



EOSCpilot WP3  
Draft Policy Recommendations

Research Institutions and Libraries and the  
role of Funders in the European Open  
Science Cloud workshop  
Lille, 4 July 2018

Dale Robertson, Jisc

**EOSC**pilot  
The European Open Science  
Cloud for Research Pilot Project  
[www.eosc-pilot.eu](http://www.eosc-pilot.eu)



- *Draft* Policy Recommendations for consultation / validation
  - Actions/frameworks which will remove obstacles to the EOSC/encourage EOSC implementation and use
  - Feedback will be used to produce Final Policy Recommendations this autumn
  - Scope
    - Open Science and Open Scholarship (Scholarly Communications, IPR, Access to Infrastructures and Services, KPIs/Metrics for Open Science)
    - Data Protection
    - Procurement
    - Ethics
  - Targetted stakeholder groups
    - Research funders/ministries
    - Research producing organisations (RPOs)
    - Research Infrastructures (RIs)



## Draft Policy Recommendations (1/2)

Examples of some of the draft recommendations in the area of Open Science:

- Funders, RIs and RPOs should develop principles for long-term data stewardship enabling curation, provenance and quality using accepted community standards and conventions
- Funders and RPOs should make DMPs a requirement and develop consistent (i.e. aligned) requirements for DMPs, including machine-readability, DMP deposition in a certified repository or CRIS system, linkage with the research data to which they relate, and updating during the lifetime of the research project so they are “live” documents
- Funders should encourage the use of unique and persistent digital identifiers - based on global, sustainable and community-governed solutions - to support openness, FAIRness and citability of all research outputs and to provide the basis for mechanisms to assess compliance with Open Science policies



## Draft Policy Recommendations (2/2)

- EOSC governance, funders and RIs should ensure that infrastructures, services and other resources supplied through the EOSC provide assurance, for example by developing accreditation or certification schemes:
  - - to users, that their research outputs are open, FAIR and citable
  - - to the EOSC for the purposes of FAIR data governance and compliance monitoring
- Funders should provide financial incentives for researchers to make data openly available not only at the end of their project but also in other phases of the research process
- Research funders, RIs and RPOs should provide leadership and clarity around openness-by-default by jointly developing and adopting a European Open Science Concordat detailing an Open Science code of conduct for every beneficiary involved in the research process, from authors to data stewards, service providers and repository managers, including the requirement for all research outputs to be appropriately open (as open as possible, as closed as necessary), FAIR and citable

Thank you very  
much!

