# **Towards Explainable AI-Generated Text Detection Using Ensemble and Combined Model Training**

Hadi Mohammadi<sup>1,\*</sup>, Anastasia Giachanou<sup>1</sup>, and Ayoub Bagheri<sup>1</sup>.

1. Department of Methodology and Statistics, Utrecht University, Utrecht, the Nethertlands

### Introduction

Methodology

Our research tackles the challenge of distinguishing between human and AI-generated text, a crucial issue in the era of advanced language models. We propose a unique approach using an ensemble and mixed-model strategy, focusing on accuracy and explainability. This method involves a variety of advanced text classification algorithms, applied to both English and Dutch texts across multiple genres. Notably, our work integrates *SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP)* for clearer insights into model decisions, emphasizing the importance of **explainable AI (XAI)**. Our study is significant in ensuring the authenticity and integrity of digital content in an increasingly AI-driven world.

Keywords: AI-generated Text Detection, Ensemble Model, Explainable AI (XAI), Natural Language Processing (NLP), Transformer-Based Models.



#### Figure 1: Word Clouds with text generated by human (left) and AI (right)

**Data Sources**: The the AuTexTification dataset and CLIN33 shared dataset, which contain over 160,000 texts in English and Dutch across five domains, were used [1, 2]. **Data Preprocessing**: Steps include converting texts to lowercase, removing non-informative elements, and tokenization and lemmatization.

**Data Augmentation**: Techniques such as substitution, deletion, introducing spelling variations, back translation (English Dutch), and paraphrasing using AI models (*GPT-2*). **Addressing Class Imbalance**: Using RandomOverSampler, SMOTE, and computing class weights for balanced training.

**Experimental Setup**: Use of the Adam optimizer, learning rate scheduler, early stopping mechanism, mixed-precision training, and a suite of transformers for text processing. Model Training and Optimization: Description of the training process, including hyperparameter optimization, model architecture (BERT-based models), and evaluation metrics.

**Ensemble Model Architecture**: ombines outputs from several transformer-based models like *bert-base-multilingual-uncased*, *xlm-roberta-base*, and *distilbert-base-multilingual-cased*. Initially, these models are fine-tuned on the AuTexTification dataset. After this, their weights are frozen, and they are combined with freshly fine-tuned models on a training task dataset. The outputs of all models are merged and passed through a dense layer for binary classification. The architecture uses a mix of frozen models (to retain specialized knowledge) and freshly trained models (for adaptability), enhancing accuracy and generalization. A voting mechanism aggregates the predictions from each model to ensure robust and balanced detection, particularly effective in distinguishing between human and AI-generated text.



 Table 1: summary of model hyperparameters

Parameter	Description	
Tokenization Max Length	256 tokens	
Learning Rate Range	1e-5 to 1e-4 (Default: 3e-5)	
Batch Sizes	16, 32, 64	
Learning Rate Scheduler	Cosine decay schedule	
Warmup Steps	200 steps	
Early Stopping Patience	3 epochs	
Loss Function	Binary cross-entropy	
Optimizer	Adam	
Precision Training Policy	Mixed float16	

Figure 2: Model Architecture visualization (left), and Back transation Example (right)

### Results

#### • Research Contributions and Results:

- Developed a custom model combining various BERT versions with both frozen and fresh models.
- Captures relationships between pretrained model outputs using Dence layer.
- Enhances robustness, especially for multilingual challenges.
- *SHAP* was used to improve result explainability and transparency.
- The model is better at capturing AI-generated text (TN) in Dutch

#### • Limitiotions:

- Limitation on distinguishing human from AI text from EDA analysis.
- Limitation on distinguishing human from AI text in new genres.
- Limitation on using the Dutch BERT version (like *BERTje*).

#### • Future Research Direction:

- Plan for in-depth analysis of model components.
- Objective: Improve explainability of large language models.
- Aim for models that excel across datasets and align with human thought.

 Table 2: Performance in different genre / language



#### Figure 3: Exploratory Data Analysis for Human / AI generated texts

A) Tokens with most impact on the class (Human / AI) in English and Dutch



#### B) Confusion Matrix for different languages and domains







#### Figure 4: Performance for the model on test data-set

B) Examples of effects of each tokens on the class (top: human / down: AI)



A) word, unique word and character count, average word length distribution B) Boxplot by language and domain

Genre	newspaper	tw eets	review s	New (poetry, and mystery)	
Lnaguage	Englsih				
Accuracy	0.9750	0.9600	0.8150	0.7667	
F1 Score	0.9750	0.9600	0.8121	0.7604	
Lnaguage	Dutch				
Accuracy	0.9250	0.9600	0.8400	0.7500	
F1 Score	0.9247	0.9600	0.8400	0.7350	



#### Figure 5: Using Explaniable AI (SHAP) to find out the Most Effective Factors (Left) and Two Examples (Right)

## Conclusion

Our research advances AI-generated text detection by showing that an ensemble model architecture that mixes various transformer-based models works. Compared textual features demonstrated patterns and traits that identify human and

AI-generated literature. Merging datasets from different languages and areas helps researchers understand text generation's complexities. This strategy improves detection accuracy and raises questions about AI transparency and trustworthi-

ness in digital content verification. This study advances AI-generated text detection algorithms to a higher level of sophistication, accuracy, and explainability, opening the way for digital content authenticity research and applications.

\*Corresponding Author: h.mohammadi@uu.nl



[1] https://sites.google.com/view/autextification[2] https://sites.google.com/view/shared-task-clin33/home

