

## Comparative responses of four tree legumes plants to PEG-induced water stress at seedling stage

Arijit Sinhababu <sup>1\*</sup> and Arpita Banerjee <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Botany, Bankura Christian College, P.O. + DIST.- Bankura, Pin.-722101, West Bengal, India

\* Corresponding author, Email: arijitsinhababu@rediffmail.com , arijitsinhababu@yahoo.com

### Abstract

Drought resistance is generally defined as the maintenance of plant production during moderate water deficit. In the present investigation, four tree species (*Bauhinia variegata* Linn., *Cassia fistula* Linn., *Delonix regia* (Hook.) Raf. and *Peltophorum pterocarpum* (DC.) K. Heyne) of the family Leguminosae (Fabaceae) were characterized in respect of their responses to water stress. Seedlings of those species (20 days old) exposed to water stress (two levels) were analyzed for relative water content, chlorophyll, protein, carbohydrate and proline content. Considering the comparative biochemical analysis (i.e., loss of chlorophyll and protein, accumulation of sugars and proline) in leaves of seedlings of four investigated plant species, *B. variegata* and *C. fistula*, these two plants, showed higher potential for drought tolerance.

### Keywords

Agroforestry, Biochemical changes, Legume tree, Water stress.

### Introduction

Availability of water in nature is a determining factor for terrestrial plant production on a global scale. In dry climates, low water availability limits productivity. Water stress leads to substantial variation in morphology, anatomy, physiology and biochemistry of plants, which is ultimately reflected on the yield potential. Physical and biochemical responses of plants to environmental stress have been studied in a great detail for over a century, with particular reference to plant's adaptation to water deficits [1-4]. Methods employed for imposing water stress under experimental conditions exhibit a range of variations, laboratory experiments are conducted to record changes in water relations of growing tissues through alterations in external water potential brought about by incubating them in osmotic solutions like mannitol and polyethylene glycols. Polyethylene glycol is suggested to have an advantage over other osmotic agents like mannitol as it does not enter the apoplastic space [5,6]. Water stress severely modifies the metabolism to an extent depending upon species, duration and intensity of stress. Decline in leaf chlorophyll and protein as a consequence of water stress is a very common observation [7,8]. Water stress induced chlorophyll loss is ascribed mainly due to degradation, although a retardation of synthesis may also be equally important. One common observation associated with water stress is a gross decline in protein level, which may be ascribed to a decrease in protein synthesis and/ or an increase in protein hydrolysis [8,9]. Various metabolites and ions accumulate in plant tissues in response to water stress. Such an accumulation is usually associated with the osmotic adjustment of cells as a part of plants tolerance to stress [7,10] Osmotic adjustment develops slowly in

response to tissue dehydration to allow synthesis of compatible solutes and its translocation. Osmotic adjustment is also known as 'osmoregulation' which in strict sense means the regulation of internal osmotic pressure, when the external osmotic pressure vary [11]. Osmotic adjustment not only helps cells of higher plants to withstand salt and water deficit by maintaining sufficient turgor, it also involves transport, accumulation, compartmentation of inorganic and organic solutes [12] and stabilizing protein structure and functions [13] The concentration soluble carbohydrates found to be increased during water stress [14].

### Materials and Methods

In the present investigation, four tree species (*Bauhinia variegata* Linn., *Cassia fistula* Linn., *Delonix regia* (Hook.) Raf. and *Peltophorum pterocarpum* (DC.) K. Heyne) of the family Leguminosae (Fabaceae) were used and seedlings (20 days old) were taken as experimental plant materials to assess responses to water stress. Seedlings were raised by germinating healthy seeds (surface sterilized by sodium hypochlorite) initially on moist filter paper followed by transfer to sand beds where acid washed sand was used. Healthy seedlings were subjected to water stress induced by polyethylene glycol (PEG-6000), which is a non-permeating osmoticum used popularly for this purpose. Two levels of water stress (-0.5 and -1.0 MPa) were adjusted by the concentrations of PEG solutions, 19.6% and 29.6%, respectively according to Michel and Kaufman [15] and was imposed to seedlings of the investigated species by dipping roots in solutions. A control set containing distilled water was maintained parallel. Incubation was done for 24 hours under 8 h light / 16 h dark cycles. At the end of experimental period leaves were collected from respective plants. Then

the leaf samples were analysed for physiological and biochemical parameters.

#### Relative water content (RWC):

The relative water content of the leaves from seedlings of investigated plant species was estimated following the formula of Weatherly [16]. Leaves of the seedlings, stressed or unstressed control, were taken, washed with distilled water, blotted the surface solution and fresh weight was taken. Then isolated leaves were immersed in distilled water for 4 hours, blotted again the surface solution and the turgid weight were taken. For dry weight measurement respective plant materials were oven dried at 80 °C for 3 days. Relative water content was calculated according to the following formula:

$$\text{RWC} = (\text{Fresh weight} - \text{Dry weight}) / (\text{Turgid weight} - \text{Dry weight}) \times 100.$$

#### Chlorophyll:

Leaf samples (50 mg) of the seedlings were initially preserved in 5ml methanol and kept overnight in a refrigerator. Subsequently, they were homogenized in dark with the same methanol and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes. The total chlorophyll content was estimated using the supernatants (10 ml) following the method of Arnon [17].

#### Protein:

For the determination of protein content, the residues of the samples, from which the chlorophyll was removed, were washed successively with 80% ethanol, 10% cold trichloroacetic acid, ethanol, ethanol: chloroform (3:1) and finally with ether to remove the phenolic compounds [18]. The washed pellets were then digested with 2 ml of 1N NaOH in water bath at 80 °C for 1 hour. After centrifugation of the digest, the supernatant was taken and total protein content was estimated according to the method of Lowry *et al.* [19]. Protein content was calculated by comparing O.D. values with a standard curve prepared for bovine serum albumin. The protein content was expressed as mg g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight.

#### Carbohydrate:

For the determination of carbohydrates 50 mg leaf sample from each set was crushed in 5 ml of hot 80% ethanol and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes. The supernatant containing ethanol-soluble carbohydrates was then evaporated to dryness. Chlorophyll was removed by rinsing with solvent ether and the soluble carbohydrates were then eluted again with hot 80% ethanol. To 1 ml of this extract, 3 ml of 0.2% anthrone reagent was added in cold condition and the grass-green colour was stabilized by heating the tubes in a boiling water bath for 7 minutes [20]. The absorbance was measured at 610 nm in a UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Systronics) and compared with a standard curve prepared from glucose. Content was expressed as mg glucose equivalents g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight.

#### Proline:

Free proline content of leaf tissue was estimated according to the method of Bates *et al* [21]. Plant material (200 mg) was first homogenized in 5 ml of

3% aqueous sulfosalicylic acid and the homogenate was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min. Two ml of this supernatant was added to 2 ml of acid ninhydrin reagent and incubated for 1 hour at 100 °C. The reaction was terminated in an ice-bath. The reaction mixture was extracted with 4 ml of toluene in a separating funnel by vigorous shaking. The chromophore containing toluene layer was removed from the lower aqueous phase and its absorbance was read at 420 nm. The proline content was calculated by comparing absorbance with a standard curve prepared from L-proline and expressed as μ mol g<sup>-1</sup> dry weight.

## Results

Plant can adopt several mechanisms to cope with the adverse effect of water stress. Responses of plants to drought seems to be regulated by the manner in which tissue moisture replacement and maintenance takes place. Table 1 showed the effect of short-term (24 h) water stress (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) simulated by PEG-6000 on relative water content of the leaves of seedlings of selected plant species. In general, water stress significantly reduces RWC in all species as compared to control the effect being greater with increased level of water stress. The extent of decrease of RWC from respective control values was lower in *C. fistula* and *B. variegata* at both the levels of water stress, while the other species showed maximum decline at -1.0 MPa level of water stress.

Water stress	BV	CF	DR	PP
0 MPa	91.19	91.23	90.17	90.84
-0.5 MPa	46.04	49.53	38.45	37.49
-1.0 MPa	36.77	38.46	28.38	29.9

Table 1. Relative water content in the leaves of seedlings of four investigated plant species subjected to different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) of PEG induced water stress. CD values within species at 5 (%) level 3.125. (BV= *B. variegata*; CF= *C. fistula*; DR= *D. regia*; PP= *P. pterocarpum*)

Effect of short term treatment (24 h) of different levels of water stress (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) simulated by PEG-6000 on the contents of cellular macromolecules and metabolites (e.g. Chlorophyll, protein, sugar and proline) of the leaves of seedlings of selected plant species has been represented in the tables 1.2 to 1.5. For better comparison among species changes in these parameters in terms of percentage over control have been depicted in figures 1-4.

Changes in the contents of chlorophyll in leaves of the seedlings (Table 2) showed a gross decline with increasing level of PEG-induced water stress in case of all species except *Cassia fistula* where instead of decline chlorophyll level increased over control. Chlorophyll level in unstressed leaves (control) was highest in *Peltophorum pterocarpum* (5.46 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW), while it was lowest in *C. fistula* (4.76 mg g<sup>-1</sup>

DW). As can be revealed from the fig. 1, percentage decline in chlorophyll level (from control level) due to water stress was comparatively less at -1.0 MPa. In the seedlings of *B. variegata* decline in such content over control was comparatively less at -1.0 MPa.

Water stress	BV	CF	DR	PP
0 MPa	5.01	4.76	5.27	5.46
-0.5 MPa	4.75	4.89	4.58	4.44
-1.0 MPa	4.03	5.12	4.12	4.1

Table 2. Chlorophyll contents ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}\text{DW}$ ) in the leaves of seedlings of four investigated plant species subjected to different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) of PEG induced water stress. CD values within species at 5 (%) level 0.276.




Figure 1. Effect of different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) water stress induced by PEG-6000 on chlorophyll contents in the leaves of seedlings of investigated plant species.

Total protein content of the leaves at seedling stage (Table 3) was also lower in stressed leaves than unstressed controls in all species except *C. fistula* seedlings where the protein content of the leaves becomes significantly higher under water stress than in control. Protein content of unstressed control leaves was highest in *B. variegata* ( $320.10 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{DW}$ ), while least in *C. fistula* ( $152.45 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{DW}$ ). When percentage decreased over control was considered, decline in protein content (over control) in *B. variegata* was found to be comparatively less at both the levels of water stress (Fig. 2).

Water stress	BV	CF	DR	PP
0 MPa	320.1	152.45	189.22	204.44
-0.5 MPa	297.99	190.24	166.54	178.29
-1.0 MPa	258.45	240.53	140.37	143.74

Table 3. Protein contents ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}\text{DW}$ ) in the leaves of seedlings of four investigated plant species subjected to different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) of PEG induced water stress. CD values within species at 5 (%) level 27.57.




Figure 2. Effect of different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) water stress induced by PEG-6000 on protein contents in the leaves of seedlings of investigated plant species. (BV= *B. variegata*; CF= *C. fistula*; DR= *D. regia*; PP= *P. pterocarpum*)

Changes in soluble sugar content during water stress of seedlings of selected plant species have been shown in Table 4. In case of untreated leaves, content of sugars was variable in these species ranging from  $38.00 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{DW}$  in *B. variegata* to  $69.72 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{DW}$  in *C. fistula*. Sugar contents of the leaves subjected to water stress were found to be higher over control in all cases. Percentage increase over control (Fig. 3) was found to be higher in *C. fistula* and *B. variegata* at both levels of water stress. Such increase over control was minimum in *P. pterocarpum* at both level of water stress (136.02% at -0.5 MPa and 162.36% at -1.0 MPa level).

Water stress	BV	CF	DR	PP
0 MPa	38	69.72	52.9	55.54
-0.5 MPa	66.56	120.42	78.44	75.55
-1.0 MPa	92.01	170.17	91.34	90.18

Table 4 Soluble sugar contents ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}\text{DW}$ ) in the leaves of seedlings of four investigated plant species subjected to different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) of PEG induced water stress. CD values within species at 5 (%) level 17.76.




Figure 3. Effect of different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) water stress induced by PEG-6000 on soluble sugar contents in the leaves of seedlings of investigated plant species.

Changes in the proline content of leaves of the seedlings of the selected plants upon water stress were shown in Table 5. There was a wide variation in

the proline content of the seedlings, content being highest in *Peltophorum pterocarpum* (760.54 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW) and least in *B. variegata* (340.56 mg g<sup>-1</sup> DW). Under water stress, content of proline increased significantly in all cases. The rise in proline content (% control) was remarkable in *C. fistula* (305.63% at -0.5 MPa and 495.63 at -1.0 MPa level) as revealed from Fig. 4.

Water stress	BV	CF	DR	PP
0 MPa	340.56	360.5	398.75	760.54
-0.5 MPa	850.42	1101.83	743.9	1285.31
-1.0 MPa	1467.87	1786.75	965.25	1490.44

Table 5 Proline contents (mg g<sup>-1</sup>DW) in the leaves of seedlings of four investigated plant species subjected to different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) of PEG induced water stress. CD values within species at 5 (%) level 140.156.




Figure 4. Effect of different levels (0, -0.5 and -1.0 MPa) water stress induced by PEG-6000 on proline contents in the leaves of seedlings of investigated plant species. (BV= *B. variegata*; CF= *C. fistula*; DR= *D. regia*; PP= *P. pterocarpum*)

## Discussion

Drought resistance is generally defined as the maintenance of plant production during moderate water deficit. In the present study, four selected plant species were subjected to assessment for their relative drought tolerance. As those plants are tree by habit, it was not feasible to judge their performance at maturity against water stress under field condition. As an alternative, seedlings of those species were used for assessment of relative tolerance against water stress simulated by PEG-6000 solution under laboratory condition.

Relative water content of any plant tissue express the existing water status of the tissue in relation to its maximum water holding capacity. So, it is an important parameter in water stress experiment since it reflects the cellular capacity to maintain water status under stress. In the present study, water status of all the species was significantly affected by water stress imposed by PEG-6000 at both the levels of water stress. However, comparative less decline in RWC due to water stress in case of *B. variegata* and *C. fistula* at both the levels of water

stress revealed better maintenance of water status in these species. At particular water potential higher RWC is an indicator of drought tolerance through osmoregulation [22,23]. On the other hand, higher decline in RWC in other species points to their poor capacity for osmotic adjustment.

Decline in leaf chlorophyll and protein as a consequence of water stress is a very common observation [3,8,9]. Drought tolerant plants are usually characterized by slower rate of loss of such macromolecules. Changes in leaf contents of chlorophyll and protein of the investigated seedlings showed a gross decline (over control) with increasing level of PEG induced water stress in all species, except *C. fistula* where both chlorophyll and protein content were found to be higher than unstressed seedlings. Water stress induced chlorophyll loss is ascribed mainly due to degradation, although a retardation of synthesis may also be equally important. Maintenance of relatively high level of chlorophyll in *B. variegata* suggests for its relative capacity for drought tolerance. However, a higher level of chlorophyll in leaves of *C. fistula* seedlings under water stress was very unusual. It can only be explained by possible increase in synthesis due to water stress [24]. Protein loss during water stress is also regarded as due to decline in synthesis and / or an increase in protein hydrolysis [8]. Among the investigating plants, *C. fistula* synthesized protein under water stress condition it may be water stress tolerant proteins that would have mitigated ill effect of water stress.

Water stress causes accumulation of various metabolites and ions, which may be associated with tolerance of the plants through osmotic adjustment [25,26]. Both sugars and proline are compatible osmolites and play a role in osmotic adjustment [3,27-29]. In the present study, leaves of the seedlings subjected to two levels of PEG-induced water stress (-0.5 MPa and -1.0 MPa) showed significant amount of sugar accumulation as compared to control. Accumulation of soluble sugar as a consequence of water stress has been demonstrated by several workers [30,31]. Among the investigated plant species *B. variegata* and *C. fistula* showed high accumulation of sugars compared to other species.

These investigated species also showed a considerable amount of proline accumulation in the leaves of stressed seedlings. Accumulation of proline has been reported by several authors as one of the marked responses to water deficit stress [25,28,30]. The rise in proline content in case of investigated plant species was once again remarkable in *B. variegata* and *C. fistula*. An apparent correlation between accumulation of soluble sugars and proline may be a consequence of possible dependence of proline biosynthesis on carbohydrate metabolism [27]. Physiological significance of proline accumulation may be associated with drought tolerance probably through osmotic adjustment.

## Conclusion

Plants usually encounter a number of stresses under field conditions. Agroforestry through plantation of legume trees warrants a selection of species that cope well with the prevailing constraints of such wastelands. The major problem of the local wastelands that are characterized by dry lateritic soil is the occurrence of drought condition. Thus, before undertaking any afforestation programme in such areas, drought resistance capacity of the selected plants has to be considered. In the present case, selected plants were tested for their potential to tolerate water stress at seedling stage. For such assessment, certain stress sensitive biochemical parameters, viz. contents of chlorophyll, protein, soluble sugars and proline were considered for analysis. Finally, considering the comparative biochemical analysis (i.e., loss of chlorophyll and protein, accumulation of sugars and proline) in leaves of seedlings of four investigated plant species, *B. variegata* and *C. fistula*, these two plants, showed higher potential for drought tolerance.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are highly thankful to UGC India for their financial support during the investigation as a form of minor research project and highly obliged to the Principal, Bankura Christian College for constant help and encouragement.

## References

1. Janardhan K V and Bhojaraja R: Plant responses and adaptation to water deficit. In: *Advances in Plant Physiology* (ed. Hemantaranjan, A.) Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, India 1999, 113-135
2. Bhattacharjee S and Mukherjee A K: A biotic stress induced membrane damage in plants: a free radical phenomenon. In: *Advances in Stress Physiology of Plants* (ed. Panda, S.K.). Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, India 2002, 15-35
3. Kar RK: Studying plant responses to water stress: an overview. In: *Advances in Stress Physiology of Plants* (ed. Panda, S.K.). Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, India 2002, 61-79
4. Panda SK: The biology of oxidative stress in green cells: a review. In: *Advances in Stress physiology of Plants* (ed. Panda, S.K.). Scientific Publishers, Jodhpur, India 2002, 1-13
5. Cress WA, Johnson CV: The effect of three osmotic agents on free proline and amino acid pools in *Atriplex canescens* and *Hilaria jamesii*. *Can J Bot* 1987, 65: 799-801
6. Money NP: Osmotic Pressure of Aqueous Polyethylene Glycols : Relationship between Molecular Weight and Vapor Pressure Deficit. *Plant Physiol* 1989, 91: 766-769
7. Aspinall D, Paleg LG: Proline accumulation, physiological aspects. In: *Physiology and Biochemistry of Drought Resistance in Plants*, Academic press, New York 1981, 205-240
8. Nilsson ET, Orcutt DM: *The Physiology of Plants Under Stress*. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York 1996, 336
9. Chakraborty U, Dutta S, Chakraborty B: Drought induced biochemical changes in young tea leaves. *Indian J. of Plant Physiology* 2001, 6: 103-106
10. Hanson AD, Hiltz WD: Metabolic responses of mesophytes to plant water deficits. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol* 1982, 33: 163-203
11. Read RH: Use and abuse of osmo-terminology. *Plant Cell Environ* 1984, 7: 165-170
12. Spickett CM, Smirnov N, Ratcliffe RG: Metabolic Response of Maize Roots to Hyperosmotic Shock : An in Vivo P Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Study. *Plant Physiol* 1992, 99: 856-863
13. Bohnert HJ, Nelson DE, Jensen RG: Adaptations to Environmental Stresses. *Plant Cell* 1995, 7: 1099-1111
14. Chowdhury SR and Choudhuri MA: Proline accumulation under water deficit stress and its utilization following stress release in two jute (*Corchorus*) species. *Indian J Exp Biol* 1986, 24: 605-607
15. Michel BE, Kaufmann MR: The osmotic potential of polyethylene glycol 6000. *Plant Physiol* 1973, 51: 914-916
16. Weatherley PE: Studies in the water relations on the cotton plant I. The field measurement of the water deficit in the leaves. *New Phytol* 1950, 49: 81-97
17. Arnon DI: Copper Enzymes In Isolated Chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in Beta Vulgaris. *Plant Physiol* 1949, 24: 1-15
18. Kar M, Mishra D: Catalase, Peroxidase, and Polyphenoloxidase Activities during Rice Leaf Senescence. *Plant Physiol* 1976, 57: 315-319
19. Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ: Protein measurement with Folin-phenol reagent. *J Biol Chem* 1951, 193: 265-275
20. McCready RM, Guggloz J, Silveira V, Owens HS: Determination of starch and amylase in vegetables. *Analyt Chem* 1950, 22: 1156-1158
21. Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID: Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant Soil* 1973, 39: 205-208
22. Roy Chowdhury S, Choudhuri MA: Hydrogen peroxide metabolism as an index of water stress tolerance in jute. *Physiol Plant* 1985, 65: 503-507
23. Roy Chowdhury S, Choudhuri MA: Effect of CaCl<sub>2</sub> and ABA on changes in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> metabolism in two jute species under water deficit stress. *J Plant Physiol* 1989, 135: 179-183
24. Reddy PV, Asalatha M, Babitha M: Relationship of mineral ash and chlorophyll content with transpiration efficiency in groundnut under different moisture regimes. *Indian J Plant Physiol* 2000, 5: 59-63
25. Barthakur S, Babu S, Bansal KC: Over-expression of osmotin induces proline accumulation and confers tolerance to osmotic stress in transgenic tobacco. *J Plant Biochem Biotechnol* 2001, 10: 31-37
26. Taiz L, Zeiger E: *Stress physiology*. In: *Plant Physiology* Sinauer Associates Inc. Publishers, Sunderland, Massachusetts 2002, 591-632
27. Irigoyen JJ, Emerich DW, Sanchez Diaz M: Water stress induced changes in concentrations of proline and total soluble sugars in nodulated alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) plants. *Physiol Plant* 1992, 84: 55-60
28. Singh DV, Srivastava GC, Abidin MZ: Effect of benzyladenine and ascorbic acid and ascorbic acid content and other metabolites in sena (*Cassia angustifolia* VAHL.) under water stress conditions. *Indian J Plant Physiol* 2000, 5: 127-131
29. Arora A, Sairam RK, Srivastava GC: Oxidative stress and antioxidative system in plants. *Curr Sci* 2002, 82: 1227-1238
30. Gupta SC, Rathore AK, Sharma SN and Saini RS: Response of chickpea cultivars to water stress. *Indian J Plant Physiol* 2000, 5: 274-276
31. Kusturi Bai KV, Rajagopal V: Osmotic adjustment as a mechanism for drought tolerance in coconut (*Cocos nucifera* L.). *Indian J. plant Physiology* 2000, 5: 320-323