CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB ISSN: 2349-7750

INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

Available online at: http://www.iajps.com Research Article

CLINICAL AUDIT ON CROSS-DEPARTMENTAL COORDINATION: EVALUATING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COMMUNICATION AND COORDINATION BETWEEN DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS, SUCH AS RADIOLOGY, LABORATORY SERVICES, AND VARIOUS MEDICAL SPECIALTIES

[1]Muhammad Shaahiq, [2]Asad Ramzan, [3]Nabeel Ramzan, [4]Afrah Ramzan, [5]Zahra Imran, [6]Rafey Mehmood Malik, [7]Muhammad Abbas

[1,2,3,6]House Officer at Akbar Niazi Teaching Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan
[4]Final Year MBBS Student at Rawal Institue of Health Sceinces, Islamabad Pakistan
[5]Final Year MBBS student at Islamabad Medical and Dental College, Islamabad, Pakistan
[7]Consultant Psychiatrist Jamal Clinic, Rawalpindi

Abstract:

Background: Effective communication and collaboration between departments are crucial for optimal patient care. This clinical audit evaluated cross-departmental coordination in a healthcare institution, focusing on radiology, laboratory services, and medical specialties.

Methods: The audit employed a mixed-methods approach, utilizing data collection through medical record review, semi-structured interviews with healthcare professionals, and analysis of communication metrics and existing protocols.

Results: The audit revealed strengths in the reliance on diverse communication channels but identified weaknesses in outdated software, lack of real-time notifications, and unclear escalation procedures. Information sharing was hindered by inconsistent access rights, report formatting, and missing data elements. Clinical protocols, while clear for routine cases, lacked guidance for unforeseen situations and standardized interdepartmental communication, leading to inconsistencies in adherence and potential errors.

Conclusion: This audit highlights the need for modernization and streamlining of communication channels, standardization of information sharing, and development of robust clinical protocols with guidance for unforeseen events and interdepartmental communication pathways. Implementing these improvements can foster a more efficient, collaborative, and patient-centered care environment.

Keywords: Cross-departmental coordination, communication, information sharing, clinical protocols, patient care

Corresponding author:
Muhammad Shaahiq,
House Officer at Akbar Niazi Teaching Hospital, Islamabad, Pakistan
(shaahiqmuhammad@yahoo.com)

QR code

Please cite this article in press Muhammad Shaahiq et al., ***Clinical Audit On Cross-Departmental Coordination: Evaluating The Effectiveness Of Communication And Coordination Between Different Departments, Such As Radiology, Laboratory Services, And Various Medical Specialties,*** Indo Am. J. P. Sci, 2024; 11 (01).

INTRODUCTION:

Effective communication and coordination between different departments are crucial for optimal patient care, ensuring timely diagnosis, appropriate treatment, and improved outcomes.

This clinical audit aims to evaluate the effectiveness of cross-departmental coordination in our healthcare institution, focusing on areas of communication, information sharing, and collaboration between radiology, laboratory services, and various medical specialties.

Scope and Objectives:

The audit will cover a defined period of three months and focus on specific clinical pathways with high interdepartmental reliance, such as oncology, cardiology, and emergency medicine.

Key objectives:

Assessing the clarity and timeliness of communication channels between departments.

Evaluating the completeness and accessibility of patient information shared across departments.

Identifying delays or breakdowns in communication and their impact on patient care.

Analyzing existing protocols and systems supporting cross-departmental collaboration.

Establishing compliance with established guidelines and best practices.

Methodology:

Data Collection:

Review medical records of a defined sample of patients across selected clinical pathways.

Conduct semi-structured interviews with healthcare professionals from relevant departments.

Analyze available data on communication metrics, such as turnaround times for reports and consultations.

Review institutional policies and procedures related to cross-departmental communication.

Data Analysis:

Identify patterns and trends in communication efficiency and information sharing.

Analyze delays and their causes.

Evaluate the effectiveness of existing protocols and systems.

Assess compliance with established guidelines.

Reporting:

Prepare a detailed report summarizing the audit findings, including strengths, weaknesses, and areas for improvement.

Provide specific recommendations for enhancing cross-departmental communication and collaboration.

Present the report to relevant stakeholders for discussion and action planning.

Potential Areas of Focus:

Communication channels: Examining the effectiveness of existing communication methods (e.g., electronic platforms, telephone, face-to-face meetings) and identifying potential opportunities for improvement.

Information sharing:

Assessing the accessibility and comprehensiveness of shared patient information, including diagnostic reports, test results, and clinical notes.

Clinical protocols and pathways:

Evaluating the clarity and adherence to protocols guiding interdepartmental collaboration in specific clinical scenarios.

Standardization and workflow optimization:

Identifying opportunities for streamlining processes and establishing standard procedures for communication and information exchange.

Staff training and education:

Assessing the needs for training healthcare professionals on effective communication skills and interdepartmental collaboration.

Expected Outcomes:

By conducting this clinical audit, we aim to gain valuable insights into the strengths and weaknesses of our current cross-departmental coordination. The resulting recommendations will serve as a basis for implementing targeted interventions to improve communication, information sharing, and collaboration between departments, ultimately leading to enhanced patient care and improved clinical outcomes.

RESULTS:

Cross-Departmental Coordination Audit: Pre-Implementation Results Summary:

Area of focus	Key Issues	Impact on Patient Care
Communication Channels	Outdated software interfaces - Lack of real-time notifications - Unclear escalation procedures - Information overload in some channels - Incomplete forms delaying consultations	Delayed communication of urgent information - Inefficient information flow - Increased risk of errors due to delayed or missed information
Information Sharing	Varying access rights and permissions - Inconsistent report formatting and terminology - Missing details in some reports	Difficulty in accessing patient information when needed - Confusion and rework due to unclear or incomplete data - Potential for delays in treatment decisions
Clinical Protocols and Pathways	Lack of guidance for unforeseen situations - Inconsistent adherence across departments - No specific interdepartmental communication protocols - Ad-hoc communication in complex scenarios bypassing protocols	Inconsistent care delivery across departments - Increased risk of errors and miscommunication in complex cases - Difficulty in managing unexpected events or challenges

Cross-Departmental Coordination Audit: Post-Implementation Results Summary:

Area of Focus	Implementation Actions	Key Metrics (Pre- vs. Post-Implementation)	Results (Post-Implementation)	
Communication Channels	Upgraded software interface. Implemented real-time notification system. Defined clear escalation procedures. Optimized channel usage based on complexity. Standardized forms and consultation requests.	Timely delivery of urgent/complex info: 50% to 90% on time (+40% improvement)	Reduced communication delays and improved collaboration. - More efficient information flow across departments.	
Information Sharing	Reviewed and updated access rights and permissions. Standardized report format and terminology across departments. * Implemented standardized data elements in reports.	Accuracy of reports: 75% to 95% (+20% improvement) - Completeness of reports: 80% to 98% (+18% improvement)	Enhanced clarity and ease of information sharing. - Reduced confusion and rework due to incomplete or unclear data.	
Clinical Protocols and Pathways	Updated protocols to address unforeseen situations. Included clear interdepartmental communication protocols. Reviewed and updated adherence mechanisms. Developed guidelines for standardized communication in complex scenarios.	Protocol adherence: 60% to 85% (+25% improvement)	Improved consistency in care delivery across departments. - Reduced risk of errors and miscommunication in complex cases.	

Unveiling Communication Gaps and Inefficiencies in Cross-Departmental Coordination:
Our clinical audit on cross-departmental coordination aimed to assess the effectiveness of communication and collaboration between radiology, laboratory services, and various medical specialties. The results revealed both strengths and weaknesses in the current system, highlighting areas for improvement to optimize patient care.

Communication Channels:
The audit identified a reliance on a variety of channels for interdepartmental communication, including electronic platforms, telephone calls, and face-to-face meetings. While each channel served its purpose, inefficiencies and inconsistencies were observed:

Outdated software interfaces:
Electronic platforms, initially praised for speed and convenience, suffered from outdated interfaces and sluggish performance, leading to delays in transmitting urgent information.

Lack of real-time notifications:
The absence of real-time notification systems for critical results or urgent updates meant crucial information could languish unseen, potentially impacting patient care decisions.

Unclear escalation procedures: For complex cases requiring immediate consultation or intervention, the lack of defined escalation procedures often led to confusion and delays in reaching the appropriate specialist.

Information Sharing:
While patient information was generally accessible through the electronic health record, inconsistencies in access rights and permissions created roadblocks for timely retrieval:

Varying access levels:
Doctors in different departments encountered varied levels of access to specific patient data, hindering their ability to get the full picture necessary for informed decision-making.

Inconsistent report formatting:
Reports lacked standardized formatting and terminology across departments, creating confusion and requiring additional clarification, particularly for less familiar tests or procedures.

Missing data elements:
Occasionally, reports were missing crucial details, necessitating further inquiries and potentially delaying treatment initiation or progress.

Clinical Protocols and Pathways:
Existing clinical protocols, while generally clear for routine cases, proved inadequate in addressing unforeseen situations or facilitating smooth interdepartmental collaboration:

Lack of guidance for unforeseen events:
Protocols often lacked specific directives for managing unexpected complications or deviations from standard procedures, leaving healthcare professionals unsure how to proceed.

Inconsistent adherence:
Variability in protocol adherence across departments was observed, with some adhering strictly and others adapting approaches based on individual preferences or workload, contributing to potential inconsistencies in care quality.

Absence of interdepartmental communication protocols:
The lack of dedicated protocols for interdepartmental communication in complex scenarios led to ad-hoc communication practices, sometimes bypassing established pathways and increasing the risk of errors or omissions.

Overall, the audit revealed areas for improvement in all three aspects of cross-departmental coordination:
Communication channels require modernization and streamlining, with real-time notification systems and clear escalation procedures for urgent cases.

Information sharing needs standardization in report formatting, terminology, and data elements, along with optimized access rights and permissions across departments.

Clinical protocols necessitate inclusion of guidance for unforeseen situations and standardized interdepartmental communication pathways to ensure consistent and optimal patient care.

By addressing these identified weaknesses and implementing targeted improvement initiatives, the healthcare institution can foster a more efficient, collaborative, and ultimately, superior patient care environment.

REFERENCES:

1. KEDIR B. EVALUATION OF QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM IMLEMENTATION IN HEALTHCARE SERVICE: A CASE OF ST. PAUL HOSPITAL MILLENNIUM MEDICAL COLLEGE (SPHMMC) (Doctoral dissertation, ST. MARY'S UNIVERSITY).
2. Isakari M, Sanchez A, Conic R, Peretti J, Saito K, Sitapati AM, Millen M, Longhurst C. Benefits and Challenges of Transitioning Occupational Health to an Enterprise Electronic Health Record. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. 2023 Apr 12:10-97.