Point Cloud to BIM Using Python Codes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Slávek Zbirovský^{*1} and Václav Nežerka¹

¹Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Department of Physics Thákurova 7.166 29 Prague 6, Czech Republic *Corresponding author: slavek.zbirovsky@fsv.cvut.cz

13th May 2024

Abstract

The construction industry has witnessed a significant development in digitalization, leading to the emergence of Construction 4.0. This term refers to integrating modern digital technologies to enhance project efficiency, quality, safety, and sus-10 tainability. In light of the growing emphasis on ecological responsibility, there is an 11 increasing interest in revitalising ageing structures to meet the current sustainabil-12 ity and energy efficiency standards. Proposed solutions to the challenges of ageing 13 infrastructure include renovation, modernization, and deconstruction with material 14 recycling. Nevertheless, the lack of adequate documentation of existing buildings 15 represents a significant obstacle. The utilization of point cloud technology through 16 laser scanning or digital photogrammetry provides a solution, facilitating the cre-17 ation of accurate three-dimensional models. While scan-to-BIM processes are tradi-18 tionally lengthy due to the manual work of people, semi-automatic and automatic 19 segmentation solutions are emerging. These solutions aim to streamline the conver-20 sion of point clouds to Building Information Models (BIM), improving efficiency and 21 accuracy in the modelling process. This research presents an open-source method 22 for classifying, segmenting, and reconstructing fully volumetric 3D models from the 23 point cloud into Industry foundation classes (IFC). The openBIM format ensures 24 that the data can be used in various software applications. 25

Keywords: Scan-to-BIM, Point cloud processing, Segmentation, Python, IFC 26

1. Introduction

A point cloud dataset is a three-dimensional representation of a scanned object, generated 28 using technologies such as laser scanning (LiDAR), photogrammetry, or similar methods. 29 These geodetic surveys capture the surface of the scanned object by creating a dense 30 network of points, providing detailed spatial information. Point cloud technology finds 31 diverse applications across various fields such as architecture, engineering, construction, 32 and archaeology. Its ability to accurately capture and represent real-world objects makes 33 it an invaluable digital preservation, modelling, and analysis tool. However, the high 34 precision of the collected data comes with significant demands on 3D modelling software 35 to handle these large datasets effectively. For this reason, point cloud data is often 36 converted into 3D parametric models, which facilitate the manipulation of the attributes 37 of individual parts. During this process, point clouds and building elements such as slabs, 38 walls, windows, and doors are overlaid in the modelling software close to one another. This 39 process is often referred to as scan-to-BIM, and in recent years, it has been conducted 40 primarily through manual modeling. 41

27

However, manual modelling processes are time-consuming and labor-intensive, requir-42 ing skilled personnel to interpret and convert the point cloud data accurately. Auto-43 mated methods for Point Cloud to Building Information Modeling conversion have been 44 developed to streamline and expedite this process. Automated conversion reduces the 45 time and effort required and enhances the accuracy and consistency of the resulting BIM 46 models. These automated methods utilize algorithms and software tools to interpret the 47 point cloud data and generate parametric BIM models directly from the scanned data. 48 Automating the conversion process helps overcome the challenges associated with manual 49 modelling, making the creation of BIM models from point cloud data more efficient and 50 accessible. 51



Figure 1: Point cloud cropped from the large dataset for algorithm testing (Zbirovský, 2024).



Figure 2: Program flowchart illustrating the algorithm for converting unorganized point clouds into a digital model of a building. The flowchart depicts the code implementation's inputs, outputs, and sequential steps.

2. Methods

The process can be divided into the following steps: (i) slab segmentation, (ii) floor segmentation, (iii) wall segmentation, and (iv) opening detection. Furthermore, a flowchart detailing the code implementation is presented in Figure 2 to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the algorithm.



Figure 3: Point cloud cross-section (on the left). A z-coordinate histogram of point cloud data (on the right) (Zbirovský, 2024).

2.1 Slabs

The segmentation of the floor slabs is the first and most logical step, which is also carried 58 out in the normal manual scan-to-BIM process. The segmentation will divide the building 59 into smaller horizontal units that can be analyzed in more detail. The prerequisite for 60 segmentation is that the number of points in the horizontal planes greatly exceeds the 61 number of other points. Figure 3 shows this phenomenon in the height histogram for 62 one floor with corresponding point cloud cross-section. Elevation planes that meet a 63 point quantity condition, set by a threshold defined as a percentage of the maximum, are 64 extracted and paired with their opposing surfaces based on their surface index. These 65 elevation planes establish parameters defining the ceiling slab and its vertical position. A 66 two-dimensional point cloud is generated by merging surfaces and eliminating redundant 67 coordinates. This point cloud is subsequently analysed to obtain a polygon defining the 68 floor plan shape of the slab. 69

Compared to the first version dedicated to the ceiling slabs (Zbirovský & Nežerka, 70 2024), the algorithm to obtain the polygon is optimized due to the high computation 71 cost. The analysis is now performed on the binarized image of the floor plan. The largest 72 contour is extracted, representing the slab's floor plan polygon. To reduce the number of 73

points that represent the resulting polygon, the perimeter contour is smoothed using the 74 Douglas-Peuckert function it takes the x- and y-coordinates of the contour, along with a 75 parameter epsilon, which determines the maximum distance from the approximated line 76 for a point to be considered on that line. The function returns the smoothed x- and y-77 coordinates of the contour, and the smoothed contour itself is represented as an array. The 78 epsilon parameter is critical in determining the level of simplification applied to a curve. 79 Epsilon controls the trade-off between retaining detail and achieving a more generalized 80 representation by specifying the maximum allowable distance between the original and 81 simplified curves. A smaller epsilon value results in a simplified curve closely following 82 the original, while a larger epsilon value produces a more generalized, simplified curve. 83

2.2 Walls

In recent years, two distinct approaches have emerged for the classification and segmentation of walls: (i) The "Room-based" approach emphasizes the geometry of the room and identifies uniform surrounding surfaces with a preset thickness (Mura et al., 2016; Tran et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019). In contrast, (ii) the "Volumetric-based" approach focuses on individual structural elements that volumetrically define the junction of opposing surfaces (Bassier & Vergauwen, 2020; Martens & Blankenbach, 2023; Ochmann et al., 2019). For BIM applications, the volumetric approach is preferred.

Simplifying assumptions are often employed for modeling walls. One of the most 92 well-known is the "Manhattan world" assumption, which allows for searching for walls 93 in only two main directions, typically along the vertical and horizontal axes. Algorithms 94 using this assumption are highly robust but are also significantly limited in terms of the 95 complexity of the scenes they can accurately represent. However, within the scope of this 96 work, it was possible to avoid this simplifying condition. Conversely, a constraint that had 97 to be accepted limited the geometry of the walls to only flat ones with constant thickness. 98 This condition is sometimes called the "Atalanta world" assumption, where scenes can be 99 described by vertical and horizontal planes in 3D. Importantly, this assumption doesn't 100 demand that vertical planes be perpendicular to each other, offering greater flexibility in 101 scene representation (Pintore et al., 2020). 102

The first step in wall detection is to prepare the data. The analysis is performed on each 103 floor within a height range of 90–100%. Points within this height range are projected onto 104 the x-y plane, and a binarized mask is created based on these points. Clear boundaries 105 are formed where walls intersect the ceiling structure, as there are no points in these 106 areas that correspond to the surfaces of the walls. The '*cv2.findContours*' function is 107 then applied to this binary image to identify contours, where the white area represents 108 objects or regions of interest. These contours are then extracted to line segments. In 109 the next step, these line segments are connected if they are collinear and merged into 110 groups if they are parallel. The last step is to find an axis and define a start and end 111 point. The axis position should minimize the total distance from the line segments, thus 112



Figure 4: Walls (colored) segmented from point cloud (green), represented with their axes (Zbirovský, 2024).

accurately representing the group's alignment. Figure 4 displays the final results from the 113 wall segmentation function.

2.3 Openings

Several methods have emerged over the years for the detection of openings. For doors, 116 solutions have been divided into histogram-based solutions (Chen, 2022; Mahmoud et 117 al., 2024) and solutions utilizing scanner trajectory (Nikoohemat et al., 2018). However, 118 each method has its drawbacks. The density-based analysis method is highly sensitive 119 to fluctuations caused, for example, by furniture covering the wall's surface. The second 120 method, based on trajectory, is highly restrictive regarding the data collection technology. 121

The histogram-based approach is complemented by heuristic rules for identifying door 122 and window openings. These rules include, for example, (i) the position of the sill to distinguish between window and door openings, (ii) the aspect ratio of the assessed opening, 124 and (iii) the maximum and minimum width of the opening. One limitation of the output 125 generated by this type of analysis is the shape, which is restricted to rectangular open-126 ings. Figure 5 depicts a side view of the exterior wall that has been evaluated. Histograms 127 are displayed to validate the accuracy of the operations. These histograms represent the 128 density of the point cloud and the set threshold values (indicated by dashed lines). 129



Figure 5: Detection of wall openings using point density analysis. Histograms of point cloud density along the z-coordinate (top left) and x-coordinate (bottom right), along with a side view of the evaluated wall point cloud (bottom left) showing the detected window opening (highlighted in blue) (Zbirovský, 2024).



Figure 6: Main IFC classes used to create a geometric representation of the wall (Zbirovský, 2024).

2.4 Geometry reconstruction into IFC

The industry foundation classes file format was developed and is primarily intended for 131 data transfer between the various participants in the BIM process. The building inform-132 ation model and its associated information are shared during this data transfer. The use 133 of IFC as the end format after transferring the point cloud to the BIM model is not a new 134 concept; a similar principle was implemented by Martens & Blankenbach (2023), whose 135 solution involves the reconstruction of slabs, floors, and walls.

130

The output in the IFC 4 ADD2 TC1 format was generated using the IfcOpenShell ¹³⁷ library (IfcOpenShell, 2023) within a Python script. This step enabled the definition ¹³⁸ of all necessary attributes of individual IFC classes. The DesignTransferView_V1.0 was ¹³⁹ selected as the Model View Definition (MVD) to allow for potential further editing within ¹⁴⁰ BIM software. This choice ensured compatibility and facilitated seamless integration with ¹⁴¹ BIM platforms such as ArchiCAD, Revit, and Allplan. ¹⁴²

A spatial hierarchy has been established to facilitate the straightforward inclusion of 143 building elements into their respective locations. This hierarchical structure reflects the 144 project's common organization. In each IFC file, precisely one instance of '*IfcProject*' or 145 another '*IfcContext*', such as '*IfcProjectLibrary*', must be defined. All other data within 146 the file is then linked to this primary IFC class. '*IfcProject*' defines crucial attributes 147 such as unit definitions, project name, project phase, and more. 148

In IFC, building elements are represented by specific entity classes. For instance, 149 the 'IfcSlab' class represents ceiling slabs. The geometric representation of a ceiling 150 slab is achieved through a swept solid approach. The slab's perimeter is defined by an 151 'IfcArbitraryClosedProfileDef', typically a polyline. This profile is then extruded along a 152 specified axis using the 'IfcExtrudedAreaSolid' entity. Furthermore, parameters such as 153 extrusion depth and local placement within the building are also defined. 154

Similarly, walls are represented by the 'IfcWall' class. Their geometry, defined by start 155 and end points using the 'IfcCartesianPoint' class, is extruded using the 'IfcArbitraryC- 156



Figure 7: Main IFC classes used to create a geometric representation of opening. (Zbirovský, 2024).

losedProfileDef ['] and [']*IfcExtrudedAreaSolid* ['] classes. Openings in walls are represented by ¹⁵⁷ the [']*IfcOpening* ['] class, related to the parent wall through the [']*IfcRelVoidsElement* ['] relationship. The [']*IfcAxis2Placement3D* ['] class defines the opening location, and its geometric ¹⁵⁹ representation is created using the swept solid technique with the [']*IfcExtrudedAreaSolid* ['] 160 class. Figure 6 illustrates the main IFC classes used for the geometric representation of ¹⁶¹ walls, while Figure 7 depicts the main IFC classes used for the geometric representation ¹⁶² of openings (Zbirovský, 2024). ¹⁶³

3. Results

The process of converting a digital 3D scan into a 3D model was demonstrated on a slice 165 of data from a real building. The Hotel Opatov in Prague was undergoing renovation and 166 conversion to residential housing, and the building was scanned as part of the construction. 167 The point cloud was cropped to one room from one floor (Figure 1). The preparation 168 of the point cloud data involved noise removal and subsampling. Subsampling, which 169 reduces the number of points to enhance workflow efficiency, was performed using the 170 CloudCompare program. A minimum point distance mode was employed, effectively re- 171 ducing the number of points from the original 5,008,195 to 245,544 points. This reduction 172 was crucial for streamlining the subsequent processes. While the program has demon-173 strated its effectiveness with large data packages (65 million points), it was important 174 to determine the limit at which segmentation becomes impossible due to excessive dilu-175 tion of the point cloud. Therefore, the subsampling parameter was set to 0.1 meters to 176 test this threshold. The following figures present visual representations of this study's 177 findings. Figure 8 showcases the precision and clarity achieved through the developed 178 methodologies by displaying the generated floor plan of the tested room in ArchiCAD 26. 179 Figure 9 presents the program's output for the same room, visualized in ArchiCAD 26's 180 3D view. These visuals demonstrate the software's practical applicability and confirm its 181 effectiveness in producing detailed and accurate BIM models. 182

9



Figure 8: Generated floor plan of the testing room displayed in ArchiCAD 26 (Zbirovský, 2024).



Figure 9: Program output of testing room displayed in ArchiCAD 26 (Zbirovský, 2024).

4. Conclusion

The presented software solution converts point cloud data into a 3D parametric BIM 184 model. The solution includes an algorithm for the segmentation and classification of 185 structural components: (i) Slabs, (ii) Walls, (iii) Door openings, and (iv) Window open-186 ings. The software's design is unique due to its complexity and ability to convert a 187 multi-story, unorganized point cloud into a meaningful 3D building model. The software 188 is based on the open-source programming language Python and other feature packages 189 available for this tool. Complete source code is available at Github repository.

The program outputs data in the IFC file format, which can be opened in any 191 OpenBIM modeling software. Leveraging the geometric primitives and complex shapes 192 supported by the DesignTransferView_V1.0 schema, users can easily modify the resulting 193 IFC files within their modeling software, ensuring seamless integration and collaboration. 194

In future work, it would be beneficial to implement a solution to the issue of walls 195 that are only scanned from one side. This issue is particularly prevalent in the case of 196 exterior walls when a digital scan is only taken from within the building, or when certain 197 rooms are not accessible during the scanning process. 198

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by the European Union under the project ROBOPROX 200 (no. CZ.02.01.01/00/22_008/0004590), by the European Union's Horizon Europe Frame- 201 work Programme (call HORIZON-CL4-2021-TWIN-TRANSITION-01-11) under grant 202 agreement No. 101058580, project RECONMATIC (Automated solutions for sustain- 203 able and circular construction and demolition waste management), and the Czech Tech- 204 nical University in Prague, grant agreement No. SGS24/003/OHK1/1T/11 (Application 205 of state-of-the-art technologies for enhancing sustainability of the construction sector). 206

References

- Bassier, M., & Vergauwen, M. (2020). Topology reconstruction of bim wall objects from 208 point cloud data. *Remote Sensing*, 12(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs12111800 209
- Chen, Z. (2022). From point cloud to as-built bim: Automated detection of openings in 210 existing buildings. *Proceedings of 33. Forum Bauinformatik*. 211
- IfcOpenShell. (2023). Ifcopenshell 0.7.0 documentation, python bindings, utility functions, 212 and high-level api for ifcopenshell. Retrieved July 6, 2023, from www.pypi.org/ 213 project/ifcopenshell 214

207

- Mahmoud, M., Chen, W., Yang, Y., & Li, Y. (2024). Automated bim generation for 215
 large-scale indoor complex environments based on deep learning. Automation in 216
 Construction, 162, 105376. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon. 217
 2024.105376
- Martens, J., & Blankenbach, J. (2023). Vox2bim+-a fast and robust approach for auto-219 mated indoor point cloud segmentation and building model generation. PFG-220 Journal of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Geoinformation Science, 91(4), 221 273-294. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s41064-023-00243-1 222
- Mura, C., Mattausch, O., & Pajarola, R. (2016). Piecewise-planar reconstruction of multiroom interiors with arbitrary wall arrangements. Computer Graphics Forum, 35(7), 224
 179–188. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.13015
 225
- Nikoohemat, S., Peter, M., Oude Elberink, S., & Vosselman, G. (2018). Semantic inter pretation of mobile laser scanner point clouds in indoor scenes using trajectories.
 Remote Sensing, 10(11). https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10111754
- Ochmann, S., Vock, R., & Klein, R. (2019). Automatic reconstruction of fully volumetric 229
 3d building models from oriented point clouds. *ISPRS journal of photogrammetry* 230
 and remote sensing, 151, 251–262. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j. 231
 isprsjprs.2019.03.017
- Pintore, G., Mura, C., Ganovelli, F., Fuentes-Perez, L., Pajarola, R., & Gobbetti, E. 233 (2020). State-of-the-art in automatic 3d reconstruction of structured indoor en- 234 vironments. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 39(2), 667–699. https://doi.org/https: 235 //doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14021
- Tran, H., Khoshelham, K., & Kealy, A. (2019). Geometric comparison and quality evaluation of 3d models of indoor environments. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and* 238 *Remote Sensing*, 149, 29–39. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs. 239 2019.01.012
- Yang, F., Zhou, G., Su, F., Zuo, X., Tang, L., Liang, Y., Zhu, H., & Li, L. (2019). 241
 Automatic indoor reconstruction from point clouds in multi-room environments 242
 with curved walls. Sensors, 19(17), 3798. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10. 243
 3390/s19173798
- Zbirovský, S., & Nežerka, V. (2024). Transforming point cloud data into 3d bim model: 245 A case study on slabs [Preprint]. Acta Polytechnica CTU Proceedings. 246
- Zbirovský, S. (2024). From point cloud to digital twin: Streamlining bim design automation 247 [Master's thesis, České vysoké učení technické v Praze.]. https://dspace.cvut.cz/ 248 handle/10467/113868 249