STFC Astronomy and AI Summer School Canterbury (hybrid) July 10th, 2024





Big Data in Astronomy

Contemplating a potential future



Matthew J. Graham Research Professor mjg@caltech.edu



What is the impact of GenAl on astronomy?



- What is our current methodological approach?
- What are the type of problems we are facing, particularly the data deluge?
- What are our current techniques for dealing with these including the use of ML?
- What is wrong with this?
 - Are we limiting ourselves? What are the unexplored avenues?
 - Will the advent of GenAI (LLMs, etc.) make a difference?

An interesting event in Canterbury: 18/6/1178

"This year, on the Sunday before the Nativity of Saint John the Baptist, after sunset, at the first appearance of the moon, a miraculous sign appeared, witnessed by five or more men sitting opposite. For the new moon was bright, extending its horns to the east as is its nature; and behold, suddenly the upper horn was divided into two. From the middle of this division shot forth a burning torch, casting flames, coals, and sparks far and wide. Meanwhile, the lower part of the moon was twisted as if in distress, and, to use the words of those who reported this to me and saw it with their own eyes, the moon writhed like a struck snake. After this, it returned to its normal state. This change occurred twelve times or more, in such a way that the moon, as mentioned before, endured various torments of fire and then returned to its prior state. After these changes, from horn to horn, that is, along its length, it became half-blackened. Those men who saw this with their own eyes and reported it to me, who am writing this, were ready to pledge their faith or swear an oath that they added nothing false to the above account."

Billions of observations

- Palomar-Quest Synoptic Sky Survey
- SDSS (Stripe 82)
- Catalina Real-time Transient Survey

GoTo

MeerKAT

ASKAP

• WISE

• OGLE

DESI

•••

SDSS-V

LAMOST

- Palomar Transient Factory
- Zwicky Transient Factory
- Pan-STARRs
- SkyMapper
- ASKAP
- ThunderKat (MeerKAT)
- KEPLER
- GAIA
- LIGO

٠

- IceCUBE
- LOFAR
- LSST
- SKA
- JKA
- TESS
- ASAS-SN
- MASTER
- DES
- ATLAS
- BlackGEM

Billions of observations

SDSS-V

LAMOST

•••

- Palomar-Quest Synoptic Sky Survey
- SDSS (Stripe 82)
- Catalina Real-time
- Palomar Transient
- Zwicky Transient Fa
- Pan-STARRs
- SkyMapper
- ASKAP
- ThunderKat (Meer
- KEPLER
- GAIA
- LIGO
- IceCUBE
- LOFAR
- LSST
- SKA
- TESS
- ASAS-SN
- MASTER
- DES
- ATLAS
- BlackGEM

Multiple observations of the same astronomical source at different times and at different wavelengths

 \Rightarrow sparse multivariate time series

 \Rightarrow high volume, high complexity

ZTF: the first industrial astronomical stream

- The Palomar Oschin 48" telescope took its first image on Sept 30, 1948
- ZTF is its latest instrument: a 47 deg² field of view camera with > 660M pixels
- It can cover 3750 deg² / hr to 20.5-21 mag (30s exposures)
- It carries out a full northern sky every two nights in g, r (and i)
- First light was Oct 2017; survey started Mar 2018; first alerts issued Jun 2018
- Supports ToO programs for MMA
- ZTF is ~10% of Rubin LSST

ZTF by the numbers

- 1.4 TB (compressed) of image data per night
- 6 PB over the past six years
- More than 1 million exposures taken over 1 year of open shutter time
- Total sky area covered is 47 million deg² 1.5 2x LSST 10-year total
- >787 billion photometric measurements for over 4.72 billion sources
- Over 1 billion sources have more than 50 data points in g and r
- Up to 1 million transient alerts per night
- Over 700 million alerts (56 TB) published

The next generation of surveys and facilities

- LSST (2025): ~20 TB/day => 10 PB/yr
- CSST (2026): ~TBs/day => 10s PB/yr
- Roman Space Telescope (2027): 20 TB/day => 7.3 PB/yr
- ngVLA (2030s): 20 TB/day => 7.3 PB/yr
- SKA (2030s): ~1 PB/day => 300 PB/yr
- ELT (~2030): ~PBs/yr
- DSA-2000 (2028): 3.5 PB/day => 1.3 EB/yr (26 LHCs)
- DUNE (2028): => ~TBs/s => 1.8 EB/yr

For comparison:

- HL-LHC (2029): 700 TB/s => 1 EB/yr
- Facebook: 300 PB of data

rate [B/s]

data 10¹⁷

Streaming o

10¹

10⁹

ncv requirement [s]

https://a3d3.ai/

1 TB/yr

CPU/GPU

LHC HLT Google Cloud

A3D3 Institute

LHC L1T DUNE

10-4

FPGA/ASIC

8

What do we do with a billion time series?

Population behaviors

- Characterize
- Categorize
- Classify

Outliers

- Extreme sources
- Changes of behavior

Models (physical/statistical)

- Interpolation
- Forecasting

(Cody & Hillenbrand 2018)

Conceptual bases/biases

10-3

10-1

t_{1/2,optical} (rest-frame days)

10¹

4

10

t_{1/2,optical} (rest-frame days)

20

40

Our first human replacement: real/bogus

braai (Duev+ 19):

- Using 3 x 63 x 63 32-bit alert thumbnails: science, reference, difference
- VGG6 (312k parameter CNN) model for real-bogus classification

– State-of-the-art performance:

First end-to-end automation

BTSbot (Rehumtulla+ 24):

 Automatically submits reports of spectroscopically classified SN las to Transient Name Server (TNS):

- >1000 sources saved by BTSbot
- >700 SEDM triggers sent
- >100 fully autonomously classified SN Ia
- A significant boost in survey efficiency

Don't let humans work with data

- The human brain is an amazing piece of bioengineering: connected to a 1 Gb/s network (nervous system), it offers an exaflop of computing power with 2.5 PB of storage with just 20 W of power
- The creative power is proven:

- However, our brains evolved for efficient tool-based survival in dry arid grasslands and not the 21st century data landscape
- Human decision theory is based on fight-fright-flight response
- The measured processing speed is ~60 bits/sec (mental arithmetic)
- And our own writings agree with us (24 km of text with 750 billion tokens)

Why are humans bad at decision making?

"Humans are not inherently `bad' at decision-making, but there are several cognitive biases, limitations, and challenges that can sometimes lead to *less-than-optimal* decisions":

- Cognitive Bias
- Emotional Influence
- Limited Information
- Time Constraints
- Heuristics
- Overconfidence
- Loss Aversion
- Groupthink
- Framing Effects
- Sunk Cost Fallacy

Why should humans be taken out of the loop?

ChatGPT says that "there are several reasons for advocating for this":

- Efficiency
- Safety
- Eliminating Bias
- Scalability
- Consistency
- Cost Reduction
- Rapid Decision Making

Why should humans be taken out of the loop?

ChatGPT says that "there are several reasons for advocating for this":

- Efficiency
- Safety
- Eliminating Bias
- Scalability
- Consistency
- Cost Reduction
- Rapid Decision Making

A brief history of automated astronomy

- **1985** *Microcomputer Control of Telescopes* by Trueblood and Genet
- 1999 ROTSE detects first simultaneous GRB optical image
- 2002 RAPTOR is first fully autonomous closed loop robotic telescope
- **2006** VOEventNet + P48/PAIRITEL: the first (carefully) automated followup observation of a generic transient
- 2007 RoboNet + eSTAR
- 2008 CRTS begins primary source of VOEvents
- **2012** LCOGT begins
- **2018** ZTF begins era of industrial transient astronomy
- **2019** *Optimizing spectroscopic follow-up strategies for supernova photometric classification with active learning* by Ishida et al.
- **2022** 1000th SNe detected with P48, spectra with SEDM, classified with SNIaScore, submitted to TNS => no humans in loop
- 2023 ZTF passes 600 million alerts

A brief introduction to reinforcement learning

- **State** world observed by the agent: *ZTF transient light curves*
- Action choices presented to the agent: *obtain follow-up observation*
- **Reward** score the agent receives: *utility of follow-up observation*
- **Policy** rule specifying action to take: *take observation with maximum reward*
- Goal to learn Q state-action value of policy π or π
- Process needs to be:
 - Free from bias
 - Low latency
 - Scalable

Pythia: a toy kilonova follow-up agent

RL agent that strategizes follow-up to identify kilonovae:

- Learns to evaluate the explore/exploit tradeoff
- Solves the credit assignment problem form any delayed consequences
- Adapts to new information from its own actions or other sources

Toy sequential decision making under uncertainty problem:

- 9 transients, one of which (always) is true kilonovae (min photometry = 1)
 - Contaminants are SNe, unassociated GRB afterglows, shock breakout (do not include observation significance)
- Followup in ZTF g, r, or I (300s exposure) per day
 - Finite horizon 6 days (no action on day 1)
- Reward 1 if agent adds data to the kilonova else 0

 Maximize the number of followup to the true kilonova (non-model specific objective with the expectation that more data ~ better constraints)

(Sravan+ 2023)

Pythia vs humans Pythia (Al) Human Experts 3 20% explore 10% explore All Human Agents score 2 1 Sravan+ 2023 Mean Random Score 0 200 800 0 400 600 1000 1200 1400 episodes

agent	score	frac KN > 1 follow-up	
Pythia	1.84	0.81	
Non-expert 1	2.04	0.54	
Non-expert 2	3.15	0.86	
Expert 1	2.64	0.76	
Expert 2	2.74	0.78	
Expert 3	2.94	0.72	
Expert 4	3.43	0.9	

F

Pythia vs humans

Optimized follow-up is a learnable problem

Non-expert 2	3.15	0.86
Expert 1	2.64	0.76
Expert 2	2.74	0.78
Expert 3	2.94	0.72
Expert 4	3.43	0.9

dm (mag)

Alternate data representations – are these more optimal?

2000

Baby steps to automated discovery

dt (days) Time (days) **Dimensionality reduction – learnt representations**

0 240 600 960

T-SNE and UMAP are dimensional

reduction techniques that provide low dimensional mappings of high dimensional data whilst retaining topological information

-20

10

-10

-20

t-SNE feature 2

4000

-10

Matthew J. Graham

0

t-SNE feature 1

UMAP feature 1

- lower-dimension version of the input data Decoder Encoder Unsupervised categorization

60

70 +5 402e4

Output

The latent space gives a learnt

Dim1

UMAP Wei

-4-20

2 4 6 8

Ц

20

10

-0.75

0.4 N

0.3 H

0.2

0.1

22

10 12 14 16

17.0 17.2

17.6 17.8 18.0 18.2

Input

Brightness (mag) 17.4

The promise of multi-modal foundation models

- Large models pre-trained on vast amounts of data in a self-supervised manner
- Natural language interfaces for queries, explanations, writing and coding assistance
- Current astronomical application to solve the representation challenge:
 - Large images with varying dynamic ranges and complex multi-variate time series are reduced to a lower dimensional representation (token) that can then be processed by a downstream model (transformer-based architecture)
 - Vector embeddings of different data modalities: alerts, images, spectra, time series – that allow cross-modal analysis
 - Fine tuning for specific science cases

What about automated scientific discovery?

• Neural networks learn mappings between input and output data sets:

<u>Universal approximation theorem (Hornik, Stinchcombe & White 1989)</u>:

A neural network with a single hidden layer and non-linear activation functions can represent *any* borel-measurable function

- These have traditionally been black boxes and explainable Al attempts to tell us what is going on
- What if the system could take two data sets, derive an analytical expression that links the two, and then explain it in natural language?

What about automated scientific discovery?

- Symbolic regression is a technique that derives the optimal analytical expression(s) for a data set (see Graham+ 2013, Cranmer+ 2020 for astronomy application; Udrescu+ 2020 for more physics)
- Consider mapping from data x_i to some variable z and then model it as:

$$z = f\left(\sum g(\boldsymbol{x}_i)\right)$$

where f and g are trained neural networks.

- We can then fit g and f using SR (and with a much smaller subset of x_i than NN training)
- Data can be subset to test out of content applicability/generality
- Finally we can pass the analytical expressions to a LLM for description

A dream of the future (from 2009)

Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XVIII ASP Conference Series, Vol. 411, © 2009 D. Bohlender, D. Durand and P. Dowler, eds.

Astronomy 2020: A Pragmatic Approach

Matthew J. Graham

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, U.S.A.

In the cinema history of astronomy, we are currently at the stage Abstract. of the Lumiere brothers with contemporary surveys providing short monochromatic time sequences of the sky. By the end of the next decade, however, panchromatic blockbusters will be commonplace and science will be predominantly driven by the objects that change in successive "frames". Web-scale computing resources will be required just to process the torrents of data events but the key to understanding them will be contextualisation — linking together disparate (sets of) events and relating them to archival and supplementary data in a machine-comprehensible way. Much of the data mining and analysis of such data portfolios will be performed by proxy scientists — intelligent agent avatars that represent an individual's particular research interests in high-dimension parameter spaces. Although this view might sound like science fiction, in this paper, I will review the technologies that will make it achievable. In particular, I will cover new approaches to web services that will be required to support these massive event streams, social networking techniques that will facilitate science and semantic technologies that will underpin everything.

"Data exploration, visualization, and analysis will occur in virtual spaces with [agent systems] mediating between us and the data...through textual, verbal and gestural communication"

2014: LSST will produce 100 GB/night 2020: SKA

"We will wake to the Universe Today, summarizing the changes in position, flux/spectra, and new observations of billions of objects within the past 24 hours"

A dream of the future: the 2024 version

- TBs to PBs of data per night produced by facilities with fast low-latency high throughput inferencing models (embedded ML) driving control and decision systems
- Information extracted (optimized representations) and followup decisions made according to a teleological learnt strategy
- Patterns and relationships identified and put into context with other
- If science is defined by continuous differentiable relationships then automated discovery becomes increasingly more effective
- => "You will wake up and your smartphone will tell explain to you what **it** discovered last night"

Venturing into non-classical realms

- Qubits $(|\psi\rangle = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle)$ operate in a high dimensional Hilbert space: *n* qubits describe a 2ⁿ space
- Superposition and entanglement operations have no classical equivalence: more efficient exploration and more complex correlations
- Forget quantum supremacy, *quantum advantage* is performing tasks faster or more efficiently than classical computers
- Current Noisy Intermediate Scale Quantum (NISQ) computing involves systems with few to moderate (<500) qubits
- NISQ systems are also typically hybrid classical-quantum with classical computer handling optimization and measurement

Quantum Machine Learning (AstroQML)

qbraai (Abani+, in prep.)

 Variational Quantum Circuits (VQCs) are the equivalent of a traditional neural network consisting of:

- Classic optimization minimizes cost function/expectation value based on ansatz parameters
- Challenge to find optimal VQC architecture (quantum kernel)

Model	Input data	Training epochs	Training time (s)	Accuracy
braai	63x63x3	5	89	79.8%
VQC	63x63x3	5	23	73.4%
braai	63x63x3	100	6950	96.7%
VQC	63x63x3	100	1757	69.9%
braai	28x28x3	5	192	77.9%
VQC	28x28x3	5	20	95.6%

Quantum advantage erat demonstrandum!

Speculation

- Is it all hype? There is still no astronomical discovery that could not have been made without machine learning
- Would we trust/believe an artificial discovery?
 - Would it be subject to stricter tests/controls than human discovery?
- Is discovery constrained to what we can understand?
 - Evolutionary circuit design that relied on amplified radio signals from nearby PCs that were stable over the 2 ms sampling period
- Is there a new/alternate maths waiting to be discovered that makes better sense of the universe as a scientific language?
- Can AI identify other intelligences via technosignatures or unrecognized signals?

