

Questionnaire for the Survey on Functionally Similar Code Clones

Verena Käfer, Stefan Wagner (University of Stuttgart)
Rainer Koschke (University of Bremen)

Progress: 0%

We are a researcher team from the University of Stuttgart and the University of Bremen in Germany.

We are interested in code created by copy&paste, so-called "code clones". This survey will first give you a short introduction into code clones and especially into Functionally Similar Clones. We then would like to know if you have seen certain clones in your work and what you think about them.


The survey will take about 15 minutes and contains 29 questions.

We will make the responses openly available, but will not include any individual information by which you could be identified.

If you have any questions about this survey, please contact verena.kaefer@informatik.uni-stuttgart.de

Thank you for participating!

[Continue](#)



Progress: 3%

A code clone is a piece of code that is similar to another piece of code. In common use there are three types of clones.

Type 1: Similar code fragments except for variation in whitespace, layout and comments.

Type 2: Similar code fragments except for variation in identifiers, literals, types, whitespaces, layouts and comments.

Type 3: Similar code fragments except that some statements may be added or deleted in addition to variation in identifiers, literals, types, whitespaces, layouts or comments.

These clone types can easily be found. We want to investigate a new type of clone, so called "Functionally Similar Clones" (FSCs).

FSCs are two code fragments that provide a similar functionality but can be implemented quite differently.


```
/**
 * @param input
 * @return the reversed input string
 */
public String reverse(String input) {
    StringBuffer buffer = new StringBuffer(input.length());
    for (int i = input.length() - 1; i >= 0; i--) {
        buffer.append(input.charAt(i));
    }
    return buffer.toString();
}

/**
 * @param str
 * @return the reversed input string or null, if the input is null
 */
public static String reverse1(final String str) {
    if (str == null) {
        return null;
    }
    return new StringBuilder(str).reverse().toString();
}
```

The picture above shows an example of an FSC. Both developers wrote a function to reverse a string independently. The functionality is similar (both reverse strings) but not identical, as only one method does a null check.

While this is only a small sample, FSCs can be much bigger. They can include methods or even classes. Nevertheless, if the sample is too small there is no need to handle it as a clone.

[Back](#) [Continue](#)



Please state whether you agree or disagree with the following statements:

	Disagree	Agree	No answer
I have known that FSCs exist before this study.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
I have known the term "FSC" before this study.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
I have experienced FSCs before.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
I have created FSCs on purpose.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
I have created FSCs by accident.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
I have experienced problems that were caused by an FSC.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
I have experienced problems after removing an FSC.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please elaborate on the given answers, if you would like to add something.

Back

Continue

In the following we will present some code fragments. Please state if you would refactor these fragments to merge them into one fragment. We would also like to know for which reasons you would like a tool to show you this clone (if at all).

Back

Continue

Would you refactor these methods to merge them into one method?

```

public static class StringHandling {
    /**
     * @param input
     * @return the first letter of the input string
     */
    public static String getFirstLetter(String input) {
        return String.valueOf(input.charAt(0));
    }

    /**
     * @param s1
     * @param s2
     * @return the sum of the strings' integer values
     */
    public static int sumOfStrings(String s1, String s2) {
        return Integer.valueOf(s1) + Integer.valueOf(s2);
    }
}

public class StringHandler {
    /**
     * @param input
     * @return the first letter of the input as String
     */
    public String getFirstCharacter(String input) {
        return Character.toString(input.charAt(0));
    }

    /**
     * @param s1
     * @param s2
     * @return the sum of the input strings
     */
    public int sum(String s1, String s2) {
        return Integer.parseInt(s1) + Integer.parseInt(s2);
    }

    /**
     * @param s
     * @return the value of the input multiplied by -1
     */
    public int negativeValueOfString(String s) {
        return Integer.parseInt(s) * -1;
    }
}
    
```

I would refactor these fragments. No Probably not It depends Probably yes Yes No answer

Please explain why or why not and in which context.

I would like to see this clone in a tool because...

	Very unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very likely	No answer
...I want to get rid of one of the code fragments.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to trace changes.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
... I want to improve the maintainability.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to prevent bugs.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Would you refactor these methods to merge them into one method?

```

/**
 * @param input
 * @return the reversed input string
 */
public String reverse(String input) {

    StringBuffer buffer = new StringBuffer(input.length());
    for (int i = input.length() - 1; i >= 0; i--) {
        buffer.append(input.charAt(i));
    }
    return buffer.toString();
}

/**
 * @param str
 * @return the reversed input string or null, if the input is null
 */
public static String reverse1(final String str) {
    if (str == null) {
        return null;
    }
    return new StringBuilder(str).reverse().toString();
}
    
```

I would refactor these fragments.

No	Probably not	It depends	Probably yes	Yes	No answer
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please explain why or why not and in which context.

I would like to see this clone in a tool because...

	Very unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very likely	No answer
...I want to get rid of one of the code fragments.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to trace changes.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to improve the maintainability.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to prevent bugs.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Back Continue

Would you refactor these methods to merge them into one method?

```
public static double calculateDistance2D(Point p1, Point p2) {
    int xDiff = p2.x - p1.x;
    int yDiff = p2.y - p1.y;
    int sum = xDiff ^ 2 + yDiff ^ 2;
    return Math.sqrt(sum);
}

public static double calculateDistance3D(Point p1, Point p2) {
    int xDiff = p2.x - p1.x;
    int yDiff = p2.y - p1.y;
    int zDiff = p2.z - p1.z;
    int sum = xDiff ^ 2 + yDiff ^ 2 + zDiff ^ 2;
    return Math.sqrt(sum);
}
```

	No	Probably not	It depends	Probably yes	Yes	No answer
I would refactor these fragments.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please explain why or why not and in which context.

I would like to see this clone in a tool because...

	Very unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very likely	No answer
...I want to get rid of one of the code fragments.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to trace changes.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
... I want to improve the maintainability.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to prevent bugs.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Would you refactor these methods to merge them into one method?

```
/**
 * @param intArr
 * @return sorts the input array using bubble sort
 */
public int[] bubbleSort(int[] intArr) {
    int k;
    for (int i = 0; i < intArr.length - 1; i++) {
        if (intArr[i] < intArr[i + 1]) {
            continue;
        }
        k = intArr[i];
        intArr[i] = intArr[i + 1];
        intArr[i + 1] = k;
        bubbleSort(intArr);
    }
    return intArr;
}

/**
 * @param intArr
 * @return sorts the given array using insertion sort
 */
public int[] insertSort(int[] intArr) {
    int k;
    for (int i = 0; i < intArr.length; i++) {
        for (int j = intArr.length - 1; j > 0; j--) {
            if (intArr[j - 1] > intArr[j]) {
                k = intArr[j];
                intArr[j] = intArr[j - 1];
                intArr[j - 1] = k;
            }
        }
    }
    return intArr;
}
```

I would refactor these fragments. No Probably not It depends Probably yes Yes No answer

Please explain why or why not and in which context.

I would like to see this clone in a tool because...

	Very unlikely	Unlikely	Likely	Very likely	No answer
...I want to get rid of one of the code fragments.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to trace changes.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
... I want to improve the maintainability.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
...I want to prevent bugs.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="text"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



Progress:

Now, we would like to know more details about your experiences with FSCs.



How old are you?

What is your gender?

- Male
- Female
- Other

Where are you from?

- Germany
- Austria
- Switzerland
- USA
- Other

What is your level of programming experience?

- < 1 year
- 2-5 years
- 6-10 year
- > 10 years

What are your main programming languages?

- JavaScript
- Java
- Python
- Ruby
- C++
- C#
- C
- Go
- Other
- Other

FSCs are a problem in my project.

What kind of software are you developing?

[Back](#) [Continue](#)

Thank you for your answers so far. We will now ask some demographic questions.

[Back](#) [Continue](#)

Progress: 97%

Thank you very much for your participation!
Is there anything else you would like to tell us?

Back

Continue

receptor



Published under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).