A Behav., 1975, 23, 521-526

# THE ATTRACTIVENESS TO MALES OF FEMALE DROSOPHILA **MELANOGASTER: EFFECTS OF MATING, AGE AND DIET**

BY ROBERT COOK & ANNA COOK\*

poratoire de Génétique expérimentale des Populations, Université des Sciences et Techniques du Languedoc, Place Eugène Bataillon, F.34 Montpellier, France

<sub>stract</sub>, Decapitated females were used to examine the attractiveness to males (duration of courtship and of female *Drosophila melanogaster*. Decapitated females show but few behavioural responses. itractiveness of virgin females varied with age, being at a maximum on the day of eclosion, and  $\epsilon$ <sub>ining</sub> thereafter. Even though decapitated inseminated females do not extrude their genitalia s received less courtship than decapitated virgin females. In protein-fed inseminated females  $\frac{1}{2}$  diveness to males returned in parallel with sexual receptivity. A sucrose diet rendered both virgin inseminated decapitated females more attractive to males, but did not prevent the change in  $\alpha$  activeness due to mating. The ejaculate and ovarian activation are suggested as causal factors in e processes.

the *Drosophila melanogaster* are sometimes receptive to males, not permitting copulation a after extended periods of courtship. This  $\sim$  occur in four main circumstances: she may 100 young (Manning 1967; Cook 1973), too d (Manning 1967), or she may be under the fuence of an ejaculate, two main components which appear to be active: male accessory and secretion (Manning 1967; Merle 1968; met et al. 1973), and the presence of sperm the spermathecae (Manning 1962). Finally, courtship received from the male may be dequate, either originating from a male of ferent species having different courtship sameters (Ewing & Bennet-Clark -1968). from a conspecific with impaired courtship. Mithough often described as being 'passive', female possesses a repertoire of rejection ponses, some of which have deterrent effects the courtship of the male. A recent study anolly & Cook 1973) has confirmed earlier gestions that the most significant of these stion responses is 'extrusion', described by  $\frac{\text{th}}{\text{th}}$  (1952). This response is found almost asively in inseminated females, usually as immediate response to courtship, but somethen no courtship is apparently occurring. *i* genitalia are extruded towards the male edirected nature of the response is one of aost remarkable features), forming a small the tube. It has been suggested that this sement deters the male from courtship in <sup>e</sup> strains (Bastock & Manning 1955; Sent address: Department of Genetics and Human stations, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Victoria 83. Australia.

Connolly et al. 1974), or prevents him copulating without significant deterrence in another (Connolly  $\bar{\mathbf{\mathcal{K}}}$  Cook 1973).

Before we can understand the causes of this response other problems must be elucidated. These include: the behavioural triggers for the occurrence of extrusion and its effects upon the male, and the more fundamental processes controlling the attraction of the male to the female, in particular the control of the onset and termination of courtship. Currently it is not possible to state whether the effects of extrusion on male behaviour are in any way independent of more fundamental changes in female attractiveness.

The technique of female decapitation, already used by Spieth (1966) in the analysis of male behaviour, reduces the complexity of the courtship situation by eliminating behavioural signals from the female. This technique has already been used in a first set of experiments reported elsewhere (Cook 1975). Here further results are presented which analyse age- and state-dependent phenomena which underly the sexual behaviour of the intact female.

Males will court decapitated females intensively, although possibly after a slightly longer latency than for the intact females; nevertheless, the decapitated female, which will survive for many hours, provides a relatively constant stimulus to the male, since she remains stationary, and makes but a few behavioural responses, none specific to courtship. Above all, such females do not extrude their genitalia in response to courtship (but see Cook 1974).

Thus the behavioural response of extrusion may be excluded as an influence on male behaviour in these experiments.

#### **Methods**

The behavioural assays of the attractiveness of females were made in the following manner: freshly decapitated females (at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  hr after the operation) were introduced into a round cell (18 mm diamter  $\times$  7 mm deep), four females per cell.

A total of twelve such cells was observed at any one time, six by each of the two observers. Cells containing different female types were alternated across the row of cells. After one male had been entered into each cell a sampling observation procedure was started which lasted a total of 10 min. Each cell was observed in turn for 5 s, totalling twenty 5-s periods per cell in the whole 10-min sampling period. Each 5-s sample was scored 1 if any courtship took place, 0 if not. The males were replaced after each 10min sampling period until four males had been

90

measured. Each set of four females  $w_{as}$  is discarded and measuring restarted  $w_{it}$ . animals in clean cells.

The experiments reported here assaved for of the Novosibirsk strain with males Pacific strain (see also Cook 1975). Insem-Novosibirsk females were obtained by remcopulating pairs from a mass mating  $ch$ . again using Pacific males.

#### Results

Figure 1 shows the percentage of the 5- $s_{\text{avg}}$  periods spent in courtship as a function  $s_{\text{avg}}$ . from eclosion, in days, of decapitated  $\frac{1}{2}$  females. Each solid dot represents a min: of twelve cells of females, measured with  $\frac{1}{2}$ . of forty-eight males. The males were 2 from eclosion in all cases. These data  $y_2$  correlation coefficient of  $-0.61$ , with a regression equation of  $y = 65.38 - 1.96c$ regression is significant at  $P < 0.001$  (*t*)  $df$  31). Excluding the data for the day of ec-(day 0), or that for days 17, 18 and 19 leave-



Fig. 1. Percentage of total sample time spent in courtship for samples of decapitated virgin Novosibirsk females (.) of different ages, with Pacific strain males aged 2 days from eclosion. Days 0,2 and 4 include samples of Novosibirsk males  $(3)$  treated in the same way as the females.

 $L_{10}$ nship significant ( $P < 0.01$  in both cases). **Euding both extremes simultaneously leaves** I data for days 1 to 12 below significance  $\frac{1}{2}$  0.10 > 0.05). This result may suggest  $\frac{1}{2}$  a decline with age is not an entirely linear - vess.

l

 $\tau_{\text{he}}$  measures for day 0 were made within 2  $\cdot$  feclosion; females of this age tend to attract .<sub>th</sub> courtship from males. Samples of freshly  $\kappa$ ed males, treated in the same way as  $r$ <sub>crimental</sub> females (i.e. decapitated) were also  $\cdot$ :  $\mathbf{d}$ , and they are marked on the figure with a le sign. The samples measured on day 0 wed a level of attractiveness comparable .: that of females, whereas by days 2 and 4 As received virtually no courtship at all. ; *;s* it is possible that the high attractiveness imales on day 0 is due to an 'eclosion effect', *!* .:ed by males and therefore not necessarily · the continuum implied by the decline in metiveness of females with age. But other somes could apply equally well.

nher experiments (Fig. 2) compared the **ant of courtship elicited by virgin and in-**·inated decapitated females. The latter were  $\triangle$ <sup>d</sup> on day 2 from eclosion and assayed on :s 3, 5, *1, 9* and I I. On the vertical axis is ::ed the mean number of 5-s sample periods

containing courtship per set of four females, combining the data for the four males measured. The experiment was repeated with consistent results, and the data for both replicates are combined in the figure. Ninety-five per cent confidence limits for the means are shown. t-test comparisons between the two conditions are significant at  $P < 0.001$  for days 3 and 5.  $P < 0.01$  for day 7, and non-significant for days 9 and 11.

Thus insemination reduces the amount of courtship the female receives for approximately *5* days, in the absence of any of the observable behaviours of rejection. After this period the level characteristic of virgin females of this age is apparently restored. This period parallels closely the time for which receptivity is switched off by mating in this strain (Cook & Connolly, unpublished data).

The presence of the ejaculate in female D. *melangaster* is thought to inhibit receptivity (Merle 1968; Burnet et al. 1973) and at the same time stimulate egg maturation (Garcia-Bellido 1964), inseminated females laying large quantities of eggs (David 1963). Keeping females on a protein-free diet of sucrose reduces greatly the production and output of eggs (Manning 1967; Merle 1968) and was thus used to assess further



Fig. 2. Comparison of the mean number of 5-s sample periods which con-<br>tained courtship per set of four decapitated females, pooling across four different males, obtained from virgin females  $(\triangle)$ , with those from females inseminated on the second day from eclosion  $(\blacksquare)$ , showing the 95 per cent confidence limits for the means.



Fig. 3. Mean number of 5-s sample periods containing counship for decapitated sugar-fed virgins  $(\triangle = SV)$ , decapitated sugar-fed females mated on day  $2 (\square = SF)$  and decapitated normal-diet females mated on day 2 ( $\blacksquare = \text{NF}$ ), expressed as differences from decapitated normal-diet virgin females (NV) of the same age.

the control of the attractiveness to males of females. Figure 3, again based on two independent experiments, shows the results for females in three conditions, each expressed as a deviation from the values obtained for control virgins females on normal diet (NV). In the figure open triangles represent virgin females kept from eclcsion on 10 per cent sucrose (SV), open squares, females kept from eclosion on sucrose and mated on day 2 (SF) and closed squares, females kept on normal diet and mated

on day 2 (NF). Multiple *t*-tests were performed on this data, and are shown in Table I. SY are significantly higher than NV females on are significantly higher than NV females on<br>days 3, 9 and 11, and significantly higher than<br>SF females on all days but the latter! group was not significantly lower than  $N\mathcal{N}$ , although on day 11 it is significantly higher and effectively back to the SV level. The NF females are significantly lower than the SF females for days 3, 5 and 7. Maintaining virgin females on 1 sucrose diet therefore makes them more attrac-

	Day 3		Day 5		Day 7		Day 9		Day 11	
		dſ		dſ	t	dſ		dſ		dſ
$SV \times NV$	$4.67***$	22	1.70	22	1.90	22	$4.05***$	30	$4.32***$	-30
$SF \times NV$	1.30	22	1.63	22	0.25	22	$0 - 09$	30	$3 - 06$ **	30
$NF \times NV$	$6.90***$	38	$4.93***$	38	$3.13$ **	38	0.57	50	1.56	38
$SV \times SF$	$4.93***$	22	$4.04***$	22	$2.38*$	22	$3.98***$	30	1.56	30
$SF \times NF$	$3.33**$	30	$2.46*$	30	$2.47*$	30	$1 - 00$	40	0.79	34

Table I. Statistical Analysis

 $\mathbf{P} < 0.05$ ,  $\mathbf{P} < 0.01$ ,  $\mathbf{P} < 0.001$ .

 $,$ <sub>10</sub> males than those on normal diet, keeping *n* closer to the level characteristic of eclosion. the two sucrose diet conditions appear simply demonstrate an upward displacement en , of the results from the two normal diet ·Jitions. On day 3 the difference SV minus SF  $\overline{433}$ , and NV (the baseline) minus NF is  $10$ . Such a displacement of the two sucrose Address could be produced either by direct  $\cdot$ -aneous effects of diet on their attractiveness I ~example contamination of the experimental  $\sim$  with sugar, or the slightly thinner appearance ·1eir abdomen) or by the effects of the sucrose  $\epsilon$ <sub>n</sub> on the systems normally controlling female :activeness; the activity of the ovaries is the >t likely hypothesis here. Other data collected w that females maintained on sucrose from  $s$ ion until day 6 show little decline in attrac-~ness to males on the first day after they have :~n transfered to a normal protein medium. reover, protein uptake is only reflected in intased egg output on the second day after that Inge of diet, regardless of whether the female  $\beta$  mated on the second day from eclosion or the day of reversal of the diet. Therefore direct 'ests on attractiveness through contamination <sup>1</sup>· females with sucrose seems, at least with f ~minated females, to be a less plausible • -pothesis than the effects of the completion of ;gmaturation. Furthermore, since the abdomen ·creases in volume within minutes of giving a totein diet it also is unlikely that the appearance :'the deprived female is especially important.

Since mating has an equivalent effect on the .:nount of courtship directed to females regard their diet, a component of the effect due to ·.~mination must be controlled by a mechanism thich is independent of the dietary conditions. be causal factor must therefore be at least one ·•ge further back towards the ejaculate.

,

The SF condition returns towards the SV <sup>36</sup> towards the end of the experiment in a ·~nner paraiJel to the return of the NF conallion, the influence of copulation gradually aning in both cases. The current hypotheses ~:essttate that in the former case it is largely 'teffects of the ejaculate that are waning where- <sup>3 in the second this change is also accompanied</sup> \* waning of the high degree of ovarian activa-'n occasioned by the mating.

The decline in the activation of the ovaries 'ih time after mating is correlated with declin-  $\frac{1}{3}$  fecundity, and therefore declining sperm <sup>cantity</sup> (David 1963) and receptivity returns <sup>then almost no sperm remains in the spermatha-</sup>

cae (Manning 1967). In females kept on sucrose, however, the sperm is not used up sufficiently and receptivity remains switched off(Manning 1967) or returns only very gradually. We found that only 25 per cent of females kept on sucrose were receptive at day 16 after mating on day 2, 14 days after ma<sub>di</sub>ng, and that such females do still contain some live sperm. Thus the temporal effect on attractiveness shown here by SF, and possibly also NF, could be due rather to a waning of the influence of the accessory gland component of the ejaculate, already well known to have a relatively transient effect on egg production, as well as being implicated in the switching off of receptivity, and not to the effects of sperm depletion. A better idea of the action of the switching system involved in the insemination and dietary effects on female attractiveness will be gained by studies currently being conducted with the female sterile mutant Fs(2)B, which lacks functional ovaries.

### Discussion

These results indicate the importance of taking into account changes in more fundamental systems controlling female attractiveness before complex behaviours such as extrusion can be understood; also, in assessing the 'receptivity' of a female, her attractiveness to males should be taken into account as a factor influencing the amount of courtship she receives. The experiments of Shorey & Bartell (1970) deal directly with the attractiveness of *Drosophila* females to males, demonstrating the existence of an airborne factor emanating from females (and to a lesser extent from males also) which increases the tendency of males to start courting. The chemical nature of this pheromone remains unknown. It has not yet been possible for us to demonstrate the nature of the communication implicit in our results, but the system is probably operating either through contact or by airborne chemoreception. Attempts to reduce the amount of courtship directed to virgins by the proximity through gauze of inseminated females, and to increase that to inseminated females by proximity through gauze of virgin females have failed. This implies the probability of discrimination of type from extremely close range. Shorey & Bartell (1970) apparently did not assay any inseminated females.

But from the standpoint of the evolution of behaviour and its co-ordination with reproductive physiology some interesting questions are raised. Why, for example, should the time when

the female is maximally attractive to males also be the time when she is unreceptive? Receptivity only switches on during the second 24 hr from eclosion, when female attractiveness has already begun to decline somewhat, but we do not know what effects prolonged courtship might have on a female's rate of development. Also, the unreceptivity produced in a female by mating would appear to feed back upon the male's courtship through two systems: genital extrusion, and the underlying change in attractiveness shown by these experiments. In intact animals these may not be distinct systems, for genital extrusion may be a means of concentrating or directing the factor demonstrated here.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a Nato Science Research Fellowship to R.M.C., which we gratefully acknowledge.

#### REFERENCES

- Bastock, M. & Manning, A. (1955). The courtship of *Drosophila melanogaster. Behaviour,* 8, 85-111.
- Burnet, B., Connolly, K., Kearney M. & Cook. R. M. (1973). Effects of male paragonial gland secretion on sexual receptivity and courtship behaviour of female *Drosophila melanogaster.* J. *insect. Physiol.,*  **19,** 2421-2431.
- Connolly, K., Burnet, B. Kearney, M. & Eastwood, L. (1974). Mating speed and courtship behaviour of inbred strains of *Drosophila melanogaster. Behaviour,* 48, 61-74.
- Connolly, K. & Cook, R. M. (1973). Rejection responses by female *Drosophila melanogaster:* their ontogeny causality and effects upon the behaviour of the courting male. *Behaviour*, 44, 142-166.
- Cook, R. M. (1973). Physiological factors in the  $z_0$ .<br>ship processing of *Drosophila melanoses* J. *Insect. Physiol.,* **19,** 397-406.
- Cook, R. M. (1975). Courtship of *Drosophila near*. *gaster:* rejection without extrusion. *Beneral* (In press).
- David, **J.** (1963). Influence de la fécondation  $\alpha$ . femelle sur le nombre et la taille des oeurs  $\gamma_{\text{max}}$ . Etude chez *Drosophila melanogaster Mung*
- Ewing, A. W. & Bennet-Clark, H. C. (1968). The const ship songs of *Drosophila. Behaviour*, **31, 291–3;**
- Garcia-Bellido, A. Von (1964). Das Sekret der Paragrieals Stimulus der Fckundität bei Weitcher von *Drosophila melanogaster. Z. Natur for:.-:r.* 19,
- 491–495.<br>Manning, A. (1962). A sperm factor affecting  $\pi \varepsilon_{\text{Re}}$ . ceptivity of *Drosophila melanogaster* is a *Nature, Lond.,* **194,** 252-253.
- Manning, A. (1967). The control of sexual reception in female *Drosophila. Anim. Behav.*, 15. === 250
- Merle, J. (1968). Fonctionnement ovarien et receptivité sexuelle de *Drosophila melanogaster* acres implantation de fragments de l'appareil pritale male. J. *Insect Physiol.,* **14,** ll59-ll68
- Shorey, H. H. & Bartell, R. J. (1970). Role of a volatile female sex pheromone in stimulating riale courtship behaviour in *Drosophila metancraster Anim. Behav.,* **18,** 159-164.
- Spieth, H. T. (1952). Mating Behaviour with the Genus *Drosophila* (Diptera). *Bull. Am. Mus. nat. Hist.,* **99,** 395-474.
- Spieth, H. T. (1966). Drosophilid mating behaviour. the behaviour of decapitated femafe;. *Amm Behov.,* **14,** 226-235.

(Recei~ed 24 *August* 1974; *re1•ised* 21 *October* 1974: *MS. number:* 1349)