

FORCE11 webinar Scholarly Commons Working Group

Friendly URL to live version of these notes (with comments): https://tinyurl.com/FORCE11-SCWG-webinar

All materials (including slides, recording) also uploaded to Zenodo:

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1112423

Live poll: https://tinyurl.com/commonspollwebinar

WEBINAR DETAILS

BACKGROUND MATERIAL

WEBINAR PROGRAMME

YOUR QUESTIONS

There may be a maximum of 50 participants allowed by the software. The webinar will be recorded and shared afterwards!

WEBINAR DETAILS

How to join:

from computer: https://ucsd.zoom.us/j/489-033-2111

• from phone: (US Toll): +1 (646) 558-8656, ID: 489-033-2111#

International numbers available: https://goo.gl/6wgeoA

Date: Friday December 8, 2017

Time: 9:00am PST (San Diego), 10:00am MST, 12:00pm EST (Boston), 15:00 BRST (São

Paulo), 17:00 UTC, 18:00 CET, 20:00 MSK (Moscow), 04:00 AEDT (Sydney), other time zones:

https://goo.gl/WxZ9Yp **Duration**: 90 minutes

BACKGROUND MATERIAL

Website: https://scholarlycommons.org/

Preprint: The Scholarly Commons - principles and practice to guide research communication

(DOI <u>10.17605/OSF.IO/6C2XT</u>)

WEBINAR PROGRAMME

- Introduction to the webinar: goals, attendees, practicalities
- The Scholarly Commons: roundup of what has been done and where we are now
- SCWG subgroups: issues addressed, future plans
 - SG1 Inclusivity
 - o SG2 Principles
 - SG3 Decision trees
 - SG4 Enabling technologies and infrastructures
- Live poll
- Where do we go from here?

YOUR QUESTIONS

[please add any questions you'd like to see addressed - you can do this before or during the webinar]

- I am a literary scholar joining you from Iran. Iran has not joined the international copyright law. Being barred from the 'international community and monetary systems' we must resort to several Russian websites to get necessary scholarly resources. Ironically, almost all scholarly publications in Iran are freeacess. What can I do to help the cause of FORCE? I know Persian and English. You can reach me at massihzekavat@gmail.com (Massih) Answer Jeroen Bosman: This is interesting and I will get in contact.
- About translation: It seems to me that automation / Al is getting better there. Hence, will
 that need to be a focus for much longer? (Katrin Leinweber) Answer Jeroen Bosman:
 agree that we probably will increasingly work with automatic translation coupled to
 human final correction/editing. As said on the call we hope to get the principles and
 website of the commons translated early next year.
- How about create a schol commons mooc, that could be adapted to each country reality (free and open opportunity of involvement as collaborators), to afford common platform, zoom technology, etc...? (Ricardo)
- Is anyone here interested in collaborating on low-level "plumbing" things like Zotero translators (JavaScript)? I'm interested in learning that, because there seem to be small gaps in the toolchain of finding and citing works. Gaps which IMHO prevent adoption of for example software citations. (Katrin.Leinweber@tib.eu)
- Would love to see (as this progresses) discussion of disciplinary cultures and their approaches to FAIR (molecular biology versus social sciences versus humanities, etc.)
 (Jeanine Finn) Answer Jeroen Bosman: this was perhaps too much to be dealt with in this webinar but of course it is certainly relevant. It may be something to give attention in creating decision trees for fair sharing of data. Of course there is already quite some literature on this, but we have to be aware of these differences in fostering the commons.

- I have a mandate to create a publishing platform for a society of about 3000 members with some funding and would like to make sure we are as compliant as possible with the commons, but need to start thinking of the actual webplatform (that I want to be opensource). Who are the people from this group who I should contact / would be interested? (I know Maryann is:) I am specifically trying to get good contact with technical people knowledgeable on publishing platform (JB Poline)
 - Is Open Journal Systems an option for you?
 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Open Journal Systems
 - We would like to go beyond "journal" with reviewing a larger number of research objects such as data and software but I will look more
 - CKAN for data & GitHub/Lab plus SoftwareHeritage for software?
 - Yes- I know those tools, but they may need some adaptation for a general public/scientific community review system.
 - Hm. Git's pull/merge request workflow seems to me like a pretty much as-public-as-possible reviewing system. I just worry that you'll have a lot of work on a new tool, and am looking for ideas to inject these few additional academic requirements into the existing tools. GitLab Scholar Edition, CKAN AutoCAD-Edition, etc.
 - Yes Github is close, (eg JOSS), but we need a full fledge publishing platform (research object handling etc) but agree - this is where we could start. Happy to talk more contact you. My gmail is jbpoline(at)gmail.com. In our community, medical doctors or psychologists cannot all do low level git interactions - they would need a web UI.
- Do you have a hook into the European open science cloud EOSC? It would be a good time to influence that.. (Neil Jacobs) *Answer Jeroen Bosman: Yes, very much aware of that. Actually they have recently drafted a commons-type governance model. Need to look into the details of that. See:*
 - http://eoscpilot.eu/content/d22-draft-governance-framework-european-open-science-cloud (click the link to the PDF there)
- ...? (your name)