



Sympathy Expressions in Political Rhetoric

Tulanbayeva Sh.Sh.

Teacher, Andijan State Institute of Foreign Languages

charostulanbayeva@gmail.com

Abstract: This article discusses the linguistic intricacies of expressing sympathy and condolences within the political discourse. It examines how political figures utilize language to convey empathy, solidarity, and compassion in response to tragedies and crises. The study employs a discourse analysis approach to scrutinize speeches, statements, and social media communications of politicians in the aftermath of various global and national events. By analyzing the syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic aspects of these expressions, the article sheds light on the rhetorical strategies employed to evoke emotional responses, build political identity, and navigate the delicate balance between personal empathy and public responsibility. The findings reveal patterns of linguistic choices that reflect cultural, ideological, and contextual influences in the construction of sympathetic and condolatory messages in political discourse. The article contributes to the understanding of the role of language in shaping political communication and the impact of sympathetic expressions on public perception and political relations [1-3].

Key words: sympathy, condolences, political discourse, linguistic means, verbal means, solidarity, compassion.

The expression of sympathy and condolences is a universal human response to tragedy and loss, serving as a means of offering comfort and solidarity to those affected. In political discourse, these expressions take on added dimensions, as they are not only personal but also public acts that reflect a leader's empathy, values, and political stance [4]. This article explores the linguistic strategies used by politicians to convey sympathy and condolences in response to various crises, including natural disasters, terrorist attacks, and other tragic events [5-6].

Political discourse is a complex field where language is used to persuade, inform, and connect with the public. When tragedy strikes, political figures are often expected to respond promptly and appropriately, offering words of comfort and reassurance to their constituents and the wider community. The language used in these moments is critical, as it can shape public perception, influence political relations, and even impact the healing process of those affected [7].

This study aims to dissect the linguistic components of sympathy and condolence expressions in political discourse, focusing on the syntactic structures, semantic choices, and pragmatic functions that characterize these messages. By analyzing speeches, official



statements, and social media posts, the article seeks to uncover the patterns and variations in how political figures use language to express empathy and solidarity in times of crisis [8].

This study employs a qualitative discourse analysis approach to examine the linguistic aspects of sympathy and condolence expressions in political discourse. The analysis focuses on a corpus of texts comprising speeches, official statements, and social media posts by political figures in response to various tragic events [9].

The corpus was compiled by selecting texts from a range of political leaders, including presidents, prime ministers, and other elected officials, from different countries and political backgrounds. The texts were chosen based on their relevance to significant tragic events, such as natural disasters, terrorist attacks, and public health crises, occurring between 2015 and 2023. The data were sourced from official government websites, verified social media accounts of the political figures, and reputable news outlets [4-6].

The analysis was guided by a framework that focused on three main linguistic dimensions: Syntactic Analysis: Examining the sentence structures used to express sympathy and condolences, such as the use of passive constructions, conditional sentences, and the syntactic arrangement of empathetic expressions [7]. Semantic Analysis: Identifying the choice of words and phrases that convey sympathy and condolences, including the use of emotional language, metaphors, and culturally specific expressions of grief [9]. Pragmatic Analysis: Investigating the communicative functions of the expressions, such as the conveyance of empathy, the establishment of solidarity, and the reinforcement of political identity [8,13].

The analysis of the corpus, including recent tragic events, revealed key findings regarding the linguistic strategies employed by English-speaking political figures to express sympathy and condolences. These findings are discussed in terms of syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic dimensions, with reference to the examples provided.

1. Joe Biden's Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic (2020): "To heal, we must remember. It's hard sometimes to remember. But that's how we heal. It's important to do that as a nation." In this statement, Joe Biden employs repetition ("remember," "heal") to emphasize the importance of collective memory in the healing process. The use of inclusive pronouns ("we," "as a nation") reinforces a sense of unity and shared responsibility in facing the pandemic [4].

2. Justin Trudeau's Reaction to the Nova Scotia Mass Shooting (2020): "Such a tragedy should never have occurred. Violence has no place in Canada. We grieve with the families of the victims and all Canadians." Justin Trudeau's statement uses a declarative sentence ("Such a tragedy should never have occurred") to assert the unacceptable nature of the event. The categorical rejection of violence ("Violence has no place in Canada") underscores the values of peace and safety associated with Canadian identity [5].



3. Boris Johnson's Statement on the London Bridge Stabbing (2019): "I want to pay tribute to the extraordinary bravery of those members of the public who physically intervened to protect the lives of others." Boris Johnson's statement highlights the heroism of ordinary citizens ("extraordinary bravery of those members of the public"), shifting the focus from the attacker to the resilience and courage of the community. The use of the verb "pay tribute" formalizes the expression of admiration and respect [6, 12].

These recent examples further demonstrate the varied linguistic approaches taken by political leaders to address different types of tragedies. The language used in these expressions of sympathy and condolences continues to reflect a balance between conveying personal empathy, reaffirming collective values, and maintaining a stance of leadership [7-9].

This article has explored the linguistic strategies employed by English-speaking political figures in expressing sympathy and condolences in response to tragic events. The analysis of a corpus of speeches, official statements, and social media posts has revealed key syntactic, semantic, and pragmatic dimensions that characterize these expressions [10].

The findings demonstrate that expressions of sympathy and condolences in political discourse are not merely formulaic responses but are carefully crafted messages that balance personal empathy with public responsibility. These expressions play a crucial role in shaping public perception and political relations, as they reflect the values and identity of the leader and the nation they represent [1-3].

In conclusion, the linguistic analysis of sympathy and condolences in political discourse provides valuable insights into the role of language in shaping political communication and the impact of sympathetic expressions on public perception and political relations. As the digital landscape continues to evolve, further research is needed to understand the nuanced ways in which language, culture, and technology intersect in the expression of condolences in times of crisis [2, 3, 8, 9].

References:

1. Chilton, P., & Schäffner, C. (2002). *Politics as Text and Talk: Analytic Approaches to Political Discourse*. John Benjamins Publishing Company.
2. Condor, S., Tileagă, C., & Billig, M. (2013). *Political Rhetoric*. In C. Tileagă & J. Byford (Eds.), *Psychology & History: Interdisciplinary Explorations* (pp. 67-84). Cambridge University Press.
3. Van Dijk, T. A. (1998). *Ideology: A Multidisciplinary Approach*. SAGE Publications
4. White House. (2020, January 21). *Remarks by President Biden at COVID-19 Memorial*. [Transcript]. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/speeches-remarks/2021/01/21/remarks-by-president-biden-at-covid-19-memorial/>



5. Prime Minister's Office of Canada. (2020, April 19). Statement by the Prime Minister on the shooting in Nova Scotia. [Press release]. <https://pm.gc.ca/en/news/statements/2020/04/19/statement-prime-minister-shooting-nova-scotia>
6. UK Government. (2019, November 29). Prime Minister's statement on London Bridge incident. [Press release]. <https://www.gov.uk/government/speeches/pm-statement-on-london-bridge-incident-29-november-2019>
7. Halliday, M. A. K., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (2014). *An Introduction to Functional Grammar* (4th ed.). Routledge.
8. Wilson, J. (1990). *Politically Speaking: The Pragmatic Analysis of Political Language*. Basil Blackwell
9. Charteris-Black, J. (2011). *Politicians and Rhetoric: The Persuasive Power of Metaphor* (2nd ed.). Palgrave Macmillan.
10. Reuters. (2020, January 21). Biden leads observance of America's 400,000 COVID-19 dead on eve of inauguration. <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-biden-inauguration-memorial-idUSKBN29P2ZT>
11. Sh. Sh. Tulanbaeva. (2023). Exploring the role of nonverbal communication in conveying sympathy and support. *American Journal of Philological Sciences*, 3(06), 130–136. <https://doi.org/10.37547/ajps/Volume03Issue06-21>
12. Shavkatbekovna, T.S. (2022). Sympathy and condolences in english linguoculture. *Berlin Studies Transnational Journal of Science and Humanities*, 2(1.6 Philological sciences).
13. Shahnozahon Sh. Tulanbaeva, . (2023). Expressions of Compassion in English linguoculture. *Current Research Journal of Philological sciences*, 4(12), 67–74. <https://doi.org/10.37547/philological-crjps-04-12-13>.