NPSNET: JANUS-3D Providing Three-Dimensional Displays for a Two-Dimensional Combat Model

David **R.** Pratt, Jon C. Walter, Patrick T. Warren, and Michael J. Zyda Graphics and Video Laboratory Naval Postgraduate School, Department of Computer Science Monterey, CA 93943-5100 USA

Abstract

This paper discusses the integration of the Amy's existing combat modeling tool, JANUS(A), with the realtime three-dimensional graphics display offered by NPS-NET . *A scripting tool capable of rendering JANUS(A) scenarios previously executed in the traditional two-dimensional model is discussed. This replay capability allows the gamerlanalyst the ability to watch the three-dimensional battle unfold from any position on the battlefield. Also, the implementation of a real-time, networked link from the two-dimensional JANUS model to NPSNET is detailed. This link involves an Ethernet connection from a Sun workstation, which houses the two-dimensional model, to a Silicon Graphics workstation used for rendering the real-time three-dimensional simulation..*

Introduction

In 1991, **the** U. **S.** Army Training and Doctrine Command selected **JANUS(A) as the** simulation *software* standard for training at company and platoon levels, using **the** battalion commander **as the** senior trainer. It is also used in a seminar role by the Command and **General** Staff College to train new battalion and brigade commanders on the principles of synchronized combined arms opera**tions [JANU** 911. **JANUS(A)** is fielded throughout **the** world and, because of its ability to accurately model complex combat scenarios, is widely used by trainers **and** analysts in numerous applications which include combat training, studies of combat operations, combat development, testing of new equipment, and research and development.

Despite its great success and huge popularity as a combat development and testing tool, **JANUS(A)** analysts and developers realized the need for a three-dimensional view of the battlefield to validate **their** results. **One** project, where **the** Army **finds** the three-dimensional view useful, is the new system testing of **the** M1A2 main battle tank at Fort Hunter Liggett, California. *As* part of this testing, **the** U. **S.** Army TRADOC Analysis Command, Monterey ("RAC-MTRY), used **JANUS(A) as** a tool to model the operational testing of **the** M1A2 **tank,** before it was actually tested on the ground **[PAUL** 921. **A** three-dimensional capability would help validate **this** data by verifying events, such **as** tank positions, **direct fire** engagements, **and Line** of sights between weapon systems.

In addition to its obvious usefulness with **the** development of new combat systems, there **are** many other applications for **this** system. Because of past virtual reality successes, such **as SIMNET,** users knew that a three-dimensional view, in support of **JANUS(A)** exercises, would greatly enhance training simulations. Almost **as** good **as** being on **the** actual terrain, a three-dimensional world would give the gamer/analyst the ability to watch **the** battle unfold from any position on **the** battlefield. In addition to standard scenarios, this ability could be used, in conjunction with **JANUS(A),** for threedimensional 'after-action reviews' at Combat Training Centers, such **as the** National Training Center, Fort Irwin, California. Likewise, previously fought battles, such **as** the 'Battle of 73 Easting' of Desert Storm fame, could be reenacted on **JANUS(A), and** refought in the three-dimensional simulation, allowing for several 'what-if' conditions.

JANUS(A) Description

JANUS(A), is an interactive, computer based, war-gaming simulation of combat operations conducted at the brigade and lower level **in** the United States Army **[JANU 861. JANUS(A)** is a "two-sided, interactive, closed, stochastic, ground combat simulation" **[JANU** 911. It is termed 'twosided' because it allows **the** simulation of two opposing forc*es.* **These** two forces, the Blue force and the Red force, **are** simultaneously directed and controlled on separate monitors by two different sets of players. Each monitor displays only the vehicles pertaining to its side, plus the opposing vehicles which **are** directly observed by its vehicles. Therefore, the model is classified 'closed' because the friendly force player does not know the complete disposition of the opposing forces. The model is 'interactive' because each player monitors, directs, reacts to, and redirects **all** key actions of the simulated **units** under his control. Once a scenario is started, certain events in the game, such **as** direct fires and artillery impacts, are 'stochastically' modelled, which means that they act according to **the** laws of probability, and thus **are** different for every scenario run. **The** principal modeling focus in JANUS(A) is on military systems that participate in maneuver and artillery operations on land, thus the term 'ground combat simulation'.

JANUS(A) is composed entirely of Army-developed algorithms and data to model combat processes. The multitude of programs which belong to JANUS(A) consist of approximately 200,000 lines of code written entirely in **VAX-**11 FORTRAN, a structured Digital Equipment Corporation (DEC) extension of **ANSI** standard FORTRAN-77 [JANU 911.

NPSNET-JANUS Integration

The Naval Postgraduate School's Computer Science Department created a system to render three-dimensional, real-time simulations of military vehicles and terrain databases known as NPS Networked Vehicle Simulator *(NPS-*NET) [ZYDA 911 [ZYDA 91al [ZYDA 921 FALB 921. Specific attributes of the system include creating a three-dimensional, real-time, virtual world at a cost of well under \$100,000 [ZYDA 921. Furthermore, it possesses the ability for quick adaptation with applications involving other modeling systems.

NPSNET currently provides three modes of combat modeling. First, the model can be played in a networked, multi-user mode. In this mode, one or more players can chose and maneuver any vehicle in the three-dimensional virtual world via a 'Space Ball'. They can decide to fight with or against **one** another over **the** network. Additionally, a player can choose to fight a set of semi-automated forces. Players can view the world from **the** perspective of the vehicle commander or from an observer controller vehicle. The second modeling mode NPSNET uses is a script. With this method, **the** user can prepare scripted scenarios of combat operations and view the results in three-dimensions. **The** last mode includes receiving inputs over an Ethemet network from other three-dimensional combat models such **as** SIMNET. In this mode, *NPSNEX can* fully interact with **the** other combat model.

NPSNET provides an assortment of **U.S.** and foreign weapons system models. Currently, vehicle models range from U.S. MlAl tanks and A-10 jet **aircraft** to Soviet made T72 tanks and BMPs. *All* of these vehicles are formatted and rendered using the *NPSOFF* system [ZYDA 91al.

NPSNET uses the JANUS(A) terrain database to construct the three-dimensional terrain. The JANUS(A) terrain database is merely a collection of grid coordinates with an elevation assigned to each coordinate. In order to store these elevations in a sequential file format, JANUS(A) divides the terrain into a grid. The spacing between the horizontal and vertical lines is known **as** the resolution value. Currently, JANUS(A) can support resolution values of 12.5,25,50, 100, and 200. Each intersection is labeled by the Cartesian coordinates of the horizontal and vertical line that make up the intersection. These grid intersections are known **as** checkpoints. **The** ground elevation is recorded for each grid cell, and, if a **tree** or city is present in a certain grid, this is also recorded along with its respective height factor. JANUS(A) references the Cartesian coordinates for each checkpoint using its respective UTM coordinate.

NPSNET utilizes two techniques to render three-dimensional terrain, using either triangular-mesh or discrete polygons **as** basic building blocks. Mesh terrain is created using the built in ''IRIS" function for drawing a triangular mesh, commonly referred to **as** "t-mesh". Each checkpoint is treated **as** one of **the** three points of a triangle. The t-mesh terrain **skin** is built by moving from the left to the right of **the** map, then from the bottom to the top of the map. The triangular-mesh terrain provides **an** efficient and simple terrain skin for **the** virtual world, but currently does not allow roads and rivers to be drawn without significant tearing.

In order to realistically provide the ability to render roads and rivers, NPSNET instead utilizes the discrete polygon (polygonized) terrain model [FALB 921. Because each polygon **is** a discrete element, each one can be manipulated -- or any object on it -- with great precision.

A grid node is the basic building block for polygonized terrain. Each node is made up of two triangles, an upper and a lower. The numbering for each grid node uses **the** upperleft hand corner of **the square as** the local origin, with each corner of **the** node representing a checkpoint from the database. Each side of **the** *basic* grid node is equal to the resolution value, This three-dimensional view of the terrain is a fairly good representation, with the accuracy increasing with the lower resolution values (smaller grid spacing).

All objects (such **as** trees, vehicles and buildings) are rendered using a system known **as NPSOFF** [ZYDA 91aI. These stationary objects and polygonized terrain data are stored in files indexed by coordinates which match their corresponding terrain node. Moving objects are stored in an array assigned to each terrain node. Their positions *are* updated each time the graphics loop is executed [MACK 91].

Generation of a Three-Dimensional Script

In the traditional **JANUS(A)** model, vehicles *are* represented on a two-dimensional screen using a simple icon. Depending on whether a vehicle **is** classified **as** "friend' or "foe", this icon is permanently faced to the left or right and no indication is given to its direction of travel, its orientation, or its gun tube/sight orientation [JANU 86].

AJl signtficant events which *occur* during a **JANUS(A)** scenario are recorded in five **disk** files (movement, direct fires, artillery fires, **kills,** detectioas), known **as** post-processor files. These post-processor files are converted to create a realistic and accurate script for **the** three dimensional simulation, NPSNET. The major hurdle to overcome for this conversion, is that a two-dimensional game does not require (and in **this** case, does not produce) **all** of **the** infonnation needed to define what a vehicle is actually doing at every moment in **the** game.

In general these files list the **x** and *y* coordinates of a vehicle at the time an event occurs. Additionally, who **the** vehicle shot, the location of **the** target, **the** location of impact for each artillery shot, and the clock time for each of these events is included with these listings **[JANU 861.** When **the** actual **JANUS** model is running, it is possible, upon request, to find out the speed of a vehicle **and** its line-of-sight fan. However, this information is **not** recorded in the postprocessor files.

In order to recreate a viable three-dimensional representation of **the** game, new information about what each vehicle was doing between recorded events must be inferred The *C Language* Integrated Production *System* (CLIPS) expert system shell was chosen **as** the inference engine to generate **this** new information **[GIAR 893. CLIPS** was chosen because of **the** considerable amount of pattem matching necessary.

The first step in the information inference process is to determine **the** data that NPSNET requires for rendering. One of the capabilities of **NPSNET** is to display and move vehicles according to a script. To read **the** script, *NPSNET* first compares the current game **clock** with the time **as**signed to the top element in the script file. If the game clock is greater than or equal to the event time, then **the** event is read and its instructions are implemented. To simplify **the** processing of a script, each **line** in the script file is considered an event. Tbe information contained in each event line is **as** follows:

- Time
- Vehicle Number
- Vehicle **Type**
- X coordinate
- Z coordinate (referred to **as the** Y coordinate in a 2D world)
- Vehicle orientation (in positive radians)
- Weapon orientation (in positive radians)
- Speed (meters per second)
- \bullet Shot fired $(1=$ Yes; $0 = No)$
- Alive $(1=$ alive; $0=$ killed)
- Elevation (ground vehicle = 0; helicopter = **100** meters; plane = 200 meters)

Mer NPSNET reads **an** event, it assigns the event speed **and** direction to **the** three-dimensional vehicle model. If the vehicle shoots or dies, a **flash** or buming hulk is rendered respectively.

The key to inferring new information from **the** post processor files is to compare the current event with the chronologically subsequent event for the same vehicle. From **these** comparisons, many useful pieces of information, such **as** the speed and direction of movement can be inferred. Currently, events in the **JANUS** post-processor files **are** listed chronologically **[JANU 861:**

In order to compare two events for a single vehicle , there needs to be an easy way to locate **the** next event for a particular vehicle. Searching each of the five post-processor files for chronologically subsequent events is a memory intensive process that would quickly become time consuming and thus not useful. Therefore, an event file is created for each vehicle. Next, each of the **JANUS** post-processor files is read and **the** events pertaining to each individual vehicle is stored in its own file. Furthermore, a letter is appended to **the** head of each event to identify its event **type (fire,** move, etc.).

With **the** events for each vehicle stored in a single file, it is now easy to read an entire vehicle event file into memo*ry,* compare two chronological events for a vehicle, and infer some useful information about the vehicle's activities between listed events.

In general, there **are** three activities that a vehicle could possibly perform between listed events. It can be halted, *moving,* or killed. In order to present a realistic three-dimensional representation of these three activities, the following pieces of information **are** inferred

- *Orientation of the vehicle
- Orientation of the weapon (turret)
- *Direction of movement (if moving)
- *Speed

The *busk* speed and orientation of **the** vehicle and weapon are obtained by performing the simple calculations. The general mission of the vehicle is determined in order to **gain** a more refined estimate of its weapon's orientation and speed. These calculations are implemented using **CLZPS** Object-Oriented Language, (COOL) [GIAR **911.** COOL is used because the encapsulation of **the** information pertaining to one object makes it easy to manipulate the object **as** a whole.

There are several characteristics that suggest that a vehicle is in a defensive posture. The primary or tell-tale defensive characteristic is when a vehicle is travelling at a speed of less **than** 3 k.p.h. **This** speed tends to suggest that the vehicle is either on an extremely slow and deliberate offensive mission or it is actually sitting still (in a defensive position) for most of the time interval between **the** two listed events. If it moves to its next position at a more deliberate speed of about 13 -15 k.p.h., it is assumed that it is moving to an alternate defensive position. If **this** extremely slow speed is encountered, there are two other pieces of evidence that, if present, tend to confirm the defensive hypothesis. One of these additional pieces of evidence is whether the vehicle just completed firing a shot or will fire a shot in the future. This tends to be true because a vehicle shooting on the move would normally be travelling at a speed much in excess of 3 k.p.h. if it wants to survive. The second piece of evidence assumes that if a vehicle fires in the future, and if the distance to the next firing position is less than 100 meters, it is probably moving between fighting positions and hence is in a defensive posture. If **all** of the above con**ditions are** met, then the program asserts that the vehicle is in the defense, and **as** a consequence halts the vehicle and orients it towards the enemy (towards the next target location). Then, the vehicle moves to its next event location at a set speed of 13 k.p.h., ensuring that it arrives at the next event location on time. There are certainly other heuristics that can suggest if a vehicle is in the defense or not, but they currently **are** not implemented.

A second tell-tale heuristic is whether a vehicle **does** not move for over five minutes. Not moving for over five minutes suggests that the vehicle is in a defensive posture - viewing the engagement area. Then at a certain time it would move quickly to its next defensive position.

It is assumed that if a vehicle's events do not confirm the defensive heuristic then the vehicle is considered to be on an offensive mission. This is a simple but rather accurate hypothesis but does not exhaust the need for other inferred knowledge.

An anomaly that becomes very apparent in a three-dimensional world, that is not readily observed in the two-dimensional JANUS(A) simulation is, at times, a vehicle travels at a speed in excess of **45** m.p.h. Unless the vehicle is an aircraft, **this** is very unrealistic, and probably due to minor modifications in input by **the** user at **the** time of the original JANUS(A) **run.** This anomaly often occurs **be**tween the initial position for a vehicle and the first event listed in the post-processor files.

If these two conditions occur, then at start time, the vehicle is rendered at the position specified by the first movement rather than the location specified by the initial conditions. It is also given a speed of zero. If this excessive speed anomaly does not occur between the initial position and the first event, then the speed is smoothed.

The smoothing algorithm slows the speed of the vehicle to 25 k.p.h. This decrease in speed has a natural side effect. It will cause the vehicle to not be at the correct location when its next event is scheduled **to** occur (call this upcoming event, A). To compensate for **this** problem, a new MOVE event is created (event *B)* which will keep the vehicle moving at a speed of **25** k.p.h. to the location that was specified in event A. In order to get the vehicle back in synchronization with the remainder of the event list, the speed associated with movement from event A to its subsequent event is increased to allow **the** vehicle to arrive at the follow-on event's location on time.

As a rule, the orientation of the vehicle, if moving, is always in the direction of travel. If the vehicle is halted, the orientation depends on the following pieces of evidence:

- *If the vehicle is **firing** during the current event then orient towards the target.
- -If the vehicle is not firing but will fire in the future, **ori**ent the vehicle towards the next target location.
- *If the vehicle is not firing and will not fire in the future, then use the vehicle orientation from the last event as the current Orientation.
- *If the vehicle is placed on the battlefield but does not have any events associated with it, then orient the vehicle in its initial view direction.

The heuristics for weapon orientation are similar to those used for the vehicle orientation with a few differences.

- -If the vehicle is firing during the current event then orient the weapon towards the target.
- *If the vehicle is not firing but will **fire** in the future, orient the weapon towards the next target location.
- *If the vehicle is not firing, will not **fire** in **the** future, but did fire in the past then use the weapon orientation from **the** last firing event.
- *If the vehicle never fires, then orient the weapon in **the** same direction **as** the vehicle.

Using **the CLIPS** Object Oriented Language (COOL), each of the events listed in a vehicle event file is read into one of the following objects: MOVE, FIRE, *KILL, ARTY* **[GIAR** 911. After the entire file is read into memory, then each event is compared against the next event or an upcom**ing** firing event. These comparisons generate facts that **are** stored in **the** form of (condition, <fact>). Then, based on the facts generated, CLIPS' inference engine will choose the appropriate rule to execute. These rules then call methods and *functions* which generate the data required for **NPSNET [CLIP** 911. Once a rule is chosen **and** the NPS-NET event data is generated, **this** data is written to a file specified for the current vehicle. Once the event file for **all** of the vehicles is evaluated, each of the files containing the heuristic data is combined into a single event list file. **This** file is then sorted chronologically. After sorting it is ready to be used by **NPSNET as** a script file.

Real-Time Capability

The U.S. Army realized the advantages of running the JANUS(A) combat model on **the** popular and compact UNIX based workstations instead of on the cumbersome VAX/Tektronix systems currently in use. In August of

1991, TRAC-MTRY contracted with **the** Rand Corporation to develop a version of JANUS(A) that would operate on a Sun/UNIX workstation. A working prototype of JAN-US(A) for UNlX was delivered in April 1992 [GUYT 921.

In the past, a network link between the traditional JAN-US(A) model and NPSNET was not feasible because of the drastically different protocols and platforms. With the introduction of this new version of **the** JANUS(A) combat model, it finally became possible to construct **this** real-time network.

This new UNIX-based version of JANUS(A), which we call JANUS (X) , does not change the "inner-workings" of the original combat model. The model itself is identical in both versions, in fact, **the** same FORTRAN code is used in both models. This reuse of the VAX-FORTRAN code is made possible by the Sun 4-FORTRAN compiler, which is capable of translating **VAX** data **types** and system calls into their UNIX equivalents [SUN 91].

The only viable difference between the two versions of JANUS is that **the** UNIX version bypasses all FORTRAN calls to the Tektronix screens, and replaces them with the 'C' programming language calls to the X-Windows environment [GUYT 921.

As mentioned above, the VAX-version of JANUS(A) is hindered by its antiquated hardware and non-networking capabilities. **This** version can only be displayed on Tektron**ix** monitors, with the Blue force on one screen, and the Red force on another.

JANUS(X), on the other hand, has the added flexibility of being run on a Sun Workstation with the display piped to the same monitor, or any other workstation and monitor with X-Windows capability.

The communications between **the** Sun Workstation, **run**ning the JANUS(A) simulation, and **the** wokstations rendering the two-dimensional images is accomplished using an Ethernet network. **JANUS(X)** creates messages and places them on **the** network **as** packets. These message packets **are** read by a listening workstation and rendered appropriately on its monitor [GUYT 921.

 $JANUS(X)$ executes two different programs at the same time. The main JANUS(X) program operates on one **Sun** workstation. **This** program executes **all** operations of **the** JANUS(A) combat model, initializes **the** network, and sends the message packets to the network. The second program runs on the workstation that will render **the** X-Windows screens. It captures **the** message packets that **are** on the network, then sends them to a sub-program which parses the messages and returns them to their original function formats. These functions then call the X-Windows library functions required to render the two-dimensional images on the monitor. Additionally, screen inputs to JANUS (e.g. mouse picks) *are* captured, recorded into a message packet, and sent back to the machine running **the** main JANUS model [GUYT 921.

The version of **NPSNET** used for this project uses *NPS* networking format. NPSNET and JANUS(X) use two different message sending protocols, and consequently, use different message formats. To accommodate both functions, messages are first sent from the JANUS(X) game using its own format. When these messages **are** read by the receiving **IRIS** workstation, they **are** changed to **the** NPS-NET message format, and **the** appropriate three-dimensional graphics are displayed in real-time.

 $JANUS(X)$ sends a specific message to update the movement of a vehicle on one of the two-dimensional screens. Therefore, in the body of **the** JANUS(X) function to send **this** message, a special message destined for NPSNET is embedded. This message includes the side and unit number of the vehicle, its speed, orientation and current coordinates. Using **the** side and vehicle number **as** indices, *NPS-*NET can adjust the location, speed and direction of the vehicle in the three-dimensional world.

JANUS(X) does not send any message which specifically identifies that a vehicle fired a shot. Therefore, a special function was written to write **this** message to NPSNET. **This** message sends **the** force side and vehicle identification number for both the shooter and the intended target. Upon receipt of **this** message, NPSNET will render a muzzle **flash** for the vehicle that fired. Also, a red or blue line (depending on the force side of the firer) is drawn from the shooter to the target. This **line** simulates the tracer action of a shot, while the color allows the observer a quick reference to who fired it.

Just **as** with vehicle shots, **JANUS(X)** does not send any message which specifically identifies that artillery was fired. So again, a function was written to send **an** artillery message to *NPSNET.* It sends **the** *x* and **y** coordinates where **the** artillery is currently landing. When **this** message is received by NPSNET, an artillery explosion is rendered at the coordinates indicated.

The death or destruction of a weapon system in the JAN- $US(X)$ combat model is simulated by simply removing its icon from the two-dimensional screen. To notify NPSNET of the destruction of a vehicle, a message to NPSNET was embedded in the function which deletes the icon. This new message sends the force side, vehicle identification number, grid coordinates, and the final orientation of the vehicle. NPSNET then simulates the destruction of a vehicle by halting it and displaying an orange flame.

JANUS3D as a Modeling Tool

JANUS(A) was used in **the** past **as** a modeling tool for the recent M1A2 main battle tank field tests, using the Army's Model-Test-Model (MTM) concept **[BUND** 911. For the M1A2 tests, MTM began with pre-test modeling before the actual field test occurred. With the **Fort** Hunter-Liggett terrain database loaded in **the JANUS(A)** model, useful information was gleaned which helped design the field test site. Likewise, with the proper vehicle data, several battles were attempted to help decide upon **the** specific combat scenarios to run during the trials. After **the** test was completed, post-test modeling was done to compare against **the** data from the trials, in hopes to accredit **JANUS(A) as** a simulation modeling tool for MTM. If accredited, **this** would allow more operational testing and evaluation in the modeling environment, instead of the highly expensive field tests **[PAUL** 921.

JANUS-3D provides the combat weapons system developer the ability to easily visualize a new weapon system. The developer *can* develop appropriate tactics for the system, and test different weapon system specifications such **as** size, shape and positioning of optical devices prior to constructing any portion of **the** system.

JANUS-3D in the Pre-Test. The goal in the pre-test modeling is to use **JANUS(A)** to aid in the test design and help in recommending different combat scenarios. In most cases, the pexsomel conducting the pre-test modeling will be the leaders or users of the actual weapons system. Because they may have very little knowledge of **JANUS(A),** a userfriendly, interactive model, like JANUS-3D, is ideal for this purpose. After **the** terrain database is loaded into **JANUS-3D,** the unit leaders have the capability of driving every inch of the battlefield in their own vehicle. In **the** case of the MIA2 trials, each tank commander (four total) could sit behind his own workstation and drive, view, and shoot his own tank, via NPSNET's network capability. Offensive or defensive battles can be enacted time after time, against autonomous forces or against other manned workstations, to **try** and determine **the** best tactics and use of terrain, before the players have even seen the actual ground location. **This** helps save time and money in **the** overall test design, because the price of performing many pre-runs on an actual vehicle would be astronomical. Also, it provides excellent training and first hand knowledge of the area for the leaders and crews, which is a definite advantage going into the actual ground tests.

Besides serving as a training tool and scenario constructor, **JANUS-3D also** is **an** excellent tool to choose **the areas** for the field test. Test developers *can* position their vehicles in proposed defensive positions, check out their fields of view, and determine if the chosen piece of terrain is optimal for the weapon system's firing range. Likewise, proposed offensive routes can be driven to see if the vehicle has prop er cover and concealment, adequate fields of view, and maneuverable terrain.

At the present time, **the** pre-test modeling accomplished by **JANUS-3D** cannot be input into the **JANUS(A)** model.

Future research work with **NPSNET: JANUS-3D** will allow all activities accomplished in the three-dimensional world, such **as** vehicle positioning, movement, and firing, to affect the traditional **JANUS(A)** model. This feature would allow war-fighters and combat model analysts the capability to plan positions **and** routes in the three-dimensional world, then either **JANUS(A)** could run the actual scenario, or the operators could become participants in the **3D** world, or a combination of both could be chosen.

A necessary requirement for the post-test phase is to replicate the actual field test site **as** accurately **as** possible within the model. Except for the resolution factors, **the** ter**rain** in **JANUS(A)** cannot be changed. Ongoing work with NPSNET, on the other hand, has given the user the capability to dynamically change **the** terrain. At the present time, road craters, bridges (both erect **aad** blown), and tank berms can be created and edited to fit the desires of the operator. Future work will allow tank ditches and tank posi**tions** to be excavated. **l'his** gives the analyst the flexibility to change the terrain to better match the actual test site.

In JANUS(A), if a tree or city lies within a grid cell, the entire grid cell is marked **as** having a **tree** or city, and has the respective height factor. For example, if the terrain database is using a 200 meter resolution map, and a grid **cell** is marked **as** having trees of height factor 12 meters, then **the** entire 200 x 200 meter grid cell would have a block of trees 12 meters high. Likewise, these height factors for the **mes** and cities do not come from the **DMA** terrain database, but **are** input by the user. Thus, if incorrect values are entered, or if **the** same values **are** used **from** database **to** database, these errors **are** not easily caught. However, erroneous height values become obvious in a three-dimensional world.

A better model of **trees** and cities is provided in **JANUS-3D.** By design, trees and buildings **are** stochastically placed in a marked grid cell, according to the density factor of the cell. The trees **and** cities **are** the accurate height, plus they display a more traditional shape. The random placement, coupled with the density of **the trees,** allows possible viewing under and around **trees,** which is more realistic in less densely packed forests.

However, if **this** is not acceptable, and an even better representation is demanded,

JANUS-3D has the capability of placing trees, cities, and most common man-made objects at the exact spot that they **are** located in the real world, with little difficulty. Thus, if aerial photos were available, or if someone had intimate knowledge of **the** area, **the** new objects for the terrain model could be accommodated.

Another requirement in the post-test phase is to replicate **the** vehicle actions **as** closely **as** possible. In the traditional **JANUS(A)** model, vehicles **are** represented **as** two-dimensional icons, and routes are planned by a series of straight lines connected by control nodes. *An* anomaly observed, **af**ter viewing several **JANUS(A)** scenarios in the three-dimensional mode, is that vehicles sometimes pass through one another, or actually park on top of one **another** (presumably at control points). These problems **are** caused both by human error upon input of **the** routes **and** nodes, and also because the model **has** no collision detection system. **This** is a serious problem, because **the** traditional model cannot accurately show that a battlefield may be too congested, or that there **is** insufficient room for **one** tank to pass a destroyed vehicle at a bridge crossing, **JANUS-3D** is anexcellent tool to highlight these errors **so** that new routes or posi**tions** can be chosen.

la addition to movement errors, **JANUS(A)** also **has** problems with the field of view of stationary vehicles. **JA-NUS(A)** uses **the** last direction **the** vehicle travelled **as the** field of view. Thus, if a vehicle is in **the** defense, and withdraws to a subsequent battle position, **his** field of view could possibly be to the rear. Using **JANUS-3D**, the analyst will observe **this** problem, and *can* change the vehicles line of sight in the two-dimensional model. In future additions to **JANUS3D, the** analyst wiU be able to affect **this** change from the three-dimensional model with the 'Space Ball'.

Line of sight calculations in **JANUS(A)** use a standard height factor for vegetation and vehicles, with each vehicle having **the** same factor. **JANUS-3D** allows **the** operator to place **his** view at **the** exact eye level for each vehicle, **thus** providing a more realistic view of **the** terrain. **JANUS-3D** shows problems with **this** calculation when vehicles **are** destroyed which cannot be **seen** in **the** three-dimensional world. Likewise, some vehicles in JANUS-3D have line of sight with enemy vehicles, but **JANUS(A)** does not show that they do.

Conclusions

Two important results were achieved with **this** wok First, **NPSNET** was validated **as** a flexible, three-dimensional simulation platform for integration with traditional two-dimensional models. Second, it was shown that a traditional two-dimensional combat model can be successfully displayed in thee-dimensions. This provides new life to systems that would otherwise rapidly become obsolete. What makes the second result even more noteworthy is that the three-dimensional display is achieved at a very low cost.

While **this** project proved that two-dimensional combat models cm be displayed in three-dimensions, it only allows the user to be **an** "observer" of **the** simulation. **The** next logical step is to allow **the** observer to become an "operator" within **the** simulation. The **goal** is to have **the** actions of **the** operator within the three-dimensional world, be used **as** input to the two-dimensional model. **Tbis** feature would allow war-fighters and combat model analysts the capability to test new ideas quickly and conduct multiple "what if' operations using the model.

LIST OF REFERENCES

[BUND 911 Bundy, **Dennis,** "Model-Test-Model Using High Resolution Combat **Models",** TRAC-MTRY, Unpublished Paper, 1991.

[CLIP 91] Software Technology Branch, Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center, *CUPS Reference Monual,* Version *5.0"* JSC-22948, Jan 1991.

[FALB92] Falby, John *S.,* Zyda, Michael J., Pratt, David R., Mackey, Randall L.. **"NPSNET:** A Networked Vehicle Simulation with Hierarchical Data Structures", currently under revision for *Computers* & *Graphics.*

[GIAR89] **Giarratano,** Joseph C., and Riley, Gary, *Expert Systems, Principles and Programming,* **PWS-KENT.** Publishing Company, 1989.

[GIAR91] Giarratano, Joseph C., *CUPS User's Guide,* Information Systems Directorate, Software Technology Branch, Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center, **Jan** 1991.

[GUYT92] Guyton, **Jim,** *JANUS(A) for SudUnix,* Rand Corporation, **Los** Angeles, CA, April 1992.

[JANU 861 **U.S.** Army **TRADOC** Analysis Command, WSMR, *JANUS(T) Documentation Manual,* June 1986.

[JANU 911 **U.S.** Army **TRADOC** Analysis Command, WSMR, *JANUS(A) Version 2.0 Information Letter,* March 1991.

[JANU92] **US. Army** TRADOC **Analysis** Command, Leavenworth, Janus Army, Single Model Serving All Domains, Leavenworth, **KS,** 1992.

[MACK 911 Mackey, Randall L., *NPSNET: Hierarchical Data Structures for Real-Time Three-Dimensional Visual Simulations,* Master's Thesis, Naval Postgraduate School, Monterey, California, September 1991.

[PAUL921 Paulo, Eugene **I?,** Proctor, Michael D., Gorevin, Matthew L., Lathrop, Andrew, "Comparison of MlAl/MlA2 Battle Results Between JANUS(A) and an Operational Field Test", TRAC-MTRY, Unpublished Paper, 1992.

[SUN911 **Sun** Microsystems INC. *Sun FORTRAN User's Guide,* February 1991.

[ZYDA 911 Zyda, Michael J. **and Pratt,** David R., **"NPSNET:** A 3D Visual Simulator for Virtual World Exploration and Experimentation", *1991 Society for Information Display International Symposium Digest* of *Technical Papers,* Volume *X2U&* May 1991, **pp** 361-364.

[ZYDA 9la]Zyda, **M. J., Wilson,** K. P., Pratt, **D.** R., Monahan, J. *G.,* and Falby, John **S.,** *"NPSOFF: An* Object Description Language for Supporting Virtual World Construction", currently under revision for *Computers* & *Graphics.*

[ZYDA 921 Zyda, Michael J, Pratt David R, **Monahan** Gregory, and Wilson, Kalin P; "NPSNET: Constructing a 3D Virtual World", *Symposium on 30 Graphics, '92 Proceedings,* **April** 1992, pp 147-156.