



## Editorial

## Remote sensing of inland waters: Challenges, progress and future directions

Stephanie C.J. Palmer<sup>a</sup>, Tiit Kutser<sup>b,\*</sup>, Peter D. Hunter<sup>c</sup>

*Balaton Limnological Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences Centre for Ecological Research, Klebelsberg K. u. 3, Tihany 8237, Hungary*  
*Estonian Marine Institute, University of Tartu, Mäealuse 14, Tallinn 12618, Estonia*  
*Biological and Environmental Sciences, School of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, UK*

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 9 September 2014  
 Received in revised form 12 September 2014  
 Accepted 13 September 2014  
 Available online 12 October 2014

## Keywords:

Inland waters  
 Freshwater  
 Optical  
 Multispectral  
 Hyperspectral  
 Inherent optical properties  
 Habitat  
 Ecosystem  
 Monitoring  
 Lake  
 Reservoir  
 River  
 Earth observation  
 Remote sensing

## ABSTRACT

Monitoring and understanding the physical, chemical and biological status of global inland waters are immensely important to scientists and policy makers alike. Whereas conventional monitoring approaches tend to be limited in terms of spatial coverage and temporal frequency, remote sensing has the potential to provide an invaluable complementary source of data at local to global scales. Furthermore, as sensors, methodologies, data availability and the network of researchers and engaged stakeholders in this field develop, increasingly widespread use of remote sensing for operational monitoring of inland waters can be envisaged. This special issue on Remote Sensing of Inland Waters comprises 16 articles on freshwater ecosystems around the world ranging from lakes and reservoirs to river systems using optical data from a range of in situ instruments as well as airborne and satellite platforms. The papers variably focus on the retrieval of in-water optical and biogeochemical parameters as well as information on the biophysical properties of shoreline and benthic vegetation. Methodological advances include refined approaches to adjacency correction, inversion-based retrieval models and in situ inherent optical property measurements in highly turbid waters. Remote sensing data are used to evaluate models and theories of environmental drivers of change in a number of different aquatic ecosystems. The range of contributions to the special issue highlights not only the sophistication of methods and the diversity of applications currently being developed, but also the growing international community active in this field. In this introductory paper we briefly highlight the progress that the community has made over recent decades as well as the challenges that remain. It is argued that the operational use of remote sensing for inland water monitoring is a realistic ambition if we can continue to build on these recent achievements.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

In addition to providing water resources for various human uses (Postel, 2000), inland waters provide important and diverse habitat and ecosystem services, supporting high levels of biodiversity (Brönmark & Hansson, 2002; Duker & Bore, 2001). They are important components of global carbon and nutrient cycles (Bastviken, Tranvik, Downing, Crill, & Enrich-Prast, 2011; Tranvik et al., 2009). However, like many other ecosystems, lakes and rivers are threatened by the synergistic effects of multiple, co-occurring environmental pressures, notably nutrient enrichment and other organic and inorganic pollution, climate change, acidification, the establishment and spread of invasive species, and the diversion or extraction of upstream source waters (Brönmark & Hansson, 2002; Dudgeon et al., 2006). Their importance, as well as their sensitivity to and capacity to reflect climate, land use and other environmental change, has garnered inland waters increasing attention over recent years. The assessment and monitoring of lakes and

ivers are crucial to our ability to understand and disentangle the effects of environmental change on freshwater ecosystems and to model future change. There is also an increasing regulatory need to increase the coverage and frequency of freshwater monitoring, arising from legislation such as the European Union's Water Framework Directive for example. There are, however, more than 117 million lakes on Earth (Verpoorter, Kutser, Seekel, & Tranvik, 2014) and only a very small proportion of these are regularly and consistently monitored. Conventionally, in situ monitoring is limited in terms of spatial coverage and representativeness, as well as frequency for many sites, and is simply non-existent in a great many others.

Remote sensing has long been recognised as having the potential to complement conventional approaches to lake monitoring (Bukata, 2013 and references therein). Indeed, research on the remote sensing of inland waters has been undertaken for almost as many years as that in ocean colour science, but whereas satellite observations are used operationally to measure ocean colour, their use for monitoring inland waters has made less progress. Inland water remote sensing has faced, and continues to face, many challenges not only in terms of the science underpinning the retrieval of physical and biogeochemical properties

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +372 6718947; fax: +372 6718900.  
 E-mail address: [tiit.kutser@ut.ee](mailto:tiit.kutser@ut.ee) (T. Kutser).

over what are typically highly optically complex waters, but it has also suffered from the lack of funding, infrastructure and the mechanisms needed to coordinate research efforts across what has been historically a rather fragmented community.

### 1.1. Challenges: past and present

The ocean colour sensors that have supported much of the research and development in marine remote sensing have or had coarse spatial resolutions that make them unsuitable for remote sensing applications over most rivers, lakes and reservoirs. This has meant that the inland water community has often had to make use of data from satellite sensors with higher spatial resolutions designed primarily for land applications, such as the Landsat series. However, while these sensors have adequate spatial resolutions for many lakes, their spectral coverage and resolution, as well as their radiometric sensitivity, are not optimal for many applications over inland waters (e.g., phytoplankton pigment or coloured dissolved organic matter (CDOM) retrieval).

The optical complexity of inland waters, atmospheric correction issues, adjacency effects and some other unresolved problems add additional challenges to inland water remote sensing compared to ocean colour remote sensing. The optical complexity of inland waters stems from the fact that these waters are typically characterised by high concentrations of phytoplankton biomass (typically on the order of between 1 and 100 mg m<sup>-3</sup> chlorophyll-a (chl-a), and up to 350 mg m<sup>-3</sup> (Gitelson et al., 1993) or higher, especially under “algal scum” conditions (Quibell, 1992)), mineral particles, detritus and CDOM that typically do not co-vary over space and time. Moreover, their optical properties are highly variable between and even within water bodies. These issues have complicated the development of algorithms for inland waters and typically limit their applicability between different water bodies. The continentality of the atmosphere over inland waters and their proximity to the land surface also introduce additional difficulties for atmospheric and adjacency correction procedures and this further impacts the performance of in-water algorithms.

Marine remote sensing research has benefitted from significant investment from space agencies and international funding organisations (e.g., the European Commission (EC)). This funding has supported large, multinational projects on the development and validation of satellite ocean colour products. In contrast, inland water remote sensing has historically been considered mainly a local, national or perhaps regional concern and as such has often fallen between the gaps between funding agencies. The inland water community is smaller in number, more fragmented and less well funded than the ocean colour community, particularly when one considers the number and complexity of the challenges currently faced. Most inland water remote sensing groups are comprised of a small number of scientists and students and historically there has been a lack of coordination and collaboration among these groups at the national or international level. In marine remote sensing, organisations such as the International Ocean-Colour Coordinating Group (IOCCG) fulfil a strategic role in establishing research agendas and coordinating community-wide activities, but until recently the inland water community has had limited representation within such organisations.

The fragmented nature of the inland water remote sensing community and funding has consequently impeded the exchange of skills and expertise across the community and made it more challenging to facilitate shared use of in situ data and other resources necessary to address some of the key challenges and push the science forward. The development and validation of atmospheric and in-water models for optically-complex waters can only be properly advanced through rigorous testing and refinement of candidate algorithms across the full spectrum of optical water types. However, many groups currently only have access to in situ data from a limited range of lakes, and thus validation studies are often biased towards certain optical water types. More comprehensive validation studies can only realistically be achieved through close

collaboration and the open exchange of data between international research groups. This argument can be extended to include access to infrastructure, such as fixed moorings for in situ radiometers (e.g., the AERONET-ocean colour (-OC) stations) to support the vicarious calibration and atmospheric correction of satellite data. Currently, there is only a single AERONET-OC station on a lake (Lake Vanern, Sweden), an obvious constraint for atmospheric correction studies over inland waters.

Downing (2014) highlights the isolationism that has existed between limnologists and oceanographers. This extends to the Earth observation community (Bukata, 2013) where historically there has been a notable lack of collaboration between ocean colour and inland water remote sensing scientists. This is, at least in part, a consequence of the nature of research funding, but has limited the exchange of skills and expertise between the two communities. In the last decade or so, some ocean colour scientists have extended their interests from the oceans through the coastal zone to the more optically-complex waters found inland, and in doing so have discovered some methods relatively new to ocean remote sensing which were actually used in inland water remote sensing decades ago (detailed in Bukata, 2013). Unfortunately, a large amount of valuable inland water remote sensing research has also been rather overlooked because it was published in the pre-digital era, and many interesting studies were only published in the grey literature (conference proceedings, PhD theses, etc.) or in electronically inaccessible journals.

More generally, the wider scientific community has been slow to fully recognise the importance of freshwater ecosystems to global-scale processes (e.g., biogeochemical cycling, climate change, maintenance of biodiversity) and the provision of ecosystem services upon which human society relies. Inland waters only comprise a tiny fraction of the Earth's surface water, but it is becoming increasingly clear that they are of disproportionate importance to the global biosphere (Tranvik et al., 2009; Downing, 2014). However, our knowledge of the global status of lakes and their responses to environmental change remains incomplete and there is an urgent need to increase our understanding of the role of lakes in regional- and global-scale processes. The wider adoption of remote sensing observations alongside existing in situ approaches will be crucial to furthering our understanding of the global status and role of inland waters.

### 1.2. Progress to date

Several recent works have reviewed water constituent retrieval algorithms applied to inland waters using various sensors (Kutser, 2009; Matthews, 2011; Odermatt, Gitelson, Brando, & Schaeppman, 2012), an ongoing and major challenge in such optically-complex systems. In this introductory paper, our aim was not to provide an exhaustive review of issues and previous work, but to highlight a few examples from the past to show the particular challenge that inland water remote sensing scientists face and how these challenges have been and are currently being tackled.

In spite of their somewhat limited capabilities, satellite sensors have been used extensively in lake remote sensing for several decades now. Many studies have and continue to exploit the relatively high spatial resolution of sensors intended primarily for land applications. Verdin (1985), for example, used Landsat to retrieve chl-a and Secchi depth in US lakes. Dekker and Peters (1993) assessed Landsat TM capabilities in retrieving various Dutch lake water characteristics (seston dry weight, sum of chl-a and phaeopigments and Secchi depth), although accuracy of the results was found to be limited. Dekker, Vos, and Peters (2001, 2002) obtained reliable total suspended matter (TSM; dry seston weight) retrievals from Landsat and from the Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre (SPOT) sensor of the French Centre National d'études Spatiales (CNES). Olmanson, Bauer, and Brezonik (2008) used the Landsat archive for mapping lake water clarity of over 10,000 Minnesota lakes. Tebbs, Remedios, and Harper (2013) mapped high-biomass cyanobacteria blooms in Lake Bogoria using Landsat-derived

chl-a. Moreover, the long-term data archive from the Landsat satellite series provide an opportunity to study long-term changes taking place in lakes. Kutser (2012), for example, evaluated suitability of Landsat archive for mapping CDOM changes in Swedish lakes over the last 30 years. The later launch of sensors with improved radiometric and/or spectral capabilities led to improvements in our ability to retrieve information on in-water constituents. For example, the NASA Advanced Land Imager (ALI) onboard the Earth Observing-1 Mission (EO-1) was used to estimate CDOM absorption in boreal lakes (Kutser, Pierson, Kallio, Reinart, & Sobek, 2005), while the first civilian hyperspectral sensor in space, Hyperion, also onboard EO-1, was used to retrieve chl-a and tripton (Giardino, Brando, Dekker, Strömbrck, & Candiani, 2007).

Similarly, many remote sensing investigations of lakes make use of sensors intended for ocean colour applications. Early examples include Bukata, Bruton, Jerome, Jain, and Zwick (1981) who used NASA Coastal Zone Color Scanner (CZCS) imagery and model simulations to show that green-to-red rather than blue-to-green ratios were necessary for the retrieval of chl-a in optically complex waters, particularly those with high phytoplankton biomass. Mortimer (1988) used CZCS thermal data to identify bar fronts and upwelling zones. Binding, Jerome, Bukata, and Booty (2007) merged CZCS and NASA Sea-Viewing Wide Field-of-View Sensor (SeaWiFS) data to obtain long time series of water clarity (Secchi depth) for the lower Laurentian Great Lakes. SeaWiFS data have also been used for chl-a retrieval for lakes (e.g., Heim, Oberhaensli, Fietz, & Kaufmann, 2005; Witter, Ortiz, Palm, Heath, & Budd, 2009), as well as chl-a, dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and suspended matter retrieval (e.g., Korosov, Pettersson, Grassl, & Pozdnyakov, 2007; Pozdnyakov, Korosov, Grassl, & Pettersson, 2005) for further use in spatiotemporal analysis (e.g., Pozdnyakov, Korosov, Petrova, & Grassl, 2013; Shuchman et al., 2006) in very large lakes (e.g., Baikal, Lagoda). NASA Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data have been used over a number of lakes, particularly for the retrieval of chl-a (e.g., Bergamino et al., 2010; Chavula, Brezonik, Thenkabil, Johnson, & Bauer, 2009; de Moraes Novo et al., 2006; Wang & Shi, 2008; Wang, Shi, & Tang, 2011), TSM, turbidity and Secchi depth (e.g., Chang, Yang, Daranpob, Jin, & James, 2012; Kaba, Philpot, & Steenhuis, 2014; Knight & Voth, 2012; Tarrant, Amacher, & Neuer, 2010; Zhang, Lin, Liu, Qian, & Ge, 2010) and surface water temperature (e.g., Bresciani, Giardino, & Boschetti, 2011; Bresciani, Stroppiana, Odermatt, Morabito, & Giardino, 2011; Crosman & Horel, 2009; Reinart & Reinhold, 2008). These examples are by no means exhaustive, but do demonstrate the insight that has been possible to be gained through the use of ocean colour data despite their relatively coarse spatial resolutions and their limited spectral coverage.

The MEdium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) aboard the European Space Agency (ESA) Envisat platform was also primarily intended for oceanic observation, but presented improved spatial resolution compared with previous ocean colour sensors, as well as additional spectral bands at key wavelengths. Both of these new capabilities were useful in the retrieval of concentrations of optically active substances in lakes (Koponen et al., 2008; Olmanson, Brezonik, & Bauer, 2011), in identifying and in quantitative remote sensing of cyanobacterial blooms (Matthews, Bernard, & Robertson, 2012) as well as in developing bloom monitoring systems (Wynne et al., 2013). Several studies presented in this special issue (Lunetta et al., 2015-in this issue; Kallio et al., 2015-in this issue; Kutser, Verpoorter, Paavel, & Tranvik, 2015-in this issue; Palmer et al., 2015-in this issue; Sterckx, Knaeps, Kratzer, & Ruddick, 2015-in this issue) make use of MERIS imagery in lake research. Although no longer being acquired, MERIS data remain highly valuable in terms of its still under-exploited archive dataset and planned continuity through future missions (i.e., Sentinel-3 Ocean and Land Colour Instrument (OLCI) of ESA).

It should also be noted that many advances in inland water remote sensing have been achieved through the use of hyperspectral data from airborne or hand held sensors. Vertucci and Likens (1989) proposed a lake classification scheme based on water reflectance spectra and also developed an algorithm for DOC retrieval. The peak near 700 nm, now

recognised as vital to the relative success of MERIS chl-a retrievals compared with the preceding land and ocean colour sensors described above, was utilised in hyperspectral chl-a retrieval more than three decades ago (Vasilkov & Kopelevich, 1982; Gitelson, 1992). The first attempts to retrieve accessory pigments (and consequently dominant phytoplankton groups) from airborne data were also undertaken in a lake environment (Richardson, Bulsson, Liu, & Ambrosia, 1994). This study used derivative analysis, which was a novel approach for inland water remote sensing. More recent studies have used hyperspectral data to focus on phycocyanin retrieval for the identification and quantification of cyanobacteria blooms in lakes (e.g., Hunter, Tyler, Carvalho, Codd, & Maberly, 2010; Hunter, Tyler, Willby, & Gilvear, 2008; Li, Li, Shi, Li, & Song, 2012; Mishra, Mishra, & Schluchter, 2009; Randolph et al., 2008; Simis, Peters, & Gons, 2005; Yang & Pan, 2006 among others).

Band ratio type algorithms for estimating various lake water characteristics ranging from chl-a, CDOM, and suspended matter to water turbidity/transparency have been developed by many authors (e.g., Bukata et al., 1981; Dekker, Malthus, & Seyhan, 1991; Gitelson et al., 1993; Kallio et al., 2001; Koponen et al., 2007; Kutser, Arst, Miller, Käärman, & Milius, 1995; Kutser et al., 2005 to name only a few; see also references in the reviews by Matthews, 2011; Odermatt et al., 2012) using multi-spectral satellite as well as hyperspectral data. Remote sensing has been used in mapping shallow water benthic habitat in inland waters (Giardino, Bartoli, Candiani, Bresciani, & Pellegrini, 2007; Hunter, Gilvear, Tyler, Willby, & Kelly, 2010; Shuchman, Sayers, & Brooks, 2013), and to estimate lake primary production using satellite observations (Bergamino et al., 2010; Bergamino et al., 2013; Shuchman, Sayers, Fahnestiel, & Leshkevich, 2013). However, while primary production models have been used relatively widely in ocean waters, and have more recently been adapted for some optically-complex coastal waters, very few studies have attempted to adapt and validate these models for lakes or other inland waters.

More sophisticated neural network and physics-based inversion methods have also been used to estimate in-water inherent optical properties (IOPs) (Odermatt et al., 2012). For example Hoogenboom, Dekker, and de Haan (1998) used matrix inversion for retrieving chl-a and suspended matter. Arst and Kutser (1994) used a modelling approach (described further in Kutser, Herlevi, Kallio, and Arst (2001)) where chl-a, CDOM and suspended matter concentrations were estimated based on modelled spectra. Full measured hyperspectral lake reflectance spectra were compared with reflectance spectra generated through bio-optical modelling and it was assumed that the concentrations used in the model simulation correspond to real concentrations if the modelled spectrum matched with the measured one. Later, this approach was developed into a spectral library or look-up-table approach (Yang, Matsushita, Chen, & Fukushima, 2011), as for large images it is computationally more efficient to model reflectance spectra in advance rather than run the model when interpreting the remote sensing spectrum from each pixel. Giardino et al. (2012) developed a software package incorporating their Bio-Optical Model Based tool for Estimating water quality and bottom properties from Remote sensing images (BOMBER), originally intended to retrieve optical and benthic properties for lakes but also applicable in other optically-complex contexts (estuaries, coastal zones, etc.). Brando, Dekker, Park, and Schroeder (2012) present an adaptive implementation of the linear matrix inversion (LMI) method which accounts for variability in both IOPs and mass-specific IOPs (SIOPs) over space and time in wide-ranging optically-complex waters. Several neural network inversion approaches have also been designed specifically for lake settings (the Lakes processor (Doerffer & Schiller, 2008) within the Basic ERS & Envisat (A) ATSR and MERIS Toolbox (BEAM) (Fomferra & Brockmann, 2005)) or have been demonstrated to be transferable to some lakes from coastal zone settings (the Case 2 Regional (C2R; Doerffer & Schiller, 2007) and FUB Water processor (Schroeder, Schaale, & Fischer, 2007), also BEAM plug-ins). SIOP coefficient tuning or approximation is then required to relate retrieved IOPs to the concentrations of water constituents such as chl-a and TSM.



The application of remote sensing techniques to the quantification and monitoring of a range of parameters and processes, crucial to the quality and functioning of inland waters, continues to be at the centre of an active and growing community of practice. The occurrence of a large number of meetings, workshops and collaborative, international projects in recent years has inspired this special issue, “Remote Sensing of Inland Waters”, which is intended to harness this momentum and highlight some of the current state-of-the-art and future priority directions of the community. This special issue updates and extends related, previous collections of works. Zilioli (2001) and the numerous contributions of the *Science of the Total Environment* special issue entitled “Lake water monitoring in Europe” highlighted advancements linking remote sensing technologies and approaches with limnology in the European context at that time, and culminated in an invitation to the research and lake management communities to continue furthering such applications, including within other geographic settings. The previous *Remote Sensing of Environment* special issue on “Monitoring freshwater, estuarine and near-shore benthic ecosystems with multi-sensor remote sensing” (Goetz, Gardiner, & Viers, 2008) included several contributions focused on inland freshwater systems (Gons, Auer, & Effler, 2008; Olmanson et al., 2008; Ruiz-Verdú, Simis, de Hoyos, Gons, & Peña-Martínez, 2008) in addition to applications to coastal, intertidal and estuarine zones. The recent “Remote Sensing” special issue of the *Journal of Great Lakes Research* (Shuchman & Leshkevich, 2013) highlighted research on the Great Lakes and large water bodies globally, including the use of both passive (optical) and active (radar, light detection and ranging (LiDAR) and acoustic) data, with applications ranging from coastal/shore zone characterisation, in-water constituent retrieval and fundamental optics, ice classification, underwater gliders and aquatic vegetation.

This special issue focuses specifically on inland waters, considered here to include lakes, reservoirs and rivers. The papers cover a range of topics including: (1) validations of the retrieval of physical and biogeochemical parameters in inland waters; (2) the spatial and temporal analyses of these parameters; (3) methodological developments; and (4) applications of remote sensing of inland waters in management and scientific contexts. Contributions bridging multiple themes and their examination at local, regional or global scales and across diverse geographical settings were encouraged.

## 2. Contributions of the special issue

The contributions to this special issue cover a diverse range, in terms of geographic coverage, spanning inland waters from Africa, Europe, Asia, and North and South America, as well as optical characteristics, size, geomorphology and type, including predominantly lakes and also reservoirs (Curtarelli, Ogashawara, Alcântara, & Stech, 2015-in this issue) and river systems (Brezonik, Olmanson, Finlay, & Bauer, 2015-in this issue; Lobo, Costa, & Novo, 2015-in this issue). Studies further ranged from local (e.g., Curtarelli et al., 2015-in this issue; Giardino et al., 2015-in this issue; Stratoulis, Balzter, Zlinszky, & Tóth, 2015-in this issue) to regional (e.g., Brezonik et al., 2015-in this issue; Brooks, Grimm, Shuchman, Sayers, & Jessee, 2015-in this issue; Lunetta et al., 2015-in this issue; Kallio et al., 2015-in this issue) in scale, as well as the comparison of geographically disparate ecosystems (Oyama, Mitsushita, & Fukushima, 2015-in this issue). Although radar, acoustic and LiDAR are known to be capable of providing information on inland waters (notably pertaining to ice cover (e.g., Leshkevich, Nghiem, & Kwok, 1998), bathymetry (e.g., Meadows, 2013) and water quantity, as well as fluorescence LiDAR water quality measurements (e.g., Palmer et al., 2013)), the contributions to this special issue made exclusive use of passive optical data of varying spectral resolutions, from both satellite and airborne sensors in combination with in situ measurements. Diverse biophysical and water quality parameters were targeted, as was the response of study sites to a number of environmental pressures.

Cyanobacteria detection and biomass quantification have been confirmed as a priority through several contributions on this topic, using both phycocyanin (Li, Lin, & Song, 2015-in this issue) and cell counts (Lunetta et al., 2015-in this issue), which are more consistently available from some conventional monitoring programmes, as proxies. Oyama et al. (2015-in this issue) made use of a sequence of spectral indices applied to Landsat TM and ETM+ data to distinguish dense cyanobacteria blooms from aquatic vegetation, which is often a challenge due to their similar signatures in the red and near-infrared (NIR) ranges. Li et al. (2015-in this issue) present a new approach to partition light absorption and thereby estimate phycocyanin. A substantial improvement over previous methods to retrieve low concentrations in particular was demonstrated, with implications for the sensitivity of bloom onset detection. The validation of an existing MERIS cyanobacteria product by Lunetta et al. (2015-in this issue) made use of an extensive ( $n > 2000$ ) in situ dataset from across eight states of the US, and confirmed its potential to complement and inform operational monitoring activities.

Another recurring theme within the special issue is the mapping of shoreline and aquatic vegetation in addition to further benthic substrate classes. Giardino et al. (2015-in this issue) made use of airborne hyperspectral data to quantify and map suspended particulate matter, submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV) and benthic substrate in the shallow, turbid Lake Trasimeno, Italy. Mapping was further used to assess the role of SAV colonisation in maintaining the local transparency of the water, and vice versa. Brooks et al. (2015-in this issue) also consider SAV colonisation and spatial patterns, particularly the nuisance *Cladophora*, throughout the Laurentian Great Lakes. A 40-year Landsat image time-series was used for current and historic mapping, and revealed that both SAV coverage and water clarity are increasing and may be related to the presence of the invasive dreissenid (zebra and quagga) mussels. Stratoulis et al. (2015-in this issue) focus on the shoreline ecotone of Lake Balaton, Hungary, and the reed species, *Phragmites australis*. A phenomenon known as “reed die-back” has threatened *P. australis* populations throughout Europe, and in situ measurements coupled with airborne hyperspectral imagery are shown to identify biophysical signals that distinguish affected from unaffected stands.

Methodological advances with respect to the correction of the adjacency or environmental effect were proposed and validated (Kiselev, Bulgarelli, & Heege, 2015-in this issue; Sterckx et al., 2015-in this issue). Both approaches present a sensor-independent solution, acknowledging the growing number of archive, current and future sensors appropriate for the remote sensing of water bodies, and the importance of methodological transfer between images from different sensors. Kiselev et al. (2015-in this issue) combine an analytical solution to the point-spread function with radiative transfer modelling of a stratified atmosphere to estimate and remove the adjacency effect, whereas the Sterckx et al. (2015-in this issue) correction (“SIMilarity Environment Correction (SIMEC)”) makes use of the correspondence with the near-infrared similarity spectrum.

Salama and Verhoef (2014) present a new, forward model analytical inversion solution (“2SeaColor”) for the retrieval of the depth profile of the downwelling diffuse attenuation coefficient. Important for inland waters, such as Lake Naivasha, Kenya to which its application is demonstrated, is the suitability of the model within highly turbid waters. Also challenging within highly turbid waters is the reliable in situ measurement of water column IOPs, such as attenuation, absorption and back-scattering, for use in the development and validation of retrieval algorithms applied to satellite or airborne imagery. Sander de Carvalho, Barbosa, Novo and Rudorff (2015-in this issue) assess different correction methods applied to such in situ IOP measurements from highly turbid Amazon floodplain lakes, their influence on remote sensing reflectance closure, and implications thereof.

Several MERIS standard and “Case 2” suitable products were evaluated in this special issue’s contributions. Kutser et al. (2015-in this issue) found that although the standard CDOM product was not suitable

for accurate CDOM retrievals in the studied boreal-type lakes in Sweden, a number of other MERIS products were able to estimate and map different carbon fractions and should be further investigated. Notably, correlation was found between MERIS-retrieved absorption and CDOM and dissolved- and total-organic carbon. Kallio et al. (2015-in this issue) performed a validation of MERIS spectral inversion processor-retrieved water constituent and optical property retrievals for four Finnish lakes. Different processing levels of the Boreal Lake processor and local tuning of specific IOP coefficients relating retrieved absorption and backscattering to chl-a concentration, CDOM absorbance and total suspended matter concentration were further assessed. Palmer et al. (2015-in this issue) also present the performance of several MERIS spectral inversion and band difference processors, in retrieving Lake Balaton, Hungary chl-a concentrations. Extensive in situ data from conventional phytoplankton monitoring are used to separately calibrate and validate retrievals across a five year time series including all seasons. Highly variable results from the different algorithms and the robust time-series application of the fluorescence line height algorithm are demonstrated.

In addition to time-series analyses by Brooks et al. (2015-in this issue) and Palmer et al. (2015-in this issue) previously described, Lobo et al. (2015-in this issue) make use of a 40-year Landsat time series to assess the impacts of hydrological stage and gold mining activity on suspended particulate matter concentrations within the Tapajós River, Brazil and its tributaries. Challenges presented by time-series analysis, notably comparability between images of different sensors and atmospheric correction reliability, were also explicitly addressed by Lobo et al. (2015-in this issue). The integration of hydrodynamic modelling with remotely sensed surface temperature, rainfall and phytoplankton biomass (chl-a concentration) products was carried out under distinct seasonal conditions by Curtarelli et al. (2015-in this issue). The possibility to evaluate three-dimensional processes and conditions, such as stratification and mixing, across the full lake area was demonstrated. Finally, Brezonik et al. (2015-in this issue) make use of several long term historic and current datasets from across the US to provide an in depth analysis of factors that influence the remote sensing of CDOM, which is highly variable and challenging to retrieve from inland waters, notably its spatial and temporal variability. Several CDOM retrieval algorithms are validated and compared to simulated Landsat 8 Operational Land Imager (OLI), Sentinel-2 MultiSpectral Imager (MSI) and Sentinel-3 OLCI spectral bands.

### 3. Outlook

The inland water remote sensing community has made significant progress since the first attempts were made to retrieve basic water quality information from the early Landsat satellites. In the decades since the launch of NASA's Earth Resources Technology Satellite (ERTS-1; later to become Landsat-1) our understanding of the radiative transfer process in optically complex waters has developed immeasurably. In parallel, the models used to retrieve physical and biogeochemical parameters have increased in sophistication from simple empirical approaches to the more analytically-based inversion models now gaining in popularity. Similarly, there has been progress in the development of methods for the correction of atmospheric and adjacency effects over turbid waters. Collectively, these advancements have led to marked improvements in the accuracy, applicability and robustness of remote sensing products for inland waters.

However, it is important that we recognise that some significant scientific challenges remain and that much work will be needed before Earth Observation (EO) products will be widely used in an operational context for monitoring inland waters. Improvements are still needed in the methods for the correction of atmosphere and land adjacency effects over inland waters, particularly in the presence of complex aerosols. The approaches presented in this issue (e.g., Kiselev et al., 2015-in this issue; Sterckx et al., 2015-in this issue) show considerable

promise, but wider testing and validation of these approaches is needed. Similarly, numerous algorithms for the retrieval of biogeochemical parameters have been developed for inland waters, and these approaches are developed further in articles of this special issue (e.g., Salama & Verhoef, 2015-in this issue), but work is needed to establish the limits of their applicability and associated uncertainties for the full range of water optical types. These endeavours must also be supported by a more comprehensive understanding of the sources and magnitude of variability in the SIOPs of water constituents as our current knowledge of their variability in inland waters, and the errors associated with IOP measurements in highly turbid waters, is very limited. More widely, further work will also be needed to progress methods for data assimilation within ecological and hydrodynamic models. The integration and use of EO data within existing monitoring and regulatory frameworks also have yet to be adequately tackled.

If the recent progress we have made towards the development of operational EO services for inland waters is to be sustained, the community will need better mechanisms to foster and coordinate research and collaboration across research groups, institutions and nations. The challenges outlined above cannot be tackled adequately by small research groups working in isolation; it requires strategic planning and coordination and a research environment where international facilities, resources, data and expertise can be more easily pooled and shared. Encouragingly, some progress is already being made here. The Group on Earth Observations (GEO) is coordinating efforts to establish the Global Earth Observation System of Systems (GEOSS), which includes "Water" as one of the key societal benefits. The GEO has established a Water Quality Working Group (<http://www.geo-water-quality.org>) to help coordinate input to GEOSS from the inland water remote sensing community. The IOCCG has also recently convened a working group on "Earth Observations in Support of Global Water Quality Monitoring" to provide strategic direction towards the implementation of a global water quality monitoring service. Further, the LIMNADES (Lake Bio-optical Measurements and Matchup Data for Remote Sensing; <http://www.globolakes.ac.uk/limnades/>) database has recently been established to help facilitate community-wide algorithm development and validation studies in a similar role to that fulfilled by the MERMAID and NOMAD databases in ocean colour remote sensing.

It is also immensely encouraging that in the last few years, several large projects on the remote sensing of inland waters have been funded (particularly within the European Union). These include (but are not limited to): the ESA Diversity II project (<http://www.diversity2.info>); EC FP7 Global Lake Sentinel Services (GLaSS) project (<http://www.glass-project.eu>); EC FP7 INFORM project (<http://www.copernicus-inform.eu>); EC FP7 earth2Observe project (<http://www.earth2observe.eu>), the Color of Water (CoW) project (<http://www.met.uu.se/cow/>) supported by the Swedish Research Council for Environmental, Agricultural and Spatial planning and the UK Natural Environment Research Council GloboLakes project (<http://globolakes.ac.uk>). This level of investment in research and service development is long overdue, but hopefully reflects increasing recognition within national and international funding agencies that Earth observation can make a transformative contribution to global water resource management. It also suggests that the recent launch of Landsat-8 and, in particular, the forthcoming ESA Sentinel-2 and Sentinel-3 missions are providing a useful stimulus for EO-based research and service development for inland waters.

Indeed, importance of the forthcoming ESA Copernicus programme to the inland water community is highlighted in many of the contributions to this special issue (e.g. Brezonik et al., 2015-in this issue; Giardino et al., 2015-in this issue; Li et al., 2015-in this issue; Lunetta et al., 2015-in this issue; Kallio et al., 2015-in this issue; Kutser et al., 2015-in this issue; Palmer et al., 2015-in this issue; Sterckx et al., 2015-in this issue). This was also reflected by the strong representation of researchers from the community at the preparatory scientific meetings for both Sentinel-2 and Sentinel-3 missions. These new missions will not only fill a gap in data provision that has been present since

ESA's Envisat mission ended, the move to free-access satellite data under the Sentinel programme will also result in a step-change in the use of satellite observations for monitoring inland water quality in the same way that opening access to the Landsat archive greatly increased the use of its data products for land monitoring (Wulder & Coops, 2014). It is equally important that the space agencies recognise the importance of these new missions to future inland water remote sensing research. To this end, the community needs to be actively engaged in the Cal/Val activities for the Sentinel and other future EO missions (e.g., NASA's PACE mission), certainly to a greater extent than it has been involved during previous EO missions.

The contributions to this special issue aptly document much of the progress that has been made by the inland water community over recent years. Many of the methods and applications showcased here show considerable promise and they will no doubt inspire and stimulate further excellent work in the field. Clearly, some substantial challenges remain and these will not be easily solved, but neither are they insurmountable. The prospect of operational, near real-time satellite monitoring of inland waters will become a reality if we continue to build on the progress we have made in recent years.

## Acknowledgements

This special issue is published as a result of the dedication and hard work of the various authors, and the constructive feedback and contributions of the many reviewers, to whom we are very grateful. Many thanks are also due to Marvin Bauer, editor-in chief of *Remote Sensing of Environment*, and Betty Schiefelbein, managing editor, whose guidance, assistance, advice and endless patience related to the various foreseen and unforeseen challenges that arose were invaluable. Stephanie Palmer acknowledges support from GIONET, funded by the European Commission, Marie Curie Programme Initial Training Network, Grant Agreement PITN-GA-2010-264509. Tiit Kutser's work was supported by the Estonian Basic Research Grant SF0180009As11. Peter Hunter's contribution was supported by the UK Natural Environment Research Council GloboLakes project (NE/J024279/1) and FP7-SPACE-2013-1 INFORM project (Grant Agreement Number 606865).

## References

- Arst, H., & Kutser, T. (1994). Data processing and interpretation of sea radiance factor measurements. *Polar Research*, 13, 3–12.
- Bastviken, D., Tranvik, L.J., Downing, J.A., Crill, P.M., & Enrich-Prast, A. (2011). Freshwater methane emissions offset the continental carbon sink. *Science*, 331(6013), 50.
- Bergamino, N., Horion, S., Stenuite, S., Cornet, Y., Loisel, S., Plisnier, P.D., et al. (2010). Spatio-temporal dynamics of phytoplankton and primary production in Lake Tanganyika using a MODIS based bio-optical time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114(4), 772–780.
- Bergamino, N., Horion, S., Stenuite, S., Cornet, Y., Loisel, S., Plisnier, P.-D., et al. (2013). Spatio-temporal dynamics of phytoplankton and primary production in Lake Tanganyika using MODIS based bio-optical time series. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114, 772–780.
- Binding, C.E., Jerome, J.H., Bukata, R.P., & Booty, W.G. (2007). Trends in water clarity of the lower Great Lakes from remotely sensed aquatic color. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 33(4), 828–841.
- Brando, V.E., Dekker, A.G., Park, Y.J., & Schroeder, T. (2012). Adaptive semianalytical inversion of ocean color radiometry in optically complex waters. *Applied Optics*, 51(15), 2808–2833.
- Bresciani, M., Giardino, C., & Boschetti, L. (2011). Multi-temporal assessment of biophysical parameters in lakes Garda and Trasimeno from MODIS and MERIS. *Italian Journal of Remote Sensing*, 43(3), 49–62.
- Bresciani, M., Stroppiana, D., Odermatt, D., Morabito, G., & Giardino, C. (2011). Assessing remotely sensed chlorophyll-a for the implementation of the Water Framework Directive in European perialpine lakes. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409, 3083–3091.
- Brönmark, C., & Hansson, L.-A. (2002). Environmental issues in lakes and ponds: Current state and perspectives. *Environmental Conservation*, 29(3), 290–306.
- Bukata, R.P. (2013). Retrospection and introspection on remote sensing of inland water quality: "Like deja vu all over again". *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 39, 2–5.
- Bukata, R.P., Bruton, J.E., Jerome, J.H., Jain, S.C., & Zwick, H.H. (1981). Optical water quality model of Lake Ontario. 2: Determination of chlorophyll a and suspended mineral concentrations of natural waters from submersible and low altitude optical sensors. *Applied Optics*, 20, 1704–1714.
- Chang, N.B., Yang, Y.J., Daranpob, A., Jin, K.R., & James, T. (2012). Spatiotemporal pattern validation of chlorophyll-a concentrations in Lake Okeechobee, Florida, using a comparative MODIS image mining approach. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 33(7), 2233–2260.
- Chavula, G., Brezonik, P., Thenkabail, P., Johnson, T., & Bauer, M. (2009). Estimating chlorophyll concentration in Lake Malawi from MODIS satellite imagery. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 34(13), 755–760.
- Crosman, E.T., & Horel, J.D. (2009). MODIS-derived surface temperature of the Great Salt Lake. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113(1), 73–81.
- de Moraes Novo, E.M.L., de Farias Barbosa, C.C., de Freitas, R.M., Shimabukuro, Y.E., Melack, J.M., & Pereira Filho, W. (2006). Seasonal changes in chlorophyll distributions in Amazon floodplain lakes derived from MODIS images. *Limnology*, 7(3), 153–161.
- Dekker, A.G., Malthus, T., & Seyhan, E. (1991). Quantitative modelling of inland water quality for high-resolution MSS systems. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 29, 89–95.
- Dekker, A.G., & Peters, S.W.M. (1993). The use of the Thematic Mapper for the analysis of eutrophic lakes: a case study in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 14, 799–821.
- Dekker, A.G., Vos, R.J., & Peters, S.W.M. (2001). Comparison of remote sensing data, model results and in situ data for total suspended matter (TSM) in the southern Frisian lakes. *Science of the Total Environment*, 268(1), 197–214.
- Dekker, A.G., Vos, R.J., & Peters, S.W.M. (2002). Analytical algorithms for lake water TSM estimation for retrospective analyses of TM and SPOT sensor data. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 23(1), 15–35.
- Doerffer, R., & Schiller, H. (2007). The MERIS Case 2 water algorithm. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 28(3–4), 517–535.
- Doerffer, R., & Schiller, H. (2008). MERIS regional coastal and lake case 2 water project atmospheric correction ATBD. GKSS Research Center (21502).
- Downing, J.A. (2014). Limnology and oceanography: Two estranged twins reuniting by global change. *Inland Waters*, 4, 215–232.
- Dudgeon, D., Arthington, A.H., Gessner, M.O., Kawabata, Z.I., Knowler, D.J., Lévêque, C., et al. (2006). Freshwater biodiversity: Importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. *Biological Reviews*, 81(2), 163–182.
- Duker, L., & Bore, L. (2001). Biodiversity conservation of the world's lakes: A preliminary framework for identifying priorities. *LakeNet Report Series: Anapolis, Maryland US*.
- Fomferra, N., & Brockmann, C. (2005, December). BEAM – the ENVISAT MERIS and AATSR toolbox. *MERIS (A) ATSR Workshop 2005, Vol. 597*. (pp. 13).
- Giardino, C., Bartoli, M., Candiani, G., Bresciani, M., & Pellegrini, L. (2007). Recent changes in macrophyte colonisation patterns: an imaging spectrometry-based evaluation of southern Lake Garda (northern Italy). *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 011509, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.2834807>.
- Giardino, C., Brando, V.E., Dekker, A.G., Strömbröck, N., & Candiani, G. (2007). Assessment of water quality in Lake Garda (Italy) using Hyperion. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 109, 183–195.
- Giardino, C., Candiani, G., Bresciani, M., Lee, Z., Gagliano, S., & Pepe, M. (2012). BOMBER: A tool for estimating water quality and bottom properties from remote sensing images. *Computers & Geosciences*, 45, 313–318.
- Gitelson, A. (1992). The peak near 700 nm on radiance spectra of algae and water: Relationships of its magnitude and position with chlorophyll concentration. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 13, 3367–3373.
- Gitelson, A., Garbuzov, G., Szilgyi, F., Mittenzwey, K.-H., Karnieli, A., & Kaiser, A. (1993). Quantitative remote sensing methods for real-time monitoring of inland waters quality. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 14, 1269–1295.
- Goetz, S.J., Gardiner, N., & Viers, J.H. (2008). Monitoring freshwater, estuarine and near-shore benthic ecosystems with multi-sensor remote sensing: An introduction to the special issue. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112(11), 3993–3995.
- Gons, H.J., Auer, M.T., & Effler, S.W. (2008). MERIS satellite chlorophyll mapping of oligotrophic and eutrophic waters in the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112, 4098–4106.
- Heim, B., Oberhaensli, H., Fietz, S., & Kaufmann, H. (2005). Variation in Lake Baikal's phytoplankton distribution and fluvial input assessed by SeaWiFS satellite data. *Global and Planetary Change*, 46(1), 9–27.
- Hoogenboom, H.J., Dekker, A.G., & de Haan, J.F. (1998). Retrieval of chlorophyll and suspended matter from imaging spectrometer data by matrix inversion. *Canadian Journal of Remote Sensing*, 24, 144–152.
- Hunter, P.D., Gilvear, D.J., Tyler, A.N., Willby, N.J., & Kelly, A. (2010). Mapping macrophytic vegetation in shallow lakes using the Compact Airborne Spectrographic Imager (CASI). *Aquatic Conservation and Freshwater Ecosystems*, 20, 717–727.
- Hunter, P.D., Tyler, A.N., Carvalho, L., Codd, G.A., & Maberly, S.C. (2010). Hyperspectral remote sensing of cyanobacterial pigments as indicators for cell populations and toxins in eutrophic lakes. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114(11), 2705–2718.
- Hunter, P.D., Tyler, A.N., Willby, N.J., & Gilvear, D.G. (2008). The spatial dynamics of vertical migration by *Microcystis aeruginosa* in a eutrophic shallow lake: A case study using high spatial resolution time-series airborne remote sensing. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 53(6), 2391–2406.
- Kaba, E., Philpot, W., & Steenhuis, T. (2014). Evaluating suitability of MODIS-Terra images for reproducing historic sediment concentrations in water bodies: Lake Tana, Ethiopia. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 26, 286–297.
- Kallio, K., Kutser, T., Hannonen, T., Koponen, S., Pulliainen, J., Vepsäläinen, J., et al. (2001). Retrieval of water quality from airborne imaging spectrometry of various lake types in different seasons. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 268, 59–77.
- Knight, J.F., & Voth, M.L. (2012). Application of MODIS imagery for intra-annual water clarity assessment of Minnesota lakes. *Remote Sensing*, 4(7), 2181–2198.



- Koponen, S., Attila, J., Pulliainen, J., Kallio, K., Pyhälähti, T., Lindfors, A., et al. (2007). A case study of airborne and satellite remote sensing of a spring bloom event in the Gulf of Finland. *Continental Shelf Research*, 27, 228–244.
- Koponen, S., Ruiz-Verdú, A., Heege, T., Heblinski, J., Sorensen, K., Kallio, K., et al. (2008). Development of MERIS lake water algorithms. *ESA Validation Report*.
- Korosov, A., Pettersson, L., Grassl, H., & Pozdnyakov, D. (2007). Satellite-data-based study of seasonal and spatial variations of water temperature and water quality parameters in Lake Ladoga. *Journal of Applied Remote Sensing*, 1(1) (011508–011508).
- Kutser, T. (2009). Passive optical remote sensing of cyanobacteria and other intense phytoplankton blooms in coastal and inland waters. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 30, 4401–4425.
- Kutser, T. (2012). The possibility of using the Landsat image archive for monitoring long time trends in coloured dissolved organic matter concentration in lake waters. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 123, 334–338.
- Kutser, T., Arst, H., Miller, T., Käärman, L., & Milius, A. (1995). Telespectrometric estimation of water transparency, chlorophyll-a, and total phosphorus concentration of Lake Peipsi. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 16, 3069–3085.
- Kutser, T., Herlevi, A., Kallio, K., & Arst, H. (2001). A hyperspectral model for interpretation of passive optical remote sensing data from turbid lakes. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 268, 47–58.
- Kutser, T., Pierson, D.C., Kallio, K., Reinart, A., & Sobek, S. (2005). Mapping lake CDOM by satellite remote sensing. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 94, 535–540.
- Leshkevich, G.A., Nghiem, S.V., & Kwok, R. (1998, July). Algorithm development for satellite synthetic aperture radar (SAR) classification and mapping of Great Lakes ice cover. *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium Proceedings, 1998. IGARSS'98. 1998 IEEE International, Vol. 3.* (pp. 1397–1399). IEEE.
- Li, L., Li, L., Shi, K., Li, Z., & Song, K. (2012). A semi-analytical algorithm for remote estimation of phycoyanin in inland waters. *Science of the Total Environment*, 435, 141–150.
- Matthews, M.W. (2011). A current review of empirical procedures of remote sensing in inland and near-coastal transitional waters. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 32(21), 6855–6899.
- Matthews, M.W., Bernard, S., & Robertson, L. (2012). An algorithm for detecting trophic status (chlorophyll-a), cyanobacterial-dominance, surface scums and floating vegetation in inland and coastal waters. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 124, 637–652.
- Meadows, G.A. (2013). A review of low cost underwater acoustic remote sensing for large freshwater systems. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 39, 173–182.
- Mishra, S., Mishra, D.R., & Schluchter, W.M. (2009). A novel algorithm for predicting phycoyanin concentrations in cyanobacteria: A proximal hyperspectral remote sensing approach. *Remote Sensing*, 1(4), 758–775.
- Mortimer, C.H. (1988). Discoveries and testable hypotheses arising from Coastal Zone Color Scanner imagery of southern Lake Michigan. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 33(2), 203–226.
- Odermatt, D., Gitelson, A., Brando, V.E., & Schaeppman, M. (2012). Review of constituent retrieval in optically deep and complex waters from satellite imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 118, 116–126.
- Olmanson, L.G., Bauer, M.E., & Brezonik, P.L. (2008). A 20-year Landsat water clarity census of Minnesota's 10,000 lakes. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112, 4086–4097.
- Olmanson, L.G., Brezonik, P.L., & Bauer, M.E. (2011). Evaluation of medium to low resolution satellite imagery for regional lake water quality assessments. *Water Resources Research*, 47, W09515, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011WR011005>.
- Palmer, S.C.J., Pelevin, V.V., Goncharenko, I., Kovacs, A.W., Zlinszky, A., Présing, M., et al. (2013). Ultraviolet Fluorescence LiDAR (UFL) as a measurement tool for water quality parameters in turbid lake conditions. *Remote Sensing*, 5, 4405–4422.
- Postel, S.L. (2000). Entering an era of water scarcity: The challenges ahead. *Ecological Applications*, 10, 941–948.
- Pozdnyakov, D., Korosov, A., Grassl, H., & Pettersson, L. (2005). An advanced algorithm for operational retrieval of water quality from satellite data in the visible. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 26(12), 2669–2687.
- Pozdnyakov, D.V., Korosov, A.A., Petrova, N.A., & Grassl, H. (2013). Multi-year satellite observations of Lake Ladoga's biogeochemical dynamics in relation to the lake's trophic status. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 39, 34–45.
- Quibell, G. (1992). Estimating chlorophyll concentrations using upwelling radiance from different freshwater algal genera. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 13, 2611–2621.
- Randolph, K., Wilson, J., Tedesco, L., Li, L., Pascual, D., & Soyeux, E. (2008). Hyperspectral remote sensing of cyanobacteria in turbid productive water using optically active pigments, chlorophyll a and phycoyanin. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112(11), 4009–4019.
- Reinart, A., & Reinhold, M. (2008). Mapping surface temperature in large lakes with MODIS data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112(2), 603–611.
- Richardson, L.L., Bulsion, D., Liu, C.J., & Ambrosia, V. (1994). The detection of algal photosynthetic accessory pigments using airborne visible-infrared imaging spectrometer (AVIRIS) spectral data. *Marine Technology Society Journal*, 28, 10–21.
- Ruiz-Verdú, A., Simis, S.G., de Hoyos, C., Gons, H.J., & Peña-Martínez, R. (2008). An evaluation of algorithms for the remote sensing of cyanobacterial biomass. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112, 3996–4008.
- Salama, S., & Verhoef, W. (2014). Two-stream remote sensing model for water quality mapping: 2SeaColor. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 111–122.
- Schroeder, T., Schaale, M., & Fischer, J. (2007). Retrieval of atmospheric and oceanic properties from MERIS measurements: A new case-2 water processor for BEAM. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 28(24), 5627–5632.
- Shuchman, R., Korosov, A., Hatt, C., Pozdnyakov, D., Means, J., & Meadows, G. (2006). Verification and application of a bio-optical algorithm for Lake Michigan using SeaWiFS: A 7-year inter-annual analysis. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 32(2), 258–279.
- Shuchman, R., & Leshkevich, G. (2013). Special issue: Remote sensing of the Great Lakes and other inland waters. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 39(Suppl. 1), 1–220.
- Shuchman, R.A., Sayers, M.J., & Brooks, C.N. (2013). Mapping and monitoring the extent of submerged aquatic vegetation in the Laurentian Great Lakes with multi-scale satellite remote sensing. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 39, 78–89.
- Shuchman, R.A., Sayers, M.J., Fahnestiel, G.L., & Leshkevich, G. (2013). A model for determining satellite-derived primary productivity estimates for Lake Michigan. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 39, 46–54.
- Simis, S.G., Peters, S.W., & Gons, H.J. (2005). Remote sensing of the cyanobacterial pigment phycocyanin in turbid inland water. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 50(1), 237–245.
- Tarrant, P.E., Amacher, J.A., & Neuer, S. (2010). Assessing the potential of Medium-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) and Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data for monitoring total suspended matter in small and intermediate sized lakes and reservoirs. *Water Resources Research*, 46(9), W09532, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2009WR008709>.
- Tebbs, E.J., Remedios, J.J., & Harper, D.M. (2013). Remote sensing of chlorophyll-a as a measure of cyanobacterial biomass in Lake Bogoria, a hypertrophic, saline-alkaline, flamingo lake, using Landsat ETM+. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 135, 92–106.
- Tranvik, L.J., Downing, J.A., Cotner, J.B., Loiselle, S., Striegl, R.G., Ballatore, T.J., et al. (2009). Lakes and impoundments as regulators of carbon cycling and climate. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 54, 2298–2314.
- Vasilkov, A., & Kopelevich, O. (1982). The reasons of maximum at about 700 nm on radiance spectra of the sea. *Oceanology*, 22, 945–950.
- Verdin, J.P. (1985). Monitoring water quality conditions in large western reservoir with Landsat imagery. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 51, 343–353.
- Verpoorter, C., Kutser, T., Seekel, D., & Tranvik, L. (2014). A global inventory of lakes based on high-resolution satellite imagery. *Geophysical Research Letters*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014GL060641>.
- Vertucci, F.A., & Likens, G.E. (1989). Spectral reflectance and water quality of Adirondack mountain region lakes. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 34, 1656–1672.
- Wang, M., & Shi, W. (2008). Satellite-observed algae blooms in China's Lake Taihu. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*, 89(22), 201–202.
- Wang, M., Shi, W., & Tang, J. (2011). Water property monitoring and assessment for China's inland Lake Taihu from MODIS-Aqua measurements. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115(3), 841–854.
- Witter, D.L., Ortiz, J.D., Palm, S., Heath, R.T., & Budd, J.W. (2009). Assessing the application of SeaWiFS ocean color algorithms to Lake Erie. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 35(3), 361–370.
- Wulder, M.A., & Coops, N.C. (2014). Make Earth observations open access. *Nature*, 513(7516), 30–31.
- Wynne, T.T., Stumpf, R.P., Tomlinson, M.C., Fahnestiel, G.L., Dyble, J., Schwab, D.J., et al. (2013). Evolution of a cyanobacterial bloom forecast system in western Lake Erie: Development and initial evaluation. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 39, 90–99.
- Yang, W., Matsushita, B., Chen, J., & Fukushima, T. (2011). Estimating constituent concentrations in case II waters from MERIS satellite data by semi-analytical model optimisation and look-up tables. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115, 1247–1259.
- Yang, D., & Pan, D. (2006). Hyperspectral retrieval model of phycoyanin in case II waters. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 51(2), 149–153.
- Zhang, Y., Lin, S., Liu, J., Qian, X., & Ge, Y. (2010). Time-series MODIS image-based retrieval and distribution analysis of total suspended matter concentrations in Lake Taihu (China). *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 7(9), 3545–3560.
- Zilioli, E. (2001). Preface to lake water monitoring in Europe special issue. *Science of the Total Environment*, 268(1–3), 1–2.

## Special issue articles

- Brezonik, P., Olmanson, L.G., Finlay, J.C., & Bauer, M. (2015). Factors affecting the measurement of CDOM by remote sensing of optically complex inland waters. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 199–215 (in this issue).
- Brooks, C.N., Grimm, A., Shuchman, R.A., Sayers, M.J., & Jessee, N.L. (2015). A satellite-based multi-temporal assessment of the extent of nuisance *Cladophora* and related submerged aquatic vegetation for the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 58–71 (in this issue).
- Curtarelli, M.P., Ogashawara, I., Alcântara, E.H., & Stech, J.L. (2015). Coupling remote sensing bio-optical and three-dimensional hydrodynamic modeling to study the phytoplankton dynamics in a tropical hydroelectric reservoir. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 185–198 (in this issue).
- Giardino, C., Bresciani, M., Valentini, E., Gasperini, L., Bolpagni, R., & Brando, V.E. (2015). Airborne hyperspectral data to assess suspended particulate matter and aquatic vegetation in a shallow and turbid lake. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 48–57 (in this issue).
- Kallio, K., Koponen, S., Ylöstalo, P., Kervinen, M., Pyhälähti, T., & Attila, J. (2015). Validation of MERIS spectral inversion processors using reflectance, IOP and water quality measurements in boreal lakes. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 147–157 (in this issue).
- Kiselev, V., Bulgarelli, B., & Heege, T. (2015). Sensor independent adjacency correction algorithm for coastal and inland water systems. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 85–95 (in this issue).
- Kutser, T., Verpoorter, C., Paavel, B., & Tranvik, L.J. (2015). Estimating lake carbon fractions from remote sensing data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 138–146 (in this issue).
- Li, L., Lin, L., & Song, K. (2015). Remote sensing of freshwater cyanobacteria: Extend IOP Inversion Model of Inland Waters (IIMIWI) to partition absorption coefficient and estimate phycoyanin. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 9–23 (in this issue).
- Lobo, F., Costa, M., & Novo, E.M. (2015). Time-series analysis of Landsat-MSS/TM/OLI images over Amazonian waters impacted by gold mining activities. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 170–184 (in this issue).

- Lunetta, R.S., Schaeffer, B.A., Stumpf, R.P., Keith, D., Jacobs, S.A., & Murphy, M.S. (2015). Evaluation of cyanobacteria cell count detection derived from MERIS imagery across the eastern USA. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 24–34 (in this issue).
- Oyama, Y., Mitsushita, B., & Fukushima, T. (2015). Distinguishing surface cyanobacterial blooms and aquatic macrophytes using Landsat/TM and ETM + shortwave infrared bands. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 35–47 (in this issue).
- Palmer, S.C.J., Hunter, P.D., Lankester, T., Hubbard, S., Spyarakos, E., Tyler, A.N., et al. (2015). Validation of Envisat MERIS algorithms for chlorophyll retrieval in a large, turbid and optically-complex shallow lake. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 158–169 (in this issue).
- Salama, M.S., & Verhoef, W. (2015). Two-stream remote sensing model for water quality mapping: 2SeaColor. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 111–122 (in this issue).
- Sander de Carvalho, L.A., Barbosa, C.F., Novo, E.M., & Rudorff, C.M. (2015). Implications of scatter corrections for AC-S absorption measurements on optical closure of Amazon Floodplain Lakes. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 123–137 (in this issue).
- Sterckx, S., Knaeps, E., Kratzer, S., & Ruddick, K. (2015). SIMilarity Environment Correction (SIMEC) applied to MERIS data over inland and coastal waters. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 96–110 (in this issue).
- Stratoulas, D., Balzer, H., Zlinszky, A., & Tóth, V.R. (2015). Assessment of ecophysiology of lake shore reed vegetation based on chlorophyll fluorescence, field spectroscopy and hyperspectral airborne imagery. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 157, 72–84 (in this issue).