	Item No	Recommendation	
Title and abstract	1	(<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term	Abstract
		in the title or the abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced	Abstract
		summary of what was done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the	Section 1/introduction
		investigation being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified	Section 1/introduction
		hypotheses	
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Section 2.1/Study
	•	The second se	design
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including	Section 2.2/Study
	-	periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data	Settings
		collection	8
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods	Section
		of selection of participants	2.3/Participants
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential	Section 2.1/Study
		confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria,	design
		if applicable	C
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and	Section 2.1/Study
measurement		details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe	design
		comparability of assessment methods if there is more than	C
		one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	N/A
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Section 2.5/Sample
		1	size estimation
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the	Section 2.1/Study
		analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were	design
		chosen and why	C
Statistical methods	12	(<i>a</i>) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to	Section 2.6/Statistical
		control for confounding	analysis
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and	Section 2.6/Statistical
		interactions	analysis
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A
		(<i>d</i>) If applicable, describe analytical methods taking	Section 2.6/Statistical
		account of sampling strategy	analysis
		(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Section 2.6/Statistical
			analysis
Results			-
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—	Section 3/Results
		eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility,	
		confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing	
		follow-up, and analysed	

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cross-sectional studies

		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N/A
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Section 3/Results
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Section 3/Results
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Section 3/Results
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Section 3/Results
Main results	16	(<i>a</i>) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Section 3/Results
		(<i>b</i>) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	Section 3/Results
		(<i>c</i>) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Section 3/Results
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	N/A
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Section 4/Discussion
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Section 4.1/Discussion
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Section 4/Discussion
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Sections 4 and 4.1/Discussion and study limitations
Other information			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	N/A

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.