



# Identifying and extracting authors' **Rights Retention Statements** from full text academic articles

**Matteo Cancellieri** [matteo.cancellieri@open.ac.uk](mailto:matteo.cancellieri@open.ac.uk)

Anton Zhuk [anton.zhuk@open.ac.uk](mailto:anton.zhuk@open.ac.uk)

Valerii Budko [valerii.budko@open.ac.uk](mailto:valerii.budko@open.ac.uk)

Eka Chxaidze [ekaterine.chkhaidze@open.ac.uk](mailto:ekaterine.chkhaidze@open.ac.uk)

Viktoriia Pavlenko [viktoriia.pavlenko@open.ac.uk](mailto:viktoriia.pavlenko@open.ac.uk)

Petr Knoth [petr.knoth@open.ac.uk](mailto:petr.knoth@open.ac.uk)

# CORE and the OA landscape



**CORE's mission is**

to index all open access research worldwide and deliver unrestricted access for all.

We are here to support and advance the Open Access / Open Research movement

## **WE ARE**

the world's **most used** collection of open access research papers from repositories

## **WE ARE**

a **not-for-profit** scholarly infrastructure dedicated to the open access mission, **adopters of POSI** principles.

## **WE**

**provide solutions** for content management, discovery and scalable machine access to research.

## **WE**

**serve the global network** of repositories and journals by increasing discoverability and reuse of open access content.

# Joining CORE benefits your institution



... and over 20 others  
are already our  
supporting and  
sustaining members

**Become a member to demonstrate your support for Open Research**

## Right retention strategy. Where are we?

### Coalition S implementation guidance

<https://www.coalition-s.org/addendum-to-the-coalition-s-guidance-on-the-implementation-of-plan-s/principles-and-implementation/>



**Plan S**

Making full & immediate  
Open Access a reality

*Where possible, cOAlition S members will ensure by way of funding contracts or agreements that the authors or their institutions **retain copyright as well as the rights** that are necessary to make a version (either the VoR, the AAM or both) immediately available under an open license. To this end, cOAlition S will develop or adopt a model '**License to Publish**' for their grantees.*

**“... publishers as a whole may not be systematically rejecting submissions with the **RRS language** but, with **some notable exceptions**, they are also not committing to systemically accepting them.”**

<https://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2021/02/17/rights-retention-strategy/>

## All the scholarly ecosystem benefits

1. Open Access Assurance
2. Funder Mandate Compliance
3. Copyright Retention
4. Scholarly Use Regulation
5. Enhanced Dissemination
6. Equity Promotion
7. Text and Data Mining Facilitation



# Where does this put the Repository community?

Collection at the University of Cambridge, Ket Smithson of the Cambridge Biotomography Centre, and the members of Cambridge Archaeological Unit for their help and support. We would also like to thank the anonymous viewers for their comments on this manuscript. This research was funded by the Wellcome Trust [Award no 2000368/Z/15/Z] and St John's College, Cambridge. For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

## References

- Anderson, T., 2001. The human remains. In: Hicks, M., Hicks, A. (Eds.), *St Gregory's Priory, Northgate, Canterbury Excavations 1988-1991*. Canterbury Archaeological Trust, Canterbury, pp. 338–370.
- Andrews, F., 2006. *The Other Friars: The Carmelite, Augustinian, Sack and Pied Friars in the Middle Ages*. Boydell Press, Woodbridge.
- Barber, B., Chew, S., Dyson, T., White, B., 2004. *The Cistercian Abbey of St Mary*

- Ellis, D.W.B., Saizman, L.F., 1948. Religious houses. In: *History of the County of Cambridge and the Isle of Ely*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, pp. 197–318.
- Evans, D., 2014. Bring out your Dead! Burial rites and cemetery. *Lübeck und der Hanseraum: Beiträge zur Kulturgeschichte*. Festschrift für Manfred Gläser. *Vierteljahrshefte der Gesellschaft für Naturgeschichte und Kunde der Provinz Schleswig-Holstein* 100, 1–12.
- Falys, C.G., Prangle, D., 2015. Estimating age of mature the sternal end of the clavicle. *Am. J. Phys. Anthropol.* 156, 1–12.
- Field, V., 2015. *The De Podagra (On Gout): a Pre-Garioch the Latin Translation of the Greek Therapeutica by Valerius Cordus*. University of Manchester.
- Fornaciari, G., Giuffra, V., Giusiani, S., Fornaciari, A., Vignoli, A., 2013. 'gout' of the Medici, Grand Dukes of Florence: a paper from the *Journal of Rheumatology* 48 (4), 375–377.
- Fornaciari, G., Marinozzi, S., Messineo, D., Caldarini, C., Capuani, S., Catalano, P., Gazzaniga, V., 2019. A re-examination of the Imperial Rome: surgery and diseases in antiquity by paleopathological, and historical perspectives. *Int. J. Paleopathol.* 19, 1–12.
- Gaddesden, J., 1492. *Rosa Anglica Practica Medicinæ*. London: Printed by Iohn Cotes.
- Gentili, A., 2003. Advanced imaging of gout. *Semin. Musculoskelet. Dis.* 13, 1–12.

## Where does this put the Repository community?

**It's "just"  
metadata!**

**Manual task**


**Error prone**

**Labour intensive**






**CORE**





**CORE**



## How can CORE help?

ological Unit for their help and support. We would also like to thank the anonymous viewers for their comments on this manuscript. This research was funded by the Wellcome Trust [Award no 2000368/Z/15/Z] and St John's College, Cambridge. For the purpose of open access the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

# RRS Post deposit

## Dashboard

- Review outputs with Rights Retention records found in your repository.
- Browse Rights Retention records and include it to your repository
- Improve your metadata quality

### Rights Retention Policy

The [Rights Retention Strategy](#) (RRS) enables authors to exercise the rights they have on their manuscripts to deposit a copy of the Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM) in a repository on publication and provide open access to it.

✓ **Outputs with RRS**  
within your repository collection

7.3%

REVIEW

2,345 outputs

RRS to review  
action needed

323 outputs

REVIEW

RRS found in other repositories  
which are not listed in your repository

323 outputs match

REVIEW

### Outputs with Rights Retention records found in your repository

In the list below you can review and approve all RRS.

APPROVE ALL

RRS	Status	OAI	Title	Publication date	
Found	Review	Correct	32263	Lorem ipsum	12.07.2021
Found	Review	Correct	32263	Lorem ipsum	12.07.2021
Found	Review	Wrong	32263	Lorem ipsum	12.07.2021
Found	Review		32263	Lorem ipsum	12.07.2021
Found	Review		32263	Lorem ipsum	12.07.2021

DOWNLOAD CSV

Proceedings of the 16th ACM/IEEE CS Joint Conference on Digital Libraries ACM, 2016

#### Semanometrics

Towards full-text-based research evaluation

Daphnia Hermonidou  
d.hermonidou@open.ac.uk  
d.hermonidou@gmail.com

Prof. Pratik Mhatre  
pmhatre@open.ac.uk  
pratikmhatre@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT**

The present work aims to provide a practical framework for developing user-centred evaluation methods that could go beyond the traditional evidence-based practice. This evidence is provided on the one hand by the wide availability of cross-repository and cross-institutional research outputs, and on the other hand by the increased importance of performance measures for the appraisal of research outputs. This article introduces a novel framework for evidence-based practice, based on the analysis of research outputs in terms of their content. The framework is based on the use of semantic analysis to extract metadata, and present a comprehensive view of the research outputs. The framework is based on the use of semantic analysis to extract metadata, and present a comprehensive view of the research outputs.

**Keywords**

Research Evaluation, Content Analysis, Text Mining

**INTRODUCTION**

The work was supported by the author contract no. 2016.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

The work was supported by the author contract no. 2016.

**2. INSTANT**

The work was supported by the author contract no. 2016.

**3. RESULTS**

The work was supported by the author contract no. 2016.

# RRS at deposit

Website

Make sure you  
have your  
license right!

DEMO

## Rights Retention Strategy statement identification demo

RRS checker provides an easy way to find Rights Retention Strategy statements in papers. You can test it by uploading a paper (PDF) below.

### Upload file

Drag and drop your file here

- OR -

Browse files

Supported formats: pdf, doc

Maximum size: 10MB



### Why it's important

A Rights Retention Statement is a declaration by an author that they retain certain copyright rights to their scholarly work, even when they sign a publication agreement with a journal publisher. This statement is often used to ensure that authors can comply with open access mandates from funding agencies, such as those under Plan S, which require that the research they fund be made freely available to the public. Under Plan S, the Rights Retention strategy is a significant aspect because it aims to ensure that authors retain copyright on their articles, even when they publish in subscription journals.

## Institution-wide RRS

Yes!

**YES!**

There's still need to support and validate it.

Cross-deposit rights retention

## What's next?

- Better integration with repository platforms.
- Usage monitoring
- Helping funders understanding their impact.

### Using the same technique also for:

- Data access statement.
- Software access statement.
- Funder acknowledgments.



**Thank you!**

Matteo Cancellieri  
[matteo.cancellieri@open.ac.uk](mailto:matteo.cancellieri@open.ac.uk)