



N S &lt;nsamko@gmail.com&gt;

---

**On a thesis in LTU**

5 messages

Natasha Samko &lt;nsamko@gmail.com&gt;

Sun, Nov 1, 2020 at 11:13 PM

To: lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl

Cc: Dorothee Haroske &lt;dorothee.haroske@uni-jena.de&gt;, Lars-Erik Persson &lt;larserik6pers@gmail.com&gt;

Bcc: Natasha Samko &lt;nsamko@gmail.com&gt;

Dear Professor Skrzypczak,

Let me introduce myself. I am Natasha Samko, <http://www.nsamko.com/>

In 2013-2018 I had a position in LTU. In 2015-2018 I was supervisor of Burtseva's thesis.

Hereby I let you know that you have been involved in an unsightly and in fact criminal situation by being appointed as an opponent to Burtseva's thesis at LTU, <http://ltu.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A1477224&dswid=-5210>I write more in detail in the attached file "letter\_opponent\_LTU"  
I also attach some files concerning the situation.Sincerely,  
Natasha Samko

---

**3 attachments** **letter\_to\_opponent.pdf**  
161K **NS\_last\_two\_open\_letters.pdf**  
1224K **change\_supervisors.pdf**  
263K

---

Leszek Skrzypczak <lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl>

Mon, Nov 2, 2020 at 4:54 PM

To: Natasha Samko &lt;nsamko@gmail.com&gt;

Dear Prof. Samko,

many thanks for your e-mail, but please take into account that I can only do what I am asked for by the faculty and I can do it based on the material they sent me. This is my only duty. The inner relations at the faculty are not the subject of my opinion and I am not able to make any statement on them. I have never been to Lulea, and the number of faculty members I have ever met is very restrictive. To be honest I remember only two people that I met - Lars Erik Persson and Lech Maligranda.

In that situation your suggestion that I take part in the "criminal situation" is in my opinion unfair and insulting.

Best regards Leszek Skrzypczak

[Quoted text hidden]

—

**Updated on 04.12. 2023**, by removing a description of a paper not related to the paper under the discussion.

Dear Professor Skrzypczak,

Let me introduce myself. I am Natasha Samko, <http://www.nsamko.com/>

Hereby I let you know that you have been involved in an unsightly and in fact criminal situation by being appointed as an opponent to Burtseva's PhD thesis at LTU, <http://ltu.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A1477224&dswid=-5210>

Let me go into more detail.

In 2013-2018 I had a position in LTU. In 2015-2018 I was supervisor of Burtseva's PhD thesis.

Let me note that Burtseva finished PhD study in Russia without PhD thesis and any journal paper before she became PhD student in LTU in 2015.

\*\*\* Two papers which constitute the so-called PhD thesis you as an opponent are involved in, were done by me as the main contributor who settled all the problems and suggested all the ideas for their solutions. Both papers were published in 2018, when I was the principal supervisor for Burtseva's PhD thesis. After I had done enough papers for Burtseva's PhD thesis, I was scientifically robbed by the chair of Mathematical division P.Wall (with whom Burtseva was in love relations) in the sense that my intellectual ownership on my research including settings of all research problems, ideas, methods and realizations were transferred to P.Wall, since he was appointed instead of me as new supervisor for his girlfriend Burtseva. Thus, I was forced to resign from my position. The reason of changing supervisors was to prolong position as PhD student for Burtseva and fire me, as P.Wall warned me that he will do it if I will not agree to continue to be paper-writer for him and his girlfriend Burtseva. The story of such a corruption in LTU is publicly known, see it in <https://forbetterscience.com/2019/08/07/the-darkness-of-lulea/>

\* Maligranda was NEVER involved in any kind of supervision and the work on the papers which constitute the so-called PhD thesis of Burtseva. Shouldn't it be shameful for Maligranda?!

I understand that Maligranda asked you to be the opponent. So let me draw your attention to the following:

\* The so-called PhD thesis is based on two papers where Burtseva as PhD student was co-author of known experts L.E.Persson and N.Samko under their supervision up to Aug 2018 (**the updated version of the reference**: see the relevant document concerning change of the supervisors at the pages 13-14 in the "**Appendix\_protocols**").

\* By the known rules it is strictly required that a contribution of the PhD student to the papers constituting PhD thesis must be confirmed by all the authors of the papers?!

Also in LTU there is written such a rule, see "FORSKARUTBILDNINGENS HANDBOK" in [https://www.ltu.se/cms\\_fs/1.2870!/5edf25e9.pdf](https://www.ltu.se/cms_fs/1.2870!/5edf25e9.pdf) . Let me specially draw your attention to the "Doktorsavhandling" on the pages 23-24, which states in particular:

- Vetenskaplig uppsats som ingår i sammanläggningsavhandling och som doktorand örfattat gemensamt med annan person, beaktas endast i den mån doktorands insatser kan särskiljas.

The English translation of the main phrase in the above role is:

\*\*\* A scientific paper that is included in a summary of dissertation and that the doctoral student has written jointly with another person, is only considered to the extent that the doctoral student's contributions can be distinguished.

More about the papers which constitute the so-called PhD thesis:

Concerning **paper [2]** "Multi-dimensional Hardy type inequalities in Hölder spaces", by **E.Burtseva, S.Lundberg, L.E.Persson and N.Samko**.

\* This paper was done first by three authors S.Lundberg (PhD student), L.E.Persson and N.Samko for PhD thesis of S.Lundberg. It was done before summer vacations of 2016.

\* Soon in June Burtseva insisted that she wanted to have Licentiate exam in December of 2016. For that she had to have the licentiate thesis ready to October. It was impossible because she had no enough papers. In fact, she was co-author in two papers done by me, where she did some exercises in order to learn the new topic for her.

\* Since Burtseva that time already was in love relations with the chief of Mathematical division P.Wall who was co-supervisor for her PhD thesis, he forced me that I prepare as much papers as it was necessary for Burtseva's licentiate thesis.

\* The only what I could do was to include Burtseva as co-author to two papers which were done by me together with S.Lundberg and L.E.Persson and were in fact ready, it only remained to write the introduction and finally read out the paper for possible misprints. One of those papers was the paper **[2]**.

\* Burtseva digested the material of those two papers and participated in this last stage in order to be familiar with the topic which she should continue with me.

... (Note that there was a description of another article here, but since it was not relevant to the article discussed, it has been removed from this updated version in order to not overload the presentation).

Concerning **paper [1]** "Necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness of weighted Hardy operators in Hölder spaces" by **E.Burtseva, L.E.Persson and N.Samko**.

\* In the first version of paper **[2]** there was also included a chapter with a weighted case. But later L.E.Persson and I found that we can obtain more advanced results for the weighted case and decided to publish the paper for non-weighted case and

continue to work on weighted case. The obtained more advanced results of the weighted case were published as paper [1]. As before in all the other papers where E.Burtseva is our co-author she only learned/digested what we did and did some simple recalculations in order to better understand the work done by us.

For your knowledge to better understand the situation with this PhD student, I also attach my open letters to The Faculty Board of LTU, in the file "**NS\_last\_two\_open\_letters**". Also, I initiate a procedure of acknowledging neglectable Burtseva's contribution by journals, to all papers done by me as the principal supervisor for Burtseva.

I hope that my letter will help you to understand the real situation you are involved to.

Sincerely,  
Natasha Samko



N S &lt;nsamko@gmail.com&gt;

---

## On a thesis in LTU

---

Natasha Samko <nsamko@gmail.com>  
To: Leszek Skrzypczak <lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl>

Tue, Nov 3, 2020 at 5:39 AM

Dear Professor Skrzypczak,

Let me start by answering your last phrase that surprised me. I in no way wanted to "insult" you in any way. Moreover, I had no reason to say anything unpleasant to you. On the contrary, not knowing you personally, I knew that you work jointly with Dorothee and only trust and respect for you allowed me to contact you. Please accept my sincere apologies if something seemed offensive to you.

I don't know why but I was sure that you were misinformed by LTU, that was my opinion. But if this is not so, then I was mistaken. Now I know that it was your choice. I will not hide that I am surprised. But of course I respect your right and freedom to support what you want

My message to you did not concern any kind of inner relations at the faculty. I only touched on the issue of the thesis content and my intellectual property included in it.

In the announcement concerning the thesis there are clearly mentioned two research papers where contribution of Burtseva is neglectable and the new supervisor Maligranda was not involved neither as author nor as supervisor when the papers were done. One paper has three authors, and the other one has four. I drew your attention to the existing rules that the contribution of a doctoral student to scientific papers must be distinguished. And this is not an idle question, it is required by the rules.

\*\*\* I have more than once informed the faculty Board and hereby I officially declare also to you that I do not consent to the inclusion of my scientific results in Burtseva's PhD thesis.

I also have rights to oppose the theft of my intellectual property and the appropriation of ownership on that to others. Such a theft is what I named as criminal. This is my point of view, as the daughter of a lawyer.

Sincerely,

--

Natasha, [www.nsamko.com](http://www.nsamko.com)

P.S.: You need not to answer this message.

On Mon, Nov 2, 2020 at 4:54 PM Leszek Skrzypczak <lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl> wrote:

Dear Prof. Samko,

many thanks for your e-mail, but please take into account that I can only do what I am asked for by the faculty and I can do it based on the material they sent me. This is my only duty. The inner relations at the faculty are not the subject of my opinion and I am not able to make any statement on them. I have never been to Lulea, and the number of faculty members I have ever met is very restrictive. To be honest I remember only two people that I met - Lars Erik Persson and Lech Maligranda.

In that situation your suggestion that I take part in the "criminal situation" is in my opinion unfair and insulting.

Best regards Leszek Skrzypczak

W dniu 2020-11-02 00:13, Natasha Samko napisal(a):

Dear Professor Skrzypczak,

Let me introduce myself. I am Natasha Samko, <http://www.nsamko.com/>

In 2013-2018 I had a position in LTU. In 2015-2018 I was supervisor of Burtseva's thesis.





N S &lt;nsamko@gmail.com&gt;

**Fwd: Natasha, you have a new read**

**Natasha Samko** <nsamko@gmail.com>  
 To: Leszek Skrzypczak <lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl>

Wed, Dec 2, 2020 at 1:56 AM



Dear Professor Skrzypczak,

Thank you for your kind attention to my paper. As I can guess, your interest was caused by your involvement in the PhD defence of Burtseva.

In <http://tu.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A1477218&dswid=-4751>, there is mentioned the paper "Weighted Fractional and Hardy type operators in Orlicz-Morrey spaces" by Burtseva. **The title tells me that a material/approach from two of my papers might have been used in this "accepted paper".**

In two of my papers concerning weighted fractional/potential and Hardy operators and Orlicz-Morrey spaces, Burtseva is a co-author.

**However these papers were completely done by me.** There is no Burtseva's scientific contribution to any extent. These were the first papers for Burtseva where she got acquainted with Morry/Orlicz-Morrey spaces, as well as fractional/potential and Hardy-type operators. Before that, Burtseva was never familiar at all with the study of operators of harmonic analysis in function spaces.

**In violation of the existing rules, Burtseva's PhD thesis is not made publicly available.**

I would be grateful to you **if you could send Burtseva's thesis to me.** Then I could provide you with reliable information about the part of the thesis, which can concern my papers.

I can send my email correspondence (with attachments) with Burtseva, related to my work on the papers and containing instructions and exercises for Burtseva.

Looking forward to your understanding and cooperation,  
 Natasha Samko

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **ResearchGate** <no-reply@researchgatemail.net>  
 Date: **Sun, Nov 29, 2020** at 9:35 AM  
 Subject: Natasha, you have a new read  
 To: <nsamko@gmail.com>

ResearchGate

**Leszek Skrzypczak just read your publication**

**Article · Weighted adams type theorem for the riesz fractional integral in generalized morrey space**

See your stats



N S &lt;nsamko@gmail.com&gt;

---

**question**

4 messages

---

**Natasha Samko** <nsamko@gmail.com>  
To: Leszek Skrzypczak <lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl>  
Cc: Dorothee Haroske <dorothee.haroske@uni-jena.de>

Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 11:31 AM

Dear Prof. Skrzypczak,

Could you please be so kind **to send me the link to zoom for the PUBLIC PhD defence of E.Burtseva?**

Neither I nor other colleagues who want to listen and participate online via zoom in the discussion at this **PUBLIC event** can find any information on zoom link.

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Natasha Samko

---

**Leszek Skrzypczak** <lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl>  
To: Natasha Samko <nsamko@gmail.com>

Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 3:49 PM

Dear Prof Samko,

before the defence I switched of my e-mail, since I had only 45 minutes between my lectures and the defence and I wanted to concentrate on the new task. **But even I would not do it I were not able to help you.** According to the standard at my university if the defence is (partially) on-line the only person that have rights to make the connection available is the administrator/organizer of the meeting and anybody should contact such person to join. I follows this rule. I was not the organizer of the meeting and it was not my right.



Best regards Leszek Skrzypczak

[Quoted text hidden]

—  
Leszek Skrzypczak  
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Adam Mickiewicz University,  
ul. Uniwersytetu Poznanskiego 4,  
61-614 Poznan, Poland  
phone+48-61-829-5473

---

**Natasha Samko** <nsamko@gmail.com>  
To: Leszek Skrzypczak <lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl>

Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 4:35 PM

Dear Prof. Skrzypczak,

Thank you for your response.

Best regards,  
Natasha Samko

[Quoted text hidden]



---

## May be interesting

---

Leszek Skrzypczak <lskrzyp@amu.edu.pl>  
To: Natasha Samko <nsamko@gmail.com>

Mon, Dec 14, 2020 at 5:22 PM

Dear Prof. Samko,

I have already read this acknowledgement and I have not doubt that you influence Burtseva's career and investigations. I mentioned it during my today speech. It is neither my duty nor my right to judge what happened at LTU few years ago that influence today situation.

Best regards Leszek

W dniu 2020-12-14 17:51, Natasha Samko napisał(a):

Dear Prof. Skrzypczak,

I don't know if you knew about the attached Licentiate thesis. But I find it important to send it to you.

The Acknowledgement there was in evident contradiction with what is in the PhD thesis, while many other things are just taken from that.

Sincere regards,  
Natasha Samko

--

Leszek Skrzypczak  
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Adam Mickiewicz University,  
ul. Uniwersytetu Poznanskiego 4,  
61-614 Poznan, Poland  
phone+48-61-829-5473

---

 **FULLTEXT01.pdf**  
736K