Revealing Contrasting Outlooks: A Critical Examination of the Efficacy of Agile Project Management Frameworks in Business Process Outsourcing (BPO), Cebu City, Philippines

Jiomarie B. Jesus

Mandaue City College, Mandaue City, Cebu, Philippines
https://orcid.org/0009-0000-9818-2962

Abstract

To investigate the efficacy of Agile project management frameworks in the context of business process outsourcing (BPO), this study uses a descriptive study technique. To gather a variety of viewpoints, the study—which is being conducted at Cebu I.T. Park—involves 30 participants, including management staff and rank-and-file employees. The two groups' assessments of client satisfaction, the effectiveness of agile frameworks, project success metrics, client-provider communication, and continuous improvement practices, according to data analysis. the results demonstrate that employees' understanding of Agile frameworks is sophisticated and that managers have a more guarded view than regular employees. Prioritizing Agile methodologies, implementing customer feedback loops, and encouraging teamwork with customers are among the suggestions. The report also emphasizes how critical it is to close perception gaps using communication campaigns and training initiatives, emphasizing the intricate relationship that exists between Agile principles and company culture. In the end, the study offers insightful information about how to best apply Agile implementation techniques in BPO settings to increase efficiency and client happiness.

Keywords: Agile project management, business process outsourcing, stakeholder perspectives, organizational culture, Cebu City, Philippines.

1. Introduction

The implementation of Agile techniques has signaled a paradigm shift in the constantly changing field of project management by providing businesses with a flexible way to navigate the complexities of contemporary project execution (Conboy & Fitzgerald, 2004; Schwaber & Sutherland, 2017). In the face of quickly shifting market dynamics, agile project management frameworks—like Scrum, Kanban, and hybrid approaches—have gained importance as accelerators for creativity, adaptability, and teamwork (Cervone et al., 2019; Leffingwell, 2019). But underneath the surface of universal acclaim, there is a rich tapestry of varied viewpoints and contrasting outlooks that beg for further academic investigation (Dikert et al., 2016; Iivari & Huisman, 2018). Project success depends on an awareness of the subtle effectiveness of Agile frameworks, especially when businesses struggle with the complexity of uncertainty and change.

Among the growing number of voices praising Agile techniques, it is becoming more and more evident that differing viewpoints exist concerning their suitability in various organizational settings (Dybå & Dingsøyr, 2008; Stettina & Heijstek, 2017). Agile frameworks have garnered praise from certain stakeholders for promoting agility, transparency, and customer-centricity. However, there are concerns about their applicability, scalability, and alignment with corporate goals, as expressed by Denne & Cleland-Huang (2014) and Dybå & Dingsøyr (2008). This conflicting set of opinions highlights the necessity for a rigorous analysis of Agile project management, one that goes beyond cursory evaluations to explore the fundamental elements influencing attitudes and results.

In light of this, the goal of this research is to clarify the complex relationships that surround Agile project management by exploring the areas where theory and practice converge and highlighting the strategies to achieve project success in the face of conflicting opinions (Dybå & Dingsøyr, 2008; Leffingwell, 2019). This research aims to provide a deeper understanding of how organizations can utilize Agile frameworks to their fullest potential to accomplish project objectives by conducting a thorough analysis of Agile methodologies and their user contexts (Cervone et al., 2019; Schwaber & Sutherland, 2017). This study aims to offer practical insights that enable organizations to confidently and competently traverse the intricacies of project execution by examining the advantages and disadvantages of Agile project management.

Essentially, this study is an academic attempt to explore the subtleties of Agile project management, illuminating the divergent perspectives that mold views of effectiveness in various organizational contexts (Iivari & Huisman, 2018; Stettina & Heijstek, 2017). This study attempts to provide insightful analysis that supports ongoing project management process improvement and decision-making by closely investigating the interface of Agile theory and practice (Dikert et al., 2016; Schwaber & Sutherland, 2017). Finally, by conducting a thorough examination of Agile frameworks and the circumstances in which they are used, this study aims to further the current conversation about efficient project management techniques in the digital era.

Statement of Null Hypothesis:
HO1: There is no impact between the effectiveness of agile project management frameworks and customer satisfaction.

2. Review of the Related Literature

Customer satisfaction is the most important metric in the ever-changing business world since it captures all aspects of a customer's interaction with a company, product, or service. It goes beyond simple measurements by expressing how well their requirements, preferences, and expectations are satisfied, which promotes advocacy, recurring business, and enduring loyalty (Anderson, 2015; Robinson & White, 2016; Smith, 2018). Establishing long-lasting connections based on dependable and trustworthy communication helps firms succeed in the market and maintain a strong brand image. To improve customer happiness over time, companies use a range of tactics, including surveys, feedback systems,

INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY, AND EXCELLENCE (IMJRISE)
ISSN: 3028-032X (online) | ISSN: 3028-0370 (print)
Volume 1, Issue no. 5 (2024)

P a g e 55

and proactive client engagement programs. These allow them to pinpoint areas that need attention and adjust their services to better meet changing needs. In this section, we examine the complex field of client satisfaction, its importance, measurement methods, and its critical role in determining organizational success. This will enable businesses to confidently navigate the competitive landscape and provide their clients with unmatched value (Anderson, 2015; Robinson & White, 2016; Smith, 2018).

2.1. Client Satisfaction
The evaluation of a customer's experience with a product, service, or organization from all angles—including total engagement, customer service, product quality, and dependability—is embodied by client satisfaction. It indicates how well the client's requirements, preferences, and expectations are satisfied, which in turn affects their advocacy, repeat business and loyalty. Organizations can identify areas for improvement and improve their offerings to ensure continued success and positive brand perception by tracking client satisfaction over time through surveys, feedback mechanisms, and customer engagement initiatives (Anderson, 2015; Robinson & White, 2016; Smith, 2018).

2.2. Effectiveness of Agile Frameworks
Agile frameworks work well because they make it easier for organizations to manage projects collaboratively, iteratively, and adaptively. This helps them adjust quickly to changing market conditions, customer feedback, and requirements. Scrum, Kanban, and Lean are examples of agile frameworks that place a strong emphasis on concepts like incremental delivery, customer collaboration, and continuous improvement. These frameworks are designed to maximize project results, boost team productivity, and provide value to stakeholders. Assessing the influence of Agile frameworks on stakeholder satisfaction, organizational agility, project delivery speed, and product quality allows for well-informed decision-making and process improvement (Schwaber, 2015; Rubin, 2016; Larman & Vodde, 2017; Cohn, 2018).

2.3. Project Success Metrics
A wide range of performance indicators are included in project success metrics, which are used to assess how well project goals are reached, how well budget and schedule are followed, and how well stakeholder expectations are satisfied. These metrics, which give stakeholders information on project performance, resource utilization, and value delivery, may include measurements of the project scope, cost variance, schedule variance, defect density, customer satisfaction, and stakeholder involvement. Organizations can optimize project outcomes and enhance overall project success by tracking project success metrics throughout the project lifecycle, identifying trends, anticipating challenges, and implementing corrective actions (Larman & Vodde, 2015; Serrador & Pinto, 2016; Conboy & Fitzgerald, 2017; Taylor & Clark, 2019).

2.4. Client-Provider Communication
Throughout a project, client-provider communication comprises the efficient flow of information, expectations, and feedback between clients and service providers. To provide clarity, openness, and goal alignment, it incorporates both official and informal communication channels, including meetings, emails, reports, and collaborative tools. Good communication between clients and providers promotes mutual understanding, trust, and cooperation, which helps both sides overcome obstacles and complete projects. Organizations can improve client satisfaction, reduce risks, and create enduring partnerships by emphasizing open, timely, and transparent communication (Kim & Hornby, 2015; Robinson & White, 2016; Larman & Vodde, 2017; Wang & Smith, 2018).

2.5. Continuous Improvement Practices
Continuous improvement procedures involve methodical attempts to find, assess, and apply improvements to products, services, and processes to reach greater standards of effectiveness, caliber, and client satisfaction. These methods, which are informed by ideas like Kaizen, Lean thinking, and Agile approaches, entail constant learning, experimenting, and adaptation. Through the cultivation of a culture that prioritizes creativity, empowerment, and responsibility, firms can stimulate employee ideation, rectify inefficiencies, and propel constructive transformation. Organizations can maintain their competitiveness, responsiveness to market demands, and dedication to providing value to consumers and stakeholders by using continuous improvement strategies (Poppendieck & Poppendieck, 2017; Larman & Vodde, 2017; Schwaber, 2015).

3. Methodology

3.1. Research Design
Using a thorough descriptive study methodology, the investigation focuses on the differing perspectives among stakeholders regarding the effectiveness of Agile project management frameworks in the field of business process outsourcing (BPO), analyzing the fascinating dynamics of opposing viewpoints in "Revealing Contrasting Outlooks: A Critical Examination of the Efficacy of Agile Project Management Frameworks." It conducts a rigorous examination of these frameworks' efficacy, carefully examining condensed information derived from the observations of both management and rank-and-file staff.

3.2. Research Setting
The study is being done at Cebu I.T. Park, a busy location home to significant BPO companies such as Teleperformance SE, JPMorgan Chase & Co., Accenture Inc., Concentrix, and Qualfon Philippines Inc. Target replies include rank-and-file staff, managers, supervisors, and the entire management team. The 30 participants in the sample ensured a robust and diverse dataset, which expanded the breadth and depth of the research findings.

3.3. Sampling
A total of 30 respondents—20 rank-and-file employees and 10 management personnel—are included in this purposive sampling study, which results in a single assessment. Both teams provide their insightful insights during this careful selection process, which enhances the thorough assessment of Agile project management frameworks.

3.4 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics are used to summarize mean scores and counts in the statistical analysis of the data. Additionally, ANOVA is employed to compare perceptions between rank-and-file and management personnel. Analyzing categorical data looks at qualitative answers; multivariate methods, such as principal component analysis, look for underlying patterns. Together, these techniques offer perceptions into the efficacy of Agile project management frameworks, directing efforts toward enhancement.

3.5. *Ethical Consideration*

Protecting the rights and welfare of study participants requires ethical research practices. Three essential tenets of ethical research are outlined in the Belmont Report: fairness, beneficence, and respect for human dignity. To adhere to these standards, research techniques must be carefully planned, carried out, and communicated.

Content, Comprehension, and Documentation of Informed Consent:

Potential participants need to be aware of the precise nature of the study and how it differs from routine activities or medical interventions. It is essential to communicate clearly about how the study will use the data. The general objectives of the study should be clearly outlined, along with an explanation of how the data will be used. Participants should be informed of the types of data that will be collected, both quantitative and qualitative, to uphold transparency.

Prospective participants should be fully informed about the procedures followed to collect data and about any special care that might be administered. There needs to be clarity on the expected time commitment and contact frequency so that participants may make educated judgments. The sponsorship or funding sources for the study, together with any academic requirements, must be revealed to maintain transparency. Providing details about the processes used in participant selection and the total number of participants enhances transparency and fosters confidence. It is necessary to talk about any possible hazards, whether they be financial, social, psychological, or physical, as well as the measures being taken to lower them. Participants should be informed of the potential risks and the precautions being taken to lessen them, including the availability of medicines if necessary. It is important to thoroughly inform participants of any prospective benefits, such as tangible gains, enhanced comprehension, and availability of interventions.
Any additional benefits beyond the ones that are explicitly mentioned should be disclosed to the participants. Any alternative methods of therapy or procedures that would be advantageous to participants must be revealed. Agreements must be discussed explicitly if stipends or reimbursements are provided. If there are non-monetary rewards offered, it's wise to consider other payment methods. Ensuring participant privacy protection and confidentiality is of utmost importance. Strict confidentiality measures, such as limited access to identifying information or anonymity, should be implemented to safeguard participant data. Participants are informed about the availability of their information and by whom. It is guaranteed that participants will not be charged for withdrawing from the activity at any point. It's critical to notify participants of their option to withdraw from the study at any moment and, if desired, to keep some information private.

Debriefing, Communications, and Referrals

Respect for participants should be shown by researchers through interactions that are conducted with subtlety, professionalism, and cultural sensitivity. After data collection, debriefing sessions must be provided to address participant concerns or questions, particularly in situations involving stress or ethical issues. Post-study communications must include expressing gratitude to participants and acknowledging their contributions. If participants need more support or help beyond what is covered by the research, referrals to suitable health, social, or psychological services should be made.

Conflict of Interest

Any possible conflicts of interest that can jeopardize the research's objectivity or integrity must be disclosed by researchers. To keep credibility and trust, there needs to be open and honest discussion about any financial or personal concerns.

Treatment of Vulnerability Groups

Vulnerable populations should receive extra attention, such that study protocols minimize hazards and put participants' welfare first. Parental consent and child assent procedures, as well as ethical principles and legislation, must be followed in informed consent procedures for vulnerable groups, such as children. A description of the steps taken to reduce hazards and shield people who are more susceptible to harm should be included, along with any extra security and supervision that may be required. Researchers can protect study participants' rights, dignity, and well-being by following these ethical guidelines and principles, which will promote integrity and trust in the research process.

4. Results and Discussion

Table 1. SUMMARIZED DATA ON THE ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF AGILE PROJECT MANAGEMENT FRAMEWORKS

Indicators	Rank-and-File n = 20		Mgt. Personnel n = 10		Consolidated N = 30	
	$\bar{x}$	Int	$\bar{x}$	Int	$\bar{x}$	Int
A. Client Satisfaction	2.82	MS	2.51	MS	2.78	MS
B. Effectiveness of Agile Frameworks	2.63	MS	2.07	LS	2.55	MS
C. Project Success Metrics	2.89	MS	2.22	LS	2.79	MS
D. Client-Provider Communication	2.76	MS	2.07	LS	2.66	MS
E. Continuous Improvement Practices	2.77	MS	3.15	MS	2.83	MS
Grand Mean	**2.77**	**MS**	**2.40**	**LS**	**2.72**	**MS**

Legend: 1.00-1.74 Not Practiced [NP]; 1.75-2.49 Less Practiced [LP]; 2.50-3.24 Moderately Practiced [MP]; 3.25-4.00 Highly Practiced [HP]

Table 1 displays the careful examination that is contained in the analysis and reveals a rich tapestry of viewpoints about the effectiveness of Agile project management frameworks, as examined through the various lenses of 20 rank-and-file employees and 10 management employees, culminating in a unified assessment. In this scientific discussion, both groups share their astute observations, together creating a picture of efforts at client pleasure that are only moderately practiced—mean ratings of 2.82 and 2.51, respectively.

Notably, there is a clear divide regarding how effective Agile frameworks are perceived. While management personnel have a more reserved outlook (mean score of 2.07), rank-and-file personnel assign a moderately practiced ethos (mean score of 2.63), suggesting a subtle divergence in evaluative paradigms. Moreover, although both cohorts admit some alignment with project success indicators, the rank-and-file perspective has a slightly more positive attitude, with mean scores of 2.89 and 2.22, respectively. Simultaneously, both segments have a moderate level of client-provider communication practice, and rank-and-file employees have a little more positive attitude (mean scores of 2.76 and 2.07, respectively). Additionally, there is a noticeable difference in the management personnel's level of commitment to continuous improvement processes, as indicated by their mean scores of 2.77 and 3.15, respectively. These subtle differences highlight how diversely Agile principles may be operationalized, while also providing opportunities for reflection and improvement. Therefore, the overall storyline promotes an admirable but flexible adherence to Agile principles, signaling the beginning of a period of CIP programs ready to maximize project management frameworks.

5. Recommendation

The information reveals different viewpoints across rank-and-file employees as well as upper management individuals, offering nuanced insights into the evaluation of Agile project management frameworks' efficacy. Although both groups recognize initiatives that are somewhat performed and focused on client happiness, there is a discernible difference in how well the Agile framework is seen by the two groups—rank-and-file personnel sees it more favorably than management does. This emphasizes the necessity of learning more about the underlying causes influencing these opinions. Furthermore, discrepancies in how the two cohorts correlate with project success measures and client-provider communication techniques point to different priorities or comprehensions of the project's goals. The observed discrepancy in dedication to procedures for continuous improvement emphasizes, even more, how difficult it is to apply Agile in corporate settings. It emphasizes the significance of developing a shared understanding and commitment to Agile principles across all levels of the organization. By addressing these disparities and utilizing the strengths of each perspective, project management frameworks can be optimized and Agile implementation strategies can be strengthened to maximize effectiveness and client satisfaction.

Organizations should prioritize using Agile approaches, incorporating customer feedback loops, and incorporating iterative development cycles into their project management processes to optimize client satisfaction. Businesses can better adapt their products and services to changing client needs and preferences by adopting Agile principles, such as customer collaboration and change-responsiveness. This suggestion is consistent with research by Anderson (2015) and Robinson & White (2016), which highlight the role that customer happiness plays in encouraging repeat business and cultivating a favorable brand image. Organizations can also promote greater collaboration between cross-functional teams and clients by utilizing Agile frameworks like Scrum and Kanban, which promote transparency and goal alignment (Smith, 2018).

This proposal is new since it emphasizes the use of Agile processes explicitly to improve client happiness, which is a factor that is frequently disregarded in more conventional project management approaches. Organizations can gain a competitive edge and cultivate long connections with their clients by prioritizing client-centricity and responsiveness. This advice also highlights how sophisticated Agile frameworks are at handling difficult business problems, providing a flexible and adaptable project management method that fits the ever-changing demands of contemporary companies.

To bridge the perception gap between rank-and-file staff and management regarding the success of Agile, organizations should fund extensive training programs and communication campaigns. Organizations can cultivate a common knowledge and commitment to Agile practices at all organizational levels by offering education on the principles of Agile and their practical applications. Additionally, open lines of communication should be set up to enable discussion and feedback sharing between staff members and management, enabling expectations and

**INTERNATIONAL MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL OF RESEARCH
FOR INNOVATION, SUSTAINABILITY, AND EXCELLENCE (IMJRISE)**
ISSN: 3028-032X (online) | ISSN: 3028-0370 (print)
Volume 1, Issue no. 5 (2024)

P a g e 58

priorities to be aligned. The suggestions made by Dybå & Dingsøyr (2008) and Stettina & Heijstek (2017), who emphasize the significance of organizational alignment in Agile adoption and efficacy, are in line with this advice.

This advice is new because it takes a proactive stance in closing the perception gap, realizing that successful Agile implementation calls for shared values and cultural alignment inside the organization in addition to procedural modifications. Organizations can leverage workforce collective intelligence to propel innovation and enhancement by cultivating a culture of open communication and ongoing learning. This suggestion exemplifies the complexity of Agile as a mentality that penetrates company culture and influences decision-making at all levels, rather than merely being a collection of techniques.

6. Conclusion

Based on the evaluations of both rank-and-file staff and management personnel, the data analysis illuminates the various viewpoints regarding the efficacy of Agile project management frameworks. While acknowledging efforts toward client satisfaction that are modestly practiced, there is a noticeable difference in how these two groups perceive the success of the Agile framework, which highlights the need for more research into the underlying variables influencing these judgments. Further highlighting the complexities of Agile adoption within corporate contexts are discrepancies in alignment with project success indicators, client-provider communication strategies, and commitment to continuous improvement processes. Optimizing project management frameworks and maximizing performance and client satisfaction requires addressing these differences and building a shared knowledge and commitment to Agile concepts across all levels of the organization. To ensure responsiveness to shifting needs and preferences, organizations should prioritize the adoption of Agile methodologies, incorporate customer feedback loops, and foster collaboration between cross-functional teams and clients. By doing so, they will gain a competitive edge and foster long-lasting relationships with their clients. Further demonstrating the holistic nature of Agile as a mindset that permeates organizational culture and decision-making processes, bridging the perception gap between various organizational levels regarding Agile success calls for extensive training programs, communication campaigns, and a cultural shift toward open communication and ongoing learning.

References:

Anderson, J. (2015). Agile management for software engineering: Applying the theory of constraints for business results. Pearson Education.

Cohn, M. (2018). Succeeding with agile: Software development using Scrum. Addison-Wesley Professional.

Denne, M., & Cleland-Huang, J. (2014). Software by numbers: Low-risk, high-return development. Addison-Wesley Professional.

Dikert, K., Paasivaara, M., & Lassenius, C. (2016). Challenges and success factors for large-scale agile transformations: A systematic literature review. Journal of Systems and Software, 119, 87-108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2016.06.013.

Dybå, T., & Dingsøyr, T. (2008). Empirical studies of agile software development: A systematic review. Information and Software Technology, 50(9-10), 833-859. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2008.01.006.

Iivari, J., & Huisman, M. (2018). The agile transformation framework: Adapting agile in large organizations. Journal of Systems and Software, 144, 267-286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2018.05.019.

Kim, W., & Hornby, A. S. (2015). A guide to the project management body of knowledge (PMBOK guide). Project Management Institute.

Larman, C., & Vodde, B. (2017). Large-scale scrum: More with LeSS. Addison-Wesley Professional.

Poppendieck, M., & Poppendieck, T. (2017). Leading lean software development: Results are not the point. Addison-Wesley Professional.

Robinson, S. L., & White, C. S. (2016). How and why customer satisfaction matters. Strategic Direction, 32(9), 14-16. https://doi.org/10.1108/SD-08-2016-0136.

Rubin, K. (2016). Essential scrum: A practical guide to the most popular agile process. Addison-Wesley Professional.

Schwaber, K., & Sutherland, J. (2017). The Scrum guide. Scrum.org. https://www.scrum.org/resources/scrum-guide.

Smith, P. (2018). Agile principles unleashed. Pearson Education.

Stettina, C. J., & Heijstek, W. (2017). Agile in the public sector: A systematic literature review. International Journal of Information System Modeling and Design, 8(2), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJISMD.2017070101.

Taylor, H., & Clark, L. (2019). Project management survival: A practical guide to leading, managing, and delivering challenging projects. Kogan Page.

Wang, X., & Smith, R. B. (2018). The benefits of effective communication in project management. Journal of Systems and Software, 135, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2017.09.031.