## ChemComm



**View Article Online** 

## COMMUNICATION



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c5cc06856h

Received 14th August 2015, Accepted 13th October 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5cc06856h

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

## Phenylsilane as a safe, versatile alternative to hydrogen for the synthesis of actinide hydrides†

Justin K. Pagano,<sup>ab</sup> Jacquelyn M. Dorhout,<sup>ac</sup> Rory Waterman,<sup>b</sup> Kenneth R. Czerwinski<sup>c</sup> and Jaqueline L. Kiplinger\*<sup>a</sup>

The thorium and uranium dihydride dimer complexes  $[(C_5Me_5)_2-An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th, U) have been easily prepared using phenylsilane, which is an efficient and safer alternative to hydrogen gas. The synthetic utility of this new hydriding method has been demonstrated by the preparation of a variety of organometallic complexes, including, for the first time,  $(C_5Me_5)_2U(SMe)_2$ ,  $(C_5Me_5)_2Th(C_4Ph_4)$ ,  $(C_5Me_5)_2U(C_4Ph_4)$ ,  $(C_5Me_5)_2ThS_5$ , and  $(C_5Me_5)_2U(bipy)$  using  $[(C_5Me_5)_2An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th, U) as multi-electron reductants.

Compared to the hundreds of reported transition metal and lanthanide hydrides, there are only a limited number of thorium and uranium hydride complexes.<sup>1–14</sup> The bis(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl) complexes  $[(C_5Me_5)_2An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th (1), U (2)) were the first isolable organoactinide hydride complexes;<sup>12</sup> and since their introduction in 1982, they have displayed a palette of chemical reactivity such as the stoichiometric and catalytic hydrogenation of unsaturated substrates,<sup>9,12,15–17</sup> the catalytic dimerization of propylene,<sup>18,19</sup> coupling reactions,<sup>20–22</sup> and multi-electron reductions (following liberation of H<sub>2</sub>).<sup>13,14,23–25</sup>

The chemistry of actinide hydrides is still not well understood. One reason may be that the primary synthetic route for accessing actinide hydride complexes is the hydrogenolysis of alkyl precursors.<sup>26</sup> For example, the classic route to the dihydride dimer complexes **1** and **2** involves treating the known alkyl complexes  $(C_5Me_5)_2AnMe_2$  (An = Th (3), U (4)) with H<sub>2</sub>; the uranium derivative (2) must be stored under H<sub>2</sub> as it is prone to loss of H<sub>2</sub> and formation of  $[(C_5Me_5)_2U(\mu-H)]_2$  (5).<sup>12,27</sup> Having stable and easy-to-handle liquid surrogates for H<sub>2</sub> as hydriding reagents would be synthetically more

convenient, thereby enabling future progress in the field of actinide hydride chemistry. $^{28}$ 

Using the  $[(C_5Me_5)_2An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th (1), U (2)) systems as a platform, we now demonstrate that phenylsilane (PhSiH<sub>3</sub>) is a safe, versatile alternative to H<sub>2</sub> for the synthesis of actinide hydride complexes from the corresponding alkyl precursors. This operationally simple method gives rise to synthetically useful hydrides and importantly only produces volatile, easily separable byproducts. To further add to the scope of the reaction, the method was applied to the synthesis of a variety of thorium and uranium complexes – some for the first time – using 1 or 2 as multi-electron reductants.

As illustrated in eqn (1), quantitative conversion of  $(C_5Me_5)_2$ - $AnMe_2$  (An = Th (3), U (4)) into the corresponding dihydride dimer complexes  $[(C_5Me_5)_2An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th (1), U (2)) was conveniently achieved in 30 minutes by heating 3 and 4, respectively, with 5 equiv. of PhSiH<sub>3</sub> at 50 °C in toluene solution. When the reactions were monitored by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy in C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub> using an internal standard (Cp<sub>2</sub>Fe for Th; C<sub>6</sub>Me<sub>6</sub> for U), the complete loss of complexes 3  $(\delta_{\text{Th-Me}} = -0.19)^{12}$  and 4  $(\delta_{\text{U-Me}} = -124)$ ,<sup>12</sup> and the quantitative formation of PhMeSiH<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta_{\text{Si-H}} = q$ , 4.46)<sup>29</sup> and complexes 1 ( $\delta_{\text{Th-H}} = 19.43$ )<sup>12</sup> and 2 ( $\delta_{\text{U-H}} = -343$ ),<sup>12</sup> were easily confirmed by their respective diagnostic <sup>1</sup>H NMR An-Me, Si-H and An-H chemical shifts.<sup>12</sup> Interestingly, under these reaction conditions, the tetravalent hydrides  $[(C_5Me_5)_2An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th (1), U (2)) are the only species observed in solution with no evidence for the formation of the trivalent  $[(C_5Me_5)_2U(\mu-H)]_2$  (5)  $(\delta C_5Me_5 = -9.37)^{12}$  from 2. Presumably, this is due to the presence of the excess phenylsilane in the reaction mixture. If preferred, the dihydride complexes 1 and 2 can be isolated in 96% and 97% yields, respectively, by simply removing the volatiles from the reaction mixture.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Chemistry Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Mail Stop J514, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA. E-mail: kiplinger@lanl.gov; Fax: +1 505-667-9905; Tel: +1 505-665-9553

<sup>101. +1 505-005-9555</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Vermont, Cook Physical Sciences Building, Burlington, VT 05405, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada – Las Vegas,

<sup>4505</sup> S. Maryland Parkway, Box 454009, Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterization details, including modified preparations of 3 and 4. See DOI: 10.1039/c5cc06856h



Previously, Evans and co-workers reported that [(C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>An(H)- $(\mu$ -H)]<sub>2</sub> (An = Th (1), U (2)), prepared using the traditional H<sub>2</sub> hydriding route, function as multi-electron reductants. To confirm that the chemical integrity of 1 and 2 produced from phenylsilane is maintained, we surveyed their capacity to serve as multi-electron reductants with a wide range of substrates as outlined in Scheme 1. Importantly, control experiments showed that phenylsilane does not reduce any of the substrates used as reagents in Scheme 1. As a starting point, the reductive chemistry of complexes 1 and 2, generated in situ from phenylsilane, was examined with several diaryl and dialkyl dichalcogenides. The reaction of (C5Me5)2AnMe2 (An = Th (3), U (4)) with 5 equiv. of PhSiH<sub>3</sub> and 1 equiv. of PhEEPh (E = S, Se, Te) or MeSSMe at 50  $^{\circ}$ C in toluene afforded PhMeSiH<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and the known compounds  $(C_5Me_5)_2An(EPh)_2$  (An = Th, E = S (6); An = U, E = S (7); An = U, E = Se (8); An = U, E = Te (9)) and (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>U(SMe)<sub>2</sub> (10). Reaction times and temperatures varied between compounds 6-10, as did yields. However, this chemistry using the (C5Me5)2AnMe2/phenylsilane reduction combination provided comparable, and in some cases superior, yields to those previously reported for the syntheses of 6-10.13,23,24,30 Although complex 10 has not previously been prepared by hydride-based four-electron reduction chemistry, collectively, these results parallel the chemistry reported by Evans and co-workers for the hydride complexes 1, 2 and 5 prepared and isolated from the hydrogenolysis of  $(C_5Me_5)_2AnMe_2$  (An = Th (3), U (4)).<sup>13,23,24,30</sup>

Using this new hydriding method, we were interested in the ability of complexes 1 and 2 to serve as low-valent thorium and

uranium equivalents. We targeted four thorium and uranium molecules that have not been previously prepared from complexes 1 and 2:  $(C_5Me_5)_2An(C_4Ph_4)$  (An = Th (11), U (12)),  $(C_5Me_5)_2ThS_5$  (13) and  $(C_5Me_5)_2U$ (bipy) (14).

First, the coupling of PhC $\equiv$ CPh to  $(C_4Ph_4)^{2-}$  by complexes 1 and 2 was examined. Reaction of  $(C_5Me_5)_2AnMe_2$  (An = Th (3), U (4)) with 5 equiv. PhSiH<sub>3</sub> and 2 equiv. of PhC $\equiv$ CPh at 50 °C in toluene afforded PhMeSiH<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and the previously reported metallacylopentadiene complexes  $(C_5Me_5)_2An(C_4Ph_4)$  (An = Th (11), U (12)) in 68% and 61% isolated yields, respectively (Scheme 1). In these reactions, both complexes 1 and 2 are functioning as four-electron reductants. The formation of complexes 11 and 12 establishes that the (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>AnMe<sub>2</sub>/phenylsilane reduction combination provides an attractive synthetic strategy for accessing chemistry previously reported for very reactive low-valent uranium and thorium species. For example, the reductive coupling of  $PhC \equiv CPh$  to  $(C_4Ph_4)^{2-}$  to prepare complex 12 has been achieved in the past, but requires either sterically crowded complexes such as  $(C_5Me_5)_3U_1^{31}$  $[(C_5Me_5)_2U][(\mu-Ph)_2BPh_2]^{31}$  and  $[(C_5Me_5)_2U]_2(\mu-\eta^6:\eta^6-C_6H_6)^{31}$  (which are capable of transferring multiple electrons to a substrate), or the reaction of (C5Me5)2UCl2 combined with excess reducing equivalents provided by Na/Hg,<sup>27</sup> and KC<sub>8</sub>,<sup>27</sup> or salt metathesis between  $(C_5Me_5)_2UCl_2$  and 1,4-dilithiotetraphenylbutadiene.<sup>12</sup> Although complex 12 has been known for nearly 30 years, only very recently has the synthesis of the thorium analogue (11) been realized. Walter and co-workers reported that  $(C_5Me_5)_2Th(C_4Ph_4)$ (11) can be prepared from the reaction of  $(C_5Me_5)_2$ ThCl<sub>2</sub> and 2 equiv. of PhC  $\equiv$  CPh in the presence of 5 equiv. of KC<sub>8</sub>.<sup>32</sup>

The synthesis of complexes  $(C_5Me_5)_2$ ThS<sub>5</sub> (13) and  $(C_5Me_5)_2$ -U(bipy) (14) were initially reported by Ryan in 1986,<sup>33</sup> and Ephritikhine in 2005,<sup>34</sup> respectively. Complex 14 is unique as it contains a trivalent uranium metal center coordinated to a redox-active bipy ligand (bipy = 2,2'-bipyridine). Previously, it was found that reaction of  $(C_5Me_5)_2$ ThCl<sub>2</sub> with Li<sub>2</sub>S<sub>5</sub> formed the rare thorium pentasulfide complex 13; whereas, 14 was synthesized from the reaction of (C5Me5)2U(I)(bipy) with excess Na/Hg or KC8.34,35 We now demonstrate that complexes 13 and 14 can be easily prepared from the corresponding hydrides  $[(C_5Me_5)_2An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th (1), U (2)) (Scheme 1). For example, reaction of  $(C_5Me_5)_2$ ThMe<sub>2</sub> (3) with 5 equiv.  $PhSiH_3$  and 0.625 equiv. of  $S_8$  at 50  $^\circ C$  in toluene resulted in an immediate color change from red-orange to bright yellow, along with the formation of PhMeSiH<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and (C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub>ThS<sub>5</sub> (13) ( $\delta_{C_{-}Me_{-}}$  = 2.04)<sup>33</sup> in 80% isolated yield. Similarly, the reaction of 4 with 5 equiv. of PhSiH<sub>3</sub> and 2,2'-bipyridine at 50 °C in toluene for 15 h gave  $(C_5Me_5)_2U(bipy)$  (14) in 69% isolated yield after workup.<sup>34,35</sup>

In conclusion, we have shown that the tetravalent thorium and uranium hydrides  $[(C_5Me_5)_2An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th (1), U (2)) can be easily and efficiently prepared from the corresponding alkyl precursors ( $C_5Me_5$ )\_2AnMe<sub>2</sub> and PhSiH<sub>3</sub>. This new organosilane method obviates the need for H<sub>2</sub> gas or pyrophoric reductants (*e.g.*, sodium amalgam, potassium graphite, *etc.*) and provides a potent synthetic tool for preparing actinide hydride complexes. Using this approach, we have expanded the synthetic profile of the hydride complexes  $[(C_5Me_5)_2An(H)(\mu-H)]_2$  (An = Th, U) by using them to prepare, for the first time,  $(C_5Me_5)_2U(SMe)_2$ ,  $(C_5Me_5)_2Th(C_4Ph_4)$ ,  $(C_5Me_5)_2U(C_4Ph_4)$ ,  $(C_5Me_5)_2ThS_5$ , and  $(C_5Me_5)_2U(bipy)$ . Further studies examining the

generality of this chemistry, with other ligand frameworks and in other areas of the periodic table, are currently underway.

For financial support of this work, we acknowledge the U.S. Department of Energy through the Office of Workforce Development for Teachers and Scientists, Office of Science Graduate Student Research (SCGSR) program (GRA Fellowship to J. K. P.), the LANL LDRD Program and the LANL G. T. Seaborg Institute for Transactinium Science (GRA Fellowship to J. M. D.), and the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Heavy Element Chemistry program (J. L. K., materials & supplies). We also acknowledge the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (GRA fellowship to J. M. D. under grant 2012-DN-130-NF0001) and the U.S. National Science Foundation (grant CHE-1265608 to R. W.). Finally, we thank Dr Nicholas E. Travia (LANL) for performing preliminary experiments and Dr Louis A. Silks III (LANL) for helpful discussions. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security. The SCGSR program is administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education for the DOE (contract DE-AC05-06OR23100). Los Alamos National Laboratory is operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC, for the National Nuclear Security Administration of U.S. Department of Energy (contract DE-AC52-06NA25396).

## Notes and references

- 1 R. A. Andersen, A. Zalkin and D. H. Templeton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1981, 20, 622–623.
- 2 H. W. Turner, S. J. Simpson and R. A. Andersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 2782.
- 3 D. Baudry and M. Ephritikhine, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 311, 189-192.
- 4 D. Baudry and M. Ephritikhine, J. Organomet. Chem., 1988, 349, 123-130.
- 5 J.-C. Berthet, J.-F. Le Marechal and M. Ephritikhine, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 360–361.
- 6 J.-C. Berthet, J.-F. Le Marechal, M. Lance, M. Nierlich, J. Vigner and M. Ephritikhine, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 1573–1577.
- 7 J.-C. Berthet, C. Villiers, J.-F. Le Maréchal, B. Delavaux-Nicot, M. Lance, M. Nierlich, J. Vigner and M. Ephritikhine, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1992, 440, 53–65.
- 8 S. R. Daly, M. Ephritikhine and G. S. Girolami, *Polyhedron*, 2012, 33, 41–44.
- 9 M. Ephritikhine, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 2193-2242.

- 10 A. Herve, N. Garin, P. Thuery, M. Ephritikhine and J.-C. Berthet, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 6304–6306.
- 11 J.-F. Le Marechal, C. Villiers, P. Charpin, M. Lance, M. Nierlich, J. Vigner and M. Ephritikhine, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 308–310.
- 12 P. J. Fagan, J. M. Manriquez, E. A. Maatta, A. M. Seyam and T. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, **103**, 6650–6667.
- 13 W. J. Evans, K. A. Miller, S. A. Kozimor, J. W. Ziller, A. G. DiPasquale and A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 3568–3576.
- 14 C. L. Webster, J. W. Ziller and W. J. Evans, *Organometallics*, 2014, 33, 433–436.
- 15 A. K. Dash, J. Q. Wang and M. S. Eisen, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 4724-4741.
- 16 D. A. Katahira, K. G. Moloy and T. J. Marks, *Organometallics*, 1982, 1, 1723–1726.
- 17 K. G. Moloy and T. J. Marks, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1985, 110, 127-131.
- 18 T. Straub, A. Haskel and M. S. Eisen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 6364–6365.
- 19 J. Q. Wang and M. S. Eisen, J. Organomet. Chem., 2003, 670, 97-107.
- 20 E. Barnea, T. Andrea, J.-C. Berthet, M. Ephritikhine and M. S. Eisen, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 3103-3112.
- 21 E. Barnea, T. Andrea, M. Kapon, J.-C. Berthet, M. Ephritikhine and M. S. Eisen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 10860–10861.
- 22 J. X. Wang, A. K. Dash, J. C. Berthet, M. Ephritikhine and M. S. Eisen, J. Organomet. Chem., 2000, 610, 49–57.
- 23 W. J. Evans, E. Montalvo, S. A. Kozimor and K. A. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 12258–12259.
- 24 D. J. Grant, T. J. Stewart, R. Bau, K. A. Miller, S. A. Mason, M. Gutmann, G. J. McIntyre, L. Gagliardi and W. J. Evans, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 51, 3613–3624.
- 25 N. A. Siladke, C. L. Webster, J. R. Walensky, M. K. Takase, J. W. Ziller, D. J. Grant, L. Gagliardi and W. J. Evans, *Organometallics*, 2013, 32, 6522–6531.
- 26 J. W. Ward, W. Bartscher and J. Rebizant, *J. Less-Common Met.*, 1987, 130, 431–439.
- 27 P. J. Fagan, J. M. Manriquez, T. J. Marks, C. S. Day, S. H. Vollmer and V. W. Day, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 170–180.
- 28 G. S. Holmstedt, Combust. Flame, 1971, 17, 295-301.
- 29 S. Xu, Y. Magoon, R. R. Reinig, B. M. Schmidt, A. Ellern and A. D. Sadow, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 3508–3519.
- 30 W. J. Evans, K. A. Miller, J. W. Ziller, A. G. DiPasquale, K. J. Heroux and A. L. Rheingold, *Organometallics*, 2007, 26, 4287–4293.
- 31 W. J. Evans, S. A. Kozimor and J. W. Ziller, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 4681–4683.
- 32 B. Fang, G. Hou, G. Zi, D.-C. Fang and M. D. Walter, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 7927–7934.
- 33 D. A. Wrobleski, D. T. Cromer, J. V. Ortiz, T. B. Rauchfuss, R. R. Ryan and A. P. Sattelberger, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 174–175.
- 34 T. Mehdoui, J.-C. Berthet, P. Thuéry, L. Salmon, E. Rivière and M. Ephritikhine, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 6994–7006.
- 35 A. Mohammad, D. P. Cladis, W. P. Forrest, P. E. Fanwick and S. C. Bart, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 1671–1673.