



CODEN [USA]: IAJPBB

ISSN : 2349-7750

**INDO AMERICAN JOURNAL OF
PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES**

SJIF Impact Factor: 7.187

Available online at: <http://www.iajps.com>

Research Article

**OUTCOME OF PREGNANCY AFTER BARIATRIC SURGERY
AMONG SAUDI WOMEN: A CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY**

Hanyah Abdulhadi AI-Khify¹, Mahmood M. Sadagah², Munirah Abdulrahman Alturaiyef³, Najiah Esam Azhar⁴, Shatha Abdulrahman Felmban⁴, Hoda Jehad Abousada⁵, Ahmed Nasser Alzahrani⁶, Ghadir Saeed Mahi⁶, Baraah Mohammed Alhashidi⁷, Bayan Mohammed Alhashidi⁷, Meaad Abdulrahman Althobaiti⁷, Raffal Abdulwahab Algaedy⁷ and Layan Nabil Alhelali⁷

¹ OBGYN Consultant- Obstetrics And Gynecology Head Of Department Althaghor Hospital, Jeddah, KSA, Alkh2030@yahoo.com

² Consultant Bariatric Surgery, At The Bariatric Surgery Department in King Fahad General Hospital, Jeddah, KSA. Msadagah@hotmail.com

³ OBGYN Registrar, Saudi German Hospital, KSA Munirah.alt@gmail.com

⁴ General Surgery Senior Registrar, KSA najiah.azhar@gmail.com / sh.felmban@gmail.com

⁵ Corresponding Author: Obstetrics & Gynecology, Master SA, KFH, KSA
dr.huda1992@outlook.com

⁶ Medical Service Doctor, MBBS, KSA

⁷ Medical Intern, MBBS, KSA

Abstract:

Objective: To investigate the outcomes of pregnancy after bariatric surgery among Saudi women and to assess the potential impact on maternal and neonatal health.

Methods: This cross-sectional study will employ a quantitative research design to investigate the outcomes of pregnancy after bariatric surgery among Saudi women.

Results: The study included 280 participants. The most frequent weight among them was 51-65 kg (n= 123, 43.9%) followed by 66-75 kg (n= 66, 23.6%). The most frequent height among study participants was 1.51-1.60 m (n= 161, 57.5%) followed by 1.61-1.70 m (n= 92, 32.9%). The most frequent body mass index value among study participants was 18.5-24.9 kg/m² (n= 125, 44.6%) followed by 25-29.9 kg/m² (n= 85, 30.4%). The most frequent nationality among them was Saudi (n= 236, 84.3%) followed by non-Saudi (n= 44, 15.7%). The most frequent age among them was 37-45 years old (n= 140, 50%) followed by 28-36 years old (n= 73, 26.1). Obesity surgery to lose weight Most of the participants don't do the surgery (n=232,82.9%), followed by those who do the surgery (48, 17.1%).

Conclusion: Study results showed that most of the study participants are normal according to their BMI. The most common nationality was Saudi. Most of them don't do obesity surgery. In addition, most of the study participants had good social connections.

Corresponding author:**Hanyah Abdulhadi AI-Khify,***OBGYN Consultant- Obstetrics And Gynecology Head Of Department**Althaghor Hospital, Jeddah, KSA,*Alkh2030@yahoo.com

QR code



Please cite this article in press Hanyah Abdulhadi AI-Khify et al, *Outcome Of Pregnancy After Bariatric Surgery Among Saudi Women: A Cross-Sectional Study.*, *Indo Am. J. P. Sci.*, 2023; 10 (11).

INTRODUCTION:

In 2016, it was projected that 13% of the worldwide population, or 650 million people, were obese [1]. Bariatric surgery (BS) is widely recognized as the most successful treatment option, producing long-lasting weight loss and significantly reducing the prevalence of co-morbid conditions [2, 3]. Most patients receiving bariatric care are women, and the majority of these women are of childbearing age. Obesity is linked to other co-morbidities, such as high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, and obstructive sleep apnea, and it also affects fertility, the duration of pregnancy, and the health of the newborn [4]. Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), delayed labor, vacuum delivery, cesarean section, congenital abnormalities, and large-for-gestational-age (LGA) newborns are all increased in likelihood when a mother is overweight throughout pregnancy [5]. Having bariatric surgery decreases your chances of developing type 2 diabetes, hypertension, and low birth weight, but it raises your chances of having a baby born small for their gestational age [6, 7]. Hormonal and metabolic shifts, as well as alterations in gastrointestinal absorption, may have an impact on the health of both mother and child. Maternal micronutrient and vitamin shortages are established variables in the etiology of poor fetal development, although other factors are probably likely at play. Numerous research have looked into how BS affects pregnant women and their babies. The impact of pregnancy and gestational weight increase on BS long-term outcomes is a key open subject since pregnancy is linked to changes in body weight.

Obesity is a growing global health concern, with increasing prevalence among women of childbearing age. Bariatric surgery has become an effective intervention to achieve significant weight loss and improve metabolic health in severely obese individuals. However, there is a paucity of research regarding the impact of bariatric surgery on pregnancy outcomes among Saudi women. This research problem arises from the need to understand how bariatric surgery affects the outcomes of pregnancy in this specific population. It is crucial to investigate whether the weight loss and metabolic changes induced by

bariatric surgery influence maternal and neonatal health, such as gestational diabetes, preterm birth, low birth weight, and congenital anomalies, in Saudi women. A comprehensive cross-sectional study can help fill this gap in the literature, providing insights into the risks and benefits of pregnancy after bariatric surgery among Saudi women, which can inform healthcare providers and policymakers in Saudi Arabia and contribute to improved maternal and neonatal care in this context.

Furthermore, understanding the post-bariatric surgery pregnancy outcomes among Saudi women can have implications for clinical decision-making, counseling, and patient management. It is imperative to determine whether specific interventions or guidelines are needed to optimize maternal and fetal health in this population. Additionally, the cultural and societal factors unique to Saudi Arabia may influence the experiences and choices of women who have undergone bariatric surgery and are considering pregnancy. Exploring these factors and their potential impact on pregnancy outcomes is an essential aspect of this research problem. By addressing this issue, we can provide valuable insights into the healthcare needs and challenges faced by Saudi women who have undergone bariatric surgery and aim to become mothers, ultimately promoting better maternal and neonatal health in this context.

METHODS:**Study design**

This cross-sectional study will employ a quantitative research design to investigate the outcomes of pregnancy after bariatric surgery among Saudi women.

Study approach

The study will be conducted in healthcare facilities, including hospitals and clinics, in Saudi Arabia. Data collection will take place in urban and rural areas to ensure a diverse representation of the Saudi population.

Study population

The target population consists of Saudi women of childbearing age (18-45 years) who have undergone bariatric surgery and subsequently became pregnant. Given the rarity of this population, a convenience sampling method will be used to select participants. A sample size of at least 200 participants will be sought.

Study sample

Convenience sampling will be employed to identify and recruit participants from healthcare facilities, support groups, and online communities of bariatric surgery patients. This method will be chosen due to the relatively small and geographically dispersed population of interest.

Study tool

The structured questionnaire will be developed based on established research instruments and will be pre-tested for validity and reliability. Data on metabolic and nutritional status will be collected through clinical measurements, including blood tests and anthropometric assessments.

Data collection

Data will be collected through structured interviews and medical record reviews. Structured questionnaires will be used to obtain information on pregnancy outcomes, including gestational diabetes, preterm birth, low birth weight, and congenital anomalies. Medical records will provide details on the participants' bariatric surgery history and their metabolic and nutritional status during pregnancy.

Data analysis

Data will be analyzed using descriptive statistics, chi-squared tests, t-tests, and logistic regression as appropriate to address the specific research questions. A p-value of less than 0.05 will be considered statistically significant.

Ethical considerations

The research will adhere to ethical guidelines and obtain approval from an institutional review board or ethics committee. Informed consent will be obtained from all participants, ensuring their confidentiality and privacy. Participants will be made aware of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without consequences. Additionally, data will be anonymized and securely stored to protect participants' privacy and confidentiality.

RESULTS:

The study included 280 participants. The most frequent weight among them was 51-65 kg (n= 123, 43.9%) followed by 66-75 kg (n= 66, 23.6%). Figure 1 shows the weight distribution among study participants. The most frequent height among study participants was 1.51-1.60 m (n= 161, 57.5%) followed by 1.61-1.70 m (n= 92, 32.9%). Figure 2 shows the height distribution among study participants. The most frequent body mass index value among study participants was 18.5-24.9 kg/m² (n= 125, 44.6%) followed by 25-29.9 kg/m² (n= 85, 30.4%). Figure 3 shows the distribution of BMI among study participants.




Figure 1: Weight distribution among study participants




Figure 2: Height distribution among study participants




Figure 3: BMI distribution among study participants

The most frequent nationality among them was Saudi (n= 236, 84.3%) followed by non-Saudi (n= 44, 15.7%). Figure 4 shows the nationality distribution among study participants. The most frequent age among them was 37-45 years old (n= 140, 50%) followed by 28-36 years old (n= 73, 26.1%). Figure 5 shows the age distribution among study participants.




Figure 4: Nationality distribution among study participants




Figure 5: Age distribution among study participants

Participants were asked about their menstrual periods and diseases. Their responses and results are presented in Table 1.

survey item	Yes	No
Do you suffer from recurrent miscarriages?	36	244
	12.9%	87.1%
Is your menstrual cycle regular?	220	60
	78.6%	21.4%
Are you a smoker?	41	239
	14.6%	85.4%
Do you exercise intensely?	51	229
	18.2%	81.8%
Do you suffer from thyroid problems?	31	249
	11.1%	88.9%
Do you suffer from obesity?	88	192
	31.4%	68.6%
Have you had obesity surgery to lose weight?	48	232
	17.1%	82.9%

Participants were asked to assess their related to those who doing obesity surgery. Their responses and results are presented in Table 2.

survey item	Yes	No
Did you suffer from pregnancy complications after obesity surgery?	4	44
	8.3%	91.7%
Is pregnancy delayed after obesity surgery?	11	37
	22.9%	77.1%
Did pregnancy occur easily and quickly after bariatric surgery?	23	24
	48.9%	51.1%
Did a full and healthy pregnancy occur within a year of obesity surgery?	14	34
	29.2%	70.8%

Obesity surgery to lose weight Most of the participants don't do the surgery (n=232,82.9%), followed by those who do the surgery (48, 17.1%).

DISCUSSION:

The effects of BS on pregnancy and newborn outcomes have been the primary focus of research on this topic. Patients and bariatric surgeons both have a vital interest in knowing how pregnancy affects the long-term outcomes of BS. There is data suggesting

that weight reduction success following BS is not hampered by pregnancy. Patients who went on to have children following their operations saw similar weight reduction to those who did not have children. The neutral impact of pregnancy on BS results was verified in recent research by Brönnimann et al., who evaluated

the excess body mass index (BMI) reduction after 5-year follow-up between women with and without a history of pregnancy and found it to be equivalent in both groups [7]. Researchers Quyên Pham et al. [8] looked at the weight loss histories of 84 women who became pregnant after BS and found that, compared to the control group of women without a history of pregnancy after BS, the pace of weight loss was slower for the first 5 years after pregnancy, but then it leveled off. Eighty women who fell pregnant following laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy (LSG) were matched with eighty controls for body mass index (BMI), age, and length of follow-up in a cross-sectional case-control research by Rottenstreich et al. More than 5 years of follow-up revealed no differences in long-term weight reduction success [9]. After BS, a group of women were studied by Alatishe et al., who found no variations in %EWL between those who got pregnant and those who did not [10]. Nonetheless, there are studies that contradict these findings. For example, Froylich et al. compared a cohort of 62 patients who underwent BS and subsequently became pregnant and had a delivery (either before or after BS) to a control cohort of 92 patients who underwent BS but never conceived, and they discovered that the delivery group lost 68.0% more weight than the control group (53.0% EWL vs. 53.0% EWL). They found that having a baby before starting BS slowed weight reduction thereafter [11].

The most frequent problems after BS in pregnancy are internal herniation after RYGB and gastric band slippage following adjustable gastric banding (AGB) [5].

About 8% of pregnancies after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) have been associated with internal herniation [12]. Upper abdomen discomfort, nausea, and vomiting are the hallmarks of an internal hernia and are sometimes misdiagnosed as the first signs of pregnancy [13]. The risk of uterine contractions, premature birth, and small-for-gestational-age children is increased in pregnant women experiencing severe stomach discomfort [14]. Even if mesenteric abnormalities were repaired before pregnancy, it is still possible for an internal hernia to develop. Petersen's space was the most prevalent site of hernia in a survey of 22 patients [15]. Women who have had RYGB should be urged to see a bariatric specialist immediately if they have symptoms of internal herniation, since there is evidence to show a greater risk of maternal and fetal mortality if care is delayed for more than 48 hours from the beginning of symptoms [16, 17]. If a pregnant woman has stomach discomfort after RYGB, a diagnosis of internal hernia should be considered [13].

Gastric band slippage is more likely to occur during pregnancy because of nausea, vomiting, and the increased pressure within the abdomen. Slippage may be more common after AGB, with some publications indicating a frequency of 12 percent [18, 19]. It is possible to confuse the signs of band slippage with those of pregnancy [20].

Pregnancy should be delayed until the conclusion of the fast catabolic stage of weight loss [5, 6], as recommended by international guidelines for pregnancy after BS (by Shawe et al. and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists). Recommendations vary on how long you should wait between your operation and trying to conceive, but it's often between 12 and 24 months. Miscarriage, fetal starvation, and poor development are more common in pregnancies that begin before the conclusion of the fast catabolic stage [5, 6, 21]. When determining the best timing for conception, a patient-centered approach is advocated for by certain writers. Instead of recommending pregnancy immediately after the procedure, Mahawar et al. [22] urged waiting at least two months, or until the patient's weight had stabilized. Preterm birth, NICU admission, and small-for-gestational-age (SGA) newborns have all been linked to a shorter period between conception and delivery, according to some research [23].

Studies have shown no changes in pregnancy and neonatal outcomes whether the timing of conception followed the suggested time gap between BS and pregnancy, which runs counter to worldwide guidelines on the ideal period for pregnancy following bariatric surgery. Birth weight, gestational weight growth, hyperemesis, nutritional deficiencies, type 2 diabetes, and perinatal morbidity and mortality were among the outcomes examined [24-27].

CONCLUSION:

Study results showed that most of the study participants are normal according to their BMI. The most common nationality was Saudi. Most of them don't do obesity surgery. In addition, most of the study participants had good social connections.

REFERENCES:

1. Neylan CJ, Kannan U, Dempsey DT, et al. The surgical management of obesity. *Gastroenterol Clin N Am.* 2016;45:689–703.
2. Lindekilde N, Gladstone BP, Lübeck M, et al. The impact of bariatric surgery on quality of life: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev.* 2015;16:639–51.

3. Gloy VL, Briel M, Bhatt DL, et al. Bariatric surgery versus non-surgical treatment for obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2013;347:f5934.
4. Wałędziak M, Różańska-Wałędziak A, Kowalewski PK, et al. Present trends in bariatric surgery in Poland. *Videosurgery Miniinv*. 2019;14:86–9.
5. Shawe J, Ceulemans D, Akhter Z, et al. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery: consensus recommendations for periconception, antenatal and postnatal care. *Obes Rev*. 2019;20:1507–22.
6. ACOG Practice Bulletin. Clinical Management Guidelines for Obstetrician-Gynecologists. 2009;113:1405–13.
7. Brönnimann A, Jung MK, Niclauss N, et al. The impact of pregnancy on outcomes after bariatric surgery. *Obes Surg*. 2020;30:3001–9.
8. Quynh Pham T, Pigeyre M, Caiazzo R, et al. Does pregnancy influence long-term results of bariatric surgery? *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2015;11:1134–9.
9. Rottenstreich A, Shufanieh J, Kleinstern G, et al. The long-term effect of pregnancy on weight loss after sleeve gastrectomy. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2018;14:1594–9.
10. Alatishe A, Ammori BJ, New JP, Syed AA. Bariatric surgery in women of childbearing age. *QJM*. 2013;106:717–20.
11. Froylich D, Corcelles R, Daigle CR, et al. The effect of pregnancy before and/or after bariatric surgery on weight loss. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2016;12:596–9.
12. Devlieger R, Jans G, Matthys C. Outcomes of pregnancy after bariatric surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:2266.
13. Wax JR, Pinette MG, Cartin A. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass-associated bowel obstruction complicating pregnancy—an obstetrician’s map to the clinical minefield. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;208:265–71.
14. Petersen L, Lauenborg J, Svare J, Nilas L. The impact of upper abdominal pain during pregnancy following a gastric bypass. *Obes Surg*. 2017;27:688–93.
15. Leal-González R, De la Garza-Ramos R, Guajardo-Pérez H, et al. Internal hernias in pregnant women with history of gastric bypass surgery: case series and review of literature. *Int J Surg Case Rep*. 2013;4:44–7.
16. Vannevel V, Jans G, Bialecka M, et al. Internal herniation in pregnancy after gastric bypass: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;127:1013–20.
17. Borghede MK, Vinter-Jensen L, Andersen JC, et al. Reconstruction of short bowel syndrome after internal hernia in a pregnant woman with previous bariatric surgery. *Int J Surg Case Rep*. 2013;4:1100–3.
18. Carelli AM, Ren CJ, Youn HA, et al. Impact of laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding on pregnancy, maternal weight, and neonatal health. *Obes Surg*. 2011;21:1552–8.
19. Chevrot A, Lesage N, Msika S, Mandelbrot L. Digestive surgical complications during pregnancy following bariatric surgery: experience of a center for perinatology and obesity. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod*. 2016;45:372–9.
20. Haward RN, Brown WA, O’Brien PE. Does pregnancy increase the need for revisional surgery after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding? *Obes Surg*. 2011;21:1362–9.
21. Rottenstreich A, Elchalal U, Elazary R. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery: risks and benefits. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2018;219:310.
22. Mahawar KK, Graham Y, Small PK. Optimum time for pregnancy after bariatric surgery. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2016;12:1126–8.
23. Parent B, Martopullo I, Weiss NS, et al. Bariatric surgery in women of childbearing age, timing between an operation and birth, and associated perinatal complications. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:128–35.
24. Yau PO, Parikh M, Saunders JK, et al. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery: the effect of time-to-conception on pregnancy outcomes. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2017;13:1899–905.
25. Dao T, Kuhn J, Ehmer D, et al. Pregnancy outcomes after gastric-bypass surgery. *Am J Surg*. 2006;192:762–6.
26. Dolin CD, Chervenak J, Pivo S, et al. Association between time interval from bariatric surgery to pregnancy and maternal weight outcomes. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2019:1–7.
27. Stentebjerg LL, Andersen LLT, Renault K, et al. Pregnancy and perinatal outcomes according to surgery to conception interval and gestational weight gain in women with previous gastric bypass. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2017;30:1182–8.
28. Kominiarek MA. Preparing for and managing a pregnancy after bariatric surgery. *Sem Perinatol*. 2011;35:356–61.
29. Slater C, Morris L, Ellison J, et al. Nutrition in pregnancy following bariatric surgery. *Nutrients*. 2017;9:1338.
30. Guelinckx I, Devlieger R, Vansant G. Reproductive outcome after bariatric surgery: a critical review. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2009;15:189–201.
31. Falcone V, Stopp T, Feichtinger M, et al. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery: a narrative

- literature review and discussion of impact on pregnancy management and outcome. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2018;18:507.
32. Nomura RMY, Dias MCG, Igai AMK, et al. Anemia during pregnancy after silastic ring Roux-en-Y gastric bypass: influence of time to conception. *Obes Surg*. 2011;21:479–84.
 33. Costa MM, Belo S, Souteiro P, et al. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery: maternal and fetal outcomes of 39 pregnancies and a literature review. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2018;44:681–90.
 34. Różańska-Wałędzia A, Wałędzia M, Bartnik P, et al. The influence of bariatric surgery on pregnancy and perinatal outcomes—a case-control study. *J Clin Med*. 2020;9:E1324.
 35. Watanabe A, Seki Y, Haruta H, et al. Maternal impacts and perinatal outcomes after three types of bariatric surgery at a single institution. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2019;300:145–52.
 36. Coupaye M, Legardeur H, Sami O, et al. Impact of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass and sleeve gastrectomy on fetal growth and relationship with maternal nutritional status. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2018;14:1488–94.
 37. Rottenstreich A, Elazary R, Goldenshluger A, et al. Maternal nutritional status and related pregnancy outcomes following bariatric surgery: a systematic review. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2019;15:324–32.
 38. Jans G, Guelinckx I, Voets W, et al. Vitamin K1 monitoring in pregnancies after bariatric surgery: a prospective cohort study. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2014;10:885–90.
 39. Rottenstreich A, Elazary R, Ezra Y, et al. Hypoglycemia during oral glucose tolerance test among post-bariatric surgery pregnant patients: incidence and perinatal significance. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2018;14:347–53.
 40. Adam S, Ammori B, Soran H. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery: screening for gestational diabetes. *BMJ*. 2017;356:j533.
 41. Narayanan RP, Syed AA. Pregnancy following bariatric surgery – medical complications and management. *Obes Surg*. 2016;26:2523–9.
 42. Benhalima K, Minschart C, Ceulemans D, et al. Screening and management of gestational diabetes mellitus after bariatric surgery. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:1479.
 43. Akhter Z, Rankin J, Ceulemans D, et al. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery and adverse perinatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2019;16:e1002866.
 44. Johansson K, Cnattingius S, Naslund I, et al. Outcomes of pregnancy after bariatric surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:814–24.
 45. Kjaer MM, Lauenborg J, Breum BM, Nilas L. The risk of adverse pregnancy outcome after bariatric surgery: a nationwide register-based matched cohort study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2013;208:464.e1–5.
 46. Belcastro MR, Neiger R, Ventolini G. Intrauterine growth restriction after bariatric surgery. *J Neonatal Perinatal Med*. 2012;4:231–34.
 47. Chevrot A, Kayem G, Coupaye M, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on fetal growth restriction: experience of a perinatal and bariatric surgery center. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016;214:655.e1–7.
 48. Facchiano E, Iannelli A, Santulli P, et al. Pregnancy after laparoscopic bariatric surgery: comparative study of adjustable gastric banding and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2012;8:429–33.
 49. Aricha-Tamir B, Weintraub AY, Levi I, Sheiner E. Downsizing pregnancy complications: a study of paired pregnancy outcomes before and after bariatric surgery. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2012;8:434–9.
 50. Malik S, Teh JL, Lomanto D, et al. Maternal and fetal outcomes of Asian pregnancies after bariatric surgery. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2020;16:529–35.
 51. Sheiner E, Balaban E, Dreier J, et al. Pregnancy outcome in patients following different types of bariatric surgeries. *Obes Surg*. 2009;19:1286–92.
 52. Rottenstreich A, Elazary R, Levin G. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery and the risk of fetal growth restriction. *Surg Obes Relat Dis*. 2018;14:1919–20.
 53. Laganà AS, Giordano D, Loddo S, et al. Decreased endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) and increased natural killer (NK) cells in peripheral blood as possible early markers of preeclampsia: a case-control analysis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2017;295:867–72.
 54. Laganà AS, Vitale SG, Sapia F, et al. miRNA expression for early diagnosis of preeclampsia onset: hope or hype? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2018;31:817–21.
 55. Laganà AS, Favilli A, Triolo O, et al. Early serum markers of pre-eclampsia: are we stepping forward? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2016;29:3019–23.
 56. Spradley FT, Palei AC, Granger JP. Increased risk for the development of preeclampsia in obese pregnancies: weighing in on the mechanisms. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol*. 2015;309:R1326–43.
 57. Dixit A, Girling JC. Obesity and pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol*. 2008;28:14–23.

58. Wax JR. Risks and management of obesity in pregnancy: current controversies. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol.* 2009;21:117–23.
59. Galazis N, Docheva N, Similllis C, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcomes in women undergoing bariatric surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2014;181:45–53.
60. Bennett WL, Gilson MM, Jamshidi R, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: retrospective analysis of insurance claims data. *BMJ.* 2010;340:c1662.
61. Yi X, Li Q, Zhang J, Wang Z. A meta-analysis of maternal and fetal outcomes of pregnancy after bariatric surgery. *Int J Gynecol Obstet.* 2015;130:3–9.
62. Maggard MA, Yermilov I, Li Z, et al. Pregnancy and fertility following bariatric surgery: a systematic review. *JAMA.* 2008;300:2286–96.
63. Vrebosch L, Bel S, Vansant G, et al. Maternal and neonatal outcome after laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding: a systematic review. *Obes Surg.* 2012;22:1568–79.
64. Magdaleno R, Pereira BG, Chaim EA, Turato ER. Pregnancy after bariatric surgery: a current view of maternal, obstetrical and perinatal challenges. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2012;285:559–66.
65. Sheiner E, Willis K, Y Y. Bariatric surgery: impact on pregnancy outcomes. *Curr Diab Rep.* 2013;13:19–26.
66. Wojciak P, Pawluszewicz P, Diemieszczyk I, et al. Laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a study of efficiency in treatment of metabolic syndrome components, comorbidities and influence on certain biochemical markers. *Videosurgery Miniinv.* 2020;15:136–47.
67. Sierżantowicz R, Ładny JR, Lewko J, Hady HR. Assessment of education effects on patient involvement and bariatric treatment outcome: an observational study. *Videosurgery Miniinv.* 2020;15:157–65.
68. Corrado F, D'Anna R, Laganà AS, Di Benedetto A. Abnormal glucose tolerance later in life in women affected by glucose intolerance during pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol.* 2014;34:123–6.
69. Facchinetti F, Appetecchia M, Aragona C, et al. Experts' opinion on inositols in treating polycystic ovary syndrome and non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus: a further help for human reproduction and beyond. *Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol.* 2020;16:255–74.
70. Lesko J, Peaceman A. Pregnancy outcomes in women after bariatric surgery compared with obese and morbidly obese controls. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2012;119:547–54.
71. Haseeb YA. A review of obstetrical outcomes and complications in pregnant women after bariatric surgery. *Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J.* 2019;19:e284–90.
72. Burke AE, Bennett WL, Janshidi RM, et al. Reduced incidence of gestational diabetes with bariatric surgery. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2010;211:169–75.
73. Kwong W, Tomlinson G, Feig DS. Maternal and neonatal outcomes after bariatric surgery; a systematic review and meta-analysis: do the benefits outweigh the risks? *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;218:573–80.
74. Mengesha BM, Carter JT, Dehlendorf CE, et al. Perioperative pregnancy interval, contraceptive counseling experiences, and contraceptive use in women undergoing bariatric surgery. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2018;219:81.e1–9.
75. Yska JP, van der Linde S, Tapper VV, et al. Influence of bariatric surgery on the use and pharmacokinetics of some major drug classes. *Obes Surg.* 2013;23:819–25.
76. Gerrits EG, Ceulemans R, van Hee R, et al. Contraceptive treatment after biliopancreatic diversion needs consensus. *Obes Surg.* 2003;13:378–82.
77. Weiss HG, Nehoda H, Labeck B, et al. Pregnancies after adjustable gastric banding. *Obes Surg.* 2001;11:303–6.
78. Victor A, Odland V, Kral JG. Oral contraceptive absorption and sex hormone binding globulins in obese women: effects of jejunoileal bypass. *Gastroenterol Clin North Am.* 1987;16:483–91.
79. Andersen AN, Lebeck PE, Sørensen TI, Borggaard B. Sex hormone levels and intestinal absorption of estradiol and D-norgestrel in women following bypass surgery for morbid obesity. *Int J Obes.* 1982;6:91–6.
80. Ginstman C, Frisk J, Carlsson B, et al. Plasma concentrations of etonogestrel in women using oral desogestrel before and after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery: a pharmacokinetic study. *BJOG.* 2019;126:486–92.
81. Curtis KM, Tepper NK, Jatlaoui TC, et al. U.S. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, 2016. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* 2016;65:1–103.
82. Butterworth J, Deguara J, Borg CM. Bariatric surgery, polycystic ovary syndrome, and infertility. *J Obes.* 2016;2016:1871594.
83. Różańska-Wałędziak A, Bartnik P, Kacperczyk-Bartnik J, et al. The impact of bariatric surgery on menstrual abnormalities—a cross-sectional study. *Obes Surg.* 2020.

84. Menke MN, King WC, White GE, et al. Contraception and conception after bariatric surgery. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130:979–87.
85. Hillman JB, Miller RJ, Inge TH. Menstrual concerns and intrauterine contraception among adolescent bariatric surgery patients. *J Womens Health.* 2011;20:533–8.
86. Luysen J, Jans G, Bogaerts A, et al. Contraception, menstruation, and sexuality after bariatric surgery: a prospective cohort study. *Obes Surg.* 2018;28:1385–93.
87. Ciangura C, Corigliano N, Basdevant A, et al. Etonorgestrel concentrations in morbidly obese women following Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery: three case reports. *Contraception.* 2011;84:649–51.
88. Graham Y, Wilkes S, Mansour D, Small PK. Contraceptive needs of women following bariatric surgery. *J Fam Plann Reprod Health Care.* 2014;40:241–4.

ANNEX 1: DATA COLLECTION TOOL

1. How old are you?
 - 18-27
 - 28-36
 - 37-45
2. What is your gender?
 - Saudi
 - Non-Saudi
3. How long is the marriage?
 - Don't marriage
 - 1-6 years
 - 7-12 years
 - 13-18 years
 - 19-24 years
 - 25 and more
4. How many times have you been pregnant?
 - 0
 - 1-3 times
 - 4-6 times
 - 7-10 times
5. How many times do you give birth?
 - 0
 - 1-3 times
 - 4-6 times
 - 7-10 times
6. What is your educational level
 - Uneducated
 - Elementary school
 - Middle School
 - High school
 - Diploma or Bachelor's degree
 - Postgraduate
7. What is your weight?
 - <50 Kg
 - 51-65 Kg
 - 66-75 Kg
 - 76-85 Kg
 - 86-95 Kg
 - >96 Kg
8. What is your height?
 - <1.50 m
 - 1.51-1.60 m
 - 1.61-1.70 m
 - 1.71-1.80 m
 - >1.81 m

9. What is your BMI value?
 - <18.5
 - 18.5-24.9
 - 25-29.9
 - 30-34.9
 - >35
10. Do you suffer from recurrent miscarriages?
 - Yes
 - No
11. Is your menstrual cycle regular?
 - Yes
 - No
12. Are you a smoker?
 - Yes
 - No
13. Do you suffer from chronic diseases?
 - I do not suffer from chronic diseases
 - Sugar
 - Hypertension
 - Arterial and heart diseases
 - Respiratory diseases
 - Kidney disease
 - Arthritis and rheumatism
14. Do you exercise intensely?
 - Yes
 - No
15. Do you suffer from thyroid problems?
 - Yes
 - No
16. Do you suffer from obesity?
 - Yes
 - No
17. Have you had obesity surgery to lose weight?
 - Yes
 - No
18. Did you suffer from pregnancy complications after obesity surgery?
 - Yes
 - No
19. Is pregnancy delayed after obesity surgery?
 - Yes
 - No

20. Did pregnancy occur easily and quickly after bariatric surgery?

- Yes
- No

21. Did a full and healthy pregnancy occur within a year of obesity surgery?

- Yes
- No

APPENDIX 2: Participants responses to scale items

	variable	Frequency	Percent
Age	18-27	67	23.9%
	28-36	73	26.1%
	37-45	140	50.0%
nationality	Saudi	236	84.3%
	Non-Saudi	44	15.7%
educational level	Uneducated	2	0.7%
	Elementary school	1	0.4%
	Middle School	1	0.4%
	High school	35	12.5%
	Diploma or Bachelor's degree	203	72.5%
	Postgraduate	38	13.6%
weight	<50 Kg	23	8.2%
	51-65 Kg	123	43.9%
	66-75 Kg	66	23.6%
	76-85 Kg	42	15.0%
	86-95 Kg	18	6.4%
	>96 Kg	8	2.9%
height	<1.50 m	19	6.8%
	1.51-1.60 m	161	57.5%
	1.61-1.70 m	92	32.9%
	1.71-1.80 m	8	2.9%
BMI	<18.5	5	1.8%
	18.5-24.9	125	44.6%
	25-29.9	85	30.4%
	30-34.9	48	17.1%
	>35	17	6.1%

survey item	Yes	No
Do you suffer from recurrent miscarriages?	36	244
	12.9%	87.1%
Is your menstrual cycle regular?	220	60
	78.6%	21.4%
Are you a smoker?	41	239
	14.6%	85.4%
Do you exercise intensely?	51	229
	18.2%	81.8%
Do you suffer from thyroid problems?	31	249
	11.1%	88.9%
Do you suffer from obesity?	88	192
	31.4%	68.6%
Have you had obesity surgery to lose weight?	48	232
	17.1%	82.9%

survey item	Yes	No
Did you suffer from pregnancy complications after obesity surgery?	4	44
	8.3%	91.7%
Is pregnancy delayed after obesity surgery?	11	37
	22.9%	77.1%
Did pregnancy occur easily and quickly after bariatric surgery?	23	24
	48.9%	51.1%
Did a full and healthy pregnancy occur within a year of obesity surgery?	14	34
	29.2%	70.8%

Do you suffer from chronic diseases? (more than one)		
	Frequency	Percent
I do not suffer from chronic diseases	211	65.3%
Sugar	27	8.4%
Hypertension	32	9.9%
Arterial and heart diseases	8	2.5%
Respiratory diseases	14	4.3%
Kidney disease	3	0.9%
Arthritis and rheumatism	28	8.7%

How long is the marriage?	Frequency	Percent
0 don't married	26	9.3%
(1-6) years	83	29.6%
(7-12) years	41	14.6%
(13-18)	78	27.9%
(19-24)	24	8.6%
25 and more	28	10.0%

How many times have you been pregnant?	Frequency	Percent
0	46	16.4%
(1-3) times	111	39.6%
(4-6) times	90	32.1%
(7-9) times	33	11.8%

How many times do you give birth?	Frequency	Percent
0	51	18.2%
(1-3) times	124	44.3%
(4-6) times	91	32.5%
(7-9) times	14	5.0%

Chi-square**Obesity surgery lose weight * pregnanction complications after obesity surgery****Crosstab**

			Pregnanction complications after.obesity surgery			Total
			no match	yes	no	
Obesity surgery.lose.weight	yes	Count	0	4	44	48
		% of Total	0.0%	1.4%	15.7%	17.1%
	no	Count	232	0	0	232
		% of Total	82.9%	0.0%	0.0%	82.9%
Total		Count	232	4	44	280
		% of Total	82.9%	1.4%	15.7%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	280.000 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	256.561	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	272.170	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	280		

Obesity surgery lose weight * pregnancy delayed after obesity surgery**Crosstab**

			Pregnancy delayed after.obesity surgery			Total
			no match	yes	no	
Obesity surgery lose weight	yes	Count	0	11	37	48
		% of Total	0.0%	3.9%	13.2%	17.1%
	no	Count	232	0	0	232
		% of Total	82.9%	0.0%	0.0%	82.9%
Total		Count	232	11	37	280
		% of Total	82.9%	3.9%	13.2%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	280.000 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	256.561	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	261.239	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	280		

Obesity surgery lose weight * pregnancy easily quickly after bariatric surgery**Crosstab**

			pregnancy.easily.quickly.after.bariatric.surger			Total
			y			
			no match	yes	no	
obesity.surgery.lose.weight	yes	Count	0	24	24	48
		% of Total	0.0%	8.6%	8.6%	17.1%
	no	Count	232	0	0	232
		% of Total	82.9%	0.0%	0.0%	82.9%
Total		Count	232	24	24	280
		% of Total	82.9%	8.6%	8.6%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	280.000 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	256.561	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	246.010	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	280		

obesity.surgery.lose.weight * full.healthy.pregnancy.within.year.surgery**Crosstab**

			full.healthy.pregnancy.within.year.surgery			Total
			no match	yes	no	
obesity.surgery.lose.weight	yes	Count	0	14	34	48
		% of Total	0.0%	5.0%	12.1%	17.1%
	no	Count	232	0	0	232
		% of Total	82.9%	0.0%	0.0%	82.9%
Total		Count	232	14	34	280
		% of Total	82.9%	5.0%	12.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	280.000 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	256.561	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	257.039	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	280		

Obesity surgery.lose.weight * recurrent miscarriages**Crosstab**

			Recurrent miscarriages		Total
			yes	no	
Obesity surgery lose weight	yes	Count	15	33	48
		% of Total	5.4%	11.8%	17.1%
	no	Count	21	211	232
		% of Total	7.5%	75.4%	82.9%
Total		Count	36	244	280
		% of Total	12.9%	87.1%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	17.492 ^a	1	.000	.000	.000
Continuity Correction ^b	15.567	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	14.294	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.429	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	280				

Obesity surgery lose weight * menstrual cycle regular**Crosstab**

			menstrual.cycle.regular		Total
			yes	no	
obesity.surgery.lose.weight	yes	Count	30	18	48
		% of Total	10.7%	6.4%	17.1%
	no	Count	190	42	232
		% of Total	67.9%	15.0%	82.9%
Total		Count	220	60	280
		% of Total	78.6%	21.4%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	8.887 ^a	1	.003	.006	.004
Continuity Correction ^b	7.772	1	.005		
Likelihood Ratio	8.002	1	.005		
Fisher's Exact Test					
Linear-by-Linear Association	8.855	1	.003		
N of Valid Cases	280				

Obesity surgery lose weight * smoker**Crosstab**

			smoker		Total
			yes	no	
Obesity surgery lose weight	yes	Count	19	29	48
		% of Total	6.8%	10.4%	17.1%
	no	Count	22	210	232
		% of Total	7.9%	75.0%	82.9%
Total		Count	41	239	280
		% of Total	14.6%	85.4%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	28.831 ^a	1	.000		
Continuity Correction ^b	26.473	1	.000		
Likelihood Ratio	23.281	1	.000		
Fisher's Exact Test				.000	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	28.728	1	.000		
N of Valid Cases	280				

obesity.surgery.lose.weight * exercise.intensely**Crosstab**

			exercise.intensely		Total
			yes	no	
obesity.surgery.lose.weight	yes	Count	10	38	48
		% of Total	3.6%	13.6%	17.1%
	no	Count	41	191	232
		% of Total	14.6%	68.2%	82.9%
Total		Count	51	229	280
		% of Total	18.2%	81.8%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (2-sided)	Exact Sig. (1-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	.267 ^a	1	.606		
Continuity Correction ^b	.097	1	.756		
Likelihood Ratio	.259	1	.610		
Fisher's Exact Test				.681	.368
Linear-by-Linear Association	.266	1	.606		
N of Valid Cases	280				

Obesity surgery lose weight * thyroid problem**Crosstab**

			Thyroid problem		Total
			yes	no	
Obesity surgery lose weight	yes	Count	10	38	48
		% of Total	3.6%	13.6%	17.1%
	no	Count	21	211	232
		% of Total	7.5%	75.4%	82.9%
Total		Count	31	249	280
		% of Total	11.1%	88.9%	100.0%

Chi-Square Tests

	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (2- sided)	Exact Sig. (1- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	5.607 ^a	1	.018		
Continuity Correction ^b	4.474	1	.034		
Likelihood Ratio	4.824	1	.028		
Fisher's Exact Test				.039	.022
Linear-by-Linear Association	5.587	1	.018		
N of Valid Cases	280				

Logistic regression**Case Processing Summary**

Unweighted Cases ^a		N	Percent
Selected Cases	Included in Analysis	280	100.0
	Missing Cases	0	.0
	Total	280	100.0
Unselected Cases		0	.0
Total		280	100.0

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
yes	0
no	1

Block 0: Beginning Block**Classification Table^{a,b}**

	Observed	Predicted			
		obesity.surgery.lose.weight		Percentage Correct	
		yes	no		
Step 0	obesity.surgery.lose.weight	yes	0	48	.0
		no	0	232	100.0
	Overall Percentage				82.9

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	
Step 0	Constant	1.576	.159	98.725	1	.000	4.833

Variables not in the Equation

	Score	df	Sig.	
Step 0	Variables			
	Number pregnancies	11.358	1	.001
	Number births	7.928	1	.005
	Recurrent miscarriages	17.492	1	.000
	menstrual. cycle.regular	8.887	1	.003
	smoker	28.831	1	.000
	Chronic diseases	.136	1	.713
	Exercise intensely	.267	1	.606
	Thyroid problem	5.607	1	.018
	Pregnation	273.145	1	.000
	complicationsafter.obesity.surgery	262.175	1	.000
	Pregnancy delayed after obesity.surgery	246.892	1	.000
	Pregnancy easily quickly after bariatric surgery	257.960	1	.000
	Full healthy pregnancy within year surgery	275.916	12	.000
	Overall Statistics			

Block 1: Method = Enter**Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients**

	Chi-square	df	Sig.	
Step 1	Step	256.561	12	.000
	Block	256.561	12	.000
	Model	256.561	12	.000

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	.000 ^a	.600	1.000

Classification Table^a

	Observed	Predicted			
		Obesity surgery lose weight		Percentage Correct	
		yes	no		
Step 1	Obesity surgery lose weight	yes	48	0	100.0
		no	0	232	100.0
	Overall Percentage				100.0

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1 ^a Number pregnancies	.167	3539.324	.000	1	1.000	1.182
Number births	-.180	3782.525	.000	1	1.000	.835
Recurrent miscarriages	.679	8099.273	.000	1	1.000	1.972
Menstrual cycle regular	-.060	6279.156	.000	1	1.000	.942
smoker	-.043	8499.165	.000	1	1.000	.958
Chronic diseases	.016	1598.862	.000	1	1.000	1.016
Exercise intensely	.003	6672.955	.000	1	1.000	1.003
Thyroid problem	.414	7429.044	.000	1	1.000	1.513
Pregnation complications after obesity surgery	-7.441	11272.434	.000	1	.999	.001
Pregnancy delayed after. Obesity surgery	-5.924	10961.440	.000	1	1.000	.003
Pregnancy easily quickly after bariatric surgery	-9.760	10719.626	.000	1	.999	.000
Full healthy pregnancy within year surgery	-5.333	9459.181	.000	1	1.000	.005
Constant	19.192	31596.601	.000	1	1.000	2163573 83.340