# Growth Behaviors, Thermostable Direct Hemolysin Secretion and Fatty Acid Profiles of Acid-adapted and Non-adapted *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*

Ming-Lun Chiang, Chieh Wu, Ming-Ju Chen

Abstract—Three strains of Vibrio parahaemolyticus (690, BCRC 13023 and BCRC 13025) implicated in food poisoning outbreaks in Taiwan were subjected to acid adaptation at pH 5.5 for 90 min. The growth behaviors of acid-adapted and non-adapted  $V_{\cdot}$ parahaemolyticus in the media supplemented with various nitrogen and carbon sources were investigated. The effects of acid adaptation on the thermostable direct hemolysin (TDH) secretion and fatty acid profiles of V. parahaemolyticus were also examined. Results showed that acid-adapted and non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus 690, BCRC 13023 and BCRC 13025 grew similarly in TSB-3% NaCl and basal media supplemented with various carbon and nitrogen sources during incubation period. Higher TDH secretion was noted with V. parahaemolyticus 690 among the three strains. However, acid-adapted strains produced less amounts of TDH than non-adapted strains when they were grown in TSB-3% NaCl. Additionally, acid adaptation increased the ratio of SFA/USFA in cells of V. parahaemolyticus strains.

*Keywords*—*Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, acid adaptation, thermostable direct hemolysin, fatty acid profile.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

A CIDIFICATION is often used to inhibit the growth of spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms in the food industry. Studies have demonstrated that mild acid-adaptation treatment induced acid tolerance response (ATR) and cross-protection against other environmental stresses in various Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria [1]-[4]. The induction of bacterial stress tolerance was reported to be involved in the synthesis of acid shock proteins and other cellular physiological mechanisms [5]-[7]. One of the major defense mechanisms to adapt to acid challenges is the alteration of membrane fatty acid composition [8], [9]. Additionally, some researchers have shown that the expression of virulence factors can be affected by acid stress in several pathogens [10]-[12]. This phenomenon of acid stress response may promote survival of bacteria and enhance their pathogenicity.

*Vibrio parahaemolyticus* is a marine bacterium commonly isolated from a variety of seafood. It can cause acute gastrointestinal symptoms in humans, including diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps, headache, low fever and chills, primarily related to the consumption of contaminated seafood [13]-[15]. This pathogen has been recognized as a main cause of foodborne illness in many coastal countries. Thermostable direct hemolysin (TDH) is the major virulence factors of *V. parahaemolyticus*. This hemolysin has been shown to exhibit hemolytic, cardiotoxic, cytotoxic and enterotoxic activities [16], [17]. According to the published data from 1981 to 2012 in Taiwan, there were 1507 cases of food poisoning caused by *V. parahaemolyticus* and these cases accounted for 61.1% of the total bacterial foodborne outbreaks [18]. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also reported that 4500 cases of *V. parahaemolyticus* infection occur each year in the United States [19].

Like other pathogenic microorganism, V. parahaemolyticus need to be tolerant to acid treatments used in food processing and preparation and pass through the gastric acid barrier to cause gastrointestinal disease. Therefore, the resistance of V. parahaemolyticus to acid stress is an important factor for its survival in food systems. Our previous studies have demonstrated that acid adaptation responses of V. parahaemolyticus can be affected by growth phase of cells, acid adaptation conditions, types of media and stress challenges, and varied among strains [20]-[22]. Additionally, differences in protein expression also observed between acid-adapted and non-adapted strains of V. parahaemolyticus [23]. In this study, we further investigated the effects of acid adaptation on the growth behaviors, thermostable direct hemolysin (TDH) secretion and fatty acid profiles in three strains of V. parahaemolyticus.

#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### A. Bacterial Strains

Three strains of *V. parahaemolyticus* implicated in food poisoning outbreaks in Taiwan were used as test microorganisms. *V. parahaemolyticus* 690 was obtained from Department of Microbology, Soochow University (Taipei, Taiwan). *V. parahaemolyticus* BCRC 13023 and BCRC 13025 were purchased from Bioresource Collection and Research Center (BCRC), Food Industry Research and Development Institute (Hsinchu, Taiwan). Before each experiment, the test strains were inoculated (1% v/v) and subcultured three times in tryptic soy broth (Acumedia Manufactures, Inc., Lasing, MI, USA) supplemented with 3% NaCl (TSB-3% NaCl) at 37°C for 4 h.

M. L. Chiang is with the Department of Tourism and Hospitality, Kainan University, Taoyuan, Taiwan (phone: 886-3-3412500 ext.6133; e-mail: mlchiang@mail.knu.edu.tw).

C. Wu and M. J. Chen are with the Department of Animal Science and Technology, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan (e-mail: r00626023@ntu.edu.tw, cmj@ntu.edu.tw, respectively).

B. Acid Adaptation Treatment

*V. parahaemolyticus* cultures were centrifuged ( $3000 \times g$ , 10 min) and washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline containing 3% NaCl (PBS-3% NaCl, Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>/NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7). To prepare acid-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus*, the washed cells were suspended in TSB-3% NaCl acidified to pH 5.5 with 10 N HCl and held at 37°C for 90 min. Non-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus* was prepared by suspending in TSB-3% NaCl without acidification. Both acid-adapted and non-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus* were used in the following studies.

### C. Growth Study with Various Nitrogen and Carbon Sources

The test nitrogen sources (BD Difco, Sparks, MD, USA) included tryptone, soytone, peptone, yeast extract, beef extract, malt extract, casamino acid and N-Z Amine A. Gucose, fructose, galactose, maltose, sucrose, lactose, mannitol and sorbitol were used as carbon sources (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA). 2% of each nitrogen source or 0.25% of each carbon source was added to a basal medium containing 3% NaCl and 0.25% K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7). Acid-adapted and non-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus* were inoculated (1% v/v) in the media supplemented with various nitrogen and carbon sources and incubated at 37 °C for 6 h, respectively. After different periods of incubation, the growth of *V. parahaemolyticus* was measured by absorbance at 600 nm (Model Helios  $\alpha$ , Spectronic Unicam, Cambridge, UK).

### D.TDH Assay

Acid-adapted and non-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus* were inoculated (1% v/v) in TSB-3% NaCl and incubated at 37°C for 6, 12 and 18 h, respectively. After different incubation times, culture samples were taken to determine the growth and the corresponding TDH production. Cell density in cultures was measured by absorbance at 600 nm. Cell culture supernatants were collected and assayed for TDH content. TDH in cell-free supernatants was quantified by commercial KAP-RPLA kit (Denka Seiken Co., Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer's procedure. The kit had a sensitivity of 1-2 ng/mL of TDH at the titer of 1:2.

## E. Fatty Acid Analysis

Acid-adapted and non-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus* were centrifuged ( $3000 \times g$ , 10 min) and washed twice with PBS-3% NaCl. Cellular fatty acid methylesters were extracted according to the method of [24] with slight modifications. Analysis was conducted by Intertek Testing Services Taiwan Ltd. (Taipei, Taiwan) using a gas chromatography (GC, 6890N, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The commercial standards (Sigma) were used to determine the retention time and identity of fatty acids from *V. parahaemolyticus*.

## F. Statistical Analysis

All triplicate experiments were executed independently. Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  SE were analyzed by analysis of variance with SAS statistical software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA), and the significant differences (P < 0.05) among data values were compared using Duncan's multiple range test.

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## A. Growth of Acid-Adapted and Non-Adapted V. parahaemolyticus in the Media Supplemented with Various Nitrogen and Carbon Sources

Some studies reported that stress treatment may cause changes of nutrient availability in bacterial cells [25], [26]. Various nitrogen and carbon sources were used to compare their effects on the growth of V. parahaemolyticus in this study. As shown in Fig. 1, the growth of V. parahaemolyticus varied with the nitrogen sources used in the basal medium during the incubation period. Regardless of acid adaptation, the three test strains of V. parahaemolyticus had the highest growth rate and cell density in the medium supplemented with tryptone, followed by soytone, yeast extract, beef extract, N-Z-Amine A and peptone. However, a partial or no growth was observed in the media supplemented with casamino acid and malt extract in all three strains. The growth patterns of non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus were similar to those of acid-adapted V. parahaemolyticus in the media supplemented with the same nitrogen source. Fig. 2 shows the growth of acid-adapted and non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus in the media supplemented with different carbon sources. After incubation for 6 h, V. parahaemolyticus exhibited higher growth rate and cell density in the media containing glucose, galactose and molasses, while lower numbers in the media containing lactose, sucrose and sorbitol were found among the carbon sources examined. The effects of these carbon sources on the growth of acid-adapted and non-adapted strains of V. parahaemolyticus were similar. Overall, tryptone and glucose were the most effective nitrogen and carbon sources for the growth of V. parahaemolyticus, respectively. Additionally, the condition of acid adaptation used in the present study did not affect the growth behaviors of V. parahaemolyticus in the media supplemented with various nitrogen and carbon sources.

## B. Growth and TDH Secretion of Acid-Adapted and Non-Adapted V. parahaemolyticus in TSB-3% NaCl

As shown in Table I, acid-adapted and non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus grew similarly in TSB-3% NaCl during the incubation period. After 18 h of cultivation, the optical density at 600 nm between acid-adapted and non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus was not significantly different (P > 0.05) in the three test strains. Additionally, regardless of acid adaptation, the highest TDH secretion was observed in strain 690, followed by BCRC 13023 and BCRC 13025. However, acid-adapted V. parahaemolyticus produced less amounts of TDH than non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus. For example, non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus 690 had a higher content of TDH with a titer of 1:16, which was twofold that determined in acid-adapted cells at the end of incubation. Similar results were also noted in strains BCRC 13023 and BCRC 13025. These findings indicated that acid adaptation decreased the production of TDH by V. parahaemolyticus. Differences in levels of TDH secretion were also observed among strains. Our results are consistent with the findings of other studies, who reported that acid-adapted E. coli O157:H7 produced less verotoxin than did non-adapted cells [27]-[29]. The decreased toxin secretion may

permeability in cells of V. parahaemolyticus.

be related to the alteration of membrane fluidity and



Fig. 1 Growth of V. parahaemolyticus strains in the media supplemented with various nitrogen sources. (a) Non-adapted 690; (b) non-adapted BCRC 13023; (c) non-adapted BCRC 13025; (d) acid-adapted 690; (e) acid-adapted BCRC 13023; (f) acid-adapted BCRC 13025. ○, Tryptone; ●, soytone; □, peptone; ■, yeast extract; △, beef extract; △, malt extract; ◇, casamino acid; ◆, N-Z-Amine A; ▽, basal medium contains 0.25% glucose, 3% NaCl and 0.25% K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7)



Fig. 2 Growth of *V. parahaemolyticus* strains in the media supplemented with various carbon sources. (a) Non-adapted 690; (b) non-adapted BCRC 13023; (c) non-adapted BCRC 13025; (d) acid-adapted 690; (e) acid-adapted BCRC 13023; (f) acid-adapted BCRC 13025.  $\circ$ , Glucose;  $\bullet$ , fructose;  $\Box$ , galactose;  $\blacksquare$ , maltose;  $\triangle$ , sucrose;  $\blacktriangle$ , lactose;  $\diamond$ , mannitol;  $\bullet$ , sorbitol;  $\nabla$ , basal medium contains 2% tryptone, 3% NaCl and 0.25% K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (pH 7)

C. Fatty Acid Profiles of Acid-Adapted and Non-Adapted V. parahaemolyticus

Table II shows the fatty acid profiles of acid-adapted and non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus. The major fatty acids in three strains of V. parahaemolyticus are palmitic acid (16:0), palmitoleic acid (16:1) and octadecenoic acid (18:1). It was found that acid-adapted V. parahaemolyticus 690, BCRC 13023 and BCRC 13025 had significantly higher (P < 0.05) amounts of palmitic acid than the respective non-adapted strains. However, the amounts of octadecenoic acid in strain 13025 and the amounts of linoleic acid (18:2) in strains 690 and BCRC 13025 decreased after acid adaptation. Slightly different changes of fatty acid proportion were observed among strains. Generally, Acid-adapted acid-adapted  $V_{\cdot}$ parahaemolyticus had significantly higher (P < 0.05) saturated fatty acids (SFA) content and lower (P < 0.05) unsaturated fatty acids (USFA) content than non-adapted V. parahaemolyticus. Additionally, acid adaptation increased the ratio of SFA/USFA in cells of the three strains of V. parahaemolyticus. An increased level of SFA and a decreased level of USFA in acid-adapted bacteria have also been reported in several studies [28], [30], [31]. These changes in fatty acid composition can decrease the membrane fluidity, which may have resulted in increased acid tolerance [20] and decreased TDH secretion in acid-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus* (Table I).

TABLE I
GROWTH OF V. PARAHAEMOLYTICUS STRAINS AND CORRESPONDING KAP-RPLA
TITERS OF TDH EXCRETED IN TSB-3% NACL

THERS OF TDH EXCRETED IN TSB-570 NACE								
Strain	Treatment	Time (h)	Titer	OD at 600 nm				
690		6	1:2	$1.030 \pm 0.046 \text{ f}^2$				
	Non-adapted	12	1:8	$1.063 \pm 0.031$ de				
		18	1:16	$1.220 \pm 0.026$ bc				
		6	$ND^1$	$1.043 \pm 0.040 \text{ f}$				
	Acid-adapted	12	1:2	$1.177 \pm 0.025$ cde				
		18	1:8	$1.227 \pm 0.015$ bc				
BCRC 13023	Non-adapted	6	ND	$1.133 \pm 0.015$ e				
		12	1:2	$1.217 \pm 0.015$ bcd				
		18	1:8	$1.228 \pm 0.016$ bc				
	Acid-adapted	6	ND	$1.147 \pm 0.025$ e				
		12	1:2	$1.213 \pm 0.032$ bcd				
		18	1:4	$1.223 \pm 0.038$ bc				
BCRC 13025	Non-adapted	6	ND	$1.130 \pm 0.044$ e				
		12	1:2	$1.240 \pm 0.026 \text{ ab}$				
		18	1:8	$1.290 \pm 0.044$ a				
	Acid-adapted	6	ND	$1.133 \pm 0.015 \text{ e}$				
		12	ND	$1.247 \pm 0.015$ ab				
		18	1.4	$1.260 \pm 0.036$ ab				

<sup>1</sup>Values in the same column with different letters (a-f) are significantly different (P < 0.05)

<sup>2</sup>ND: Non-detected

TABLE II							
FATTY ACID COMPOSITION OF V. PARAHAEMOLYTICUS STRAINS							

	Fatty acid proportion (%) <sup>2</sup>							
Fatty acid <sup>1</sup>		690		BCRC 13023		BCRC 13025		
	Non-adapted	Acid-adapted	Non-adapted	Acid-adapted	Non-adapted	Acid-adapted		
12:0	2.47±0.04 a <sup>3</sup>	2.27±0.17 a	2.6±0.29 a	2.83±0.20 a	2.35±0.04 a	2.60±0.06 a		
14:0	5.71±0.25 a	6.04±0.19 a	6.71±0.19 a	6.40±0.45 a	5.52±0.07 a	6.34±0.44 a		
15:0	0.05±0.01 a	0.10±0.05 a	0.41±0.03 a	0.44±0.05 a	0.05±0.01 a	0.09±0.01 a		
16:0	25.63±1.13 b	28.13±0.54 a	26.98±0.58 b	29.78±0.55 a	25.75±0.72 b	29.06±0.36 a		
16:1	32.56±0.43 a	29.57±1.52 a	33.98±0.71 a	31.16±0.33 a	33.26±0.80 a	29.09±0.56 a		
17:0	0.28±0.03 a	0.34±0.02 a	0.29±0.04 a	0.78±0.30 a	0.37±0.03 a	0.39±0.03 a		
18:0	2.66±0.08 a	4.74±0.50 a	2.63±0.35 a	3.64±0.91 a	2.76±0.20 a	6.21±0.33 a		
18:1	29.69±1.40 a	27.94±0.95 a	25.77±0.51 a	24.19±0.26 a	29.00±0.12 a	25.68±0.15 b		
18:2	0.95±0.10 a	0.42±0.04 b	0.62±0.13 a	0.78±0.06 a	0.93±0.03 a	0.54±0.07 b		
SFA	36.80±1.18 b	42.06±0.88 a	39.63±0.48 b	43.88±0.22 a	36.81±0.94 b	44.70±0.64 a		
USFA	63.20±1.18 a	57.94±0.88 b	60.37±0.48 a	56.12±0.22 b	63.19±0.94 a	55.30±0.64 b		
SFA/USFA	0.58±0.03 b	0.73±0.03 a	0.66±0.01 b	0.78±0.01 a	0.58±0.02 b	0.81±0.02 a		

<sup>1</sup>12:0, Lauric acid; 14:0, myristic acid; 15:0, pentadecanoic acid; 16:0, palmitic acid; 16:1, palmitoleic acid  $\Delta 9$ ; 17:0, heptadecanoic acid; 18:0, stearic acid; 18:1, *cis*- and *trans*-linoleic acid  $\Delta 9$ , 12; SFA, saturated fatty acid; USFA, unsaturated fatty acid <sup>2</sup>Values are proportions of fatty acid (%)

<sup>3</sup>Values in the same row with different letters (a, b) are significantly different (P < 0.05)

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study demonstrated the acid adaption responses among three strains of *V. parahaemolyticus*. Acid-adapted and non-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus* showed similar growth behaviors, while lower TDH secretion and higher ratio of SFA/USFA were observed in acid-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus* than in non-adapted *V. parahaemolyticus*. These findings provided information in understanding the

growth and some physiological characteristics of *V*. *parahaemolyticus* exposed to mild acid conditions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was funded by the project of Ministry of Science and Technology (Taipei, Taiwan).

#### References

- Lou, Y. and A. E. Yousef. 1997. Adaptation to sublethal environmental stresses protects *Listeria monocytogenes* against lethal preservation factors. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63: 1252-1255.
- [2] Browne, N. and B. Dowds. 2002. Acid stress in the food pathogen Bacillus cereus. J. Appl. Microbiol. 92: 404-414.
- [3] Tetteh, G. L. and L. R. Beuchat. 2003. Exposure of *Shigella flexneri* to acid stress and heat shock enhances acid tolerance. Food Microbiol. 20: 179-185.
- [4] Tosun, H. and S. A. Gönül. 2003. Acid adaptation protects Salmonella typhimurium from environmental stresses. Turk. J. Biol. 27: 31-36.
- [5] Bearson, S., B. Bearson and J. W. Foster. 1997. Acid stress responses in enterobacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 147: 173-180.
- [6] Abee, T. and J. A. Wouters. 1999. Microbial stress response in minimal processing. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 50: 65-91.
- [7] Audia, J. P., C. C. Webb and J. W. Foster. 2001. Breaking through the acid barrier: an orchestrated response to proton stress by enteric bacteria. Int. J Med. Microbiol. 291: 97-106.
- [8] Brown, J. L., T. Ross, T. A. McMeekin and P. D. Nichols. 1997. Acid habituation of *Escherichia coli* and the potential role of cyclopropane fatty acids in low pH tolerance. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 37: 163-173.
- [9] Fozo, E. M., J. K. Kajfasz and R. G. Quivey Jr. 2004. Low pH-induced membrane fatty acid alterations in oral bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 238: 291-295.
- [10] Jobin, M. P., T. Clavel, F. Carlin and P. Schmitt. 2002. Acid tolerance response is low-pH and late-stationary growth phase inducible in *Bacillus cereus* TZ415. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 79: 65-73.
- [11] Yeung P. S. M. and K. J. Boor. 2004. Effects of acid stress on Vibrio parahemolyticus survival and cytotoxicity. J. Food Prot. 67: 1328-1334.
- [12] House, B., J. V. Kus, N. Prayitno, R. Mair, L. Que, F. Chingcuanco, V. Gannon, D. G. Cvitkovitch and D. B. Foster. 2009. Acid-stress-induced changes in enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 virulence. Microbiol. 155: 2907-2918.
- [13] Liston, J. 1990. Microbial hazards of seafood consumption. Food Technol. 44:56-62.
- [14] Daniels, N. A., L. MacKinnon, R. Bishop, S. Altekruse, B. Ray, R. M. Hammond, S. Thompson, S. Wilson, N. H. Bean and P. M. Griffin. 2000. *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* infections in the United States, 1973-1998. J. Infect. Dis. 181: 1661-1666.
- [15] Su, Y. C. and C. Liu. 2007. Vibrio parahaemolyticus: a concern of seafood safety. Food Microbiol. 24: 549-558.
- [16] Takeda, Y., 1983. Thermostable direct hemolysin of Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Pharmacol. Therap. 19: 123-146.
- [17] Raimondi, F., J. P. Y. Kao, C. Fiorentini, A. Fabbri, G. Donelli, N. Gasparini, A. Rubino and A. Fasano. 2000. Enterotoxicity and cytotoxicity of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* thermostable direct hemolysin in in vitro systems. Infect. Immun. 68: 3180-3185.
- [18] Taiwan Food and Drug Administration (TFDA). 2013. Occurrence of food poisoning outbreaks in Taiwan, 1981-2012. Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taipei, Taiwan.
- [19] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2013. Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/vibrio/vibriop.html, accessed November 12, 2013.
- [20] Chiang M. L., C. C. Chou, H. C. Chen, Y. T. Tseng and M. J. Chen. 2012. Adaptive acid tolerance response of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* as affected by acid adaptation conditions, growth phase, and bacterial strains. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 9: 734-740.
- [21] Chiang M. L., H. C. Chen, C. Wu, Y. T. Tseng and M. J. Chen. 2013. Effect of acid adaptation treatment on the survival of *Vibrio* parahaemolyticus in oyster homogenates under heat, cold and simulated gastrointestinal conditions. Taiwanese J. Agri. Chem. Food Sci. 51: 34-42.
- [22] Chiang M. L., H. C. Chen, C. Wu and M. J. Chen. 2014. Effect of acid adaptation on the environmental stress tolerance of three strains of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Foodborne Pathog. Dis. 11: 287-294.
- [23] Chiang M. L., H. C. Chen, C. Wu, Y. T. Tseng and M. J. Chen. 2012. Effect of acid adaptation on the survival of three *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* strains under simulated gastric condition and their protein expression profiles. World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol. 6: 233-236.
- [24] Lepage, G. and C. Roy. 1986. Direct transesterification of all classes of lipids in a one-step reaction. J. Lipid Res. 27: 114-120.
- [25] Eguchi, M., T. Nishikawa, K. Macdonald, R. Cavicchioli, J. C. Gottschal and S. Kjelleberg. 1996. Responses to stress and nutrient availability by

the marine ultramicrobacterium *Sphingomonas* sp. strain RB2256 Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62: 1287-1294.

- [26] Schimel, J., T. C. Balser and M. Wallenstein. 2007. Microbial stress-response physiology and its implications for ecosystem function. Ecology 88: 1386-1394.
- [27] Duffy, G., D. Riordan, J. Sheridan, J. Call, R. Whiting, I. Blair and D. McDowell. 2000. Effect of pH on survival, thermotolerance, and verotoxin production of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 during simulated fermentation and storage. J. Food Protect. 63: 12-18.
- [28] Yuk, H. G. and D. L. Marshall. 2004. Adaptation of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 to pH alters membrane lipid composition, verotoxin secretion, and resistance to simulated gastric fluid acid. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70: 3500-3505.
- [29] Yuk, H. G., D. L. Marshall and L. Douglas. 2005. Influence of acetic, citric, and lactic acis on *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 membrane lipid composition, verotoxin seretion, and acid resistance in simulated gastric fluid. J. Food Prot. 68: 673-679.
- [30] Lepage, C., F. Fayolle, M. Hermann and J. P. Vandecasteele. 1987. Changes inmembrane lipid composition of *Clostridium acetobutylicum* during acetone-butanol fermentation: effects of solvents, growth temperature and pH. Microbiol. 133: 103-110.
- [31] Bodnaruk, P. W. and D. A. Golden. 1996. Influence of pH and incubation temperature on fatty acid composition and virulence factors of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Food Microbiol. 13: 17-22.