

Pastures & Pastoralism Published by The Grassroots Institute Website: https://grassrootsjournals.org/pp

ISSN 2817-3457 | 02(2024)

M – 00384 | Research Article | Open Access

Comparison Between the Sustainably- and Unsustainably-Managed Pastures: The Case of Urad-Middle Banner in Inner Mongolia, China

Hongxi Du*1, Jinmuse Tong2, Manley Olonuud3

 ¹Research Center of Soil Resource Comprehensive Utilization and Ecological Environmental in Western Inner Mongolia, Hetao College, Bayannur City, Inner Mongolia, 015000, China. Email: hongxidu@aliyun.com
 ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8248-3031
 ²Language Translational Research Center, Hetao College, Bayannur City, Inner Mongolia, 015000, China. Email: 1664112907@qq.com
 ORCID: https://orcid.org/009-0003-9155-3881
 ³College of Life Sciences, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, 300381, China Email: mlmongl@163.com | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-6453-9216
 *Corresponding author

How to cite this paper: Du, H., Tong, J., & Olonuud, M. (2024). Comparison Between the Sustainably- and Unsustainably-Managed Pastures: The Case of Urad-Middle Banner in Inner Mongolia, China. *Pastures & Pastoralism, 02*, 82-100. Doi: https://doi.org/10.33002/pp0205

Received: 06 April 2024 Reviewed: 30 April 2024 Revised: 10 May 2024 Accepted: 15 May 2024 Published: 30 May 2024 Copyright © 2024 by author(s)

Publisher's Note: We stay neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps, permissions taken by authors and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0). http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/



Executive Chief Editor Dr. Hasrat Arjjumend *Associate Editors* Dr. Arushi Malhotra Dr. Hongxi Du *This article is edited by Dr. Hasrat Arjjumend*



Abstract

In order to compare the herd size, income level, and the vegetation in the sustainably-managed grazing pasture with unsustainably-managed grazing pasture, this study selected the two pastures that receive heavyload of camel population and other two pastures receiving light-load of camel herds. In desert having xerophyite Zygophyllum xanthoxylum, the pastures were classified into unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture (UHPZ) and sustainably-managed herdsman pasture (SHPZ). In addition, pastures were ccategorized into unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture (UHPK) and sustainably-managed herdsman pasture (SHPK) in deserts dominated by Krascheninnikovia ceratoides. The vegetation volume of Zygophyllum xanthoxylum at SHPZ, UHPZ and the gravelization sample at UHPZ is 1560 cm³, 480 cm³ and 4 cm³, respectively. On the other hand, the vegetation volume of Krascheninnikovia ceratoides at SHPK, UHPK and the gravelization sample at UHPK is 840 cm³, 510 cm³ and 8 cm³, respectively. In addition, the herdsmen grazing animals in UHPZ and UHPK responded almost no income obtained over the past five years. In conclusion, the vegetation situation and the income level of the sustainable-managed pasture are significantly better than the unsustainable-managed pastures. The local ecological community maybe the major player in decision-making in favour of the Indigenous people, pastures' vegetation management, and the livelihoods.

Keywords

Inner Mongolia; Deserts; Dominant Species; *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum*; *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides*

1. Introduction

Inner Mongolian grassland is vital to the ecological security of North China, while the animal-based sustainable production is crucial for the economic development of this country (Li & Huntsinger, 2011; Zhang et al., 2020). Based on the Chinese governmental reports, 10% of the total area of the grasslands was degraded in the 1970s, increasing the degraded lands to 30% in the 1980s and 50% in the 1990s (Waldron et al., 2010). In 2010, about 61.5% of grasslands were degraded, and the degraded area increased to 90% in 2018 (Zhang et al., 2023).

Mongolia protects its grassland nomadism by establishing the Mongolian National Federation of Pasture User Groups at the initiative of a local herder organization (Hauck et al., 2024). In Inner Mongolia, the grassland privatization started from the year 1984 (Liu et al., 2017), but some investigators argue that the privatization of grasslands does not cause grassland degradation in Inner Mongolia (Liu et al., 2019). However, the cropland (Hu & Nacun, 2018) and the coal-mining expanded the most, reducing the grasslands considerably (Zhang et al., 2022). With the deferred or banned grazing policies in Inner Mongolia of China, the grassland privatization resulted in the fragmentation of the pastures usually fenced by large-scale wire fencing (2022; Wang & Lo, 2022; Xu & Huntsinger). Lastly, the local government has inclined to integrate the pastures by connecting the herdsmen (Conte & Tilt, 2014). In short, the current Inner Mongolia grasslands' ecological capacity is limited, leaving the pasture fragmentation occurring at large.

In order to increase the economic incomes, the local government subsidized the semi-extensive systematic feeding of the Bactrian camels, booming the numbers of the companies producing the camel products (Iglesias et al., 2020). The total population of Bactrian camel in Inner Mongolia has reached to about 187,000 in 2022, accounting for 40.48% of the total number of camels in the country. This number is expected to reach 170,000 in western Inner Mongolian deserts (Ming et al., 2022). There are few studies assessing the conditions of pasture vegetation at different levels of grazing of camel herds.

Overcoming the gap, this research investigated the herd size and the vegetation both in the sustainably managed grazing pastures in comparison with unsustainably managed grazing pastures in Urad-middle banner territory.

2. Study Areas & Methodology

Urad-middle banner (107° 16 'E to 109° 42'E, 41° 07 °N to 42° 28'N) is located in the western part of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, and the average annual temperature in most areas is between 3.0°C and 6.8°C, and the average annual precipitation ranges between 50 mm and 150 mm (Yan et al., 2023). This study was conducted in Ehkchagan village of Bayanhanggai soum desert, which is major camel production region and is located north part of Uradmiddle banner. The northern part of Bayanhanggai soum desert is mainly the desert having *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* species, and the southern part of Bayinhanggai is mainly desert with *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* vegetation.

Photo 1 showed the field area of the "sustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (SHPZ), and photo 2 and 3 showed the cattle or camel herds of the "unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (UHPZ) in *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* desert. Total 4 pastures were studied. In desert having xerophyite *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum*, the pastures were classified into "unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (UHPZ) and "sustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (SHPZ). Similarly, the pastures in

Krascheninnikovia ceratoides dominated deserts were categorized into "unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (UHPK) and "sustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (SHPK). Photos 4 and 5 show the camel herds and field area of the "sustainably-managed herdsman pasture", and Photo 6 and 7 show the camel herds and field are of the "unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture" in *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* desert.



Photo 1: Field study of the "sustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (UHPZ) in desert having *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* vegetation



Photo 2: Cattle herds of the "unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (UHPZ) in *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* dominant desert

In terms of the income level and the herd size in the past five years, the data was collected during the direct interactions with and the field visit to the households through a questionnaire (Appendix-A). The village name is Ehkchagan. It was extremely difficult to find sustainably-managed pasture in

Urad-middle banner; the situation is similar in other regions in Western Inner Mongolia as well. At least 98% pastures have already degraded or have already dead. So, there is only these two sustainably-managed pastures that are available in the area. One quadrat was laid each in SHPZ and SHPK, because the vegetation is distributed uniformly. Researchers also conducted one quadrat in UHPZ and one in UHPK.



Photo 3: Camel herds of the "unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (UHPZ) in *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* dominant desert



Photo 4: Camel herds in the "sustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (SHPK) in *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* desert



Photo 5: Sample collection from the "sustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (SHPK) in *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* desert



Photo 6: Camel herds in the "unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (UHPK) in *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* desert

In order to assess the pasture vegetation, field study was conducted at each of the pastures during September and October 2023. The quadrats to measure the vegetation were of $4 \text{ m} \times 4 \text{ m}$ size across all the samples. Authors laid down one quadrat as a typical sample at SHPZ and SHPK, respectively; one quadrat as typical sample for UHPZ and UHPK, respectively; and one quadrat as gravel sample for UHPZ and UHPK, respectively. The number of plant species were recorded in the quadrat, and the coverage of plants in the quadrat was determined by the direct observation. The coverage of sand and gravel was also observed. The natural height (cm) of each typical species was measured by a



flexible rule (5 m). The length and width of the shrubs was also measured by a flexible rule (10 m).

Photo 7: Field study in the "unsustainably-managed herdsman pasture" (UHPK) in *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* desert

2.1 Data Analysis

A coverage of the vegetation was estimated using the formula: $1/4\pi LW$ /S (L = length of the shrub, W = width of the shrub, S = 4 m × 4 m). It was applied on the *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum*, *Caragana brachypoda* and *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* vegetations (Li et al., 2021). The relative volume of each species calculated by the maximum height (cm) multiplied by its coverage (%) (Ohtuka et al., 1993). The vegetation volume is the sum of all relative volume of the species abundance (Tekehiro et al., 2005). The relative species dominance determined by the species volume is divided by the vegetation volume (Ohsawa, 1984).

3. Results

As shown in table 1, in 2019, the herd size was same in both SHPZ and UHPZ, numbering 30-40 camels, 40-80 sheep/goats and 20-30 cattle. The herdsman decided to continue the same herd size in UHPZ, but the herdsman reduced the herd size in SHPZ to <10 camels, 20-40 sheep/goats and >10 cattle from year 2020. As a consequence, the herdsman had almost no income from UHPZ for the past 5 years.

In *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* dominated deserts, the species composition for typical sample in SHPZ is exhibited in table 2, and the species composition for typical sample or gravelization sample in UHPZ is exhibited in table 3 and table 4. There are 7 species in SHPZ, whereas UHPZ has 4 species only. The vegetation

volume of *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum*, *Caragana brachypoda*, *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* and *Stipa klemenzii* in SHPZ are 1843.5 cm³, 1560 cm³, 170 cm³ and 37.5 cm³, respectively. On the other hand, the relative corresponding species' vegetation volumes of the above species in UHPZ are 647 cm³, 480 cm³, 105 cm³ and 14 cm³, respectively. In sum, the vegetation volume and the species volume for *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* in SHPZ are approximately three times greater than UHPZ. On the other hand, the relative species dominance of *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* in SHPZ are 84.62% and 74.19%, respectively. However, the more serious situation was observed in the gravelization sample wherein the vegetation volume was only 86.5 cm³, as well as the relative species dominance of *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* is only 4.62%.

Table 1: Herd size and net income for SHPZ and UHPZ in *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* deserts

Year	Pasture	Herd Size		Net Income (RMB)
2010	SHPZ	30-40 camels; 40-80 sheep/goats; 20-30 cattle	670	No net income
2019	UHPZ	30-40 camels; 40-80 sheep/goats; 20-30 cattle	670	No net income
2020	SHPZ	> 10 camels; 20-40 sheep/goats; > 10 cattle	670	No net income
2020	UHPZ	30-40 camels; 40-80 sheep/goats; 20-30 cattle		No net income
2021	SHPZ	> 10 camels; 20-40 sheep/goats; > 10 cattle	670	200, 000 (2250 \$)
2021	UHPZ	30-40 camels; 40-80 sheep/goats; 20-30 cattle	670	No net income
2022	SHPZ	> 10 camels; 20-40 sheep/goats; > 10 cattle	670	200, 000 (2250 \$)
2022	UHPZ	30-40 camels; 40-80 sheep/goats; 20-30 cattle		No net income
2022	SHPZ	> 10 camels; 20-40 sheep/goats; > 10 cattle	670	200, 000 (2250 \$)
2023	UHPZ	30-40 camels; 40-80 sheep/goats; 20-30 cattle	670	No net income

Table 2: Species composition for typical sample in trained herdsman pasture(SHPZ) in Zygophyllum xanthoxylum deserts

Sam	pled Quadrat size: $4 \text{ m} \times 4 \text{ m}$				
Altit	ude: 1331.60 m Longitude: 107.	5364°E	Latitude: 4	42.1332°N	I
Grav	vel: 0%	Total Co	overage: 35	%	
Sand: 85%		Height	Coverage	Species	Relative Species
	Plant species		(%)	Volume (cm ³)	Dominance (%)
1.	Zygophyllum xanthoxylum	78	20	1560	84.62%
2.	Caragana brachypoda	34	5	170	9.22%
3.	Krascheninnikovia ceratoides	15	2.5	37.5	2.03%
4.	Stipa klemenzii	9	2	18	0.98%
5.	Peganum harmala	12	1.5	18	0.98%
6.	Salsola collinsa	8	0.5	4	0.22%
7.	Salsola passerina	18	2	36	1.95%
	Vegetation volume (cm ³)			1843.5	

1 2

3

4

Dominance (%)

74.19%

16.23%

2.16%

7.42%

Volume

 (cm^3)

480

105

14

48

647

pasture in <i>Zygophyllum xanthoxylum</i> deserts					
Sample Square: 4 m × 4 m					
Altitude: 1238.97 Longitude: 107.5071°E Latitude: 42.1655°N					
Gravel: 50% Total Coverage: 20%					
Sand: 15%	Height Coverage Species Relative Species				

(cm)

48

21

14

8

Plant

Zygophyllum xanthoxylum

Krascheninnikovia ceratoides

Caragana brachypoda

Vegetation volume (cm³)

Stipa klemenzii

(%)

10

5

1

6

 Table 3: Species composition for typical sample in untrained herdsman (UHPZ)

 pasture in Zygophyllum xanthoxylum deserts

Table 4: Species composition for gravelization sample at untrained herdsma
(UHPZ) pasture in <i>Zygophyllum xanthoxylum</i> deserts

San	Sample Square: 4m × 4m							
Alti	Altitude: 1251.2 Longitude: 107.4678°E Latitude: 42.1611°N							
Gra	Gravel: 92% Total Coverage: 8%							
San	d: 5%	Height	Coverage (%)	Species Volume (cm ³)	<i>Relative Species</i> <i>Dominance(%)</i>			
	Plant	(cm)						
1	Zygophyllum xanthoxylum	1	4	4	4.62%			
2	Caragana intermedia	19	4	76	87.86%			
3	Caragana tibetica	1	0.5	0.5	0.58%			
4	Stipa klemenzii	6	1	6	6.94%			
	Vegetation volume (cm ³)			86.5				

As shown in table 5, from 2019 to 2023 at UHPK, the herd size was 40-60 camels, 80-120 sheep/goat and 40-60 cattle, but the herdsman purchase commercial feed for maintaining the livestock size. However, the herdsman at SHPK was raising the camel herd in 2019, but rotating to horse herd in 2020-2021, returning the camel herds in 2022-2023. As a result, he sustained the net income of 40,000 RMB (5500 USD) each year.

In *Krascheninnkovia ceretoides* deserts, the species composition for SHPK is exhibited in table 6, and the species composition for typical sample or gravelization sample at UHPK is exhibited in table 7 and table 8. There are 10 species at SHPZ, whereas there are only 4 species at UHPK. The vegetation volumes of *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* and *Caragana brachypoda* at SHPK are 1377 cm³ and 840 cm³, respectively. In comparison, the vegetation volumes of two dominant species at UHPK are 561.5 cm³ and 510 cm³, respectively. In sum, the vegetation volume and the species abundance for *Krascheninnkovia ceretoides* at SHPK are approximately two times greater than UHPK. On the other hand, the relative species dominance of *Krascheninnkovia ceretoides* at SHPK and UHPK are 61.00% and 90.83%, respectively. However, the more serious situation is shown at the gravelization sample that the vegetation volume is only 20.5 cm³, as well as the relative species dominance of the *Krascheninnkovia ceretoides* is only 39.02%.

Year	Туре	Herd Size	Area (Hectare)	Net Income (RMB)
2010	SHPK	50 camels; 40-60 sheep; 20 cattle	1340	400, 000 (5500 \$)
2019	UHPK	40-60 camels; 80-120 sheep/goat; 40-60 cattle	1340	No net income
2020	SHPK	30 horses; 40-60 sheep; 20 cattle	1340	400, 000 (5500 \$)
2020	UHPK	40-60 camels; 80-120 sheep/goat; 40-60 cattle	1340	No net income
2021	SHPK	30 horses; 40-60 sheep; 20 cattle	1340	400, 000 (5500 \$)
2021	UHPK	40-60 camels; 80-120 sheep/goat; 40-60 cattle	1340	No net income
2022	SHPK	50 camels; 20-40 sheep; 10 cattle	1340	400, 000 (5500 \$)
2022	UHPK	40-60 camels; 80-120 sheep/goat; 40-60 cattle	1340	No net income
2022	SHPK	50 camels; 20-40 sheep; 10 cattle	1340	400, 000 (5500 \$)
2023	UHPK	40-60 camels; 80-120 sheep/goat; 40-60 cattle	1340	No net income

Table 5: Herd size and net income for SHPK and UHPK in *Krascheninnkovia ceretoides* deserts

Table 6: Species composition for typical sample at trained herdsman pasture (SHPK) in *Krascheninnkovia ceretoides* Deserts

Sam	Sample Square: 4 m × 4 m						
Altit	ude: 1297.46 Longitude: 107.7	259°E	Latitude:	42.0369°N	I		
Grav	el: 0%	Total C	overage: 5	5%			
Sand	l: 95%	Height	Coverage	Species	Relative Species		
	Plant	(<i>cm</i>)	(%)	Volume (cm ³)	Dominance (%)		
1	Krascheninnikovia ceratoides	30	28	840	61.00%		
2	Caragana tibetica	4	2	8	0.58%		
3	Caragana brachypoda	30	14	420	30.50%		
4	Stipa klemenzii	12	3	36	2.61%		
5	Salsola passerina	3	1	3	0.22%		
6	Allium mongolicum	14	4	56	4.07%		
7	Asparagus gobicus	6	1	6	0.44%		
8	Cleistogenes songorica	3	1	3	0.22%		
9	Tribulus terrestris	1	1	1	0.07%		
10	Artemisia frigida	4	1	4	0.29%		
	Vegetation volume (cm ³)			1377			

4. Discussion

4.1 Dominant Species as Key Indicators of Vegetation Recovery

It is demonstrated that the dominant plant species determine ecosystem health and recovery of the ecology in the arid region (Bazzichetto et al., 2024). Biodiversity is expected to stabilize the vegetation ecology, but it might not be applicable in case of natural grassland ecology (Bai et al., 2004; Loreau et al., 2001; Tilman et al., 2014). Thus, the dominant species shape the vegetation stability and recover Inner Mongolian grassland (Wang et al., 2022).

Fable 7: Species	composition	for typical	sample	at	untrained	herdsman
(UHPK) pasture in	า <i>Krascheninn</i>	nikovia cerat	<i>oides</i> Des	sert	S	

Sam	Sample Square: 4 m × 4 m							
Alti	Altitude: 1261.83 Longitude: 107.6956°E Latitude: 42.2444°N							
Gra	vel: 70%	Total C	Total Coverage: 35%					
Sand: 5%		Height	Coverage	Species Volumo	Relative Species			
	Plant	(<i>cm</i>)	(%)	(cm ³)	Dominance (%)			
1	Krascheninnikovia ceratoides	34	15	510	90.83%			
2	Stipa klemenzii	9	5	45	8.01%			
3	Peganum harmala	7	0.5	3.5	0.62%			
4	Salsola passerina	3	1	3	0.53%			
	Vegetation volume (cm ³)			561.5				

]	Гable 8:	Species composition for gravelization sample at untrained herdsman
((UHPZ)	pasture in <i>Krascheninnikovia ceratoides</i> deserts

San	Sample Square: 4m × 4m							
Alti	Altitude: 1258.81 Longitude: 107.6691°E Latitude: 42.2556°N							
Gra	Gravel: 97% Total Coverage: 3%							
San	d: 2%	Height	Coverage (%)	Species	<i>Relative Species</i> <i>Dominance(%)</i>			
	Plant	(cm)		Volume (cm ³)				
1	Krasheninnkovia ceretoides	8	1	8	39.02%			
2	Achnatherum splendens	15	0.5	7.5	36.59%			
3	Peganum harmala	6	0.5	3	14.63%			
4	Stipa klemenzii	4	0.5	2	9.76%			
	Vegetation volume (cm ³)			20.5				

The *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* is the most important species in UHPZ deserts (Zhao et al., 2017), whereas the *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* is the most important species in SHPK deserts (Anna et al., 2020). In this study, the relative species dominance of *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* at SHPZ and UHPZ are 84.62% and 74.19%, respectively. Similarly, the relative species dominance of *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* at SHPK and UHPK are 61.00% and 90.8%, respectively. However, the relative species dominance of *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* in gravelization sample at UHPZ and UHPK is below 40%: 4.62% and 39.02%, respectively. In addition, the species volume of *Zygophyllum xanthoxylum* and *Krascheninnikovia ceratoides* is only 4 cm³ and 8 cm³, respectively, at the gravelization samples. Therefore, it is recommended to the herdsmen to take decision for sustainable grazing to recover the dominant species in their pastures.

4.2 The Challenges of Herdsmen Trained as Pasture Sustainable Managers

Camel is the key to the ecology, economy, and culture in the deserts (Ayman & Asim, 2023), so it is also necessary to mobilize the camel numbers according to the pasture's carrying capacity and families' income levels in Inner Mongolia as well. Herdsmen should be recognized as the core of sustainable development in the pastoral regions of China, but their sustainable

development capability is weak (Yin et al., 2023). Under the current situation in Inner Mongolia, the herdsmen' structural reduction of livestock is beneficial for the grassland's vegetation recovery and families' income level (Chu et al., 2022; Ding et al., 2022; Irene et al., 2010). In this study, the untrained herdsmen unwilling to decrease the number of the livestock gained no income for the past five years, paying out the commercial feeds for feeding the increasing camel herds.

Europe realizes the importance of pastoralists as environmental managers, and becomes aware of pastoralists contribution on ecological sustainability and economical sustainability (Caballero et al., 2009; Nori and Gemini, 2011). At the global scale, pastoralists have been playing essential role in sustaining the grassland resources and territories of life (Nori and Farinella, 2019).

Herdsmen play a key roles in maintaining the healthy pastures in China (Yang et al., 2024), but local community is not capable of training the herdsmen as the environmental managers by educating the herdsmen directly. In this study, the herdsmen of the sustainably managed pastures were actively engaged in the activities organized by the Urad-middle banner Ecological Research Association. Photo 8 shows the field study for training herdsman as pasture sustainable managers.



Photo 8: Field study for training herdsman as pasture sustainable managers

Therefore, it is proposed a new kind of educational system "Training system for herdsmen as pasture sustainable managers" managed by local ecological communities. It may encounter great difficulty in training the herdsmen, connecting the individual pastures, mobilizing the livestock, and creating a sustainable grazing system, but the trained herdsmen be able to establish the pasture sustainable development.

5. Conclusion

This is a comparative study on the pastures addressing vegetation and incomes obtained from the sustainably-managed and the unsustainably-managed pastures. The unsustainable management of herdsmen pastures has led to several serious issues, including a decline in biodiversity, dominance of certain species, and low income for the herdsmen. In contrast, sustainably-managed pastures adaptively mobilize camel or horse herds based on the specific year's vegetation, effectively reducing the number of livestock as needed. Local ecological communities play a major role in decision-making for Indigenous people, pasture vegetation management, and livelihoods.

6. Acknowledgment

This paper is supported by New Agricultural Research and Reform Practical Project "Innovation and Practices for the Training on Agricultural Applied Talent Models in Minority Group Regions" funded by the Ministry of Education of China with the Grant Number 2-84. The funding for this research was made available by the Research University Grant Faculty Program to the Hetao College under grant number HYYB202301.

7. References

- Anna, S., Ernesto, P.-C., Karin, T., Mark, C., Pilar, C., & Karl-Georg, B. (2020). Phylogeny and biogeography of the Pleistocene Holarctic steppe and semi-desert goosefoot plant Krascheninnikovia ceratoides. *Flora*, 262, 151504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2019.151504.
- Ayman, B. M., & Asim, F. (2023). Camel Systems and Pastoralists' Lifestyle in Semi-Deserts and Mountains: Constraints and Challenges. *Pastures & Pastoralism*, 01, 93-113. https://doi.org/10.33002/pp0106.
- Bai, Y., Han, X., Wu, J., Chen, Z., & Li, L. (2004). Ecosystem stability and compensatory effects in the Inner Mongolia grassland. *Nature*, 431, 181-184. https://doi:10.1038/nature02850.
- Bazzichetto, M., Sperandii, M. G., Penone, C., Keil, P., Allan, E., Lepš, J., Prati, D., Fischer, M., Bolliger, R., Gossner, M. M., & de Bello, F. (2024). Biodiversity promotes resistance but dominant species shape recovery of grasslands under extreme drought. *Journal of Ecology*, 00, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.14288.
- Caballero, R., Fernández-González, F., Pérez Badia, R., Molle, G., Roggero, P. P., Bagella, S., D'Ottavio, P., Papanastasis, V. P., Fotiadis, G., Sidiropoulou, A., & Ispikoudis, I. (2009). Grazing systems and biodiversity in Mediterranean areas: Spain, Italy and Greece. *Revista Pastos*, 39(1), 9-152. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2494.2011.00820.x.
- Chu, L., Zhang, T., & Pi, X. (2024). Effects of herdsmen's reduction of livestock on the balance between pasture and livestock. Preprint (Version 1) available at Research Square. https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1480847/v1.
- Conte, T. J., & Tilt, B. (2014). The Effects of China's Grassland Contract Policy on Pastoralists' Attitudes towards Cooperation in an Inner Mongolian Banner. *Human Ecology*, 42, 837–846. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-014-9690-4.

- Ding, W., Jimoh, S. O., Hou. X., Shu, X., Dong, H., Bolormaa, D., & Wang, D. (2022).
 Grassland Ecological Subsidy Policy and Livestock Reduction Behavior:
 A Case Study of Herdsmen in Northern China. *Rangeland Ecology & Management*, 81, 78-85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rama.2022.01.002.
- Hauck, M., Lkhagvadorj, D., & Dulamsuren, C. (2024). Mongolia's Pastoral Nomadism in Transition: Putting Case Studies on Socioecological Feedbacks and Socioeconomic Forcing into a Conceptual Framework. In: Degen, A.A., Dana, LP. (eds), *Lifestyle and Livelihood Changes Among Formerly Nomadic Peoples. Ethnic and Indigenous Business Studies.* Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51142-4_12.
- Hu, Y., & Nacun, B. (2018). An Analysis of Land-Use Change and Grassland Degradation from a Policy Perspective in Inner Mongolia, China, 1990– 2015. *Sustainability*, 10(11), 4048. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10114048.
- Iglesias, P. C., Navas González, F. J., Ciani, E., Nogales Baena, S., & Delgado Bermejo, J. V. (2020). Camel Genetic Resources Conservation through Tourism: A Key Sociocultural Approach of Camelback Leisure Riding. *Animals*, 10(9), 1703. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10091703.
- Irene, B. (2010). [Review of Sustainable Development in Western China: Managing People, Livestock and Grasslands in Pastoral Areas, by Colin G. Brown, Scott A. Waldron, and John W. Longworth]. *Mountain Research and Development*, 30(1), 59–60. Retrieved from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/mounresedeve.30.1.59.
- Li, N., Yang, J., Jia, Z., Yan, R., & Chen, Q. (2021). Effects of Different Grazing Patterns on Community Characteristics and Soil Nutrients in the Main Pasture Types of Alxa Zuoqi. *Acta Agrestia Sinica*. 29(5), 991-1003. https://doi.org/10.11733/j.issn.1007-0435.2021.05.016.
- Li, W., & Huntsinger, L. (2011). China's Grassland Contract Policy and its Impacts on Herder Ability to Benefit in Inner Mongolia: Tragic Feedbacks. *Ecology and Society*, 16(2). http://www.jstor.org/stable/26268879.
- Liu, M., Dries, L., Heijman W., Zhu, X., Deng, X., & Huang, J. (2019). Land tenure reform and grassland degradation in Inner Mongolia, China. *China Economic Review*, 55, 181-198, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chieco.2019.04.006.
- Liu, M., Huang, J., Dries, L., Heijman, W., & Zhu, X. (2017). How does land tenure reform impact upon pastoral livestock production? An empirical study for Inner Mongolia, China. *China Economic Review*, 60, 101110. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chieco.2017.09.009.
- Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J. P., Hector, A., Hooper, D. U., Huston, M. A., Raffaelli, D., Schmid, B., Tilman, D., & Wardle, D. A. (2001). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science*, 294, 804-808. https://doi:10.1126/science.1064088.
- Ming, L., Siren, D., Hasi, S., Jambl, T., & Ji, R. (2022). Review of genetic diversity in Bactrian camel (Camelus bactrianus). *Animal Frontiers*, 12(4), 20–29. https://doi.org/10.1093/af/vfac027.
- Nori, M., & Farinella, D. (2019). Migration, Agriculture and Rural Development: the case study of agro-pastoralism in Mediterranean Europe. International Migration, Integration and Social Cohesion (IMISCOE) Short Readers on Migration. London: Springer. Retrieved from :

https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/978-3-030-42863-1.pdf.

- Nori, M., & Gemini, S. (2011). The Common Agricultural Policy vis-à-vis European Herdsmen: Principles and Practices. *Pastoralism: Research, Policy and Practice*, 1, 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/2041-7136-1-27.
- Ohsawa, M. (1984). Differentiation of vegetation zones and species strategies in the subalpine region of Mt. Fuji. *Vegetatio*, 57, 15–52. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00031929.
- Ohtsuka, T., Sakura, T., & Ohsawa, M. (1993). Early herbaceous succession along a topographical gradient on forest clear-felling sites in mountainous terrain, Central Japan. *Ecological Research*, 8(3), 229-340. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02347192.
- Takehiro, S., Tomoo, O., Kazuhiko, T., Undarmaa, J., & Sanjid, J. (2005). Patterns of floristic composition under different grazing intensities in Bulgan, South Gobi, Mongolia. *Grassland Science*, 51(3), 235-242. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-697x.2005.00029.x.
- Tilman, D., Isbell, F., & Cowles, J. M. (2014). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 45, 471-493. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-120213-091917.
- Waldron, S., Brown, C., & Longworth, J. (2010). Grassland degradation and livelihoods in China's western pastoral region: A framework for understanding and refining China's recent policy responses. *China Agricultural Economic Review*, 2(3), 298–320. https://doi.org/10.1108/17561371011078435.
- Wang, X. & Lo, K. (2022). Pastoralism and conservation: The politics and notions of environmental justice under the grazing ban policy in Inner Mongolia, China. *Political Geography*, 99, (102779). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polgeo.2022.102779.
- Wang, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, L., Liang, C., Miao, B., Zhang, Q., Niu, X., Ma, W., & Schmid, B. (2022). Stability and asynchrony of local communities but less so diversity increase regional stability of Inner Mongolian grassland. *eLife*, 11, e74881. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.74881.
- Xu, W., & Huntsinger, L. (2022). Minding the boundary: social-ecological contexts for fence ecology and management. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 20(7), 405-412. https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2500.
- Yan, Z., Li, Z., Li, P., Zhao C., Xu, Y., Cui, Z., & Sun, H. (2023). Spatial and temporal variation of NDVI and its driving factors based on geographical detector: A case study of Guanzhong plain urban agglomeration. Remote Sensing Applications: *Society and Environment*, 32, 101030. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2023.101030.
- Yang, J., Chen, Q. & Cui, X. (2024). Livelihood transformation of farmers and herdsmen in the agro-pastoral ecotone from the perspective of ecological protection: a case study of the Tianzhu Tibetan Autonomous County, China. Environ Dev Sustain, (2024). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-024-04973-z.
- Yin, J., Li, P., Jie, Y., Hu, H., & Zhao, H. (2023). Study on Sustainable Development Capability of Herdsmen. *Acta Agrestia Sinica*, 31(4), 1198-1210. https://doi.org/10.11733/j.issn.1007-0435.2023.04.029.
- Zhang, L., Hu, Z., Yang, D., Li, H., Liu, B., Gao, H., Cao, C., Zhou, Y., Li, J., & Li, S. (2022). Land Use Dynamic Evolution and Driving Factors of Typical Open-Pit Coal Mines in Inner Mongolia. *International Journal of*

Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(15), 9723. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19159723.

- Zhang, M., Zhang, F., Guo, L., Dong, P., Cheng, C., Kumar, P., Johnson, B. A., Chan, N. W., & Shi, J. (2023). Contributions of climate change and human activities to grassland degradation and improvement from 2001 to 2020 in Zhaosu County, China. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 348, 119465. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.119465.
- Zhang, Q., Buyantuev, A., Fang, X., Han, P., Li, A., Li, F. Y., Liang, C., Liu, Q., Ma, Q., Niu, J., Shang, C., Yan, Y., & Zhang, J. (2020). Ecology and sustainability of the Inner Mongolian Grassland: Looking back and moving forward. *Landscape Ecology*, 35, 2413–2432. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-020-01083-9.
- Zhao, X., Baskin, C. C., Zhu, C., & Baskin, J. M. (2017). Fruit dispersal dynamics of the cold desert shrub Zygophyllum xanthoxylon. *Seed Science Research*, 27(4), 303–310. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0960258517000253.

8. Appendix-A: Interview Questions

- 1. Would you please describe the herd size of the past five years, including the numbers of the camels, cattle, horse, goat, and sheep?
- 2. Would you tell me the net income level of the past five years? No income, or 100, 000 RMB, or 200, 000 RMB, or 300.000 RMB, or 400,000?
- 3. Would you please tell me the approximate area of your pasture?

Authors' Declarations and Essential Ethical Compliances

Contribution	Author 1	Author 2	Author 3
Conceived and designed the research	Yes	Yes	Yes
or analysis			
Collected the data	No	Yes	No
Contributed to data analysis &	Yes	No	Yes
interpretation			
Wrote the article/paper	Yes	Yes	No
Critical revision of the article/paper	Yes	No	Yes
Editing of the article/paper	Yes	Yes	No
Supervision	No	Yes	No
Project Administration	Yes	No	No
Funding Acquisition	Yes	No	No
Overall Contribution Proportion (%)	40	40	20

Authors' Contributions (in accordance with ICMJE criteria for authorship)

Funding

The funding for this research was made available by the Research University Grant – Faculty Program funded by Hetao College, under grant number HYYB202301.

Research involving human bodies or organs or tissues (Helsinki Declaration) The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved any human subject (body or organs) for experimentation. It was not a clinical research. The contexts of human population/participation were only indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or ethical obligation of Helsinki Declaration does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

Research involving animals (ARRIVE Checklist)

The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved any animal subject (body or organs) for experimentation. The research was not based on laboratory experiment involving any kind of animal. Some contexts of animals are also indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

Research on Indigenous Peoples and/or Traditional Knowledge

The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has involved Indigenous Peoples as participants or respondents, with the documentation of their Indigenous Knowledge. Some other contexts of Indigenous Peoples or Indigenous Knowledge are only indirectly covered through literature review. An Ethical Clearance 'to conduct research on indigenous peoples' Indigenous knowledge is not relevant. Yet, a Self-Declaration in this regard applies in cases of this study or written work. It is appended.

Research involving Plants

The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved the plants for experiment or field studies. The contexts of plants were only indirectly covered through literature review. Thus, during this research the

author(s) obeyed the principles of the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.

(Optional) Research Involving Local Community Participants (Non-Indigenous)

The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved local community participants or respondents belonging to non-Indigenous peoples. Yet, this study did not involve any child in any form directly. The contexts of different humans, people, populations, men/women/children and ethnic people are also indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or prior informed consent (PIC) of the respondents or Self-Declaration in this regard does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

(Optional) PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses)

The author(s) has/have NOT complied with PRISMA standards. It is not relevant in case of this study or written work.

Competing Interests/Conflict of Interest

Author(s) has/have no competing financial, professional, or personal interests from other parties or in publishing this manuscript. There is no conflict of interest with the publisher or the editorial team or the reviewers.

Attribution and Representation

All opinions and mistakes are the author(s)' own and cannot be attributed to the institutions they represent. The publisher is also not responsible either for such opinions and mistakes in the text or graphs or images.

Rights and Permissions

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To license. view copy of this visit а http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

To see original copy of these declarations signed by Corresponding/First Author (on behalf of other co-authors too), please download associated zip folder [Ethical Declarations] from the published Abstract page accessible through and linked with the DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/pp0205.