Extreme-scale Alignments of Quasar Optical Polarizations And Galactic Dust Contamination Vincent Pelgrims LPSC, Grenoble, France







RADIOFOREGROUNDS project (H2020 European funded)

[http://www.radioforegrounds.eu]



Galactic foregrounds:



'Ultimate' characterization of the CMB Foregrounds at radio to sub-mm wavelengths Add low frequency data to break degeneracies among components Provide the community with tools and up-to-date models Forecasting limitations of component separation algorithms for the future CMB probes

Provide the best **three-dimensional regular Galactic Magnetic field** \leftarrow my duty

RADIOFOREGROUNDS project (H2020 European funded)

[http://www.radioforegrounds.eu]



Galactic foregrounds & three-dimensional regular Galactic Magnetic field:

- Synchrotron and thermal dust diffuse emissions:
 Stokes I,Q and U theoretically depend on the integration along the line of sight of a *clever* mixture of **B** field and of density distribution of relativistic electron and dust grains
- > In principle, 3d modeling of those should enable one to constrain the models, especially **B**



Python suite of codes is being released on the tiptoe. Have a look there: http://www.radioforegrounds.eu/pages/software.php

Anomaly:

"Optical polarization vectors from quasars appear to be significantly correlated through scales larger than Giga-parsec. No correlation between objects is expected at that scale."

Current sample:

355 quasars with $|b_{gal}| \ge 30^\circ$; $p_{lin} \ge 0.6\%$ and $\sigma_{\psi} \le 14^\circ$ to ensure 'reliable' polarization measurements. (to be upgraded soon)

- significant at 3 4σ compared to expected randomness, even using dedicated statistical test implementing LEE
- stronger in Gpc-scale regions/along a cosmic axis
- apparent redshift dependence of the preferred 'pointing direction'







Anomaly:

"Optical polarization vectors from quasars appear to be significantly correlated through scales larger than Giga-parsec. No correlation between objects is expected at that scale."

Current sample:

355 quasars with $|b_{gal}| \ge 30^\circ$; $p_{lin} \ge 0.6\%$ and $\sigma_{\psi} \le 14^\circ$ to ensure 'reliable' polarization measurements. (to be upgraded soon)

- significant at 3 4σ compared to expected randomness, even using dedicated statistical test implementing LEE
- stronger in Gpc-scale regions/along a cosmic axis
- apparent redshift dependence of the preferred 'pointing direction'





Anomaly:

"Optical polarization vectors from quasars appear to be significantly correlated through scales larger than Giga-parsec. No correlation between objects is expected at that scale."

Current sample:

355 quasars with $|b_{gal}| \ge 30^\circ$; $p_{lin} \ge 0.6\%$ and $\sigma_{\psi} \le 14^\circ$ to ensure 'reliable' polarization measurements. (to be upgraded soon)

- significant at 3 4σ compared to expected randomness, even using dedicated statistical test implementing LEE
- stronger in Gpc-scale regions/along a cosmic axis
- apparent redshift dependence of the preferred 'pointing direction'





Anomaly:

"Optical polarization vectors from quasars appear to be significantly correlated through scales larger than Giga-parsec. No correlation between objects is expected at that scale."

Current sample:

355 quasars with $|b_{gal}| \ge 30^\circ$; $p_{lin} \ge 0.6\%$ and $\sigma_{\psi} \le 14^\circ$ to ensure 'reliable' polarization measurements. (to be upgraded soon)

- significant at 3 4σ compared to expected randomness, even using dedicated statistical test implementing LEE
- stronger in Gpc-scale regions/along a cosmic axis
- apparent redshift dependence of the preferred 'pointing direction'





Anomaly:

"Optical polarization vectors from quasars appear to be significantly correlated through scales larger than Giga-parsec. No correlation between objects is expected at that scale."

Proposed explanations: [not exhaustive list, quite long!]

- Cosmic strings / Cosmic loops
- Cosmological scale magnetic field
- Bi-refringence of the Universe
- Axion-like Dark Matter particle
- Anisotropic cosmological expansion
- ...

Still, no satisfying explanation

• Dust contamination in our Galaxy? [naivest way to explain large-scale correlation]

[Hutsemékers et al. 1998,2001,2005] → NO!

- Redshift dependence of alignment direction
- Quality criteria:

 $|b_{gal}| \ge 30^\circ; p_{lin} \ge 0.6\%$ and $\sigma_{\psi} \le 14^\circ$

BUT... ISM contamination estimate from starlight polarization measurement!







Extreme-scale alignments of quasars optical polarization vectors [Pelgrims 2017]

Foreground contamination by Galactic dust:

 ISM polarizability inferred by starlight is an under-estimation of the possible contamination

 \rightarrow they probe only a fraction of the line-of-sight



- SISM polarizability can now be inferred from polarized Galactic dust emission directly, tanks to Planck 353-GHz polarization channel!
 - \rightarrow the whole line-of-sight through the Galaxy and above is integrated



!!! Large-scale features extend to high Galactic latitude



Motivation:

Verify the 'reliability' of quasar optical polarizations with respect to dust contamination, accounting for the whole line-of-sight

Results:

Cosmological-scale coherent orientations of quasar optical polarization vectors in the *Planck* era

Surviving to Galactic dust contamination scenario

V. Pelgrims¹

[astro-ph: arXiv:1709.10271], submitted to A&A



[Pelgrims 2017]

Looking for correlation:

V. Pelgrims

FOREGROUNDS

A position-angle approach



October 27, 2017 - Garching - QUESO2017

[Pelgrims 2017]

Investigating the correlation:

Use polarization ratios

$$R_{P/p} = \frac{P_{dust}}{p_{quasar}}$$

FOREG

$$R_{S/V} = \frac{P_{dust}/I_{dust}}{p_{quasar}/\tau_{quasar}}$$

Large if contamination is likely to occur





- Correlation between Δ_{V/S} and R_{P/p}
 detected at more than 4 sigma
 [Spearman + reshuffling]
- Corroborate contamination scenario
- > !!! show that the contamination is detected ONLY for a fraction of the sample !!!

[Pelgrims 2017]

Towards unbiased quasar sample:

- The contamination likely involve only a fraction of the sample.
- Truncation of the sample lead to a 'clean' quasar optical polarization sample, i.e. such that the correlation with dust disappears



Removing 20 – 30 % of the sample appear to be sufficient to have a quasar polarization sample clean enough from the dust.



Extreme-scale alignments of quasars optical polarization vectors Surviving to Galactic dust contamination scenario

[Pelgrims 2017]

Results:

- Remaining dust contamination in the original quasar sample contamination possibly previously missed by field star inquiries
- Introduction of a new quality criteria using dust polarized emission takes into account the dust contribution along the whole line-of-sight
- 70 80 % of the optical quasar polarization sample used to study the extreme-scale alignment is SAVED from dust contamination dust contribution should be very small (undetected but there!)
- The new quality criteria select *almost* homogeneously the sources in the parameter space (RA, dec, *z*, *p*_{quasar}, *b*_{gal}, *I*_{gal})

 \rightarrow the extreme-scale alignments of the quasar optical polarization cannot be accounted for by Galactic dust

→ phenomenological details of the alignments might be slightly modified [this has to be tested in future works, but waiting more data]



Extreme-scale alignments of quasars optical polarization vectors Surviving to Galactic dust contamination scenario

Results:

- Remaining dust contamination in the original quasar sample
- Introduction of a new quality criteria using dust polarized emission
- 70 80 % of the optical quasar polarization sample used to study the extreme-scale alignment is SAVED from dust contamination
- The new quality criteria select *almost* homogeneously the sources in the parameter space (RA, dec, *z*, *p*_{quasar}, *b*_{gal}, *l*_{gal})

 \rightarrow the extreme-scale alignments of the quasar optical polarization cannot be accounted for by Galactic dust

 \rightarrow the phenomenology of the alignments might be slightly modify

• That study also shows that comparing the optical polarization of astrophysical objects with dust polarization as recorded by Planck is feasible at *high* Galactic latitudes, and seems to make sense

Comparison with V-band starlight might lead to constraints on the 3dimensional mapping of:

- three dimensional dust distribution in our Galaxy
- constraints on three-dimensional Galactic magnetic field

- Thank you -



Extreme-scale alignments of quasars optical polarization vectors

Foreground contamination by Galactic dust: a scenario

- Dust polarization arises from thermal emission of aspherical dust grains that align with Galactic magnetic field lines
- Optical light of a background source undergoes an anisotropic absorption by the same dust grains (depolarization)

 \rightarrow production of an effective optical polarization

- induces starlight optical polarization (assumed to be zero for 'normal' stars)
- > adds to the intrinsic optical polarization of quasars: contamination





Extreme-scale alignments of quasars optical polarization vectors Surviving to Galactic dust contamination scenario

References:

- Hutsemékers 1998, A&A 332, 410
- Hutsemékers & Lamy 2001, A&A 367, 381
- Hutsemékers et al. 2005, A&A 441, 915
- Jain et al. 2004, MNRAS 347, 394
- Lee & Drain 1985, ApJ, 290, 211
- Martin 2007, EAS Pub. Ser. 23, 165
- Pelgrims & Cudell 2014, MNRAS 442, 1239
- Pelgrims 2016, [arXiv:1604:05141]
- Pelgrims 2017, A&A submitted [arXiv:1709.10271]
- Planck Coll. Int. Res. XIX 2015, A&A 576, A104
- Planck Coll. Int. Res. XX, 2015, A&A 576, A105
- Planck Coll. Int. Res. XXI, 2015, A&A 576, A106
- Planck Coll. Int. Res. XXII 2015, A&A 576, A107
- RADIOFOREGROUNDS project http://www.radioforegrounds.eu/

