOM SWFAN 2016 workshop, the TPC Co-Chair for the 1267 WWIC 2012 and E-DTN 2009 conferences, and as a Guest Editor for *Ad* 1268 *Hoc Networks*. 1269



Stuart Clayman received the Ph.D. degree in 1270 computer science from the University College 1271 London (UCL), in 1994. He was a Research Lecturer 1272 with Kingston University and UCL. He is currently a 1273 Senior Research Fellow with the EEE Department, 1274 UCL. He has co-authored over 40 conference and 1275 journal papers. He has been involved in several 1276 European research projects since 1994. He also has 1277 taking architecture and development for software 1279 engineering, distributed systems, and networking 1280

systems. He has run his own technology start-up in the area of NoSQL 1281 databases, sensors, and digital media. His research interests and expertise lie 1282 in the areas of software engineering and programming paradigms, distributed 1283 systems, virtualized compute and network systems, network, and systems 1284 management, networked media, and knowledge-based systems. 1285



Alex Galis is a Professorial Research Associate in 1286 networked and service systems with the University 1287 College London. He has co-authored 10 research 1288 books and over 200 publications in the future 1289 Internet areas: system management, networks and 1290 services, networking clouds, virtualization, and pro-1291 grammability. He was the Vice Chair of the ITU-T 1292 SG13 Group on Future Networking and the TPC 1293 Co-Chair of the IEEE Network Softwarization in 1294 2015. He is currently the Co-Chair of the IEEE SDN 1295 publication committee. 1296

AQ6

AUTHOR QUERIES AUTHOR PLEASE ANSWER ALL QUERIES

PLEASE NOTE: We cannot accept new source files as corrections for your paper. If possible, please annotate the PDF proof we have sent you with your corrections and upload it via the Author Gateway. Alternatively, you may send us your corrections in list format. You may also upload revised graphics via the Author Gateway.

- AQ1: Please confirm/give details of funding source.
- AQ2: Note that if you require corrections/changes to tables or figures, you must supply the revised files, as these items are not edited for you.
- AQ3: Please provide the technical report number for Reference [5].
- AQ4: Please provide the complete details and exact format for References [13] and [16].
- AQ5: Please provide the volume number, issue number or month, and page range for Reference [14].
- AQ6: Please provide the page range for References [41] and [48].