

# Smart Specialisation meets EU Soil Health mission

NATIOONS Thematic Event

Matthijs Janssen Assistant Professor 'Innovation policy for societal challenges'

#### Content

- EU Soil Health mission
- Mission-oriented innovation policy
- Smart Specialisation

→ Regional sub-missions, from a smart specialisation logic





#### **Mission-oriented innovation policy**





#### Missions at the interface as different policy traditions



Degree of demand pull ------



24-1-2024

5

Janssen Wesseling Torrens Weber Penna & Klerkx (2023) – Missions as boundary objects for transformative change

# **Mission-oriented innovation policy: variety**

- Accelerator vs. Transformer missions; Economic vs. Societal
- Directionality-based (Closed) vs. Directionality-searching (Open)





6

Janssen, Torrens, Wesseling, & Wanzenböck, (2021) -The promises and premises of mission-oriented innovation policy

# Smart specialization: variety

- Narrow: Selecting priority areas for R&D
- **Broad**: Finding diversification routes, based on local assets. Self-discovery; experimentation (Rodrik; Sabel & Zeitlin).
  - Perhaps based on key enabling technologies (Foray et al.)
  - Always based on building governance capacity (see JRC)



# **Reconciling missions + smart specialisation**

- Narrow: Selecting *societal* priority areas for R&D
- **Broad**: Missions as an approach/process for discovery and coordination/convergence + capacity building
  - Meta-governance, network-governance (Uyarra, 2024)
  - 'Living lab' an 'Lighthouse' notions allow for this!



## **Reconciling missions + smart specialisation**

PROBLEM REPRESENTATIONS



24-1-2024

9

Uyarra, Wanzenböck & Flanagan (2024) – The spatial and scalar implications of missions

# Regional smart specialized submissions

- Which problems and solutions are most clearly manifested? Less contestation, because fewer options. Create lead-market.
- Adding value in application / integration phase, even if some solutions come from elsewhere (Jeannerat & Crevoisier, 2022).
  Create experimentation space, draw in external knowledge.
- Cross-specialisation (Janssen & Frenken, 2020): Missions as interface to unite different local strengths.



### Missions as a unifying goal/strategy





### Missions as a unifying goal/strategy



Janssen & Frenken (2019) - Cross-specialization policy: rationales and options for linking unrelated industries



# Conclusions

There are many different ways to think about missions and MIP: *why* to consider it, *how* to deploy it, *who* to involve, etc.

MIPs are inherently place-specific, and offer opportunities for aligning local capacities in order to address local/global problems.

Ambiguity can paralyze (further), instead of spur action! Be proactive. If bold leadership is difficult, at least start the discovery process.  $\rightarrow$  See e.g. 'Collective Platforms' Extremadura





DISCLAIMER

#### For more info, see: Mission-oriented Innovation policy observatory (MIPO) <u>https://mipo.sites.uu.nl/</u>

© Universiteit Utrecht

# **The Observatory: Publications**

- Janssen, M., Wanzenböck, I., Fünfschilling, L. & Pontikakis, D. (2023). Governance arrangements for the implementation of transformative innovation policy: Insights from a comparative case study. In: Transformative Missions (eds: Edler, Weber, Polt & Matt). Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd.
- Janssen, M., Wesseling, J., Torrens, J., Weber, K.M., Penna, C. & Klerkx L. (2023). <u>Missions as boundary objects for transformative change:</u> <u>Understanding coordination across policy, research and stakeholder communities</u>. *Science and Public Policy*.
- Janssen, M. (2023). Legitimation and effects of mission-oriented innovation policies: A spillover perspective. Hacienda Pública Española / Review of Public Economics.
- Janssen, M. & Abbasiharofteh, M. (2022). <u>Boundary spanning R&D collaboration: KETs and Missions as alleviators of proximity effects?</u> Technological Forecasting & Social Change.
- Janssen, M., Wesseling, J., & Bergek, A. (2022). <u>Towards a culture of learning: Evaluating systemic innovation and transition programmes</u>. *PLOS Sustainability and Transitions*
- Flanagan, K., Uyarra, E., & Wanzenböck, I., (2022). <u>Towards a problem-oriented regional industrial policy: possibilities for public intervention in framing, valuation and market formation</u>. *Regional Studies*.
- Craens, J., Frenken, K., & Meelen, T. (2022). <u>Mission-oriented innovation policy: The case of the Swedish 'Vision Zero' approach to traffic safety</u>, in: J.A. Annema, J. Köhler, B. van Wee (eds.), Innovations in transport. Success, failure, Societal impacts, *Edward Elgar Publishing Ltd*.
- Bours, S. A., Wanzenböck, I., & Frenken, K. (2021). <u>Small wins for grand challenges. A bottom-up governance approach to regional innovation policy</u>. *European Planning Studies*, 1–28.
- Janssen, M., Torrens, J.C.L., Wesseling, J., & Wanzenböck, I., (2021). <u>The promises and premises of mission-oriented innovation policy: A reflection</u> <u>and ways forward.</u> *Science and Public Policy*, 48(3),438–444.
- Wanzenböck, I. & Frenken, K., (2020). The subsidiarity principle in innovation policy for societal challenges, Global Transitions, 2, p.p. 51–59.
- Hekkert, M.P., Janssen, M., Wesseling, J.H., & Negro, S., (2020). <u>Mission-oriented Innovation Systems</u>. Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions, 34, 76–79.
- Wanzenböck, I., Wesseling, J., Frenken, K., Hekkert, M.,, & Weber, K.M. (2020). <u>A framework for mission-oriented innovation policy: Alternative</u> 16 <u>pathways through the problem-solution space</u>, *Science and Public Policy*.