International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)
ISSN: 2277-3878 (Online), Volume-12 Issue-6, March 2024

Gibbs Haversine Reinforcement Learning Based Handover For 5g Enabled Seamless Mobility in Wireless Network

T. Vidhya, C. Chandrasekar

***Abstract:** Seamless Mobility (SM) is crucial for bringing about better Quality of Service (QoS) like minimum handover latency with maximum throughput in 5G networks. In this work a method called, Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution (JIRF-RLGHD) for optimal selection of target cells for the handover process to ensure seamless mobility is designed. The JIRF-RLGHD method is split into two sections. They are predicting the signal quality of both serving and adjacent wireless nodes using the Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering model. The second task remains in applying Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution for optimal selection of target cells for handover to ensure seamless mobility in a wireless network. The overall proposed method was simulated on a Python programming interface language. The simulation results reveal that the JIRF-RLGHD method offers a greater delivery rate, and handover success with lesser handover latency at minimal packet loss rate. Numerical results show that the JIRF-RLGHD method performs better in terms of data delivery rate by 18%, and handover latency by 33% compared to existing methods.*

***Keywords:** Fifth Generation, Seamless Mobility, Quality of Service, Box Jenkin, Impulse Response Filter, Reinforcement Learning, Gibbs Haversine Distribution*

I. INTRODUCTION

Optimization based on the distance (Opt. Distance) was employed as the mechanism to ensure effectiveness and convenience with divergent mobility patterns based on the User Equipment (UE) state of affairs [1]. The areas that necessitated improvement were also analyzed and accordingly, tuning of network parameters was made automatically. Moreover, employing antenna gain and path loss models, according to the change in network conditions and traffic patterns, service quality was said to be enhanced with minimal human efforts. Finally, better user experiences were provided with a better bandwidth allocation handover process in a significant manner. Despite improvements in terms of allocating bandwidth with a better handover process the delay which is considered as one of the important performance factors was not taken into consideration while designing divergent mobility patterns.

Manuscript received on 25 February 2024 | Revised Manuscript received on 13 March 2024 | Manuscript Accepted on 15 March 2024 | Manuscript published on 30 March 2024.
*****Correspondence Author(s)*
T. Vidhya*, Research Scholar, Periyar University, Salem, E-mail: vivekvidya14@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0009-0002-5797-1601
Dr. C. Chandrasekar, Professor, Periyar University, Salem, E-mail: ccsekar@gmail.com, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4429-0498

The 5G NR supports enhanced mobile broadband, low latency communications, and huge numbers of mobile devices. Hence, seamless mobility is required to be conserved in the course of migration between cells in the procedure of handover. However, with the existence of an enormous number of mobile devices, high mobility management of dense networks becomes pivotal. In addition, an active adjustment is essential that crucially influences the handover latency and overall throughput.

A Learning-based Intelligent Mobility Management (LIM2) was proposed in [2] for handling mobility management in 5G. Here, initially Kalman filter was applied to predict future signal quality of both serving and adjacent cells, optimal selection of target cell for handover process using State Action Reward Station Action-based reinforcement learning. Finally, a greedy policy was used as a means for time to trigger, therefore ensuring high throughput and low packet delay. Though high throughput with low packet delay was ensured the latency was not focused. Next-generation wireless cellular networks are visualized to be envisioned to be self-coordinated, significant, and cost-efficient. Owing to the new paradigm, 5G, there are several design issues, spanning from scalable mobility management to reliable resource management for seamless access to wireless services deprived of compromising the anticipated Quality of Service (QoS). A control/data separation architecture was designed in [3] via stacked long short-term memory (LSTM). With this type of design efficient separation between predictive and nonpredictive cases was made based on holistic handover cost evaluation that in turn improved handover accuracy. An extensive number of base stations and associated sensors have been growing exponentially. This in turn had corresponding increased numerous types of mobility management issues that in turn necessitated optimization model to circumvent degradation of QoS. Machine learning (ML) is an optimistic model for future wireless 5G networks. The robustness optimization technique was applied in [4] via key performance indicators ensuring system enhancements. In this day and age, information and communication technology extends swiftly. As a result, there is an improvement in both coverage and connectivity. On the other hand, the evolution of 5G has resulted in minimal communication latency, highest speed, increased throughput, and so on. In [5], essential and pivotal characteristics of 5G communication technology in addition to the drawbacks of prevailing methods were presented in detail.

A holistic review of seamless mobility management issues related to 5G was investigated in [6].

The domain of seamless mobility extends from health to surveillance and transportation. A greedy pricing scheme was applied in [7] employing a column-generated solution to obtain the optimal solution for measuring the strategic behavior of travelers and ensuring seamless mobility. A detailed literature review along with the exploration of 5G in different industries was investigated in [8]. Also, an in-depth review of the evolution and progress of wireless technology with emphasis on the significance of 5G networks was presented. In the recent few years, healthcare has received a great deal of importance post covid for providing robust solutions using 5G. Prevailing radio access technology was improvised in [9] to ensure quality of experience. Also, resource utilization was improved using a multi-agent reinforcement learning mechanism [25][26].

A. Contributions

Motivated by the above issues, like, handover latency, packet loss, data delivery rate, and success of handover for seamless mobility in wireless networks, in this work, a method called, Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution (JIRF-RLGHD) is designed using Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution. The major contributions of this work are pointed out below.

- To present a significant method for designing seamless mobility in wireless networks by ensuring optimal handover called, Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution (JIRF-RLGHD).
- To design convergence-efficient filtered signal (i.e., eliminating the noisy signal results), to minimize handover latency and packet loss using the Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering algorithm applied to the raw traffic flows obtained from the IP Network Traffic Flows dataset
- To propose a Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution algorithm for optimally selecting target cells for performing handover therefore ensuring seamless mobility in an accurate and precise manner.
- Finally, the performance of the proposed JIRF-RLGHD based seamless mobility method for traffic flows is compared with the conventional state-of-the-art methods.

B. Organization of the Work

The rest of the paper is organized as given below. Section 2 provides the related works on seamless mobility, handover, machine learning, and deep learning for network traffic flows. Section 3 presents a brief description of the seamless mobility for wireless networks called, Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution (JIRF-RLGHD). After that, Section 4 provides experimental results, along with the corresponding implementation details in Section 5. Section 6 presents a detailed comparative study between the proposed JIRF-RLGHD method and the other state-of-the-art methods with the aid of table and graphical representation. Finally, Section 7 concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORKS

The mobile industry is evolving and getting ready to establish the 5G networks. The developing 5G networks are flattering more becoming more willingly accessible as an efficient driver of IoT devices. Moreover, 5G's lightning-fast connection and low latency are required for evolution in intelligent automation like Artificial Intelligence (AI), driverless cars, digital reality, and so on. The evolution of 5G yet opens a state-of-the-art world of probabilities for almost all areas of the domain.

Mobility management is one of the paramount services that necessitate awareness for the present-day 5G organizations. Moreover, the QoS essentials in 5G wireless networks are user-specific. As far as seamless mobility in 5G wireless networks is concerned network slicing has been considered as one of the key enablers for ensuring on-demand service schemes. In [10][24], radio resource access was concentrated on mobile roaming users. In addition, an integrated architecture was designed to enable seamless handover between a 5G via network slicing paradigm. However, two major issues latency and bandwidth were not focused. To address these two aspects, Software Defined Networking (SDN) and Network Function Virtualization (NFV) were designed for 5G networks [11]. Here, seamless mobility management while shifting the paradigm between SDN to another in a 5G network was designed. Employing a distributed hash table resulted in the minimization of handover latency significantly.

With the mushrooming expansion of traffic load and associated devices in the wireless network, the 5G should reliably minimize the latency. Specifically, seamless mobility is highly required for attaining low handover latency. In [12], a generalized RACH-less handover method was presented for arriving at seamless mobility without the need for a synchronized network. Yet another holistic review of user localization equipment along with standardized reference signals to ensure localization accuracy was presented in [13]. A survey of handover optimization mechanisms was investigated in [14][21][22].

The main objective of 5G communication remains to bring a revolution in QoS) using mobile broadband, low latency reliable communication process, and enormous communication between machines. In [15] a comprehensive survey of 5G communication networks for addressing routing-based interference was designed. A detailed survey on the handover management to ensure seamless mobility in 5G was detailed in [16]. Also, certain performance metrics like throughput, delay, and traffic load involved in the handover process were detailed. Moreover, the challenges involved in designing handover to counteract the attacks during handover were also presented. Seamless mobility management in 5G networks for massive wireless data from numerous application scenarios was presented in [17].

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.F801812060324
DOI: 10.35940/ijrte.F8018.12060324
Journal Website: www.ijrte.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

A regression model for seamless mobility deploying heterogeneous networks was designed in [18][23]. The evolution of the 5G wireless network with seamless mobility has led the way towards numerous advantages. However, it gave rise to new issues on the 5G wireless network, therefore making the prevailing methods out of data to handle the new issues. Owing to this, research learning was performed to explore deep learning methods in addressing issues in the 5G network.

In [19] a survey of deep learning methods for addressing issues concerning 5G in wireless networks for seamless mobility was proposed. However, still now seamless mobility for complicated urban environments was not focused. To address this issue, a network-slicing strategy for machine communication was researched in [20].

Even though machine learning methods have been considerably used and applied in the area of seamless mobility with 5G, only a few researchers have endeavored to utilize machine learning methods. As discussed earlier, we will demonstrate that machine learning can be used to ensure seamless mobility with optimal handover in a 5G wireless network.

III. MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

A. Dataset description

The proposed method uses IP Network Traffic Flows Labeled with 75 Apps dataset extracted from https://www.kaggle.com/jsrojas/ip-networktraffic-flows-labeled-with-87-apps for attending wireless network seamless mobility in 5G. The corresponding raw data were obtained both in the morning and afternoon over six days time period in the month between April and May 2017. The dataset includes an overall of 87 features and 3577296 instances were gathered, accumulated, and stored in the form of a CSV file. The sample instance comprises IP information flow executed by both the source and destination IP addresses, ports, inter-arrival time, and layer 7 protocols. Several features are in the form of numeric but nominal data types are included along with the date types called, Timestamp. The features included in the dataset are source port, source IP, flow ID, flow duration, time stamp, destination IP, destination port, total forward and backward packets, and length of forward and backward packets respectively. The input vector for the corresponding IP Network Traffic Flows Labeled dataset is subjected to the input vector matrix as given below.

$$IV = \begin{bmatrix} S_1F_1 & S_1F_2 & \dots & S_1F_n \\ S_2F_1 & S_2F_2 & \dots & S_2F_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ S_mF_1 & S_mF_2 & \dots & S_mF_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

From the above formulate (1), the input vector 'IV' matrix includes 'm' samples with 'n' features as input with which further processing is said to be performed.

B. Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution (JIRF-RLGHD)

Seamless mobility refers to the potentiality to transpose or change the wireless node's point of attachment to an IP-based network without losing track of ongoing connections (i.e., current connections) and without disruptions in communication (i.e., between current cells and adjacent cells). Seamless mobility management, with facilities of seamless handoff and QoS guarantees, is one of the crucial matters in question to aid the global roaming of wireless nodes (WNs) between several wireless systems in a significant manner.

As far as the 5G network is concerned, mobility is not only a physical postulation but also a logical one. It is hence pivotal to provide seamless mobility and QoS guarantee support stemming from intelligent and efficient mobility management mechanisms. To enable seamless mobility and QoS provision, a seamless handoff (i.e., minimal service disturbance in the course of handoff) is of considerable significance. Seamless handoff refers to minimal data packet loss, moderate handoff latency, and reasonable signaling traffic overhead. To converge with proliferating projections and upcoming requirements, 5G encompasses an extensive extent of performance-influencing characteristics.

Evaluating the correlation between these influencing characteristics and validating every probability is a prerequisite to determining the constraints and issues that must be made certain for 5G to attain its objectives. These in addition make possible accurate and precise pre-selection of the characteristics based on necessitated before the deployment of the network that in turn results in the appropriate performance level. Nevertheless, while the association of several contributing characteristics leads the way to 5G organization, accurate and precision predicting performance based on all these associating characteristics remains a major challenge in practice. To address the challenge, this work proposes a 5G model consisting of five distinct modules.

a. System model

Let 'SN' be the serving node, 'TN' be the target node, and 'α_{ISD}' represent the Inter Site Distance between the serving node and the target node. Let the wireless node 'WN' be positioned at coordinates '(A_i, B_i)' and supposed to progress in a straight line making an angle of 'β' for the 'α_{ISD}' where '$\beta = 0^{\circ}$' associates the straight line movement of wireless node 'WN' toward the target node target node. One of the pivotal digital framework building blocks for 5G includes a microcell, picocell, and femtocell. As a substitute for restoring conventional macrocells, employing the small cells (i.e., microcell, picocell, and femtocell) augments this framework to improve both network coverage and volume in dense locations. To provide targeted wireless network coverage and volume, three types of cells, called, femtocells, picocells, and microcells are used. As the name implies, femtocells cover a diameter of up to 10 meters, picocells cover a diameter of up to 200 meters, whereas microcells cover a diameter of up to 2 km. Owing to this in our work, picocells and microcells are employed for designing minimum interference seamless mobility design patterns employing the flow statistics and deep packet inspection from the application layer protocol information obtained from the raw dataset for further processing. Figure 1 given below illustrates the sample system model deployment for wireless network seamless mobility.



Fig. 1: System Model of Wireless Network Seamless Mobility

As illustrated in the above figure, with the wireless node 'WN' positioned at coordinates '(A_i, B_i)', the picocell be represented as '(A_{PC}, B_{PC})' whereas the microcell is represented as '(A_{MC}, B_{MC})'. The wireless node 'WN' is supposed to travel between serving node 'SN' and target node 'TN' at a constant velocity and angle. At any time instance, the wireless node 'WN' is considered to be at distance 'α_{MP}' from the microcell and 'α_{PM}' from the picocells. Finally, the Inter-Site Distance 'α_{ISD}' represents the distance between two adjacent sites or adjacent nodes. Then, the distance between wireless node 'WN' and 'α_{MP}' from the microcell and 'α_{PM}' from the picocells are mathematically formulated as given below.

$$\alpha_{MP} = \sqrt{(A_i - A_{MP})^2 + (B_i - B_{MP})^2} \qquad (2)$$

$$\alpha_{PM} = \sqrt{(A_i - A_{PM})^2 + (B_i - B_{PM})^2} \qquad (3)$$

From the above equations (2) and (3), 'α_{MP}' and 'α_{PM}' represents the location coordinates of macro pico '(A_{MP}, B_{MP})' and picomacro '$PicoMacro$' respectively.

b. Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering model

Following which with the above system model in consideration to predict the future signal quality of both service and adjacent cells or nodes, in this work, a scalable and reliable impulse response filter modeling called, Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering is applied. By applying this scalable and reliable impulse response filtering the existence of a reliable and scalable link quality is appertained that in turn not only reduces the handover latency but also ensures minimal packet loss in a significant manner. Figure 2 shows the structure of the Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering model.



Fig. 2: Structure of Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering Model

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.F801812060324
DOI: 10.35940/ijrte.F8018.12060324
Journal Website: www.ijrte.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

As illustrated in the above figure, first, with the input vector matrix 'IV' obtained from the raw dataset 'DS', and location coordinates obtained, a scalable and reliable impulse response filter is designed. This formulation is generated in such a manner to predict the future signal quality of '$RSSI[n]$' and the duration below which '$RSSI[n]$' remains a threshold is mathematically stated as given below.

$$RSSI_{pred}[n] = \sum_{l=1}^{M} h_n[l] RSSI[n-l] \, (IV) \quad (4)$$

From the above equation (4), 'M' refers to the number of data packets necessitated for prediction whereas '$RSSI[n-1]$' refers to the measured RSSI value at time '$n-1$' and '$h_n[l]$' represents the '$l-th$' coefficient of the filter for '$n-th$' round respectively. Also, the filter coefficient values are updated in an arbitrary manner with which the updated link quality estimate results are then mathematically stated as given below.

$$RSSI(n) = RSSI_{Pred}[n+1] = RSSI_{Pred}[n] + \gamma(RSSI[n] - RSSI_{Pred}[n]) \quad (5)$$

From the above equation results (5) using the filter coefficient values '$0 < \gamma < 1$' the predicted RSSI value for '$n+1$' determines the anticipated error between the predicted RSSI and the actual RSSI values, hence taking into consideration both the service and adjacent cells or nodes. With this future signal quality results are arrived at therefore minimizing handover latency and data packet loss rate in a significant manner. The output '$y(n)$' from the above-updated link quality estimate remains an additive sum of the signal '$RSSI(n)$', disturbance '$Dis(n)$' the noise measured '$v(n)$'. This is mathematically stated as given below.

$$y(n) = RSSI(n) + Dis(n) + v(n) \quad (6)$$

$$RSSI(n) = G_{RSSI}(n) + IV(n) \quad (7)$$

$$Dis(n) = G_w(n)w(n) \quad (8)$$

From the above equations (6), (7), and (8), the signal '$RSSI(n)$' and the disturbance '$Dis(n)$' models are obtained taking into consideration sample input vector '$IV(n)$', white noise '$w(n)$', transfer matrix or order 'n_{RSSI}' and 'n_w' respectively. Finally, using the Box Jenkins function, an efficient mathematical model that forecasts the signal quality is obtained from the following formulate as given below.

$$D_{RSSI\,w}(n) = D_w(n) N_{RSSI\,w}(n) IV(n) + \epsilon(n) \quad (9)$$

$$D_w(n) = D_{RSSI}(n) D_w(n) \quad (10)$$

$$N_{RSSI\,w}(n) = D_w(n) N_{RSSI}(n) \quad (11)$$

From the above equations (9), (10), and (11), future signal forecasting results are predicted based on the denominator '$D_{RSSI\,w}(n)$' and numerator '$N_{RSSI\,w}(n)$' polynomials. The pseudo-code representation of Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering for generating scalable and reliable filters is given below.

Input: Dataset 'DS', Samples '$S = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\}$', Features '$F = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$', Data packets '$DP = \{DP_1, DP_2, \dots, DP_M\}$'
Output:filtered signal results '$D_{RSSI\,w}(n)$' with minimal handover latency and packet loss
Step 1: **Initialize** '$m = 3557297$', '$n = 87$', serving node 'SN', target node 'TN', 'M', coefficient '$0 < \gamma < 1$' Step 2: **Begin** Step 3: **For** each Dataset 'DS' with Samples 'S' and Features 'F' Step 4: Formulate the input vector matrix as given in equation (1) Step 5: Formulate distance between wireless node 'WN' and 'α_{MP}' from the microcell as given in equation (2) Step 6: Formulate distance between wireless node 'WN' and 'α_{PM}' from the picocells as given in equation (3) Step 7: Evaluate link quality as given in equation (4) Step 8: Evaluate updated link quality estimate results as given in equation (5) Step 9: **If** '$RSSI[n] - RSSI_{Pred}[n]$ *are highly correlated*' Step 10: **Then** predicted signal results are correct and make 'γ' purposefully small Step 11: **Return** predicted future signal quality Step 12: **End if** Step 13: **If** '$RSSI[n] - RSSI_{Pred}[n]$ *are less correlated*' Step 14: **Then** predicted signal results are not correct and make 'γ' purposefully large Step 15: **Go to** step 5 Step 16: **End if** Step 17: Evaluate signal and output error as given in equations (6), (7) and (8) Step 18: Formulate Box Jenkin function to the evaluated signal and output error to predict signal quality results as given in equations (9), (10) and (11) Step 19: **End for** Step 20: **End**

Algorithm-1: Scalable and Reliable Impulse Response Filter

As given in the above algorithm to minimize both the handover latency and packet loss, a scalable and reliable impulse response filter is applied. First, with the raw data obtained from the dataset and formulated as an input vector matrix, the distance between the wireless node from the microcell and the picocells is initially measured. Second, the list quality is estimated for each round with different numbers of data packets and time instances. Third, according to the updated link quality estimates filter coefficient values are updated arbitrarily. Finally according to the correlated results, predicted future signal quality is either returned or proceeds with other sets of data. With the predicted future signal quality results, the signal and the output error are evaluated. From the identified results, minimal realizations of the signal, the disturbance, and the filter are obtained using the Box Jenkin function that in turn not only minimizes the handover latency but also reduces the packet loss rate significantly.

c. Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution for optimal selection of target cell for handover process to ensure seamless mobility

Handover enhancements were explored to handle frequent handovers owing to seamless mobility in wireless networks. Therefore, the major problem of handover towards seamless mobility was the signaling storm generated by handing over all wireless nodes in wireless networks in an old cell to a new cell owing to the reason that when the wireless node intersects the boundary between the serving and adjacent, handover occurs.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.F801812060324
DOI: 10.35940/ijrte.F8018.12060324
Journal Website: www.ijrte.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

With this frequent handover, the data delivery rate and subsequently the success of handover are compromised. To address this issue, in this work, Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution for optimal selection of target cells for the handover process to ensure seamless mobility is designed. Figure 3 shows the structure of the Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution model.



Fig. 3: Structure of Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution Model

As illustrated in the above figure, the environment state is assessed based on the handover optimization costs (e.g., too late handovers and too early handovers) and accordingly selects an action to optimize handover parameters (e.g., Time To Trigger (TTT) and Cell Individual Offsets (CIO)) in harmony with the measured state by employing prior knowledge (i.e., from impulse response filter).

The knowledge is updated based on optimization costs to reflect the optimal selection of target cells for the handover process. To fine-tune mobility between cell 'i' and cell 'j', the optimal selection of the target cell for the handover process includes four features, the environment state '$es_{ij} \in ES$', action '$a_{ij} \in A(ES_{ij})$', dataset '$DS(a_{ij})$' that provides the belief distribution of optimal features and cost function 'C_{ij}' that consider the quality of an action in a given state respectively.

The proposed algorithm selects the optimal action '$A(ES_{ij})$' to reduce handover cost (i.e., maximizing data delivery rate and success of handover) based on optimization costs. Environment state '$es_{ij} \in ES$' is sensed based on the handover to reduce unwanted handovers. The environment state '$es_{ij} = (ES_{CIO+}, ES_{CIO-}, ES_{TTT+}, ES_{TTT-})$' that refers to the increase in CIO, decrease in CIO, increase in TTT, and decrease in TTT respectively, from their prevailing values, to control both too late and too early handover, therefore ensuring seamless mobility extensively. Following this, the action '$A_{ij} \in A(ES_{ij})$' fine tunes the resultant 'CIO' and 'TTT' values to reduce unwanted handovers as claimed by the current state 'ES_{ij}' via Haversine function. Hence, the action '$A(ES_{ij})$' is set to change based on the state 'ES_{ij}' via Havversine function.

$$\theta = \frac{Dis}{Radius} \tag{12}$$

From the above equation (12), 'Dis' and '$Radius$' refer to the distance and radius between two wireless nodes on a sphere. Finally, the fine-tuned results are obtained by measuring the haversine of 'θ' from the latitude and longitude of two points as given below.

$$Hav(\theta) = Hav(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) + \cos\alpha_1 \cos\alpha_2 \,(\beta_1 - \beta_2) \tag{13}$$

From the above equation (13), 'α_1' and 'α_2' represents the latitude of wireless node 'WN_i' and latitude of wireless node 'WN_j', 'β_1' and 'β_2' representing the longitude of wireless node 'WN_i' and latitude of wireless node 'WN_j' respectively. Based on the latitude and longitude of the serving and adjacent nodes for the fine-tuned haversine results, a greedy strategy optimal action is selected as given below.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.F801812060324
DOI: 10.35940/ijrte.F8018.12060324
Journal Website: www.ijrte.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

$$A'_{ij} = argmin\, Q(A_{ij})[Hav(\theta)] \quad (14)$$

Finally, the cost function reflects the quality of action in a given state according to too-late handovers and too-early handovers between serving cells (i.e., a wireless node) 'WN_i' and adjacent cell (i.e., a wireless node) 'WN_j' are mathematically stated as given below.

$$RL_{ij} = \frac{NTooLate_{ij}}{NTot_{ij}}; RE_{ij} = \frac{NTooEarly_{ij}}{NTot_{ij}}; R_{ij} = RL_{ij} + RE_{ij} \quad (15)$$

From the above equation (15), the reinforced cost function 'R_{ij}' between serving cell (i.e., a wireless node) 'WN_i' and adjacent cell (i.e., a wireless node) 'WN_j' are obtained based on the reinforced too late handovers 'RL_{ij}' and too early handovers 'RE_{ij}' respectively. Finally, the haversine function '$hav(\theta)$' is applied to both the central angle and the differences in latitude and longitude to obtain the optimal selection as given below.

$$hav(\theta) = Sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)[R_{ij}] \quad (16)$$

With the above haversine-induced reinforced cost function results '$hav(\theta)$' as given in equation (16), the Gibbs probability distribution function is applied to select higher probability results to ensure better handover towards seamless mobility. This is mathematically stated as given below.

$$Prob(es_{ij}, A'_{ij}) = \frac{\exp\left[-\frac{\theta(a'_{ij})}{\tau}\right]}{\sum_{b'_{ij} \in A(ES_{ij})} \exp\left[-\frac{\theta(b'_{ij})}{\tau}\right]} \quad 17)$$

From the above equation (17) results the probability of taking an action (i.e., selecting a target cell for the handover process) is measured from the Gibbs function based on the probability results. Higher probability results are selected than the lower probability results. In our work, 'τ' refers to the positive parameter called time to trigger 'TTT'. A higher 'TTT' causes the actions to have a more equal probability and on the other hand, a lower temperature leads to a greater difference in the selection probability for actions, which stimulates making use of prior knowledge. The pseudo-code representation of Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution for optimal selection of target cells for the handover process to ensure seamless mobility is given below.

Input: Dataset 'DS', Samples '$S = \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_m\}$', Features '$F = \{F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n\}$', Data packets '$DP = \{DP_1, DP_2, \ldots, DP_M\}$'
Output: delivery improved optimal target cell or wireless node selection

Step 1: **Initialize**predicted filtered signal results '$D_{RSSI\,w}(n)$'
Step 2: **Begin**
Step 3: **For** each Dataset 'DS' with Samples 'S', Features 'F' and predicted filtered signal results '$D_{RSSI\,w}(n)$'
//Environment
Step 4: Formulate environment based on the increase in CIO, decrease in CIO, increase in TTT and decrease in TTT
//Action
Step 5: Apply Haversine function from latitude and longitude of two points as given in equations (12) and (13)
Step 6: Evaluate greedy strategy-based optimal action as given in equation (14)
//Cost evaluation
Step 7: Formulate cost function as given in equation (15)
Step 8: Apply haversine function to obtain the optimal selection as given in equation (16)
Step 9: Formulate Gibbs probability distribution function to select higher probability results as given in equation (17)
Step 10: **End for**
Step 11: **End**

Algorithm-2: Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution

As given in the above algorithm to ensure optimal handover and therefore ensure data delivery rate in a significant manner, the Gibbs Haversine Distribution function is applied to the Reinforcement Machine Learning model. First, the predicted filtered signal results as subjected to the given environment based on four environment states, i.e., increase in CIO, decrease in CIO, increase in TTT, and decrease in TTT respectively. Second, greedy strategy-based optimal action is taken using the Haversine function taking into consideration the latitude and longitude of two points (i.e., serving nodes and adjacent nodes). By applying this greedy strategy-based optimal action, the cost function is formulated, and following which Gibbs probability distribution function higher probability results are evolved. This in turn improves the handover success, therefore increasing the data delivery rate in a significant manner.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The proposed Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution (JIRF-RLGHD) method for the handover process to ensure seamless mobility along with the conventional method, optimization based on the distance (Opt. Distance) [1] and Learning-based Intelligent Mobility Management (LIM2) [2] is implemented in Python high-level general-purpose programming language. For fair comparison samples obtained from the IP Network Traffic Flows Labeled with 75 Apps dataset [https://www.kaggle.com/jsrojas/ip-networktraffic-flows-labeled-with-87-apps] are used to handle the simulation for all three methods. In addition, the results are evaluated by taking into consideration the performance metrics, such as handover latency, packet loss, data delivery rate, and success of handover. In addition, for evaluation, the maximum number of samples is taken as 10000 and the maximum data packet size is considered as 1500 KB. The performance of the JIRF-RLGHD method is compared with the other competing methods, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2] and evaluated.

V. IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

In this study, we developed a machine learning-based handover method for 5G enabled seamless mobility in wireless networks called, Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution (JIRF-RLGHD) with low packet loss, handover latency, and improved data delivery and handover success rate.

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering
and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

- The JIRF-RLGHD method comprises two sections, namely, future signal quality prediction and optimal handover process.
- The JIRF-RLGHDmethod is compared with two existing methods, optimization based on the distance (Opt. Distance) [1] and Learning-based Intelligent Mobility Management (LIM2) [2] using IP Network Traffic Flows Labeled with 75 Apps dataset to validate the results.
- Initially, the network traffic flows were obtained from the input dataset.
- In the first part, the Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering model is employed to initially measure the distance between the wireless nodes, microcell, and picocells respectively. Next with the aid of RSSI, the link quality is estimated and according to the threshold, updates are made to smooth the prediction of future signal quality. Finally, the Box Jenkins function is applied to forecast the signal quality, therefore corroborating the objective of scalability and reliability.
- Second, with the predicted future signal quality taking into consideration both the servicing node and the adjacent nodes into fact, the Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution algorithm is applied to ensure robust and smooth handover, therefore providing seamless mobility.

According to the above implementation patterns, four different evaluation metrics are detailed in the next section.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, the results are evaluated based on performance metrics such as handover latency, packet loss rate, data delivery rate, and handover success rate. In addition, for evaluation, the maximum number of samples is taken as 10000. The performance of JIRF-RLGHD is compared with the other competing methods, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]. A simulation of 10 runs is performed.

A. Performance of Handover Latency

Handover latency refers to the delay that happens between when a user takes an action on a network and when it reaches its destination. It is measured in milliseconds. To be more specific, handover latency is defined as the difference in time consumed in discovering the new cell in a wireless network and the serving cell in a wireless network respectively. Handover latency is measured by taking into consideration the time of WN in the new cell and the time of WN in the old cell. This is mathematically formulated as given below.

$$HOL = WN_{NewCell} - WN_{OldCell} \tag{18}$$

From the above equation (18), the handover latency 'HOL' is measured based on the time of WN in the new cell '$WN_{NewCell}$' and the old cell '$WN_{OldCell}$' respectively. It is measured in terms of milliseconds (ms). Table 1 given below lists the handover latency comparison using the three methods.

Table-1: Handover Latency Comparison Using Three Methods, JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]

Methods	Handover latency (without filter)	Handover latency (with filter)
JIRF-RLGHD	0.15	0.10
Opt. Distance [1]	0.28	0.20
LIM2 [2]	0.35	0.25



Fig. 4: Simulation Results of Handover Latency

Figure 4 given above shows the graphical representation of handover latency using the proposed method, JIRF-RLGHD, and existing methods Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2] respectively. To validate the handover latency, results were identified both using a filter and without using a filter mechanism. From the above figurative representation, the handover latency with a filter was found to be comparatively reduced than without a filter. With 10000 samples being used, the handover latency without a filter using the proposed method was found to be 0.15 ms whereas it was found to be 0.10 ms when applied with a filter. Similarly, Opt.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.F801812060324
DOI: 10.35940/ijrte.F8018.12060324
Journal Website: www.ijrte.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

Distance [1] observed a handover latency of 0.28ms (without filter) and 0.20ms (with filter) and the LIM2 [2] method observed a handover latency of 0.35ms (without filter) and 0.25ms (with filter). The reason behind the improvement was owing to the application of the Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering algorithm for the JIRF-RLGHD method. By applying this algorithm, raw sample traffic flow data was subjected to design seamless mobility via an input vector matrix. With the available data, the distance between the wireless node from the microcell and picocells was measured. Following this, the link quality was evaluated concerning different numbers of data packets, which in turn improved the scalability with which the handover process was performed. Finally, based on the evaluation of updated link quality filter coefficients were updated randomly. This in turn improved the handover latency using the JIRF-RLGHD method by 33% upon comparison to [1] and 35% upon comparison to [2].

B. Performance of Packet Loss Rate and Data Delivery Rate

Packet loss rate refers to the number of data packets lost during the transmission and is evaluated as given below.

$$PL = \frac{DP_{lost}}{DP_{sent}} * 100 \quad (19)$$

From the above equation (19), the packet loss rate 'PL' is measured based on the data packet sent 'DP_{sent}' and the data packet lost 'DP_{lost}'. It is measured in terms of percentage (%). The data delivery rate is measured as the percentage ratio of data packets that were efficiently delivered from the service node. This is mathematically stated as given below.

$$DD = \frac{DP_{RC}}{DP_{SN}} * 100 \quad (20)$$

From the above equation (20), the data delivery rate 'DD' is measured by taking into consideration the data packets sent from the serving node 'DP_{SN}' and the data packets received correctly 'DP_{RC}'. It is measured in terms of percentage (%).Table 2 given below lists the packet loss and data delivery rate comparison using the three methods.

Table-2: Packet loss and Data Delivery Rate Comparison Using Three Methods, JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]

Methods	Packet loss (%)	Data delivery rate (%)
JIRF-RLGHD	3.25	96.75
Opt. Distance [1]	4.40	96
LIM2 [2]	4.85	95.15



Fig. 5: Simulation Results of Data Delivery Rate and Packet Loss

Figure 5 given above illustrates the data delivery rate and packet loss using the proposed JIRF-RLGHD and existing methods, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]. While performing the process of seamless mobility a certain amount of packet loss is said to occur during handover and therefore resulting in a significant amount of compromise in data delivery rate. However, simulations performed with 10000 sample traffic flows observed data packet loss of 325, 440, and 485 using the three methods. With this, the overall packet loss rate was found to be 3.25%, 4.40%, and 4.85% using JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]respectively. Similarly, the data delivery rate was observed to be 96.75%, 93%, and 91.15% using JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]respectively. From these results, the packet loss and data delivery rate were observed to be comparatively lesser using the JIRF-RLGHD method upon comparison to [1] and [2]. The reason behind the minimization of packet loss and maximization of data delivery rate was due to the application of the Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution algorithm. By applying this algorithm, the predicted filtered signals were subjected according to four distinct environment states. Following this a greedy strategy-based optimal action employing the Haversine function considering both the latitude and longitude of both serving nodes and adjacent nodes was used.

This in turn reduced the packet loss considerably using the JIRF-RLGHD method by an average of 18% upon comparison to [1] and [2]. Also, a greedy strategy-based optimal action, employing the Gibbs probability distribution function was applied to arrive at higher probability results evolved with better handover. This in turn improved the data delivery rate using the JIRF-RLGHD method by an average of 3% upon comparison to [1] and [2], ensuring reliability to a greater extent.

C. Performance of Handover Success Rate

The success of handover or handover success rate refers to the rate of successfully transferring an ongoing call or data session from one channel to another in a wireless network. The formula for measuring the handover success rate is mathematically formulated as given below.

$$HOSR = \frac{(Success_{InterCellHO} + Success_{IntraCellHo})}{(Attempt_{InterCellHO} + Attempt_{IntraCellHO})} * 100 \quad (21)$$

From the above equation (21), the handover success rate '$HOSR$' is measured taking into consideration the successful inter-cell handover '$Success_{InterCellHO}$', successful intra-cell handover '$Success_{IntraCellHo}$', attempted inter-cell handover '$Attempt_{InterCellHO}$' and the attempted intra-cell handover '$Attempt_{IntraCellHO}$' respectively. It is measured in terms of percentage (%). Finally, table 3 given below provides the handover success rate using the three methods.

Table-3: Handover Success Rate Comparison Using Three Methods, JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]

Methods	Handover success rate (without optimal distribution) (%)	Handover success rate (with optimal distribution) (%)
JIRF-RLGHD	85.35	92.15
Opt. Distance [1]	80.15	83.55
LIM2 [2]	75.25	85.45



Fig. 6: Simulation Results of Handover Success Rate Using JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]

Figure 6 given above shows the handover success rate for an average of 10000 network traffic flows using the three methods, JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2]. The handover success rate as illustrated in the above figure involves the validation analysis both with and without optimal distribution. By performing optimal distribution the handover success rate using the three methods JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2] were observed to be 92.15%, 83.55%, and 85.45% respectively. Similarly, without the application of optimal distribution, the handover success rate was found to be 85.35%, 80.15%, and 75.25% respectively. With this, the handover success rate using the JIRF-RLGHD method was found to be comparatively better than [1] and [2]. The reason behind the improvement was due to the application of the Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution algorithm. By applying this algorithm, the Gibbs Haversine Distribution function was applied to the Reinforcement Machine Learning model. Also, a greedy strategy-based optimal action evolution model was used that in turn improved both the successful inter-cell handover and intra-cell handover. With this both the scalability and reliability of seamless mobility in wireless networks are said to be ensured using the JIRF-RLGHD method.

Table-4: Overall Comparative Analysis of Proposed And Existing Methods

Metrics/Methods	JIRF-RLGHD	Opt. Distance [1]	LIM2 [2]
Handover latency (without filter) (ms)	0.15	0.28	0.35
Handover latency (with filter) (ms)	0.10	0.20	0.25
Packet loss (%)	3.25	4.40	4.85
Data delivery rate (%)	96.75	96	95.15
Handover success rate (without optimal distribution) (%)	85.35	80.15	75.25
Handover success rate (with optimal distribution) (%)	92.15	83.55	85.45

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.F801812060324
DOI: 10.35940/ijrte.F8018.12060324
Journal Website: www.ijrte.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

Table 4 shows the overall comparative analysis of different methods such as JIRF-RLGHD, Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2] to ensure seamless mobility in wireless networks. The performance of proposed and existing methods is observed in terms of four various metrics such as handover latency, packet loss, data delivery rate, and handover success rate. As observed from the above figure, the proposed JIRF-RLGHD method outperformed the existing Opt. Distance [1] and LIM2 [2] methods. The handover latency of the JIRF-RLGHD method is obtained as 0.15ms without using a filter whereas 0.10ms handover latency is obtained for the JIRF-RLGHD method with filter. Also, the data delivery rate is achieved as 96.75% for the JIRF-RLGHD method whereas 96% and 95.15% are achieved for existing [1] and [2]. In addition, the Handover success rate is achieved as 92.15%, 83.55%, and 85.45% for JIRF-RLGHD, existing [1] and [2] respectively with optimal distribution whereas 85.35%, 80.15%, and 75.25% for without optimal distribution. From the above results, it is inferred that the performance of the proposed JIRF-RLGHD method is found to be better than the state-of-the-art methods. Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering algorithm is used in JIRF-RLGHD to get the convergence-efficient filtered signal. With this, noisy signals are eliminated and minimized handover latency and packet loss. In addition, the target cell is chosen in an optimal way using a Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution algorithm to carry out the handover with minimum latency and a higher success rate than the conventional methods.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this study, a Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering and Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution (JIRF-RLGHD) method-based handover is proposed for handling seamless mobility in a 5G wireless network with low packet loss and high handover success rate. The future signal quality of both serving and adjacent cells is predicted in a computationally efficient manner employing the Box Jenkin Impulse Response Filtering algorithm. Here a combination of updated link quality estimates based on the distance factor and Box Jenkin function were applied to the raw traffic signals to obtain probable signal results. Second, with the obtained probable signal results, the Reinforcement Learning-based Gibbs Haversine Distribution algorithm was applied to ensure optimal target cell selection for ensuring a reliable and scalable handover process. The IP Network Traffic Flows Labeled with 75 Apps dataset was utilized for the experimental assessment, and the results were also compared with the conventional state-of-the-art methods. The proposed CS-AGNN method performs better on the whole in terms of execution time, key storage cost, and throughput.

DECLARATION STATEMENT

Funding	No, I did not receive.
Conflicts of Interest	No conflicts of interest to the best of our knowledge.
Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate	No, the article does not require ethical approval and consent to participate with evidence.
Availability of Data and Material	Not relevant.
Authors Contributions	All authors have equal participation in this article.

REFERENCES

1. Wasan Kadhim Saad, Ibraheem Shayea, Abdulraqeb Alhammadi, Muntasir Mohammad Sheikh, Ayman A. El-Saleh, “Handover and load balancing self-optimization models in 5G mobile networks”, Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, Elsevier, Apr 2023 [optimization based on the distance (Opt. Distance)]
2. Raja Karmakar, Georges Kaddoum, Samiran Chattopadhyay, “Mobility Management in 5G and Beyond: A Novel Smart Handover with Adaptive Time-to-Trigger and Hysteresis Margin”, IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing, Oct 2023 [Learning-based Intelligent Mobility Management (LIM2)] https://doi.org/10.1109/TMC.2022.3188212
3. Metin Ozturka, Mandar Gogate, Oluwakayode Onireti, Ahsan Adeel, Amir Hussain, Muhammad A. Imran, “A novel deep learning driven, low-cost mobility prediction approach for 5G cellular networks: The case of the Control/Data Separation Architecture (CDSA)”, Neurocomputing, Elsevier, Jan 2019 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2019.01.031
4. Waheeb Tashan, Ibraheem Shayea, Sultan Aldirmaz-Çolak, Omar Abdul Aziz, Abdulraqeb Alhammadi, Yousef Ibrahim Daradkeh, “Advanced Mobility Robustness Optimization Models in Future Mobile Networks Based on Machine Learning Solutions”, IEEE Vehicular Technology Society Section, Oct 2022 https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3215684
5. Lalita Mishra, Vikash, Shirshu Varma, “Seamless Health Monitoring Using 5G NR for Internet of Medical Things”, Wireless Personal Communications, Springer, Jul 2021 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11277-021-08730-7
6. Nadine Akkari, Nikos Dimitriou, “Mobility Management Solutions for 5g Networks: Architecture and Services”, Computer Networks, Elsevier, Feb 2020 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2019.107082
7. X. Dinga, Q. Qib, S. Jian, “Mechanism design for Mobility-as-a-Service platform considering travelers’ strategic behavior and multidimensional requirements”,Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, Elsevier, Jul 2023 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2023.04.004
8. Mohsen Attaran, “The impact of 5G on the evolution of intelligent automation and industry digitization”, Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, Springer, Feb 2021 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-020-02521-x
9. Bhanu Priya, Jyoteesh Malhotra, “5GhNet: an intelligent QoE aware RAT selection framework for 5G-enabled healthcare network”, Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing, Springer, Feb 2023
10. Venkatraman Balasubramanian, Faisal Zaman, Moayad Aloqaily, Ismaeel Al Ridhawi, Yaser Jararweh, and Haythem Bany Salameh, “A Mobility Management Architecture for Seamless Delivery of 5G-IoT Services”, IEEE Xplore, Aug 2019 https://doi.org/10.1109/ICC.2019.8761658
11. Ali Saeed Dayem Alfoudi, S. H. Shah Newaz, Rudy Ramlie, Gyu Myoung Lee, Thar Baker, “Seamless Mobility Management in Heterogeneous 5G Networks: A Coordination Approach among Distributed SDN Controllers”, IEEE Vehicular Technology, Aug 2019
12. Ji-Hwan Choi and Dong-Joon Shin, “Generalized RACH-less Handover for Seamless Mobility in 5G and Beyond Mobile Networks”, IEEE Wireless Communications Letters, Aug 2019
13. Flavio Morselli, Sara Modarres Razavi, Moe Z. Win, Andrea Conti, “Soft Information Based Localization for 5G Networks and Beyond”, IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, Mar 2023 https://doi.org/10.1109/TWC.2023.3275122
14. Saddam Alraih, Rosdiadee Nordin, Asma Abu-Samah, Ibraheem Shayea, Nor Fadzilah Abdullah, “A Survey on Handover Optimization in Beyond 5G Mobile Networks: Challenges and Solutions”, IEEE Access, Jun 2023 https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3284905
15. Syed Hussain Ali Kazmi, Faizan Qamar, Rosilah Hassan, Kashif Nisar, “Routing-Based Interference Mitigation in SDN Enabled Beyond 5G Communication Networks: A Comprehensive Survey”, IEEE Access, Jan 2023
16. Amiraslan Haghrah, Mehran Pourmohammad Abdollahi, Hosein Azarhava and Javad Musevi Niya, “A survey on the handover management in 5G-NR cellular networks: aspects, approaches and challenges”, EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking, Springer, Feb 2023 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13638-023-02261-4

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)

17. Amal Chaffai, "Mobility Management in 5G Network on the Efficiency Hierarchical State of Slicing", Springer, Oct 2021 https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2135964/v1
18. A. Saraswathi Priyadharshini P.T.V. Bhuvaneswari, "Regression model for seamless mobility in LTE-A HetNets", Wiley, Jan 2018 https://doi.org/10.1002/ett.3570
19. Mubarak S. Almutairi, "Deep Learning-Based Solutions for 5G Network and 5G-Enabled Internet of Vehicles: Advances, Meta-Data Analysis, and Future Direction", Mathematical Problems in Engineering, Hindawi, Jan 2022 https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6855435
20. Zihang Gao, "Research on 5G Network Slicing Strategy for Urban Complex Environment", Wireless Communications and Mobile Computing, Wiley, Jun 2023 https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/2820966
21. Al – Amodi, A. M. A., & Dattaisa, Dr. A. (2020). The Impact of Heterogenous Ultra-dense Network Technologies on the Performance of 4G and 5GNetworks. In International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering (Vol. 10, Issue 1, pp. 35–44). https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.a8070.1110120
22. Neuro-Fuzzy based Call Admission Control for next Generation Mobile Multimedia Networks. (2019). In International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology (Vol. 8, Issue 6, pp. 2057–2066). https://doi.org/10.35940/ijeat.f8470.088619
23. Anandhavel, B., & Prabakaran, Dr. T. E. (2019). A Learn Of Fuzzy Regression Model and Its Applications. In International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) (Vol. 8, Issue 2, pp. 2967–2971). https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.b2415.078219
24. Singh, H., & Marwaha, C. (2019). Fog Computing: Terminologies, Architecture and Challenges. In International Journal of Innovative Science and Modern Engineering (Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp. 7–13). https://doi.org/10.35940/ijitee.c1162.116319
25. Radhamani, V., & Dalin, G. (2019). Significance of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Techniques in Smart Cloud Computing: A Review. In International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering (Vol. 9, Issue 3, pp. 1–7). https://doi.org/10.35940/ijsce.c3265.099319
26. Sharma, P., & Site, S. (2022). A Comprehensive Study on Different Machine Learning Techniques to Predict Heart Disease. In Indian Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Neural Networking (Vol. 2, Issue 3, pp. 1–7). https://doi.org/10.54105/ijainn.c1046.042322

AUTHORS PROFILE

T. Vidhya. my school name is sri saradha mandir matriculation hr sec school.my ug was studied in Cauvery college for women, bharathidasan university, trichy, Tamilnadu.my under graduate is B.SC(computer science).my post graduate was master of computer applications studied in cauvery college for women, bharathidasan university, trichy, Tamilnadu. m. phil was studied in vinayaka mission university, tamilnadu. now I am doing ph.d computer science, periyar university, salem, tamilnadu.my research field was wireless network.

Dr. C. Chandrasekar, Professor & head of the department in periyar university, salem, Tamilnadu.in 2000 senior member of computer society in India.in 2014 he publish the jornal an enhanced flooding in fish eye state routing protocol for Manet.-grid using fuzzy and rough set approaches doctoral thesisguided.in 2020 internatioinal conference organized by st.joseph college, Hosur. publications paper first one fuzzy signal strength estimated markov probabilistic graph for efficien than dover and seamless data delivery in PAN. To improve the performance on disk load balancing in a cloud environment using improven lion optimization with min max algorithm was one of the publication.

Retrieval Number: 100.1/ijrte.F801812060324
DOI: 10.35940/ijrte.F8018.12060324
Journal Website: www.ijrte.org

Published By:
Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication (BEIESP)