Utility Optimization and Fairness Guarantees for Multimedia Traffic in the Downlink of DS-CDMA Systems

Xiang Duan, Zhisheng Niu, and Junli Zheng States Key Labs on Microwave and Digital Communications, Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, CHINA

*Abstract***— Radio resource control is one of the key technologies for providing quality-of-service (QoS) in wireless communication systems, where power and data rate are schedulable resources. In this paper, we propose a utility-based resource control scheme for multimedia traffic in the downlink of DS-CDMA systems. The goal is to achieve the social optimal resource utilization. Fairness is guaranteed by allocating each user with a minimal transmission data rate. Simulation results show that the proposed resource control scheme is flexible and efficient for the downlink of multimedia DS-CDMA systems.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Radio resource control is one of the key technologies for providing quality-of-service (QoS) guarantees for multimedia traffic in the emerging wireless communication systems. Although most previous studies have interpreted user's QoS requirements into specific technical measurements such as equivalent bandwidth, delay and loss [1] [2], QoS itself is a perception which represents the the satisfaction level that a user or an upper layer application obtains when using the service provided by the network. The satisfaction level can be represented by the concept of utility. Based on this consideration, some researchers have proposed utility-based resource control frameworks for wireless networks [3] - [8]. With the concept of utility, QoS requirements of multimedia traffic are interpreted as "soft" objects, which are tunable according to system load and channel conditions.

In direct-sequence code-division multiple access (DS-CDMA) systems where power and data rate are schedulable resources, power control and data rate allocation are two important components for resource control. In [3] and [4], authors proposed distributed utility-based power control schemes for uplink and downlink in CDMA systems, respectively. Ref. [5] proposed a distributed power control scheme based on a Nperson non-cooperative game model, and [6] improved the scheme by introducing *cost* to utility functions.

The references mentioned above considered only the problem of power control. In DS-CDMA systems, however, transmission data rates is also schedulable via the variation of spreading gain, which is not considered in [3] - [6]. In [7], the author presented a utility-based resource control scheme for CDMA networks carrying elastic traffic, which concerns enhancing the resource utilization by the incentive of congestion

price. However, the proposal was not feasible for achieving the social optimal resource utilization in the downlink of CDMA systems. We have proposed [8] a utility-based joint power and rate allocation algorithm aiming at maximizing the system overall utilities for the uplink of DS-CDMA systems. Since the downlink of DS-CDMA systems differs from the uplink in that the downlink is power-constrained instead of interference-limited, the algorithm for uplink is not applicable to the downlink.

In this paper we extended our work in [8] and propose a utility-based resource control scheme for multimedia traffic in the downlink of DS-CDMA systems. The goal of the algorithm is to obtain the social optimal resource utilization which maximizing the system overall utilities (defined as the sum of utilities of all users in the system). Fairness is guaranteed by allocating each user with a minimal transmission data rate. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe the utility functions and the system model of the utility-based resource control problem. Then we look for the social optimal resource allocation by finding the optimum solution in Section III. Also in this section, a thorough description of the resource control algorithm is given. Section IV presents the numerical results. Finally we conclude the paper in Section V.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

Consider the downlink of a typical cell in a multimedia DS-CDMA systems with N users. Denoted by R_i the transmission rate, by P_i the transmit power, and by h_i the channel gain of from base station to user i, and let $\mathbf{R} = \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_N\}$ and $P = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N\}$. Let W represent the system bandwidth, and I_i the background interference at the location of user i. Then, the received signal-to-interference ratio (SIR) of user i is given by [7]:

$$
\gamma_i = \frac{W}{R_i} \frac{h_i P_i}{\theta_d h_i(\sum_{j \neq i} P_j) + I_i}
$$

where θ_d is the downlink orthogonality factor with the typical values fall in the range of $(0.1, 0.6)$ [9].

We focus on elastic traffic, where a user evaluates the received QoS by its data throughput [10], which is the product

Fig. 1. Utility functions of typical voice, data and multimedia traffic in system with QPSK modulation/(511, 175, 46) BCH coding/simple ARQ: $\gamma^* = 1.55$, $E_s^* = 0.34$.

of transmission rate R_i and the transmission efficiency. Transmission efficiency, given by the efficiency function $E_s(\gamma)$, describes the percentage of successfully transmitted information bits to overall bits transmitted [8]. It is a function of received SIR, and is determined by physical layer technologies. Users are referred to [8] for more detailed information about efficiency functions.

As a result, the utility function of user i is given by $U_i(R_iE_s(\gamma_i))$. The form of $U_i(\cdot)$ should be carefully selected in order to properly reflect the nature of satisfaction level. Some literature [3] [4] has pointed out that $U_i(\cdot)$ should be an non-decreasing function, satisfying $U_i(0) = 0$ and $U_i(\infty) = U_{i \max} < +\infty$. For data users who have no stringent QoS requirements on transmission data rate, we assume an increasing concave function. For data and multimedia applications that have minimum rate requirements, Sigmoidal-like functions are used, as shown in Fig. 1.

Assume that P_{max} is given constraint on the transmit power of base station. Mathematically, our resource control problem is formulated as an optimization model aiming at maximization of the system overall utilities:

$$
\max_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i(R_i E_s(\gamma_i))
$$
\ns.t.
$$
\begin{cases} \gamma_i = \frac{W}{R_i} \frac{h_i P_i}{\theta_d h_i(\sum_{j \neq i} P_j) + I_i}, \\ (i = 1, 2, \cdots, N) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \leq P_{\text{max}} \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

The optimum solution to the problem is called the *social optimal resource utilization* of the utility-based resource control problem.

Eq. (1) is a nonlinear optimization problem with $2N$ decision variables, **R** and **P**. The following proposition simplifies the problem.

Proposition 1: Assume **P** and **R** are the optimum solution

to eq. (1), then **P** and **R** must satisfy

$$
\gamma_i = \gamma^*, \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, N)
$$

where γ^* is the optimal received SIR such that

$$
\gamma^* = \arg\max_{\gamma} \frac{E_s(\gamma)}{\gamma}
$$

 $\gamma^* = \arg \max_{\gamma} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma}$
Proof: We can prove this proposition by contradiction. Suppose the assumption in the proposition is not true, i.e., there exists P and R that are the optimum solution to eq. (1), and for some i,

$$
\gamma_i = \frac{W}{R_i} \frac{h_i P_i}{I_{-i}} \neq \gamma_i^*
$$

where $I_{-i} = \theta_d h_i (\sum_{j \neq i} P_j) + I_i$ is the interference received
by user *i*. It is clear that I_{-i} keeps unchanged when the by user i. It is clear that I_{-i} keeps unchanged when the value of R_i changes. Therefore, we let $P' = P$, $R' =$ $\{R_1, R_2, \cdots, R'_i, \cdots, R_N\}$, where

$$
R_i' = \frac{W}{\gamma^*} \frac{h_i P_i}{I_{-i}}
$$

Therefore, $\gamma'_i = \gamma_i^*$. Since $\gamma^* = \arg \max_{\gamma} \frac{E_s(\gamma)}{\gamma}$, we have

$$
R'_i E_s(\gamma'_i) = \frac{Wh_i P_i}{I_{-i}} \frac{E_s(\gamma^*)}{\gamma^*}
$$

>
$$
\frac{Wh_i P_i}{I_{-i}} \frac{E_s(\gamma_i)}{\gamma_i} = R_i E_s(\gamma_i)
$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i (R'_i E_s(\gamma'_i)) > \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i (R_i E_s(\gamma_i)).$ This contradicts
with the fact that **P** and **R** are the optimum solution to system while $R_j E_s(\gamma_j)$ keeps unchanged for $j \neq i$. As a result, with the fact that **P** and **R** are the optimum solution to system model (1). So the assumption in the above proposition must be true.

If $\frac{E_s(\gamma)}{\gamma}$ is unimodal, the value of γ^* is the solution of

$$
E_s(\gamma^*) = \gamma^* E'_s(\gamma^*)
$$

The value of γ_i^* can be obtained by simulation or measure-
puts in real systems ments in real systems.

With γ^* , **P** and **R** are not independent, and the system model (1) is equivalent to

$$
\max_{\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i(R_i E_s(\gamma_i))
$$

s.t.
$$
\begin{cases} \frac{W}{R_i} \frac{h_i P_i}{\theta_d h_i(\sum_{j \neq i} P_j) + I_i} = \gamma^*, & (i = 1, 2, \dots, N) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \leq P_{\text{max}} \end{cases}
$$

As a result from [11], the system model can be simplified to

$$
\max_{\mathbf{R}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i(R_i E_s^*)
$$

s.t.
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i g(R_i) \le 1
$$
 (2)

where $E_s^* = E_s(\gamma^*)$ is the corresponding transmission effi-
ciency of γ^* and ciency of γ^* , and

$$
\delta_i = 1 + \frac{I^o_i}{P_{\max}}
$$

GLOBECOM 2003 - 941 - U.S. Government work not protected by U.S. copyright

 I_i^o is the *downlink equivalent background interference* $(I_i^o = I_i)$ [11] The *nower index* [2] $q(R_i)$ is a function of R_i $\frac{d_i}{d_i}$) [11]. The *power index* [2] $g(R_i)$ is a function of R_i , given by given by

$$
g(R_i) = \frac{1}{\frac{W}{\theta_d R_i \gamma^*} + 1}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, N)
$$

The equivalent system model (2) has N independent decision variables, **R**. Generally finding optimum solution by normal algorithms, such as steepest decent method or gradient projection method [12], is a non-trivial task. In the next section we focus solving this problem with lower computational complexity.

III. FINDING THE SOCIAL OPTIMAL RESOURCE **UTILIZATION**

A. Analysis of System Model

Define the Lagrangian of the equivalent system model (2):

$$
\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}, \lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} U_i(R_i E_s^*) - \lambda (\sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_i g(R_i) - 1)
$$

$$
= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \{ U_i(R_i) - \lambda \delta_i g(R_i) \} + \lambda
$$

We have the following lemma according to [12].

Lemma 1: Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{\tilde{N}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and $h : \mathbb{R}^N \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary functions. Let

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{X}) - \lambda h(\mathbf{X})$

and

$$
\hat{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda) = \arg\max_{\mathbf{X}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}, \lambda)
$$

where $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$. Then we have $\mathbf{X}(\lambda)$ is a global optimum solution of the following optimization problem:

$$
\max_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X})
$$

s.t. $h(\mathbf{X}) \le h(\hat{\mathbf{X}}(\lambda))$

s.t. $h(\mathbf{X}) \leq h(\mathbf{X}(\lambda))$
Hence, the equivalent system model is decomposed into the following two subproblems:

- 1) *Lagrangian maximization subproblem*: This subproblem is to find such \mathbf{R}^* that $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}^*, \lambda) =$ max_{**R**} $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{R}, \lambda)$;
- 2) *Price matching subproblem*:
- Here λ is called the *resource price*. The task of this subproblem is to find such λ^* that $\sum_i \delta_i g(R_i) = 1$.

Lemma 1 implies that if we find such a pair of λ^* and \mathbb{R}^* that solves the two subproblems at the same time, \mathbf{R}^* is the optimum solution to (2). If $\sum_i \delta_i g(R_i) \approx 1$, \mathbb{R}^* is a good approximation to the optimum solution to (2) satisfying the approximation to the optimum solution to (2) satisfying the feasibility condition.

Eq. (3) illustrates that the Lagrangian maximization subproblem is further decomposed into N independent subsubproblems given by

$$
\max_{R_i} \{ U_i(R_i E_s^*) - \lambda \delta_i g(R_i) \}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, N) \tag{3}
$$

Fig. 2. Characteristic function $(f_{\lambda i}(R_i))$ of typical voice, data and multimedia applications.

According to [12], if $U_i(R_iE_s^*) - \lambda \delta_i g(R_i)$ is a concave
function of *R*, the unique solution to (3) is $[U(R_iE^*) -]$ function of R_i , the unique solution to (3) is $[U_i(R_iE_s^*) - \lambda \delta_{i\alpha}(R_i)V_i = 0$ which means $E^*UV(R_iE^*) = \lambda \delta_{i\alpha}(R_i)$ $\lambda \delta_i g(R_i)' = 0$, which means $E_s^* U_i'(R_i E_s^*) = \lambda \delta_i g'(R_i)$.
With the definition of the characteristic function of With the definition of the *characteristic function* of

$$
f_{\lambda i}(x) = \frac{E_s^* U_i'(E_s^* x)}{g'(x)}
$$

the solution can be expressed as $\lambda = \frac{f_{\lambda i}(R_i)}{\delta_i}$, or,

$$
R_i = f_{\lambda i}^{-1}(\delta_i \lambda), \quad (i = 1, 2, \cdots, N)
$$

where $f_{\lambda i}^{-1}(\cdot)$ is the inverse function of $f_{\lambda i}(\cdot)$. Characteristic functions of traffic given in Fig. 1 are shown in Fig. 2 functions of traffic given in Fig. 1 are shown in Fig. 2.

It can be proven that $U_i(R_i E_s^*) - \lambda \delta_i g(R_i)$ is convex in R_{i+1} and concave in $(R_{i+1} + \infty)$ where $[0, R_{i \text{min}}]$ and concave in $[R_{i \text{min}}, +\infty)$, where

$$
\lambda_{i \max} = \max_{R_i} f_{\lambda i}(R_i)
$$

$$
R_{i \min} = \arg \max_{R_i} f_{\lambda i}(R_i)
$$

as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, the unique optimal solutions to the N sub-subproblems are

$$
R_{i} = \begin{cases} f_{\lambda i}^{-1}(\delta_{i} \lambda), & \text{if } \delta_{i} \lambda \leq \lambda_{i} \text{ max} \\ R_{i} \text{ min}, & \text{if } \delta_{i} \lambda > \lambda_{i} \text{ max} \end{cases}
$$
(4)

which is the solution to the Lagrangian maximization subproblem. The price matching subproblem can be solved asymptotically by the golden section search [12] (or called 0.618 method).

Note that eq. (4) indicates that there is always a minimal transmission rate $R_{i \text{ min}}$ allocated to each user. Therefore, fairness is guaranteed while the social optimal resource utilization is achieved. However, it is possible that $\sum_i \delta_i g(R_{i \text{ min}}) > 1$ which means that the overall resources cannot meet the 1, which means that the overall resources cannot meet the minimum data rate requirements of all users. This case should be avoided by the call admission control (CAC), which is out of range of this paper and will not be discussed here further.

GLOBECOM 2003 - 942 - U.S. Government work not protected by U.S. copyright

B. Algorithm Description

Assume the following system parameters are given or calculated in advance when the system is set up or a user is admitted to access the network: the base station power constraint P_{max} , optimal SIR γ^* and corresponding efficiency E_s^* , the forms of utility functions $L(\cdot)$ and inverse characteristic functions of utility functions $U_i(\cdot)$ and inverse characteristic functions $f_{\lambda i}^{-1}(\cdot)$ of each user, and the corresponding $R_{i \text{ min}}$ and $\lambda_{i \text{ max}}$. According to discussions above, the algorithm of the resource control module works periodically at each resource control work point (may be the beginning of each time frame) can be described as the following steps.

- 1) Channel prediction or measuring: for any user $i(i = 1)$ $1, 2, \dots, N$, predict or measure current channel gain h_i and background interference I_i , then calculate I_i^o
and δ . Since small-scaled fast channel fading are partly and δ_i . Since small-scaled fast channel fading are partly neutralized by fast power control, h_i is assumed to be the large scale path loss and is kept constant in the period.
- 2) Adaption setup: Let m represent the iteration step. Set $m = 1$, initialize $\overline{\lambda}$ and λ to the maximal and minimal possible price, respectively, and set $\lambda^{(1)} = 0.382(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda)$.
- 3) Rate adaption: Calculate $R_i^{(m)}$ by

$$
R_i^{(m)} = \begin{cases} f_{\lambda i}^{-1}(\delta_i \lambda^{(m)}), & \text{if } \delta_i \lambda^{(m)} \le \lambda_{i \max} \\ R_{i \min}, & \text{if } \delta_i \lambda^{(m)} > \lambda_{i \max} \end{cases}
$$

and calculate $g(R_i^{(m)})$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, N)$.
Price adaption: Adjust $\overline{\lambda}$ and λ by the

- 4) Price adaption: Adjust λ , λ and λ by the golden section
search: if $\sum_i \delta_i g(R_i^{(m)}) < 1$, $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda^{(m)}$, and $\lambda^{(m+1)} =$
 $0.382(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda)$; otherwise $\lambda = \lambda^{(m)}$ and set $\lambda^{(m+1)} =$ $0.382(\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\lambda})$; otherwise $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda^{(m)}$, and set $\lambda^{(m+1)} = 0.618(\bar{\lambda} + \lambda)$ $0.618(\overline{\lambda}+\underline{\lambda}).$
- 5) Terminate condition: If $m = M$ or $\bar{\lambda} \lambda \leq \varepsilon$, stop; otherwise $m = m + 1$ and goto step 3. Here ε is the required precision $[12]$, and M is the maximal iteration times. According to our simulation, $M=6$ is good enough for the algorithm to converge.
- 6) Normalization of resource allocation: Calculate the normalized rate R_i by

$$
R_i = g^{-1}\left(\frac{g(R_i^{(m)})}{\sum_j \delta_j g(R_j^{(m)})}\right)
$$

where $g^{-1}(\cdot)$ is the inverse function of $g(\cdot)$. By normalization, $\sum_j \delta_i g(R_i) = 1$ can be satisfied, which means all radio resources are utilized all radio resources are utilized.

7) Transmit power: Transmit power assigned to user i is given by

$$
P_i = \frac{g_i \sum_j g_j I_j^o}{1 - \sum_j g_j} + g_i I_i^o
$$

where for convenience we use g_i to represent $g(R_i)$.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we present simulation results. We simulate a typical cell in DS-CDMA systems with 40 mobile users. Each user is equipped with one type of traffic among the four considered types: voice, data and two types of multimedia traffic. Parameters of these traffic are given in Table I. Their

TABLE I PARAMETERS OF THE FOUR TYPES OF APPLICATIONS

Traffic Type	Voice	Data	Multimedia 1	Multimedia 2
U_i max	◠			
$R_{i \text{ min}}$ (kbps)	35.62	27.49	280.4	750.3
λ i max	3840	191.3	998.:	536.7

utility functions and characteristic functions are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. Other system parameters are assumed to be: $W = 3.84$ MHz, $P_{\text{max}} = 24$ W, $I_i \sim (\mu, \sigma^2)$ W with $\mu = 3e - 6$ and $\sigma^2 = 2.5e - 13$ and $\theta_d = 0.2$. QPSK modulation, (511, 175, 46) BCH coding (coding rate 1/3) and simple ARQ scheme are assumed as the physical layer technologies (as a result, $\gamma^* = 1.55$ and $E_s^* = 0.34$).
We simulate 50 seconds in real time. The time inter-

We simulate 50 seconds in real time. The time interval between two consecutive resource control work point is 50 ms. In order to analyze the performance, we also consider two other proposals given in [7] and compared them with our algorithm. One proposal is a resource control algorithm of congestion pricing for downlink (CPD), which is formulated as an optimization problem:

$$
\max_{P_i} U_i(R_i E_s(\gamma_i)) - \lambda P_i
$$

The other is the allocation according to willingness-to-pay (WTP), where the transmit power is allocated by

$$
P_i = \frac{w_i}{\sum_j w_j} P_{\text{max}}
$$

where w_i is the user i's willingness-to-pay [7]. Here we use the value of $R_{i \text{min}}$ to represent it.

Fig. 3 shows the comparison of the overall achieved utilities of CPD, WTP and our algorithm in 5 simulation scenarios, and Fig. 4 shows the comparison of achieved utilities of typical users in a typical simulation scenario. In Fig. 4, the value of $U_i(R_{i\min})$, which is the corresponding utility of $R_{i\min}$, is drawn. From the two figures we clearly observe that:

- 1) Our proposal achieves more overall utilities than CPD and WTP, as shown in Fig. 3. Especially compared with CPD, the utility gain is generally up to 40%-70%, which is significant. Though WTP performs better than CPD, it still achieves less overall utilities than our proposal.
- 2) Fairness is well guaranteed by our proposed algorithm, and the condition is similar for CPD, as shown in Fig. 4. For our proposal, all users' average achieved utilities exceed $U_i(R_{i \text{ min}})$. However, for WTP, data users suffer "service starvation" in that they achieve little utility though other users achieve rather high utilities. Hence, it is totally unfair for data users.

As a conclusion, we see that our proposal has advantages over CPD and WTP in higher overall utilities and better fairness guarantees, therefore it is efficient for resource control in the downlink of DS-CDMA systems.

Next we have a close look at the simulation trace of the four different types of traffic in the system. The system load is designed to be with a process of "first-increasing

Fig. 3. Comparison of achieved system overall utilities: CPD, WTP and our proposal.

Fig. 4. Comparison of average achieved utilities of some typical users with different traffic types: CPD. WTP and our proposal.

Fig. 5. Simulation trace of the achieved utilities of voice, data and multimedia users in a typical simulation scenario.

then-decreasing" so that the variation of utilities could be clearly shown. From Fig. 5 we see that the for voice traffic, the achieved utility keeps almost unchanged at different system load; though the achieved utilities of the two types of multimedia traffic are influenced by the variation of system load, they also keep at a relatively high level. On the other hand, the variation of system load has strong influence on the achieved utility of data traffic: when system is heavily loaded, data traffic withdraws from the contention of resources automatically; it is assigned the the lowest data rate and the resources are saved for traffic with higher priority, *i.e.*, data and multimedia traffic.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed a dynamic utility-based resource control scheme for the downlink of multimedia DS-CDMA systems. The goal is to achieve the social optimal resource utilization, which is the maximization of system overall utilities while the transmit power constraint of base station is satisfied. Simulation results have shown its efficiency and flexibility in that it can allocate radio resources dynamically according to user's QoS requirements, channel conditions, and current system load.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Sampath, P. S. Kumar, and J. M. Holtzman, "Power Control and Resource Management for a Multimedia CDMA Wireless System," in *Proc. Sixth IEEE Int. Symposium Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Commun. (PIMRC'95)*, vol. 1, pp. 21–25, 1995.
- [2] M. A. Arad and A. Leon-Garcia, "Scheduled CDMA: A Hybrid Multiple Access for Wireless ATM Networks," in *Proc. 7th IEEE Int. Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Commun. (PIMRC'96)*, Taipei, Taiwan, 1996.
- [3] M. Xiao, N. B. Shroff, and E. Chong, "Utility-Based Power Control (UBPC) in Cellular Wireless Systems," in *Proc. Twentieth Annual Joint Conf. IEEE Compu. and Commun. Soc. (INFOCOM'2001)*, vol. 1, pp. 412-421, 2001.
- [4] J. W. Lee, R. R. Mazumdar, and N. B. Shroff, "Downlink Power Allocation for Multi-class DS-CDMA Wireless Networks," in *Proc. Thirteen Annual Joint Conf. IEEE Compu. and Commun. Soc. (INFOCOM'2002)*, http://www.ieee-infocom.org/2002/papers/309.pdf.
- [5] V. Shah, N. B. Mandayam, and D. J. Goodman, "Power Control for Wireless Data base on Utility and Pricing," in *Proc. Ninth IEEE Int. Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Commun. (PIMRC'98)*, vol. 3, pp. 1427–1432, 1998.
- [6] D. J. Goodman and N. B. Mandayam, "Power Control for Wireless Data," *IEEE Personal Commun.*, vol. 7, pp. 48–54, Feb. 2000.
- [7] V. A. Siris, "Resource Control for Elastic Traffic in CDMA Networks, in Proc. the 8th annual int. conf. Mobile computing and networking *(Mobicom'2002)*, pp. 193–204, Oct. 2002.
- [8] X. Duan, Z. Niu, J. Zheng, "A Dynamic Utility-Based Radio Resource Management Scheme for Mobile Multimedia DS-CDMA Systems," in *Proc. IEEE Global Telecom. Conf. 2002 (Globecom'2002)*, Taipei, Taiwan, Nov. 2002.
- [9] T. S. Rappaport, *Wireless Communications: Principles and Practice*. Prentice Hall, Inc., a Simon & Schuster Co., 1996.
- [10] S. Shenker, "Fundamental design issues for the future Internet," *IEEE J. Selected Areas Commun.*, vol. 13, pp. 1176–1188, 1995.
- [11] X. Duan, Z. Niu, J. Zheng, "Capacity Analysis of Uplink and Downlink in Multimedia DS-CDMA Systems Based On Constraint Models," to be appeared in *Proc. IEEE 2003 Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC'2003)*, Alaska, USA, May. 2003.
- [12] Authors Committee of *Modern Applied Mathematical Manual* book series, *Modern Applied Mathematical Manual: Volume on Operational Research and Optimization Theory*. Tsinghua Univ. Publishing House, Beijing, 1998.