



EGU24 Townhall - Information for Society: from CMIP to decision makers

Discussion topics from the first breakout session (Data Access) are prefixed with a (1). Discussion topics from the second breakout session (Use and User Needs) are prefixed with a (2). Scroll right to view more sections.

(1) How can data format reduce barriers to its access?

↻ **Precalculated indices in the ensemble**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **Good documentation including clear examples that can support user analysis.**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **Data stream - do calculations on screen but really done in the cloud.**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **Possibility of downloading different formats which cater to a variety of users**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **Regional information packages**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **Provided examples available**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **Cloud ZARR format**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **Datasets, not files**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **One possible barrier: ASCII <->NETCDF**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↻ **A tool for gridded and complex data to make calculations easy to program and**

computationally efficient (eg pandas, xarray)

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **Easy access and use**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **Index for sectors**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **Context specific data formats**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **For key metrics simplified outputs could be supplied in common format**

♡ 0 🗨 0

(1) Data access

⇨ **1. Copernicus, 2. Google, 3. Out of touch**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **1. Online, 2. Google, well-known groups, scientists 3. Difficult procedure, data protocol etc before downloading it**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **1. WCRP, CORDEX, websites of the data ESGF, DKRZ 2. WCRP, 3. Sometimes (CMIP) ESGF is not available for certain parameters (esp. wind)**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **1. Download + in house exploration of the results 2. Language coding 3. IT security rules**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **1. Publications/websites 2. Internet/conferences, meetings (+informal) 3. Format, access, traceability**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇨ **Moving data where I want to use it**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **A policy maker's view: Where: Web search, scientific search for parliament. How to find: Web search, through science advice. Barriers: Processing and understanding products**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **A scientist's view: Where: Papers, online or archive, download. How to find: libraries. Barriers: large data sizes.**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Barriers: Data volume/ processing capacity. Choosing correct products**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **A lot of data. How to know which to download if you have limited space and not sure which models are best.**

♡ 0 🗨 0

(1) How can documentation reduce barriers to accessing climate information?

↩ **Guidance of what product to use for which application**

♡ 0 🗨 4

cmipipo 4/15/24 5:34PM

Fit for purpose criteria? Particularly for those using output.

cmipipo 4/15/24 5:34PM

For example when use raw vs downscaled.

cmipipo 4/15/24 5:34PM

Tailored approach

cmipipo 4/15/24 5:35PM

Complexity of modelling makes this even more challenging.

↩ **Bias adjustment geographic area can be quite different from the region represented in historical modelling (when comparing with obs).**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Can be too much documentation to digest, there needs to be a hierarchy of documentation.**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Standardised and consistent model documentation.**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **Need documentation on how urban is represented in the GCM/ESM.**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **Impenetrable documentation e.g., hydrologists figuring out GCM data.**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **How are extreme events represented in the models?**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **Consistent terminology and clear definitions of jargon for interdisciplinary users**

♡ 0 🗲 0

(1) Data guidance

↩ **Hot model problem -- how to manage?**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **If we know that a higher resolution has a different result, is the low resolution model useless?**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **If a model (CMIP6) has large regional biases, how confident can we be in downscaled/regional models using this data?**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **Data availability (hourly) + friendly @ the met centre to help with interpretation**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **1 - Accessible, documented, 2 - depends on variable (e.g. MSLP vs TAS), 3 - see above**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **At what scale the data become useful? How accurate data needs to be for [illegible] useful?**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **climate sensitivity**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **number of available simulations to build a large/robust ensemble**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **representation of extreme events, GCM->RCM**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **How does bias-correction influence outputs**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **The difference of climate model simulations for future and weather forecasts**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **multi-parameter use cases (application), region, model performance**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **accessibility of data -- user friendly**

♡ 0 🗲 0

(2) What do you do when different sources of information lead you to different conclusions?

↩ **The importance of uncertainty**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **More transparency needed on reason for divergence, i.e. process understanding**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **Low-regret adaptation measures**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **Do our climate models explore the full range of possibilities?**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **How many GCMs should be downscaled and what are the uncertainties of GCMs?**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **Decisions might be the same even if data are significantly different (statistically)**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **Are all sources of information designed for the same question (eg model/system X might be more suitable than model Y to answer a specific question)?**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **User-case dependent**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **Use of storylines approaches**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **Fit for purpose > exclude non-applicable data sources**

♡ 0 🗲 0

(2) How can tools help when using climate information?

↔ **Something like cdsapi (python package) for CMIP data**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **Tools that enable simple data discovery without learning code language of meta data**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↔ **Tools that give a measure of "actionability"**

♡ 0 🗲 0

↩ **ChatGPT like consultant or co-pilot**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **A tool that lets you know what has been tried**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Tools providing provenance and track versions**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Model and scenario context reporting
when accessing and processing data**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **1. Python, Xarray 2. No easy access 3. Easy CMOR**

♡ 0 🗨 0

(2) How do you communicate your needs?

↩ **Turn climate model data into music to
get community engagement - music
resonated and then absorbed science**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Through data downloads or through events**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Word of mouth within experienced groups - if
lucky then get great advice but if not is challenging**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Started developing with one test user
and then rolled out when successful**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Co-production right from the start not
just reviewing existing information.**

♡ 0 🗨 0

↩ **Informed networks**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇒ **Some system to keep track of user requests for modellers.**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇒ **Local partnerships with intermediaries/knowledge brokers to facilitate co-production**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇒ **Involvement of social scientists in the co-production process - language, psychology, resilience etc**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇒ **Social media channels (Facebook for the old folds, Insta/Tik Tok for younger)**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇒ **Market research/focus groups - tried and tested way to gather user needs so why not for climate information?!**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇒ **Easy to read summaries for policy and decision makers**

♡ 0 🗨 0

⇒ **Tailoring products and fitness of purpose of products needs to be communicated.**

♡ 0 🗨 0

(2) Data guidance

⇒ **folks discussed instead of using post-its**

♡ 0 🗨 0

