



=====  
**Enhancing Problem-Solving Skills in Mathematics Education:  
A Study on Realistic Mathematics Education in the Philippines**  
=====

**Estrella E. Enriquez**

Don Vicente Rama Memorial National High School, Macopa St., Basak San Nicolas, Cebu City  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-1586-2283>

**Osias Kit T. Kilag**

PAU Excellencia Global Academy Foundation, Inc., Mercado St., Poblacion, Toledo City, Philippines  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0845-3373>

**Stephany M. Llurag**

University of the Visayas, Cebu City, Philippines and Tecoy Porter College Prep, Sacramento, CA, USA  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0004-5008-5804>

**Khen M. Villanueva**

Department of Education, Schools Division of Toledo City, Philippines  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9334-0367>

**Miraflor M. Samutya**

Media Once Elementary School, Media Once, Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines  
<https://orcid.org/0009-0008-4536-4808>

**Jason Isaac III A. Rabi**

Gen. Climaco National High School, Gen. Climaco, Toledo City, Cebu, Philippines  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0912-2058>

**Abstract:**

This study investigates the effectiveness of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) in enhancing problem-solving skills within the Philippine educational system. Through a systematic review of existing literature, the study explores the impact of RME on students' problem-solving abilities, engagement, and alignment with constructivist principles. The review identifies consistent evidence suggesting that the implementation of RME positively influences students' problem-solving skills, leading to improvements in performance on problem-solving tasks. Additionally, RME is found to promote increased student engagement and understanding of mathematical concepts by providing meaningful learning experiences in real-world contexts. Furthermore, the study highlights the alignment between RME principles and constructivist theories of learning, emphasizing the importance of student-centered approaches in mathematics education. However, despite the positive outcomes observed, there remains a need for further research to fully understand the long-term effects of RME implementation and to address potential challenges in its scalability and adaptability. Overall, the findings of this study contribute to the ongoing efforts to improve mathematics education in the Philippines and provide insights into effective pedagogical strategies for enhancing problem-solving skills among students.

*Keywords:* Realistic Mathematics Education, problem-solving skills, student engagement, constructivist learning, mathematics education

**Introduction:**

In contemporary education systems, the acquisition of problem-solving skills is paramount, as emphasized by the World Economic Forum (2020), citing it as one of the most sought-after abilities in the coming years. Mathematics education, in particular, plays a central role in fostering problem-solving capabilities among learners (DepEd, 2020). Despite concerted efforts by international and local education bodies, mathematics remains a formidable challenge for many students, with problem-solving skills often identified as a key area of weakness (Escarez & Ching, 2022; Caraan, et al., 2023).

The Philippines, in particular, faces significant hurdles in this domain, as evidenced by its low performance in international assessments such as the Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2018, where it ranked last among 79 countries in mathematics (OECD, 2019). Moreover, national assessments and research studies over the past decade have consistently highlighted the poor mathematical performance of Filipino students across all education levels (Roman, 2019; Imam, 2016; Pentang, 2021).

In response to these challenges, there is a growing interest in exploring innovative teaching approaches that could potentially enhance students' mathematical skills, particularly in problem-solving. One such approach is the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) approach, which originated in the Netherlands in the 1970s (Laurens et al., 2018). The RME approach is grounded in the idea of using students' everyday experiences as a foundation for mathematical learning (Kosim & Tirta, 2020). However, despite its success in other contexts, there is a paucity of research on the effectiveness of the RME approach in the Philippine educational setting (Laurens et al., 2018).



This study seeks to address this gap by examining the potential of the RME approach to enhance problem-solving skills among Filipino students. By adapting the RME approach to the Philippine context and incorporating it into the mathematics curriculum, this study aims to investigate its impact on students' problem-solving abilities.

### **Literature Review:**

Mathematics education is widely recognized as crucial for equipping students with problem-solving skills essential for success in the modern world (World Economic Forum, 2020). Despite efforts to prioritize problem-solving in curricula, students in the Philippines continue to struggle with mathematics, particularly in areas such as algebra and geometry (Roman, 2019). The Program for International Student Assessment (PISA) 2018 ranked the Philippines last out of 79 countries in mathematics, highlighting the urgent need for effective interventions (OECD, 2019). The Department of Education (DepEd) has emphasized the importance of problem-solving skills in its curriculum, aiming to prepare Filipino students for the demands of the 21st century (Escarez & Ching, 2022).

In response to these challenges, educational researchers have explored innovative teaching approaches to improve mathematics learning outcomes. One such approach is Realistic Mathematics Education (RME), introduced by the Freudenthal Institute in the Netherlands in the 1970s (Laurens et al., 2018). RME emphasizes the use of real-world contexts to engage students in meaningful mathematical learning experiences (Zakaria & Syamaun, 2017). While international studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of RME in enhancing students' mathematical achievement levels (Laurens et al., 2018), its application in the Philippines remains limited.

Theoretical frameworks such as constructivism underpin the RME approach, aligning with the idea that learners construct knowledge through meaningful interactions with their environment (Dewey, 1986). RME incorporates core heuristic principles, including guided reinvention, didactical phenomenology, and emergent model, to facilitate students' mathematical understanding (Bray & Tangney, 2016). However, there is a gap in the literature regarding the implementation of RME in the Philippine context and its impact on students' problem-solving skills.

This study aims to address this gap by investigating the effectiveness of implementing the RME approach in the Philippine educational system. By adapting RME principles to suit the local context, this research seeks to explore how RME can enhance students' problem-solving abilities in mathematics. The study will examine the pre-test and post-test performances of students on problem-solving tasks before and after the implementation of RME, drawing on George Polya's four phases of problem-solving (Polya, 1949).

### **Methodology:**

The methodology applied in this study comprised a systematic review aimed at investigating the efficacy of integrating the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) approach into the Philippine educational framework. Adhering to established protocols, the systematic review was conducted to ensure both rigor and comprehensiveness in the selection and analysis of pertinent literature.

A thorough search was undertaken across academic databases, including Google Scholar, ERIC, and PubMed, utilizing relevant keywords such as "Realistic Mathematics Education," "Philippines," and "problem-solving skills."

Selected studies for review adhered to specific criteria: they were published in peer-reviewed journals, written in English, and focused on the implementation of RME in mathematics education within the Philippines, with an emphasis on assessing problem-solving skills as an outcome measure.

Exclusion criteria were applied to studies that failed to meet the inclusion criteria or were duplicates. Titles and abstracts of identified studies underwent independent screening by two reviewers to ascertain eligibility for full-text review. Full texts of potentially suitable studies were retrieved and evaluated for inclusion based on pre-established criteria.

Relevant data extracted from included studies encompassed author(s), publication year, study design, sample characteristics, intervention details, outcome measures, and findings pertaining to problem-solving skills. Synthesis of extracted data was undertaken to offer a comprehensive overview of key findings from the included studies, specifically focusing on the impact of RME on students' problem-solving abilities.

### **Findings and Discussion:**

#### **Positive Impact on Problem-Solving Skills:**

The systematic review conducted in this study revealed a consistent pattern of evidence suggesting that the implementation of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) in mathematics education has a positive impact on students' problem-solving abilities. Numerous studies have reported significant improvements in students' performance on problem-solving tasks following their engagement with RME-based instructional activities.



One study by Zakaria and Syamaun (2017) demonstrated that students exposed to RME principles showed higher levels of proficiency in solving mathematical problems compared to those taught through traditional methods. The authors attributed this improvement to the contextualized nature of RME, which allowed students to connect mathematical concepts to real-world situations, thereby enhancing their problem-solving skills.

Similarly, Laurens et al. (2018) conducted a meta-analysis of international studies on teaching approaches in mathematics and found that RME was consistently associated with improved problem-solving abilities among students. The authors noted that RME's emphasis on real-world contexts and authentic problem scenarios enabled students to develop a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts and apply them in novel situations.

Furthermore, a study by Kosim and Tirta (2020) investigated the impact of RME on students' problem-solving skills in the Indonesian context, which shares similarities with the Philippines in terms of educational challenges. The researchers found that RME facilitated students' ability to analyze complex problems, formulate strategies, and justify their solutions, indicating a significant enhancement in problem-solving proficiency.

Moreover, Bray and Tangney (2016) conducted a comprehensive literature review on RME as a strategy for teaching mathematics and identified multiple studies supporting its effectiveness in improving problem-solving skills. The authors highlighted the role of RME in promoting active engagement, critical thinking, and mathematical reasoning among students, ultimately leading to more successful problem-solving outcomes.

The findings from these studies provide robust empirical evidence supporting the positive impact of RME on students' problem-solving skills. By incorporating real-world contexts and authentic problem scenarios into mathematics instruction, RME enables students to develop a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts and apply them effectively in problem-solving tasks.

#### **Enhanced Engagement and Understanding:**

Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) has been consistently associated with increased student engagement and deeper understanding of mathematical concepts. This pedagogical approach emphasizes the use of real-world contexts and authentic problem scenarios, which has been found to enhance students' learning experiences and promote meaningful engagement with mathematical content.

A study by Zakaria and Syamaun (2017) explored the impact of RME on student engagement in mathematics learning. The researchers observed that RME lessons, which incorporated real-world examples and hands-on activities, resulted in higher levels of student interest and participation compared to traditional instruction methods. The authors attributed this increased engagement to the relevance of RME tasks to students' everyday experiences, which made mathematical concepts more accessible and meaningful.

Similarly, Laurens et al. (2018) conducted a meta-analysis of international studies on teaching approaches in mathematics and found that RME was consistently associated with higher levels of student engagement. The authors noted that RME's focus on contextualized learning experiences allowed students to see the practical applications of mathematics in their lives, leading to greater motivation and interest in the subject.

Furthermore, Kosim and Tirta (2020) investigated the effects of RME on students' understanding of mathematical concepts in the Indonesian context. The researchers found that RME lessons facilitated deeper conceptual understanding among students by providing them with opportunities to explore mathematical ideas in real-world contexts. Through hands-on activities and problem-solving tasks, students were able to make connections between abstract mathematical concepts and concrete situations, leading to a more robust understanding of the material.

Moreover, a study by Dickinson and Hough (2012) examined the implementation of RME in primary classrooms and its impact on student learning outcomes. The researchers found that RME lessons promoted active engagement and critical thinking among students, as they were encouraged to explore mathematical concepts through real-life examples and collaborative problem-solving activities. This hands-on approach to learning fostered a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts and increased student confidence in their abilities.

The evidence from these studies suggests that RME enhances student engagement and understanding of mathematical concepts by providing meaningful learning experiences in real-world contexts (Ompad Jr, et al., 2024). By incorporating authentic problem scenarios and hands-on activities, RME promotes active participation and deepens students' conceptual understanding of mathematics.

#### **Alignment with Constructivist Principles:**

The systematic review conducted in this study underscored the alignment between Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) principles and constructivist theories of learning. RME, with its emphasis on student-centered, experiential learning, provides ample opportunities for students to construct their own mathematical knowledge, thus fostering a deeper understanding of mathematical concepts.



According to Dewey (1986), a key proponent of constructivism, learning is most effective when it is active, experiential, and rooted in real-world contexts. RME embodies these principles by engaging students in authentic problem-solving tasks that require them to draw on their prior knowledge and experiences. By presenting mathematical concepts in familiar, real-life situations, RME enables students to make meaningful connections between abstract mathematical ideas and concrete phenomena (Bray & Tangney, 2016).

Guided reinvention, a core heuristic principle of RME, encourages students to actively participate in the construction of mathematical knowledge by exploring and rediscovering mathematical concepts for themselves (Anwar et al., 2012). Through guided reinvention, students are guided through a process of discovery where they reconstruct mathematical ideas in a way that makes sense to them, thereby deepening their understanding of the material.

Similarly, didactical phenomenology, another fundamental principle of RME, emphasizes the importance of providing students with learning experiences that are personally meaningful and relevant to their lives (Stephan et al., 2014). By contextualizing mathematical concepts within students' everyday experiences, RME enables students to see the practical applications of mathematics and understand its relevance to their lives.

Moreover, emergent modeling, a third heuristic principle of RME, encourages students to develop their own mathematical models and representations to make sense of mathematical concepts (Stephan et al., 2014). Through emergent modeling, students engage in a process of sense-making where they construct their own understanding of mathematical ideas based on their experiences and observations. This active involvement in the construction of mathematical knowledge fosters a sense of ownership and autonomy among students, leading to deeper conceptual understanding (Anwar et al., 2012).

The alignment between RME principles and constructivist theories of learning has been supported by empirical research. For example, Dickinson and Hough (2012) conducted a review of current research on RME in primary schools and found consistent evidence of its effectiveness in promoting student engagement and conceptual understanding of mathematics. Similarly, Bray and Tangney (2016) conducted a comprehensive literature review on RME as a strategy for teaching mathematics and identified multiple studies supporting its alignment with constructivist principles.

The findings of this review highlight the strong alignment between RME principles and constructivist theories of learning. By providing opportunities for active, experiential learning and encouraging students to construct their own mathematical knowledge, RME offers a powerful approach to mathematics education that promotes deep conceptual understanding and meaningful engagement among students.

#### **Need for Further Research:**

While existing studies provide evidence of the positive impact of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) on students' problem-solving skills and engagement, the review identifies several areas warranting further investigation to fully understand the potential of RME in the Philippine educational context.

While many studies have demonstrated immediate improvements in students' problem-solving abilities following RME implementation, there is a need to assess the long-term effects of this approach. Longitudinal studies tracking students' mathematical achievement over time would provide valuable insights into the sustained impact of RME on learning outcomes (Bahena, et al., 2024). Additionally, research examining the sustainability of RME initiatives beyond the duration of specific interventions is essential to ensure lasting benefits for students.

The review highlights the importance of exploring the scalability and adaptability of RME in diverse educational settings within the Philippines. While RME has shown promise in improving problem-solving skills in various contexts, its effectiveness may vary depending on factors such as school resources, teacher training, and student demographics (Villarin, et al., 2024). Further research is needed to assess the feasibility of implementing RME on a larger scale and to identify strategies for adapting the approach to meet the needs of different schools and communities.

While RME has been shown to enhance problem-solving skills, the specific mechanisms through which it exerts its influence remain unclear. Further research is needed to investigate the underlying processes involved in RME-based instruction, including the role of guided reinvention, didactical phenomenology, and emergent modeling in facilitating students' mathematical learning. Understanding these mechanisms is crucial for refining instructional practices and optimizing the effectiveness of RME in promoting problem-solving skills (Clemente, et al., 2024).

Despite its potential benefits, implementing RME in practice may pose challenges for teachers, schools, and educational systems. Further research is needed to identify and address potential barriers to effective RME implementation, such as limited teacher training, curriculum constraints, and cultural factors. By understanding



and mitigating these challenges, educators can better support the successful integration of RME into mathematics instruction (Igcasama, et al., 2023).

While existing research provides valuable insights into the potential of Realistic Mathematics Education for enhancing problem-solving skills in the Philippines, further investigation is needed to address gaps in knowledge and ensure the effective implementation and sustainability of RME initiatives.

### **Conclusion:**

This study has provided valuable insights into the potential of Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) as a pedagogical approach to enhance problem-solving skills in the Philippine educational context. Through a systematic review of existing literature, we have identified several key findings regarding the impact of RME on students' problem-solving abilities, engagement, and alignment with constructivist principles.

Firstly, the review demonstrated that the implementation of RME is associated with significant improvements in students' problem-solving skills. By incorporating real-world contexts and authentic problem scenarios into mathematics instruction, RME fosters deeper conceptual understanding and encourages students to apply mathematical knowledge in meaningful ways. Numerous studies have reported positive outcomes, indicating the effectiveness of RME in enhancing students' mathematical achievement levels.

Furthermore, RME was found to promote increased student engagement and understanding of mathematical concepts. By providing opportunities for students to construct their own mathematical knowledge through guided reinvention, didactical phenomenology, and emergent modeling, RME fosters a more active and experiential learning process. Students are encouraged to explore mathematical concepts in real-world contexts, leading to greater motivation and interest in the subject.

Moreover, the findings of the review highlighted the alignment between RME principles and constructivist theories of learning. RME emphasizes the importance of meaningful learning experiences that allow students to construct their own understanding of mathematical concepts. By providing opportunities for students to engage in authentic problem-solving tasks, RME supports the development of critical thinking skills and fosters a deeper appreciation for mathematics.

However, despite the positive outcomes observed in many studies, there remains a need for further research to fully understand the potential of RME in the Philippine educational context. Longitudinal studies are needed to assess the long-term effects of RME implementation and its scalability in diverse educational settings. Additionally, more research is needed to investigate the specific mechanisms through which RME influences problem-solving skills and to address potential challenges in its implementation.

The findings of this study underscore the importance of incorporating innovative pedagogical approaches such as RME to enhance problem-solving skills and improve mathematics education in the Philippines. By building on these findings, educators and policymakers can make informed decisions about the integration of RME into curriculum and instructional practices, ultimately leading to improved learning outcomes for students.

The findings of this study contribute to the ongoing efforts to enhance mathematics education in the Philippines and provide valuable insights into effective pedagogical strategies for improving problem-solving skills among students.

### **References:**

- Anwar, L., Budayasa, I. K., Amin, S. M., & de Haan, D. (2012). Eliciting Mathematical Thinking of Students through Realistic Mathematics Education. *Indonesian Mathematical Society Journal on Mathematics Education*, 3(1), 55-70.
- Bahena, R., Kilag, O. K., Andrin, G., Diano Jr, F., Unabia, R., & Valle, J. (2024). From Method to Equity: Rethinking Mathematics Assessment Policies in Education. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education* (2994-9521), 2(1), 121-132.
- Bray, A., & Tangney, B. (2016). Enhancing student engagement through the affordances of mobile technology: a 21st century learning perspective on Realistic Mathematics Education. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 28, 173-197.
- Caraan, D. R., Dinglasan, J. K., & Ching, D. (2023). Effectiveness of Realistic Mathematics Education Approach on Problem-Solving Skills of Students. *International Journal of Educational Management and Development Studies*, 4(2), 64-87.



Clemente, J., Kilag, O. K., Ypon, A., Groenewald, E., Groenewald, C. A., & Ubay, R. (2024). Enhancing Mathematics Self-Efficacy: Intervention Strategies and Effectiveness—A Systematic Review. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(2), 274-280.

Department of Education (DepEd). (2020). K to 12 Curriculum Guide in Mathematics. [https://depedbohol.org/v2/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Math-CG\\_with-tagged-math-equipment.pdf](https://depedbohol.org/v2/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/Math-CG_with-tagged-math-equipment.pdf)

Dewey, J. (1986). Experience and Education: In the Educational Forum. *Informa UK Limited*, 50(3), 241-252.

Dickinson, P., & Hough, S. (2012). Using realistic mathematics education in UK classrooms. *Centre for Mathematics Education, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK*.

Escarez Jr, Y. F. D., & Ching, D. A. (2022). Math anxiety and mathematical representations of Grade 7 students. *International Journal of Educational Management and Development Studies*, 3(1), 166-181.

Igcasama, R., Amante, E., Benigay, D. J. P., Mabanag, B., Monilar, D. I., & Kilag, O. K. (2023). A Paradigm Shift in Education: Impact of Flipped Classrooms on High School Mathematics Conceptual Mastery. *Excellencia: International Multi-disciplinary Journal of Education (2994-9521)*, 1(6), 465-476.

Imam, O. A. (2016). Effects of reading skills on students' performance in science and mathematics in public and private secondary schools. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 10(2), 177-186.

Laurens, T., Batlolona, F. A., Batlolona, J. R., & Leasa, M. (2017). How does realistic mathematics education (RME) improve students' mathematics cognitive achievement?. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(2), 569-578.

Khoiriyah, A. J., & Husamah, H. (2018). Problem-based learning: Creative thinking skills, problem-solving skills, and learning outcome of seventh grade students. *JPBI (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Indonesia)*, 4(2), 151-160.

Kosim, A., & Tirta, I. M. (2020, February). Analysis of realistic mathematics learning approach on the students' problem solving skill and self-confidence on sequence and series materials. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 1465, No. 1, p. 012031). IOP Publishing.

OECD. (2019). *PISA 2018 Results (Volume II)*. OECD Publishing.

Ompad Jr, V., Kilag, O. K., Luzares, A., Tipontipon, J., dela Cruz, G., & Velasquez, B. (2024). Mathematics Leadership in Schools: A Deep Dive into Aspirations and Hurdles. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(1), 115-122.

Pentang, J. T. (2021). Impact assessment and clients' feedback towards MATHEMATICS project implementation. *International Journal of Educational Management and Development Studies*, 2(2), 90-103.

Pólya, G., & Szegő, G. (1945). Inequalities for the capacity of a condenser. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 67(1), 1-32.

Roman, A. G. (2019). Curriculum implementation and performance of mathematics education students in one state university in the Philippines. *Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 2(2), 65-72.

Stephan, M., Underwood-Gregg, D., & Yackel, E. (2014). Guided reinvention: What is it and how do teachers learn this teaching approach?. *Transforming mathematics instruction: Multiple approaches and practices*, 37-57.

Villarin, J., Dolino, C., Fin, R., Miñoza, M. L., Ubay, R., & Kilag, O. K. (2024). Unlocking Mathematical Learning: Exploring Ethnomathematics' Impact on Student Engagement, Conceptual Understanding, and Equity in Mathematics Education. *International Multidisciplinary Journal of Research for Innovation, Sustainability, and Excellence (IMJRISE)*, 1(3), 157-163.

World Economic Forum, J. (2020). The future of jobs report 2020. *Retrieved from Geneva*.

Zakaria, E., & Syamaun, M. (2017). The effect of realistic mathematics education approach on students' achievement and attitudes towards mathematics. *Mathematics Education Trends and Research*, 1(1), 32-40.