# Al Guided Ontology Curation Workflows

https://bit.ly/ai-ontology-workflows

**Chris Mungall** Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

OBO Academy March 2024

## **Goals for today**

- Demo / Tutorial
  - Demonstrate what can be done using standard generic ChatGPT (and similar) interfaces
  - Next session we will talk about **specialized** tools (OntoGPT, CurateGPT, OAK-LLM)
- You can follow along if you want
  - These slides: <u>https://bit.ly/ai-ontology-workflows</u>
- Questions encouraged!
- Goal is to make you feel empowered to experiment and explore for yourself
- If we have time
  - Report on evaluation of DRAGON-AI

#### Caveat 1: Al is weird

- Everything I aim to show today has worked in the past
- But web-based AIs are non-deterministic
- It's quite possible that things will go wrong this is an experiment!



### Caveat 2: Tools are not open to all

- ChatGPT
  - <u>https://chat.openai.com/</u>
  - Gpt-4 requires subscription
- Gemini
  - <u>https://gemini.google.com/app</u>
  - Al premium account
- Claude
  - <u>https://claude.ai/chats</u>
  - Claude-3 Opus requires a subscription
- Groq
  - <u>https://groq.com/</u>
  - Free (for now!)
  - Note: this is nothing to do with Twitter's Grok
  - Fast access to mixtral and llama2

### **Experiments today**

- Direct chat interface
- Review-based workflows
- Enhancement workflows
- ROBOT helper
- Consensus for finding references

Create a term in the plant ontology for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio". Show in obo format

https://chat.openai.com

#### First attempt - seems OK?

#### 🚺 You

create a term in the plant trait ontology for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio". Show in obo format

#### ChatGPT

To create a term in the Plant Trait Ontology (PTO) for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio" in OBO (Open Biomedical Ontologies) format, we need to follow the standard conventions of OBO format. Here's an example of how this new term could be represented:



### **Uh oh! Hallucinations!**

📘 You

create a term in the plant trait ontology for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio". Show in obo format

#### ChatGPT

No such

term

To create a term in the Plant Trait Ontology (PTO) for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio" in OBO (Open Biomedical Ontologies) format, we need to follow the standard conventions of OBO format. Here's an example of how this new term could be represented:



Too general a parent (ChatGPT doesn't know the ontology well enough to place a term)

#### **ROBOT CSVs to the rescue**

- ROBOT CSVs are nice for humans as we don't have to memorize IDs
- Nice for Als for the same reason!

1	A	В	C	D	E		F	G	Н	1	J	N	0	Р	Q	
1	ontology ID	label	editor prefer	has curation	alternative t	definition		definition so	examp	term edi	editor no	logical type	specimen collection pro	oc gross anatomical	collection process input	UF
2	ID	A rdfs:label	A editor pret	Al has curati	A alternative	A definition		A definition	A exar	A term e	A editor	CLASS_TYPE	C specimen and (is_spe	ci C specimen and (i	C specimen and (is_specifi	ed_(
3	OBI:0002500	amniotic flu	id specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from amniotic fluid.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m amniotic fluid		UE
4	OBI:0002501	l bile specime	en	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from bile.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen fro	m bile		UE
5	OBI:0002502	cerebrospina	al fluid specin	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from cerbrospinal fluid.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m cerebrospinal fluid	1	UE
6	OBI:0002503	feces specin	nen	ready for rele	stool specim	A specimen that is	derived from feces.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m feces		UE
7	OBI:0002504	digestive sys	stem fluid or	ready for rele	gastric fluid	A specimen that is	derived from digestive system fl	L Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m digestive system s	ecreted substance	UE
8	OBI:0002505	5 milk specim	en	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from milk.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m milk		UE
9	OBI:0002506	5 pericardial f	luid specimer	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from pericardial fluid.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m pericardial fluid		UE
10	OBI:0002507	7 saliva specir	men	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from saliva.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m saliva		UE
11	OBI:0002508	sputum spec	cimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from sputum.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m sputum		UE
12	OBI:0002509	sweat specie	men	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from sweat.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m sweat		UE
13	OBI:0002510	synovial flui	d specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from synovial fluid.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m synovial fluid		UE
14	OBI:0002511	l vitreous hun	nor specimen	ready for rele	vitreous fluid	A specimen that is	derived from vireous humor.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some 'vitreous humor'))	UE
15	OBI:0002512	2 bone marrow	w specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from bone marrow.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	bone marrow biopsy	bone marrow		UE
16	OBI:0002513	B placenta spe	ecimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from placenta.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some placenta))	UE
17	OBI:0002514	a peritoneal fl	uid specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from peritoneal fluid.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m peritoneal fluid		UE
18	OBI:0002515	5 pleural fluid	specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from pleural fluid.	Chris Stoeck	ert, Pen	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m pleural fluid		UE
19	OBI:0002516	6 brain specim	nen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from brain.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some brain))	UE
20	OBI:0002517	hair specime	en	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from hair.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m strand of hair		UE
21	OBI:0002518	prostate gla	nd specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from prostate gland.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some 'prostate gland'))	UE
22	OBI:0002519	skeletal mus	scle tissue spe	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from skeletal muscle.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m skeletal muscle tis	sue	UE
23	OBI:0002520	heart specin	nen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from heart.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some heart))	UE
24	OBI:0002521	I renal medul	la specimen	ready for rele	kidney medu	A specimen that is	derived from renal medulla.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some 'renal medulla'))	UE
25	OBI:0002522	2 adrenal glan	nd specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from adrenal gland.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some 'adrenal gland'))	UE
26	OBI:0002523	B breast speci	men	ready for rele	mammary ti	A specimen that is	derived from breast.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some breast))	UE
27	OBI:0002524	a urinary blade	der specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from urinary bladder.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some 'urinary bladder'))	UE
28	OBI:0002525	tibial artery	specimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from tibial artery.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some 'tibial artery'))	UE
29	OBI:0002526	skin of body	specimen	ready for rele	skin specime	A specimen that is	derived from skin.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen fro	m (tissue and ('part	of' some 'skin of body'))	UE
30	OBI:0002527	7 pancreas sp	ecimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from pancreas.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen fro	m (tissue and ('part	of' some pancreas))	UE
31	OBI:0002528	stomach spe	ecimen	ready for rele	ease	A specimen that is	derived from stomach.	Chris Stoeck	ert, NCI	Chris Sto	eckert	equivalent	collecting specimen from	m (tissue and ('part	of' some stomach))	UE

- It's easy to make mistakes with ontologies!
  - Hard to avoid these slipping through



### **Review-based workflow**

- It's easy to make mistakes with ontologies!
  - Hard to avoid these slipping through
- Let's have the AI review a collection of ontology terms
- The collection will be represented as a robot template CSV
- We will introduce a deliberate error
  - O <u>https://gist.github.com/cmungall/68ceedb93abdd24946cb2e3737bbe603</u>
  - Can you find the error faster than the AI?
- Let's try ChatGPT



#### **Review-based workflow**

#### **Ontology Terms Structured Format**

March 4, 2024

#### Anonymous

Check that the definitions and labels and axioms all match in this robot template. Don't parse the file, just look at it

id,rdfs label,editor preferred term,has curation status,alternative term,definition,definition source,example of usage,term editor,editor note,curator note,ontology term requester,term tracker item,logical type,parent,output

ID,A rdfs:label,A editor preferred term,AI has curation status,A alternative term SPLIT=I,A definition SPLIT=I,A definition source SPLIT=I,A example of usage SPLIT=I,A term editor SPLIT=I,A editor note SPLIT=I,A curator note SPLIT=I,A ontology term requester SPLIT=I,A term tracker item SPLIT=I,CLASS TYPE,C %,C has specified output some %

OBI:0003616, antibody purification of MHC class I protein complex,,,,,"An antibody purification of MHC protein complex to separate a material entity into different compositions of which one fraction contains a higher concentration of MHC class I protein complex, while others contain impurities and are not of interest.",Randi Vita,,Randi Vita|Sebastian Duesing,,,,,equivalent,antibody purification of MHC protein

Upon reevaluation, one inconsistency that stands out involves the mention of different species in the label versus the definition. For instance, the definition for the term with ID OBI:0003624 mentions a "rabbit MHC class I protein complex" in the definition, whereas the label and the output field reference a "pig MHC class I protein complex". This discrepancy indicates an error in either the label or the definition, as the species mentioned should be consistent across all fields to avoid confusion.

Example file: biobank specimens from OBI

- <u>https://gist.githubusercontent.com/cmungall/ec2a2cc2a453be40ab755bc1aa6505</u> 20/raw/c8cbe6dec97ccbded52dbd9f6f9d0244d7daf9cb/biobank-specimens.csv
- > 100 lines, many columns

Too large to paste into ChatGPT!

Alternative: claude.ai



I found a few errors and inconsistencies in the definitions:

- 1. OBI:0002502 The label and definition have a typo. It should be "cerebrospinal fluid specimen" instead of "cerbrospinal fluid specimen".
- 2. OBI:0002511 The label and definition have a typo. It should be "vitreous humor specimen" instead of "vireous humor specimen".
- 3. OBI:0002613 Typo in the definition. It should be "environmental material" instead of "environmenal material".
- 4. OBI:0002784 The label states it is an "anterior nasal swab specimen" but the definition says it is derived from the "anterior nasal wall". The definition seems incorrect as a swab specimen should be collected from a surface, not derived from a structure.
- 5. OBI:2000016, OBI:2000017, OBI:2000018 The logical type is inconsistent with the definition. The logical type says "collecting specimen with swab" but the definition indicates it is a specimen derived from a cell type, not collected with a swab.
- 6. Several terms like OBI:2000006, OBI:2000007 etc. have duplicate definitions under "definition" and "logical type" using slightly different wording.

## **Missing definition test**

Example robot template with definitions missing:

• https://gist.github.com/cmungall/2be046ef3f7eba16bb8a54b677280692

This time let's use groq

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	
6	OBI:0002533	BI:0002533 colon specimen		A specimen that is derived from colon.				
7	OBI:0002534	lung specimen	A specimen					
8	OBI:0002535	esophagus muscularis mucosa specim	A specimen	specimen that is derived from esophagus musculari				
9	OBI:0002536	cerebral cortex specimen	A specimen					
0	OBI:0002537	thyroid gland specimen	A specimen that is derived from thyroid gland.					
1	OBI:0002538	cerebellum specimen	A specimen	that is derive	d from cerebe	ellum.		
2	OBI:0002539	tit Conditional Formatting	A specimen	that is derive	d from tibial r	nerve.		
3	OBI:0002540	coronary artery specimen	A specimen that is derived from coronary artery.					
4	OBI:0002541	spleen specimen						
5	OBI:0002542	aorta specimen						
6	OBI:0002543	atrial appendage specimen						
7	OBI:0002544	esophagogastric junction specimen						
8	OBI:0002545	ileum specimen						
9	OBI:0002546	liver specimen						
0	OBI:0002547	minor salivary gland specimen						
1	OBI:0002548	omentum specimen						
2	OBI:0002549	ovary specimen						
3	OBI:0002550	sigmoid colon specimen						
4	OBI:0002551	suprapubic skin specimen						
5	OBI:0002552	testis specimen						
6	OBI:0002553	uterus specimen						
7	OBI:0002554	vagina specimen						
8	OBI:0002603	areolar swab specimen						
9	OBI:0002604	breast swab specimen						
0	OBI:0002605	cheek swab specimen						
1	OBI:0002606	nasopharyngeal swab specimen						
2	OBI:0002607	oral swab specimen						
3	OBI:0002608	oropharyngeal swab specimen						

#### ۵

Here are the missing definitions for the given IDs:

- 1. OBI:0003482, lymph specimen, A specimen that is derived from lymphatic fluid.
- 2. OBI:0003483, digestive tract specimen, A specimen that is derived from the digestive tract.
- 3. OBI:0003595, nasal lavage fluid, A specimen collected through nasal cavity lavage that contains the reagents used to for the lavage process, organisms, cells, cellular secretions, and other biomaterials present in the nasal cavity space.
- 4. OBI:200000, uterine cervix specimen, A specimen that is derived from the cervix of the uterus.
- 5. OBI:2000001, urethra specimen, A specimen that is derived from the

### **ROBOT Helper**

ROBOT-Template Helper ~



By Christopher Mungall 畠

Helps make robot templates to build ontologies

make an ontology of musical instruments

and a set of the set o





Make an ontology of cell types in the immune system

#### ROBOT-Template Helper

The uploaded document appears to be a template guide for converting tables to OWL format using ROBOT, rather than providing specific content about cell types in the immune system. Given this, I'll create a basic ontology of cell types in the immune system without relying on the uploaded document. This ontology will include a variety of cell types, with basic parent-child relationships and some example properties.





Copy code CSV identifier,name,parent,develops\_into ID,LABEL,SC %,A 'develops into' EMBRY0:001, Neural tube, , Chorda-mesoderm | Paraxial mesoderm | Lateral plate mesoderm EMBRY0:002, Intermediate mesoderm, EMBRY0:001, Kidney | Gonads EMBRY0:003, Chorda-mesoderm, EMBRY0:001, Notocord EMBRY0:004, Paraxial mesoderm, EMBRY0:001, Head | Somite EMBRY0:005, Lateral plate mesoderm, EMBRY0:001, Splanchnic (circulatory system) | Somatic EMBRY0:006, Notocord, EMBRY0:003, EMBRY0:007, Head, EMBRY0:004, EMBRY0:008, Somite, EMBRY0:004, Sclerotome (cartilage) | Myotome (skeletal muscle) | Dermatc EMBRY0:009, Splanchnic (circulatory system), EMBRY0:005, EMBRY0:010, Somatic (body cavity), EMBRY0:005, EMBRY0:011, Extra-embryonic, EMBRY0:005, EMBRY0:012,Kidney,EMBRY0:002, EMBRY0:013, Gonads, EMBRY0:002, FMBRY0.014 Sclerotome (cartilage) ↓ ky0.008



what are the marker genes for Paraxial mesoderm?

#### Consensus

#### Talked to chat.consensus.app

The marker genes for Paraxial mesoderm have been investigated in various studies. Key genes involved in the specification of mesoderm to paraxial versus intermediate fates include:

- 1. Foxc1 and Foxc2: These forkhead genes regulate the establishment of paraxial versus intermediate mesoderm cell fates in the vertebrate embryo (Wilm et al., 2004).
- 2. Wnt3a, Msgn1, and Tbx6: These are key factors for paraxial mesoderm formation, with the absence of any one of these factors resulting in the complete absence of posterior somites (Nowotschin et al., 2012).
- pMesogenin1: This bHLH class gene is specifically expressed in unsegmented paraxial mesoderm and its immediate progenitors, and it can drive nonmesodermal cells to assume a phenotype with molecular and cellular characteristics of early paraxial mesoderm (Yoon et al., 2000).



# **DRAGON-Al evaluation**

**Chris Mungall** Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

OBO Academy March 2024

### **Ontologies are vital for Knowledge Bases**



### Can generative AI help maintain ontologies?

#### **Dispelling Myths**

Manual curation is not scalable " 66

" Knowledge in the literature growing exponentially ""

	Table 1.			
	Random sampling of Pub	Med		
	Curatable 19			
This is not true!	Not Priority	17		
	Not Curatable			
	Out of scope	452		
	Redundant	2		
On expert curation and	High-throughput	2		
scalability: UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot	Insufficient evidence	5		
as a case study	Review/comment	3		
Poux et al., Bioinformatics 2017	Total	500	_	

## Can generative AI help maintain ontologies?

#### **Dispelling Myths**

as a case study

- 66 Manual curation is not scalable
- Knowledge in the literature growing exponentially"



#### Reality

- We could do with some help!
  - 1000s of open issues on GitHub Ο trackers
- We should use **experts for high level** tasks and automation for low level tasks
- Particularly true for ontologies



Can AI help here?

#### Are LLMs alone the solution?

🔰 You

create a term in the plant trait ontology for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio". Show in obo format

#### ChatGPT

To create a term in the Plant Trait Ontology (PTO) for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio" in OBO (Open Biomedical Ontologies) format, we need to follow the standard conventions of OBO format. Here's an example of how this new term could be represented:



#### Challenge: LLMs hallucinate and have reliability issues

🚺 You

create a term in the plant trait ontology for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio". Show in obo format

#### ChatGPT

No such

term

To create a term in the Plant Trait Ontology (PTO) for the concept "Fine root C/leaf C ratio" in OBO (Open Biomedical Ontologies) format, we need to follow the standard conventions of OBO format. Here's an example of how this new term could be represented:



Too general a parent (ChatGPT doesn't know the ontology well enough to place a term)

#### **Retrieval Augmented Generation (RAG) adds context**



LLM

Image credit: https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/what-retrieval-augmented-generation-grow-right

#### DRAGON-AI: Dynamic Retrieval Augmented Generation of Ontologies using AI



## Challenge: How do we evaluate performance?

- Subjectivity
  - Ontology terms are constructs
  - Multiple ground truths
- Heterogeneity
  - Different knowledge domains present different challenges
  - What works for one ontology or knowledgebase may not work for another
- Multifaceted
  - Ontologies have a variety of *axiom* types (logical and textual)
  - Not just link prediction
- Realistic scenarios
  - Ontology development is collaborative
  - How to emulate domain experts giving background knowledge
- Benchmarks
  - How do we deal with test data leakage?
  - All major LLMs have "seen" most ontology terms already
- Model proliferation
  - Generalizability across models
  - Different models are proliferating (Gemini, Mixtral, ...)

### Our evaluation approach

- Subjectivity
  - Ontology terms are constructs
  - Manual evaluation using multiple experts
- Heterogeneity
  - Different knowledge domains present different challenges
  - Test on a variety of ontologies, evaluate on multiple criteria
- Multifaceted
  - Ontologies have a variety of *axiom* types (logical and textual)
  - Evaluate each axiom type separately
- Realistic scenarios
  - Ontology development is collaborative
  - Evaluate realistic workflows, use of GitHub issues as background knowledge
- Benchmarks
  - How do we deal with test data leakage?
  - Test using only terms from after model cutoff
- Model proliferation
  - Generalizability across models
  - Test open and closed models

#### Evaluating AI-Generated Definitions against Human Curated Definitions Scored using different criteria (1-5)

#### 24 Evaluators volunteered

• editors, curators, and users

Evaluators considered terms generated by different AI models as well as manually authored ones

- Evaluators worked independently
- Evaluators were blinded to source of term

MODARCH MOD

- Accuracy
- Consistency
- Overall score

Evaluators also indicated confidence (1-5)



#### Evaluation on 10 ontologies

Ontology	Name	Typical Usage	Terms Tested	Tasks Evaluated
CL	Cell type ontology	Single cell annotation	50 R,D,L	
ENVO	Environment Ontology	Microbiome annotation	50	R,D,L
FOODON	Food Ontology	Nutrition, food safety	50	R,D,L
GO	Gene Ontology	Gene function analysis	50 R,D,L	
HP	Human Phenotype Ontology	Disease/gene annotation, variant prioritization	50 R,D	
MONDO	Mondo Disease Ontology	Disease classification	50 R,D,L	
MP	Mammalian Phenotype Ontology	Gene/genotype annotation	n 50 R,D	
ОВА	Ontology of Biological Attributes	GWAS, eQTL	50	R,D,L
ОВІ	Ontology of Biomedical Investigations	Experimental methods	50	R
UBERON	Anatomy Ontology	Gene expression	40	R,D,L

Inclusion criteria

- Pass OBO Dashboard
- Impactful for NIH DRKBs
- Actively developed
- Cross-section of domains
- Diversity of styles of ontology development

Tasks

- <u>R</u>elationships
  - Classification
  - All relationships
- <u>D</u>efinitions (text)
- <u>L</u>ogical axiomatization

#### Models and configurations tested



Gpt-3.5-turbo (OpenAI)

**Closed**, inexpensive, API only



Gpt-4 (OpenAI)

**Closed**, expensive, API only



nous-hermes-13b

**Open**, API or download and run locally (huggingface.co)



RAG over ontology + GitHub issues



RAG over ontology only

#### **Results: Humans beat AI!**



Terms authored by humans scored **better** than those authored by Al

#### **Relationship Prediction Task**

		SubClassOf	f Task		All Relations	isk	
method	model	precision	recall	f1	precision	recall	f1
DRAGON	gpt-3.5-turbo	0.831	0.352	0.494	0.746	0.392	0.514
DRAGON	gpt-4	0.889	0.44	0.588	0.797	0.456	0.58
DRAGON	nous-hermes-13b	0.68	0.273	0.39	0.597	0.292	0.392
OWL Reasoner	n/a	1.0*	0.337	0.504	n/a	n/a	n/a

(results aggregated across ontologies)

- Relationships were largely correct
- Precision scores may undercount due to missing relationships in ontology

# Definition evaluation: Human curated definitions (narrowly) beat AI generated ones

		Consistency (1-5)	Score (1-5)	Accuracy (1-5)	model name	method
2nd best (joint)	l	3.7	3.598	4.018	gpt-3.5-turbo	DRAGON
	ſ	3.664	3.543	3.945	gpt-4	DRAGON
		3.548	3.361	3.741	nous-hermes-13b	DRAGON
best	be	4.107	4.06	4.332	human	curator

gpt-4 vs gpt-3.5 not statistically significant

Closed models vs open model: statistically significant

Humans vs AI: statistically significant

Adding GitHub issues as a background source boosted performance by **0.2** on average (only tested on subset)

# Note of caution: Less-confident evaluators gave AI better scores







## Lessons from software engineering?

June 2023

"Estimated GDP boost of **\$1.5trillion** by 2030"



Domhke et al (2023) Sea Change in Software Development: Economic and Productivity Analysis of the AI-Powered Developer Lifecycle 10.48550/arXiv.2306.15033



"Estimated GDP boost of **\$1.5trillion** by 2030"

2023 Data Shows Downward Pressure on Code Quality **⇒ Technical Debt** 



Domhke et al (2023) Sea Change in Software Development: Economic and Productivity Analysis of the AI-Powered Developer Lifecycle 10.48550/arXiv.2306.15033



Harding W, Kloster M (2024) Coding on Copilot (GitClear analysis of 150m lines of code)

https://www.gitclear.com/coding\_on\_copilot\_data\_shows\_ais\_downward\_pressure\_on\_code\_quality

# Limitations of our study

- Test set was restricted to recently added terms to mature ontologies which **may not be representative**
- Most ontology work is **refactoring**, not simply accumulating terms





#### GO consortium (2019), Nucleic Acids Research

geneontology.org/stats.html

## **DRAGON-AI** part of Monarch suite of LLM tools



#### Alliance of Genome Resources AI curation tools

- Exploring models with large context windows for full papers
- LLM-based **virtual biocurator assistant** to help curators extract complex information from papers
- Automate article "triaging" using Al
- Document classification using Al
- Improving gene summaries using AI/LLM
- Streamline AI/ML pipelines creation, evaluation, comparison and versioning through a centralized management framework

**"reasoning"**: "The publication describes the L138F mutation in human Orai1, which can cause severe myopathy, and its corresponding mutation L210F in dOrai of Drosophila melanogaster. Molecular dynamics simulations were performed for the WT and the L210F mutant channels to understand how the L210F mutation activates the channel. This indicates that Drosophila is used as a model to study the activation mechanism of a mutation relevant to a human disease, which fits the criteria for Drosophila models of human disease as described in the prompt.",



"triage\_result": true,



### Conclusions

- High quality **knowledge bases and ontologies can be used to improve accuracy** of LLMs using <u>Retrieval Augmented Generation</u> (RAG)
  - RAG works best with existing high quality curated knowledge
- The combination has the potential to be useful **assistants** to ontology developers and knowledge base curators
  - Results should be vetted by experts
  - Care should be taken not to accumulate **semantic debt**
- Integration into existing workflows and tools is essential
  - Ontology Development Environments (e.g. Protege)

### Acknowledgements

#### Sabrina Toro

Anna V Anagnostopoulos Sue Bello Kai Blumberg **Rhiannon Cameron** Leigh Carmody Harry Caufield Alexander D Diehl Damion Dooley William Duncan Petra Fey Pascale Gaudet Nomi L Harris Marcin Joachimiak Leila Kiani Tiago Lubiana Monica C Munoz-Torres

Shawn O'Neil David Osumi-Sutherland Aleix Puig Justin P Reese Leonore Reiser Sofia Robb Troy Ruemping James Seager Eric Sid Ray Stefancsik Magalie Weber Valerie Wood Peter Robinson Melissa A Haendel

NHGRI HG010860 Phenomics First HG012212 Gene Ontology U24HG010859 Alliance NIH OD R24 OD011883 Monarch Initiative NSF [OAC-2112606 ICICLE] DOE [DE-AC0205CH11231]