On the Positive Definite Solutions of Nonlinear Matrix Equation

Tian Baoguang, Liang Chunyan, Chen Nan

Abstract—In this paper, the nonlinear matrix equation is investigated. Based on the fixed-point theory, the boundary and the existence of the solution with the case $r > -\delta_i$ are discussed. An algorithm that avoids matrix inversion with the case $-1 < \delta_i < 0$ is proposed.

Keywords—Nonlinear matrix equation, Positive definite solution, The maximal-minimal solution, Iterative method, Free-inversion.

I. INTRODUCTION

IN this paper, we consider the nonlinear matrix equation

$$X^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = I \qquad (1)$$

where r, m are positive integers, I is an $n \times n$ identity matrix, $A_i(i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$ are $n \times n$ nonsingular complex matrices and A_i^* is the conjugate transpose of A_i. As nonlinear matrix equations have applications in control theory, dynamic programming ladder networks and other fields, similar kinds of nonlinear matrix equations have been widely studied [1]-[4]. The case when $m = 1$, $\delta_i = -t_i$ is one of the important study fields of the numerical algebra [5], [6]. To solve such matrix equations, numerical solutions are usually taken into consideration.

Different iterative methods including a kind of inversion-free method have been put forward and improved [7]-[9].

A. M. Sarhan et al. have studied the extremal positive definite solutions of (1) when $-1 < \delta_i < 0$ holds [10]. They have given a necessary condition and a sufficient condition for the existence of the solution and also, several algorithms are derived to compute the extremal (maximal or minimal) positive definite solutions.

In this paper, we first continue to discuss (1) with $r > -\delta_i(\delta_i < 0)$ and then we have several results for the definite solution of the equation. We also give an iterative algorithm that avoids matrix inversion, and according to this method we obtain the maximal solution when $-1 < \delta_i < 0$. Finally, we use some numerical examples to illustrate our algorithm.

The notations used in this paper are summarized as follows. $A \geq 0 (A > 0)$ means that matrix A is Hermitian positive semi-definite (definite); the maximal and minimal eigenvalues of A are denoted by $\lambda_{\max}(A)$ and $\lambda_{\min}(A)$, respectively; $\|A\|$ and $\|A\|_F$ represent the spectral norm and the Frobenius norm of A, respectively. For matrix $A = (a_1, a_2, \cdots a_n) = (a_{ij})$, $vec(A)$ is a vector defined by $vec(A) = (a_1^T, a_2^T, \cdots a_n^T)^T$; $A \otimes B = (a_{ij}B)$ is a Kronecker product.

Lemma 1 If $A > B > 0$ (or $A \geq B > 0$), then $A^\alpha > B^\alpha$ (or $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha > 0$) for all $\alpha \in (0,1]$, and $A^\alpha < B^\alpha$ (or $0 < A^\alpha \leq B^\alpha$) for all $\alpha \in [-1,0)$ [11].

Lemma 2 If C and P are Hermitian matrices of the same order with $P > 0$, then $CPC + P^{-1} \geq 2C$ [12].

Lemma 3 If $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and P and Q are positive definite matrices of the same order with $P, Q \geq bI > 0$ then $\|P^\alpha - Q^\alpha\| \leq \alpha b^{\alpha-1} \|P - Q\|$. Here $\|\bullet\|$ stands for one kind of matrix norm[11].

II. THE MAIN RESULTS

Now, we consider the following nonlinear matrix equation

$$X + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i = I, \ 0 < t_i < 1 \qquad (2)$$

Theorem 1 If $r > -\delta_i(\delta_i < 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots m)$, then (1) is equivalent to (2).

Proof. Consider $X^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = I$. let $Y = X^r$, then $X = Y^{1/r}$, $X^{\delta_i} = Y^{\delta_i/r}$. The equation can be rewritten as $Y + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y^{\delta_i/r} A_i = I$. Since $r > -\delta_i$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots m$, we have $-1 < \frac{\delta_i}{r} < 0$, that is $0 < -\frac{\delta_i}{r} < 1$. Replace δ_i / r with $-t_i$, then $Y + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y^{-t_i} A_i = I$, $0 < t_i < 1$. So (1) is equivalent to (2).

As for $r > -\delta_i$, the solution of (1) can be obtained by (2). In the following theorems, we will discuss the solution of (2).

Theorem 2 If (2) has a HPD solution, then we have $X \in (T_1, T_2)$, where

$$T_1 = \max(M_1, M_2), \ T_2 = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i, \ M_1 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (A_i A_i^*)^{1/t_i}, \ M_2 = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* M_1^{t_i} A_i \quad (3)$$

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences
Vol:8, No:3, 2014

Proof. If (2) has a HPD solution X, then $X = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i < I$, i.e., $X < I$. Moreover, $X = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i < I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i$. On the other hand, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i < I$ implies $A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i < I$, hence $X > (A_i A_i^*)^{1/t_i}$ and $mX > \sum_{i=1}^{m} (A_i A_i^*)^{1/t_i}$, i.e., $X > \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (A_i A_i^*)^{1/t_i} = M_1$. Further, $X = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i > I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* M_1^{-t_i} A_i = M_2$. Let $T_1 = \max(M_1, M_2)$, $T_2 = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i$, then we have $X \in (T_1, T_2)$.

Lemma 4 Let X and Y be positive definite matrices, satisfying $X \geq \alpha I$, $Y \geq \alpha I$ where α is a positive number. Then $\left\| Y^{-t} - X^{-t} \right\|_F \leq t \alpha^{-t-1} \left\| Y - X \right\|_F$ where $0 < t < 1$ [10].

Theorem 3 If $M_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* M_1^{-t_i} A_i < I$, then (2) has solutions in $\Omega = [T_1, T_2]$. And, if $\alpha I \leq T_1 \leq X \leq T_2$ (where α is the minimum eigenvalue of T_1) and $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i \alpha^{-t_i-1} \left\| A_i \right\|_F^2 < 1$, then (2) has a unique HPD solution.

Proof. We consider the map $f(X) = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i$, and $X \in \Omega = [T_1, T_2]$. Since $X < I$ and $X \geq T_1 \geq M_1$, $f(X) = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i < I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i = T_2$ and $f(X) = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i \geq I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* M_1^{-t_i} A_i = M_2$. Since $M_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* M_1^{-t_i} A_i \leq I$, we have $f(X) \geq I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* M_1^{-t_i} A_i \geq M_1$. Hence, $f(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$, then by Brouwer's fixed point theory, (2) has solutions in Ω. For arbitrary $X, Y \in \Omega$, we have $X \geq \alpha I$, $Y \geq \alpha I$ and $f(X) - f(Y) = (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{-t_i} A_i) - (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y^{-t_i} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (Y^{-t_i} - X^{-t_i}) A$. Then,

$$\left\| f(X) - f(Y) \right\|_F = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (Y^{-t_i} - X^{-t_i}) A_i \right\|_F \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| A_i \right\|_F^2 \left\| Y^{-t_i} - X^{-t_i} \right\|_F.$$

By Lemma 4, we derive

$$\left\| f(X) - f(Y) \right\|_F \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} t_i \alpha^{-t_i-1} \left\| A_i \right\|_F^2 \left\| X - Y \right\|_F = \beta \left\| X - Y \right\|_F.$$

Since $\beta < 1$, by Banach's fixed point theory, (2) has an unique solution in Ω.

Algorithm 1 Consider the following algorithm
$X_0 = \alpha I$
$$X_{k+1} = I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X_k^{-t_i} A_i, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

Theorem 4 Suppose that $A_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots)$ are nonsingular complex matrices, and we consider the sequence of positive definite matrices $\{X_k\}$ derived from Algorithm 1. If (2) has a

PHD solution X and $\alpha > 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha^{-t_i} A_i^* A_i > (1 - \alpha)I$ (or $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha^{-t_i} A_i^* A_i < (1 - \alpha)I$), then $\{X_k\}$ is monotonic decreasing (or increasing) and converges to the maximal solution (or the minimal solution).

Proof. Compare to the proof of Theorem 1 and Lemma 4 in [10], and replace δ_i with $-t_i$, $r = 1$, we can easily get the result.

III. AN ITERATIVE METHOD THAT AVOIDS MATRIX INVERSION FOR $-1 < \delta_i < 0$

We consider the following nonlinear matrix equation

$$X^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = I, \quad (-1 < \delta_i < 0, i = 1, 2, \cdots, m) \qquad (4)$$

Let $\eta_i = -\delta_i$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots m$. Since $-1 < \delta_i < 0$, then $0 < \eta_i < 1$.

Algorithm 2 Consider the iterative algorithm
$Y_0 = I$
$$X_k = (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y_k^{\eta_i} A_i)^{1/r}$$
$$Y_{k+1} = Y_k (2I - X_k Y_k), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

Theorem 5 Let $A_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, m)$ be nonsingular complex matrices and satisfy the condition $\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i \leq I$. And if (4) has a positive definite solution X, then the sequence of positive definite matrices $\{X_k\}$ derived from Algorithm 2 is monotonic decreasing and converges to the maximal solution X_L.

Proof. Let X be a HPD solution of (4). Then $X \leq I$ and hence $X = (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i)^{1/r} \leq (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i)^{1/r}$ that is, $X \leq (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i)^{1/r}$. Therefore, by algorithm 2, we have $X_0 = (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* A_i)^{1/r} \geq X, Y_0 = I \leq X^{-1}$. By Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we have $Y_1 = 2Y_0 - Y_0 X_0 Y_0 \leq X_0^{-1} \leq X^{-1}$ and $Y_1 - Y_0 = Y_0 - Y_0 X_0 Y_0 = Y_0 (Y_0^{-1} - X_0) Y_0 = I - X_0 \geq 0$, that is, $Y_1 \leq X^{-1}$ and $Y_1 \geq Y_0$. It follows from Lemma 1 that $X_1 = (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y_1^{\eta_i} A_i)^{1/r} \geq (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i)^{1/r} = X$ and $X_1 = (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y_1^{\eta_i} A_i)^{1/r} \leq (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y_0^{\eta_i} A_i)^{1/r} = X_0$, that is, $X_0 \geq X_1 \geq X$ and $Y_0 \leq Y_1 \leq X^{-1}$. Assume that $X_{k-1} \geq X_k \geq X$ and $Y_{k-1} \leq Y_k \leq X^{-1}$, $k = 2, 3, \cdots$, we have $Y_{k+1} = 2Y_k - Y_k X_k Y_k \leq X_k^{-1} \leq X^{-1}$ and $X_{k+1} = (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y_{k+1}^{\eta_i} A_i)^{1/r} \geq (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i)^{1/r} = X$.

World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology
International Journal of Mathematical and Computational Sciences
Vol:8, No:3, 2014

Further, since $Y_k \leq X_{k-1}^{-1} \leq X_k^{-1}$, i.e., $Y_k^{-1} \geq X_k$, we have

$$Y_{k+1} - Y_k = Y_k - Y_k X_k Y_k = Y_k (Y_k^{-1} - X_k) Y_k \geq 0 \text{ and } X_{k+1} = (I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y_{k+1}^{\eta_i} A_i)^{1/r} \leq$$

$(I - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y_k^{\eta_i} A_i)^{1/r} = X_k$. By induction, we derive the following

results $X_0 \geq X_1 \geq \cdots \geq X_k \geq X$ and $Y_0 \leq Y_1 \leq \cdots Y_k \leq X^{-1}$.

Apparently the limits of $\{X_k\}$ and $\{Y_k\}$ exist. Taking limit in the Algorithm 2 leads to $\lim_{k \to \infty} Y_k = (\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k)^{-1}$ and $(\lim X_k)^r +$

$\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (\lim Y_k)^{\eta_i} A_i = I$, i.e., $(\lim X_k)^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (\lim X_k)^{\delta_i} A_i = I$. So, we

get $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k$ is a HPD solution of (4). As $X_k \geq X, k = 0,1,2,\cdots$

holds for any HPD solution of (4), we derive $\lim_{k \to \infty} X_k = X_L$

where X_L stands for the maximal solution.

Theorem 6 After k iterative steps of Algorithm 2, if

$\left\| I - X_k Y_k \right\| < \varepsilon$, then $\left\| X_k^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X_k^{\delta_i} A_i - I \right\| < p\varepsilon$ where $p = \left\| T_1^{-1} \right\| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} \eta_i \left\| A_i \right\|^2$.

Proof. Since $I = X_{k+1}^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* Y_{k+1}^{\delta_i} A_i$, then

$$X_k^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X_k^{\delta_i} A_i - I = X_k^r - X_{k+1}^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (X_k^{\delta_i} - Y_{k+1}^{\eta_i}) A_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (Y_{k+1}^{\eta_i} - Y_k^{\eta_i}) A_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (X_k^{\delta_i} - Y_{k+1}^{\eta_i}) A_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (X_k^{\delta_i} - Y_k^{\eta_i}) A_i .$$

Use of Lemma 3, we have

$$\left\| X_k^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X_k^{\delta_i} A_i - I \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* (X_k^{\delta_i} - Y_k^{\eta_i}) A_i \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| A_i^* (X_k^{\delta_i} - Y_k^{\eta_i}) A_i \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| A_i \right\|^2 \left\| X_k^{\delta_i} - Y_k^{\eta_i} \right\|$$

$$\leq \left\| X_k^{-1} - Y_k \right\| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| A_i \right\|^2 \eta_i .$$

Since $X_k \geq X \geq T_1$, which implies $\left\| X_k^{-1} \right\| \leq \left\| T_1^{-1} \right\|$ and

$\left\| X_k^{-1} - Y_k \right\| = \left\| X_k^{-1} (I - X_k Y_k) \right\| \leq \left\| X_k^{-1} \right\| \cdot \left\| I - X_k Y_k \right\| \leq \left\| T_1^{-1} \right\| \cdot \left\| I - X_k Y_k \right\|$.

Then, $\left\| X_k^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X_k^{\delta_i} A_i - I \right\| \leq \left\| I - X_k Y_k \right\| \cdot \left\| T_1^{-1} \right\| \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left\| A_i \right\|^2 \eta_i < p\varepsilon .$

REFERENCES

[1] V.I. Hasanov. "Positive definite solutions of the matrix equations $X \pm A^* X^{-q} A = Q$". *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2005, 404,pp. 166-182.

[2] I.G. Ivanov. "On positive definite solutions of the family of matrix equations $X + A^* X^{-n} A = Q$". *Compute. Appl. Math.* 2006, 193 ,pp. 277-301.

[3] X.Y. Yin, S.Y. Liu."Positive definite solutions of the matrix equations $X \pm A^* X^{-q} A = Q(q \geq 1)$". *Computers and Mathematics with Applications.* 2010, 59,pp. 3727-3739.

[4] X. Duan, A. Liao, B. Tang. "On the nonlinear matrix equation $X - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = Q$". *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2008,429,pp.110-121.

[5] X. Duan, A. Liao. "On the existence of Hermitian positive definite solutions of the matrix equation $X^s + A^* X^{-t} A = Q$". *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2008, 429,pp. 673-687.

[6] X.Y. Yin, S.Y. Liu, L. Fang. "Solutions and perturbation estimates for the matrix equations $X^s + A^* X^{-t} A = Q$". *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2009, 431 ,pp. 409-1421.

[7] C.H. Guo. "Convergence rate of an iterative method for a nonlinear matrix equation". *SIAM J. Matrix Anal* . Appl. 2001, 23,pp. 295-302 .

[8] Z.Y. Peng, Salah M. El-Sayed, X.L. *Zhang.*"Iterative methods for the extremal positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X + A^* X^{-\alpha} A = Q$". *Journal of Computational and mathematics.* 2007, 200,pp. 520-527.

[9] M. Monsalve, M. Raydan. "A new inversion-free method for a rational matrix equation". *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2010, 433,pp. 64-71.

[10] A.M. Sarhan, Naglaa M.El-Shazly, Enas M. Shehata. "On the existence of extremal positive definite solutions of the nonlinear matrix equation $X^r + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i^* X^{\delta_i} A_i = I$". *Mathematical and Computer Modeling.* 2010, 51,pp. 1107-1117.

[11] R. Bhatia. *Matrix analysis.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Spring, Berlin, 1997.

[12] X. Zhan. "Computing the extremal positive definite solutions of a matrix equation". *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 1996, 17,pp.1167-1174.