

# Deep learning inference of the neutron star equation of state

Giulia Ventagli<sup>1</sup> and Ippocratis D. Saltas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CEICO, Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Na Slovance 2, 182 21 Praha 8, Czechia

## Supplementary material

Classification NN						
$n$	$N$	Structure	batch size	epochs	binary accuracy	val. binary acc.
100	15	(30)→ 35, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.754 \pm 0.015$	$0.753 \pm 0.016$
100	15	(30)→ 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.802 \pm 0.008$	$0.802 \pm 0.009$
100	15	(30)→ 80, relu → 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.817 \pm 0.002$	$0.809 \pm 0.009$
200	15	(30)→ 80, relu → 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.823 \pm 0.002$	$0.818 \pm 0.008$
100	20	(40)→ 35, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.759 \pm 0.013$	$0.753 \pm 0.015$
100	20	(40)→ 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.804 \pm 0.007$	$0.798 \pm 0.010$
100	20	(40)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.833 \pm 0.005$	$0.829 \pm 0.008$
100	20	(40)→ 80, relu → 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.825 \pm 0.004$	$0.809 \pm 0.017$
200	20	(40)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.844 \pm 0.003$	$0.842 \pm 0.007$
200	20	(40)→ 120, relu → 120, relu → 110, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.862 \pm 0.001$	$0.845 \pm 0.004$
300	20	(40)→ 120, relu → 120, relu → 110, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.862 \pm 0.002$	$0.849 \pm 0.005$
100	30	(60)→ 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.804 \pm 0.008$	$0.791 \pm 0.014$
100	30	(60)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	$0.832 \pm 0.006$	$0.818 \pm 0.014$
200	30	(60)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.843 \pm 0.007$	$0.830 \pm 0.009$
200	30	(60)→ 200, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.876 \pm 0.003$	$0.866 \pm 0.008$
300	30	(60)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.854 \pm 0.007$	$0.846 \pm 0.012$
300	30	(60)→ 180, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.879 \pm 0.002$	$0.873 \pm 0.011$
300	30	(60)→ 215, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.884 \pm 0.002$	$0.878 \pm 0.007$
300	30	(60)→ 215, relu → 200 → 2, sigmoid	100	100	$0.889 \pm 0.001$	$0.865 \pm 0.016$

**Table 1:** Summary of the most efficient models we trained for the classification network for the  $M$ - $R$  input model. We vary the number ( $n$ ) of noise injections we perform on each of the doublet, the size of the observation set ( $N$ ), the number of layers and nodes. We trained each model for 100 epochs, and we found that a batch size of 100 is optimal for most configurations. However, for most of the cases where  $n = 100$ , a batch size of 50 was preferred. We trained each network 20 times, and report the mean binary accuracy and validation binary accuracy with their respective standard deviation.

Classification NN						
$n$	$N$	Structure	batch size	epochs	binary accuracy	val. binary accuracy
100	15	(45)→ 35, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.761 ± 0.013	0.754 ± 0.013
100	15	(45)→ 90, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.808 ± 0.003	0.803 ± 0.007
100	15	(45)→ 90, relu → 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.816 ± 0.003	0.806 ± 0.006
200	15	(45)→ 90, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.821 ± 0.002	0.813 ± 0.008
200	15	(45)→ 90, relu → 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.827 ± 0.002	0.821 ± 0.008
100	20	(60)→ 90, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.808 ± 0.006	0.800 ± 0.011
100	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.821 ± 0.006	0.812 ± 0.009
100	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.831 ± 0.003	0.817 ± 0.006
200	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.839 ± 0.005	0.833 ± 0.011
200	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.845 ± 0.003	0.835 ± 0.012
300	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.849 ± 0.003	0.845 ± 0.006
300	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 70, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.853 ± 0.003	0.848 ± 0.005
100	30	(90)→ 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.818 ± 0.007	0.802 ± 0.012
100	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.830 ± 0.004	0.819 ± 0.012
100	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	50	100	0.840 ± 0.005	0.822 ± 0.011
200	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.850 ± 0.005	0.844 ± 0.008
200	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.867 ± 0.003	0.853 ± 0.009
300	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.862 ± 0.006	0.857 ± 0.008
300	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 120, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.876 ± 0.003	0.864 ± 0.012
300	30	(90)→ 270, relu → 2, sigmoid	100	100	0.878 ± 0.003	0.872 ± 0.011

**Table 2:** Summary of the most efficient models we trained for the classification network for the  $M$ - $R$ - $k_2$  input model. We vary the number ( $n$ ) of noise injections we perform on each of the triplet, the size of the observation set ( $N$ ), the number of layers and nodes. We trained each model for 100 epochs, and we found that a batch size of 100 is optimal for most configurations. However, for most of the cases where  $n = 100$ , a batch size of 50 was preferred. We trained each network 20 times, and report the mean binary accuracy and validation binary accuracy with their respective standard deviation.

Regression NN						
$n$	$N$	Structure	batch size	epochs	msle train_loss	msle val_loss
100	15	(30)→ 35, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01819 ± 0.00003	0.01823 ± 0.00003
100	15	(30)→ 45, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01815 ± 0.00003	0.01814 ± 0.00003
200	15	(30)→ 35, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01809 ± 0.00002	0.01810 ± 0.00003
200	15	(30)→ 45, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01806 ± 0.00002	0.01807 ± 0.00003
100	20	(40)→ 35, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01814 ± 0.00003	0.01821 ± 0.00004
100	20	(40)→ 45, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01810 ± 0.00004	0.01818 ± 0.00004
200	20	(40)→ 35, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01807 ± 0.00004	0.01811 ± 0.00004
200	20	(40)→ 45, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01804 ± 0.00002	0.01808 ± 0.00003
100	30	(60)→ 45, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01809 ± 0.00003	0.01814 ± 0.00005
200	30	(60)→ 60, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01802 ± 0.00002	0.01807 ± 0.00005
300	30	(60)→ 60, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01800 ± 0.00002	0.01804 ± 0.00004
300	30	(60)→ 70, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01799 ± 0.00002	0.01802 ± 0.00004

**Table 3:** Summary of the most efficient models we trained for the regression network for the  $M$ - $R$  input model. We vary the number ( $n$ ) of noise injections we perform on each of the triplet, the size of the observation set ( $N$ ), the number of layers and nodes. We trained each model for 100 epochs, and we found that a batch size of 100 is the most optimal choice. We trained each network 20 times, and report the mean training and validation losses with their respective standard deviation.

Regression NN						
$n$	$N$	Structure	batch size	epochs	msle train_loss	msle val_loss
100	15	(45)→ 45, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01815 ± 0.00003	0.01816 ± 0.00006
100	15	(45)→ 65, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01809 ± 0.00001	0.01810 ± 0.00003
200	15	(45)→ 45, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01810 ± 0.00003	0.01817 ± 0.00005
200	15	(45)→ 65, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01804 ± 0.00002	0.01811 ± 0.00003
200	15	(45)→ 90, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01813 ± 0.00009	0.01808 ± 0.00003
100	20	(60)→ 65, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01807 ± 0.00002	0.01813 ± 0.00006
100	20	(60)→ 90, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01803 ± 0.00001	0.01808 ± 0.00002
100	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01801 ± 0.00001	0.01806 ± 0.00004
200	20	(60)→ 90, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01798 ± 0.00001	0.01805 ± 0.00002
200	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01798 ± 0.00001	0.01799 ± 0.00003
300	20	(60)→ 120, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01795 ± 0.00001	0.01800 ± 0.00002
100	30	(90)→ 90, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01805 ± 0.00001	0.01808 ± 0.00004
100	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01799 ± 0.00001	0.01804 ± 0.00003
200	30	(90)→ 90, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01802 ± 0.00001	0.01803 ± 0.00003
200	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01796 ± 0.00001	0.01799 ± 0.00004
300	30	(90)→ 90, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01799 ± 0.00002	0.01800 ± 0.00003
300	30	(90)→ 180, relu → 15, sigmoid	100	100	0.01794 ± 0.00001	0.01796 ± 0.00006

**Table 4:** Summary of the most efficient models we trained for the regression network for the  $M$ - $R$ - $k_2$  input model. We vary the number ( $n$ ) of noise injections we perform on each of the triplet, the size of the observation set ( $N$ ), the number of layers and nodes. We trained each model for 100 epochs, and we found that a batch size of 100 is the most optimal choice. We trained each network 20 times, and report the mean training and validation losses with their respective standard deviation.