# **1 KIOS CoE – Sandboxing use-case 5 (SUC5) - Active distribution grid and microgrid**

## 1.1 Description

With the increasing penetration of Distributed Energy Resources (DERs) in the distribution grid, active management of these variable and often intermittent resources has become essential. Active management of distribution grids can regulate generation and demand, ensure voltage stability, and manage congestion, enabling reliable, high-quality, and effective operation of modern distribution grids. Additionally, microgrid functionalities are required in certain cases, such as during faults or regional outages, to ensure the stand-alone operation of critical parts of the distribution grid. Active management systems facilitate smooth transitions between islanded (stand-alone) and grid-connected modes. In addition, automated control actions can be taken in each operating mode to ensure stable, efficient, and proper operation of distribution grids and microgrids.

This SUC involves the modeling of an MV distribution grid, with microgrid operating capabilities, as part of the digital twin within the real-time simulator. As depicted in [Figure 1,](#page-1-0) the distribution grid includes three MV feeders, comprising a total of 15 distribution substations/buses. Each substation integrates PVs and load demand, modeled using synthesized data derived from real-life measurements. Additionally, each MV feeder includes a Battery Storage System (BSS), equipped with a Grid-Forming (GFM) inverter, enabling microgrid functionalities for operation in both gridconnected and islanding modes. Breakers are available to change the configuration of the distribution grid from radial to mesh or to enable the transition from grid-connected to islanding mode, if necessary. This setup allows the active distribution grid to function as a microgrid, operating independently from the main transmission grid. Islanding can be applied to the entire distribution grid or individually to each MV feeder, providing high flexibility and resilience in power management.

A microgrid controller is also modeled to receive measurements from each substation within the distribution grid/microgrid. The microgrid controller includes (a) an islanding and resynchronization controller and (b) a secondary controller. The islanding and resynchronization controller is responsible for smooth transitions between grid-connected (active distribution grid) mode and islanding (microgrid) mode with a minimum power disturbance. The secondary controller coordinates the flexible DERs (i.e., BSS with GFM inverters) within the microgrid. This coordination includes a power-sharing unit to regulate power exchange during grid-connected or islanded mode and a voltage-frequency (v-f) control scheme to maintain stability during stand-alone mode by keeping voltage and frequency close to nominal values.

It is essential for the microgrid controller to exchange measurements and set-points with the distribution grid emulated within the digital twin. Higher-level controllers, such as the DSO control center and/or a tertiary controller, need to communicate with the microgrid controller as well to send islanding trigger signals or provide reference values according to optimal scheduling of resources. As depicted in [Figure 1,](#page-1-0) the tertiary controller provides active and reactive power references to the power-sharing unit during grid-connected mode and voltage and frequency references for the v-f control scheme of the secondary controller during islanding mode. The DSO gives the mode-transition command for the islanding and resynchronization controller.



#### <span id="page-1-0"></span>*Figure 1 Testbed setup for investigating cyber-attack in active distribution grid and microgrid operation.*

It the context of this sandboxing use case, various cyber-attack scenarios are investigated during the operation of the microgrid in either the grid-connected or the stand-alone mode (without examining the islanding transitioning), to further highlight the need for cyber-security solution in such active distribution grid and microgrid applications.

Within the framework of this SUC related to the operation of active distribution grids and microgrids, Modbus TCP communication is employed for data exchange. In this context, potential cyber-attacks include Man-In-The-Middle (MITM) attacks targeting the communication between the microgrid controller and either the higher-level controller (reference signals) or the active distribution grid/microgrid components (e.g., measurements from each substation, set-points to BSS). An FDI attack could manipulate the data of the reference values, set-points, or measurements exchanged in the local network. Consequently, such cyber-attacks can critically impact the operation of the active distribution grid or microgrid, as discussed in the following sub-section.

## 1.2 Attack scenarios

The attacks scenarios investigated in this SUC focus on MITM FDI attack, to compromise the integrity of data exchanged between different controllers within this SUC. A MITM with FDI attack on the setpoints exchanged between the microgrid controller and the BSS will be investigated during gridconnected operation as active distribution grid, while a MITM with FDI on reference signals exchanged between higher-level and microgrid controller will be examined during islanding operation as microgrid. The attacks investigated in this SUC are summarized in [Table 1.](#page-2-0)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



# <span id="page-2-1"></span>1.3 Analysis of results

In this section, two specific scenarios (S1-S2) related to SUC5 are demonstrated and analysed, focusing on cyber-attacks targeting the communication channels between the microgrid local controller and either the higher-level controller or the inverter primary controller. These scenarios investigate MITM attacks involving FDI on the active power stet-points signals exchanged during grid-connected mode (S1), and on the frequency reference values exchanged during islanding mode (S2). For each scenario, an impact assessment is conducted to illustrate how these attacks can lead to power or frequency deviations during grid-connected or islanding mode, respectively.

#### 1.3.1 SUC5/S1 – MITM with FDI cyber-attack during grid-connected operation

For the first scenario (S1), the active distribution grid is configured in grid-connected mode (Br0-Br3 are closed in [Figure 1\)](#page-1-0), with each MV feeder interconnected with the main grid. This scenario examines the operation of the GFM inverter of the BSS, connected at bus 2, during a MITM and FDI attack on the power set-point between the MG controller and the BSS primary controller. The specific FDI is virtually implemented within the digital twin environment, introducing an offset deviation on the power set-point (P<sup>\*</sup>) exchanged between the power-sharing unit of the secondary controller and the BSS primary controller of the GFM inverter. The objective is to disturb the active power exchange between the distribution grid and the main grid during grid-connected mode.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>*Figure 2: Active distribution grid operation during grid-connected mode, with an MITM-FDI attack on active power set-point P\* between microgrid local controller and BSS.* 

In the case depicted in [Figure 2,](#page-3-0) the active power operation (*P*) of each inverter-based BSS is regulated according to the set-points (P<sup>\*</sup>) generated by the power-sharing unit of the secondary controller (microgrid local controller), while considering the reference signals (*Pref*) scheduled by the higher-level controller. During normal operation (before 20 s), the power injection of the BSS (connected at bus 2) is constant at 120 kW, as requested by the microgrid local controller. At 20 s, an MITM and FDI cyber-attack introduces a 100 kW offset deviation on the active power set-points (*P \** ) exchanged between the microgrid local controller and the BSS inverter controller. As a result, the BSS inverter changes its power injection to 220 kW according to the attacker-modified reference value ( $P_{attack}^*$ ). This impacts the overall operation of the BSS, causing a significant deviation in the power exchange between the active distribution grid and the main grid.

The impact assessment of the first scenario (SUC5) indicates that a MITM and FDI cyber-attack, which deviates the power set-points by an offset, can cause significant power imbalance and deviation in the power exchanged between the active distribution grid and the main grid. If the attack causes an over-injection of power (i.e., the inverter injects more power than needed), it can lead to increased power export. Conversely, if the attack causes a power under-injection, it can lead to reduced power export or increased power import. Overall, such cyber-attacks can cause significant disturbances in power exchange within the active distribution grid. Therefore, it is crucial to safeguard smart grid applications against cyber-attacks.

#### 1.3.2 SUC5/S2 – MITM with FDI cyber-attack under islanding operation

For the second scenario (S2), the active distribution grid shown in [Figure 1](#page-1-0) is configured in islanding mode (Br0 is open), with the three distribution feeders (Microgrid 1-3) equipped with BSS based on GFM inverters now interconnected (Br1-Br3 are closed) and operated as a single microgrid, disconnected from the main grid. The secondary controller (of the microgrid local controller) is responsible for monitoring and controlling the voltage and frequency of the entire microgrid through set-points (V<sup>\*</sup>, f<sup>\*</sup>) sent to the three GFM BSS inverters, while considering the reference

signals (*Vref*, *fref*) provided by a higher-level controller. In this scenario, a MITM and FDI cyber-attack introduces an offset deviation on the microgrid's frequency reference (*fref*) exchanged between the tertiary microgrid controller and the V-f control unit of the secondary controller. The objective is to disturb the operation of the entire microgrid system during islanding mode.

As presented in [Figure 3,](#page-4-0) during normal operation (before 40 s), the microgrid frequency (*f*) follows the reference value of 50 Hz given by the tertiary controller (*fref*). The Proportional-Integral (PI) controller of the V-f control unit ensures that the microgrid frequency tracks the reference value in real-time, keeping the three feeders synchronized and compensating for any frequency deviations from the nominal values.

At 40 s, a MITM and FDI cyber-attack introduces a -0.5 Hz offset deviation on the reference frequency signal (*fref*) before it is received by the V-f unit of the secondary controller. As shown in [Figure 3,](#page-4-0) although the tertiary controller continues to send the reference frequency of 50 Hz, this value is modified by the attacker, resulting in a new reference value (*fref attack*) of 49.5 Hz. As the PI controller's reference input changes, the controller adjusts its output to minimize the frequency error between the measured frequency (*f*) and the attacked reference (*fref\_attack*). Consequently, the microgrid frequency drops to 49.5 Hz, causing a constant deviation from the nominal frequency of 50 Hz and leading to frequency disturbance in the microgrid. If the attacker increases the frequency deviation, the microgrid's frequency stability will be seriously compromised. A similar response is expected in term of microgrid voltage operation, if the attacker deviates the voltage reference signals. In this case the voltage stability of the microgrid will be threatened as well.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>*Figure 3: Microgrid operation during islanding mode, with an MITM-FDI attack on frequency reference signal fref between higher-level controller and microgrid local controller.* 

The impact assessment of this scenario indicates that the FDI attack on the frequency reference signal at the input of the secondary controller leads the entire microgrid to an underfrequency condition. The frequency deviation can cause critical frequency instability in the microgrid, with equipment and loads that depend on a stable 50 Hz frequency potentially malfunctioning or

operating less efficiently, leading to disruptions in the power supply. Similarly, a cyber-attack on voltage reference signals can also threaten the voltage stability of the microgrid. Hence, it is important to safeguard the data exchange framework during islanding operation since the frequency and voltage stability of the microgrid is crucially affected.

## 1.4 Datasets

Section [1.3](#page-2-1) illustrates two primary scenarios (S1-S2) concerning the operation of SUC5. These scenarios examine the functioning of an active distribution grid and microgrid system, along with the effects of certain cyber-attacks in this context. The demonstration of each scenario is detailed in selected time-series plots in Section [1.3,](#page-2-1) accompanied by an in-depth analysis of the processes and an impact assessment.

In this section, all data capture during the execution of each scenario is collected, including electrical measurements, reference and set-point signals. The datasets from each SUC5 scenario are made publicly available and can be accessed through the Zenodo repository. Further details regarding the dataset descriptions for the two scenarios (S1 and S2) of SUC5 can be found in [Table 2](#page-5-0) and [Table 3,](#page-6-0) respectively.



#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>*Table 2: SUC5/S1 datasets*

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>*Table 3: SUC5/S2 datasets*

