www.nature.com/onc

REVIEW The molecular mechanics of mixed lineage leukemia

RK Slany

Mixed lineage leukemia caused by MLL fusion proteins is still a mostly incurable disease. Research on novel treatment strategies has gained momentum in the last years with the elucidation of the molecular mechanisms underlying the transforming potential of these powerful oncoproteins. This review summarizes the recent developments in this area including new attempts to treat MLL in a rational way by exploiting the biochemical vulnerabilities of the leukemogenic process.

Oncogene (2016) 35, 5215-5223; doi:10.1038/onc.2016.30; published online 29 February 2016

THE CLINICAL ASPECT OF MLL

Before the next-generation sequencing revolution, it was a daunting task to accurately pinpoint the genetic changes responsible for a disease like cancer. Therefore, it came as a breakthrough when it was realized that certain recurrent and cytogenetically observable genome alterations, like chromosomal translocations, were firmly associated with a specific subtype of neoplasia.^{1,2} As correctly surmised, the visible chromosomal abnormalities served as a molecular beacon that enabled the localization, isolation and characterization of the genes causally involved in the transformation process. This was particularly true for leukemia where, in contrast to the often highly aberrant genomes of solid tumors, single chromosomal aberrations often stood out as sole genomic change. It was realized already in the early 1980s that a very aggressive subtype of infant leukemia presenting within the first year of life was characterized by translocations affecting a hotspot of genomic instability at '11q23'.^{3,4} Microscopically, this aberration had been found in both acute lymphoid leukemia (ALL) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cells. Closer inspection revealed that the transformed cells frequently carried surface markers of both major blood lineages and therefore this disease was called mixed lineage leukemia.⁵ Today we know that up to 80% of infant ALL and about 35-50% of pediatric AML harbor 11g23 abnormalities.⁸⁻¹² A second peak of incidence for this chromosomal lesion has been recorded in patients who suffer from therapy-induced AML after treatment of an unrelated malignancy with certain genotoxic agents.^{13,14} Particularly, the application of topoisomerase inhibitors like epipodophyllotoxins (etoposide) carries a high risk of secondary malignancy. Finally, 11q23 translocations do also occur in sporadic cases of adult ALL and AML adding up to a considerable 5% of adult ALL and 5-10% of AML with 11q23 involvement.

The common clinical denominator of all these cases is their dismal prognosis. Treatment of infant MLL depends on its initial classification as ALL or AML. Induction for 'ALL-type' disease is usually performed with prednisone followed either by a 'lymphoid' consolidation therapy (cyclophosphamide, cytarabine, 6-mercaptopurine) or, for some protocols adjusted to the more aggressive nature of MLL, by a more 'myeloid'-based therapy with cytarabine, daunorubicin/mitoxantrone and etoposide. The benefit of allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplants is still unclear for infant ALL. In contrast, infant AML with MLL involvement is treated similar to other pediatric AML with an intensive chemotherapy followed by hematopoietic stem cell transplant if donor marrow is available and if the health status of the recipient allows this procedure.¹⁵ In adults, the mainstay of AML therapy is a cytarabine/anthracycline-based regimen followed by allohematopoietic stem cell transplant if circumstances permit.16 The trend for high-risk ALL in older patients is to follow up induction therapy (usually including vincristine, prednisone, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin and L-asparaginase) with an intensified regimen often containing cytarabine and methotrexate and subsequently with a maintenance therapy of methotrexate and 6-mercaptopurine. However, despite best supportive care, the 5-year survival rates after high-dose chemotherapy and bone marrow transplants for infants and adults with 11q23 abnormalities are still well below 50% with the possible exception of patients carrying t(11;9) translocations in AML.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Because of these extraordinary properties, 11q23 aberrations have been listed as a separate entity in the WHO (World Health Organization) classification of leukemia.²⁰ Underscoring the remarkable transforming power of 11q23 translocations, a recent sequencing study²¹ uncovered that infant MLL is the only neoplasm besides low-grade glioblastomas that is almost devoid of secondary mutations besides the defining chromosomal aberration as founder event. On average, infant leukemia with MLL rearrangement contains 1.3 additional non-silent mutations (mostly in PI3K-RAS signaling pathways), yet in some instances, 11g23 aberrations are the only genetic lesion detectable.

MOLECULAR ANATOMY OF 11Q23 TRANSLOCATIONS: THE ADVENT OF EPIGENETICS IN ONCOGENESIS

MLL is a multi-functional chromatin modulator

Several groups contended to clarify the events underlying the 11q23 anomalies and to identify the genes involved. Four independent laboratories succeeded to clone and sequence the respective breakpoint genes.^{22–25} On chromosome 11, they localized a gene coding for a very large protein of 3972 amino acids (432 kDa calculated MW) that showed clear homology to Drosophila *trithorax (trx)*. Trx is an embryonic regulator that is important for maintaining gene expression during development.

Department of Genetics, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany. Correspondence: Professor RK Slany, Department of Genetics, Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erwin Rommel Street 3, Erlangen 91058, Germany.

E-mail: robert.slany@fau.de

Received 29 September 2015; revised 14 January 2016; accepted 21 January 2016; published online 29 February 2016

5216

It opposes the repressive activity of the so-called *polycomb* group proteins with the clustered *Hox*-homeobox genes as major physiological targets.²⁶ Because of this homology and the involvement in leukemogenesis, the human gene was initially called *MLL*, *ALL*-1 or *HRX* with *MLL* as the 'common name' prevailing until today. A knockout study in mice showed that the structural homology of *MLL* and *TRX* also extended to function as *MII^{-/-}* animals died *in utero* with homeotic malformations, aberrant *Hox* expression and hematopoietic problems.^{27,28} A variety of functional domains have since been described in MLL and their function will be shortly summarized in their order of appearance (Figure 1a).

MLL-N: DNA-binding meets regulation

Under physiological conditions, full-length MLL is cleaved posttranscriptionally by an enzyme called Taspase1 into a larger MLL-N (also called N320, calculated MW 297kDa) and a MLL-C (C180, calculated MW 135 kDa) fragment (Figure 1a).^{29–31} Both are kept together by intramolecular interactions mediated by two interaction motifs located in the N-terminal (FYRN) and C-terminal (FYRC) cleavage products, respectively.³⁰ The resulting dimer is incorporated into a larger protein assembly that associates with a variety of cofactors (WDR5, RBBP5, ASH2L, hDPY30) that are also present in other H3K4 methyltransferase complexes. As this topic has been summarized recently, readers interested in more detail are kindly referred to the respective review.³² The physical separation into MLL-N and MLL-C subunits is also reflected by separable functions of the two cleavage products. MLL-N is involved in chromatin targeting and regulation of MLL activity, whereas MLL-C mediates transactivation. The first characterized domain at the very N-terminus of MLL-N is the LEDGF-menin interaction region (LMI). This moiety binds to menin (multiple endocrine neoplasia I) a protein that is lost in a familiar cancer syndrome.^{33–36} The combined menin/MLL interface then allows interaction with LEDGF or lens epithelium-derived growth factor (encoded by the *PSIP1* gene, also known as p75).³⁷ LEDGF contains a PWWP domain that recognizes H3K36 methylation, a hallmark of actively

transcribed chromatin. LEDGF seems to be necessary for recruiting MLL to active chromatin and, in an interesting side aspect, LEDGF is also used by HIV-integrase to enable insertion of the viral genome into the host chromosomes.38 The LMI is followed by three AT-hooks that constitute an unusual DNA-binding domain with an affinity for 'distorted' DNA displaying a widened minor groove.³⁹ The AT-hooks of MLL have an additional function as they mediate interaction either with itself or with a domain further downstream⁴⁰ that is characterized by the occurrence of a duplicated Cysteine-n-n-Cysteine zinc-finger (CxxC). Similar structures have been found in proteins like DNA methyltransferase 1 that recognize CpG dinucleotides. In analogy, also the Cysteine-nn-Cysteine zinc-finger motif of MLL binds CpG sequences with the additional capability to discriminate for unmethylated cytosine.⁴¹ Together with a basic stretch of amino acids, this region also recruits PAF1, a member of the 'polymerase associated complex' (PAFc), which catalyzes histone H2B ubiquitination during transcription.^{42,43} The LMI and the Cysteine-n-n-Cysteine zincfinger domain are included in every known MLL fusion protein, and in structure-function experiments, they have been identified as the sole determinants that are necessary⁴⁴ and sufficient⁴⁵ to create a transforming protein if joined to a MLL fusion partner. Consequently, MLL fusion proteins never include any sequences downstream of the common and narrow 11g23 breakpoint region (Figure 1a, lower panel).

Thus, the central four PHD fingers and an intervening bromodomain of wt-MLL are invariably excluded from MLL fusions. Bromodomains read acetylated chromatin and PHD fingers recognize methylated proteins with PHD finger number 3 of MLL specifically interacting with methylated H3K4.^{46–48} In this way, this region can establish a positive feedback loop as it will enable MLL to bind to chromatin that has been acetylated/ methylated by itself (see below). Simultaneously, the PHD fingers are targets of ubiquitination and conformational regulation by interaction with the proline-isomerase CYP33.^{49,50} This has been suggested as a regulatory mechanism that allows to turn off MLL activity. Binding of CYP33 to the third PHD finger in an artificial MLL-ENL fusion protein increased association with the histone



Figure 1. Wild-type MLL and the diversity of MLL fusion partners. (a) Schematic structure of wild-type MLL. Functional domains are labeled in black. Known interactions of these domains are annotated in blue. LMI, LEDGF, menin interaction domain; PHD, plant homeodomain; bromo, bromodomain; FYRN/FYRC, N-terminal and C-terminal interaction domains. For more details, see text. (b) Biochemical and clinical distribution of MLL fusion partners.

deacetylase HDAC1 leading to repression of *HOX* gene expression.⁴⁷ Thus, inclusion of this region into MLL fusion proteins incapacitates their transforming function^{47,48} supposing that also the corresponding region in MLL serves as a 'regulatory module'.

MLL-C: a histone modification element

MLL-C contains two regions with chromatin modification capability. The first is a transactivator region that interacts either directly or genetically with the histone acetyltransferases p300/ CBP, MOZ and MOF^{51–53} transferring acetyl groups to H3K27, H3K9 and H4K16, respectively. The second histone modification activity is characterized by a C-terminal SET domain that works as histone methyltransferase.⁵⁴ SET is an acronym for Suppressor of variegation, Enhancer of zeste and Trithorax, the first proteins described with this functional unit. In general, SET domains catalyze the transfer of a methyl group from S-adenosylmethionine to a protein substrate. In the case of MLL, the acceptor is lysine 4 on histone H3, which can be monomethylated, dimethylated or trimethylated (H3K4me_{1,2,3}). H3K4me is a hallmark of actively transcribed chromatin with monomethylation marking enhancers while dimethylation and trimethylation are found around the transcription start sites of active genes.⁵⁵ The methyl-mark itself is recognized by 'reader' proteins that frequently recognize the methylated residue with the help of a PHD (plant homeodomain) domain. Although exact details remain to be worked out, one way how H3K4 methylation stimulates transcription is by attracting nucleosome remodeling complexes like NURF that can create nucleosome-free regions to facilitate transcriptional initiation.56,57

In an interesting new development, it has been demonstrated that actually H4K16 acetylation is the more important activity of MLL responsible for the loss-of-function phenotypes in MII knockout animals.⁵⁸ After specific deletion of the *Mll* SET domain, H3K4me levels as well as expression of several genes critical for blood cell development remained unaffected, whereas a total knockout of *Mll* led to a rapid loss of transcription of the respective loci affecting primarily hematopoiesis. This corroborates previous reports demonstrating that a localized knockout specifically removing the SET domain of MII produced viable offspring that survived into adulthood.⁵⁹ In line with these results, a simple pharmacologic inhibition of the MOF-counteracting histone deacetylase SIRT1 restored acetylation and *Mll* target gene expression in complete MII-/- knockout cells.⁵⁸ Acetylated H4K16 provides a binding platform for BET-bromodomain proteins (bromodomain and extra terminal domain) like BRD4. BRD4 is a multifunctional activator that recruits and activates P-TEFb,⁶⁰ a kinase that is required for efficient transcriptional elongation. P-TEFb also has a major role in transformation by MLL fusions (see below) suggesting that wt-MLL and fusion derivatives use a similar mechanism to stimulate target transcription. In contrast, a loss of 5217

H3K4 methylation can be likely complemented by other H3K4 methyltransferases. In total, six enzymes with this catalytic activity have been identified in mammalian cells (MLL1-4, SET1A, SET1B) and the respective genes have been renamed *KMTxx* (from lysine = K methyltransferase) as KMT2A (MLL1), 2B (MLL4), 2C (MLL3), 2D (MLL2), 2 F (SET1A) and 2G (SET1B). It is not yet entirely clear how the task of global H3K4 methylation is distributed among the respective KMT proteins, but they seem to be generally highly exchangeable. For example, in ESC cells, *MI* is localized virtually at every active promoter but only a few genes lose H3K4 methylation and expression upon *MII* knockout, possibly because other KMT enzymes substitute.⁶¹

MLL FUSION PROTEINS: PERCEIVING ORDER IN CHAOS

The predominant MLL fusion partners function in transcriptional elongation

As anticipated by cytogenetic findings, the initial identification of MLL fusion partners yielded a very confusing picture. The 11g23 locus is translocated to partner loci distributed across the whole genome. Although a few translocations like t(4;11), t(11;19), t(9;11) and t(10;11) prevail, the respective partner genes (AF4, ENL, AF9, AF10) code for proteins with little resemblance except an occasional homology (for example, between AF9 and ENL).62 (The nomenclature of genes fused to MLL is still unsatisfactory as only some of the original genes have been included in a systematic scheme. For example, ENL was officially renamed MLLT1 (MLL translocated to number 1), whereas the translocation partner AF4 now is called AFF1 (AF4/FMR family member 1). For this reason, this review will use the older and better known denominations throughout.) Despite a lot of effort invested in cloning new MLL fusions, originally no unifying feature could be discovered. This changed with a series of reports where we and others purified protein complexes associated with MLL partners.⁶³⁻⁶⁷ These studies revealed that 8 out of 79 known MLL partner proteins were involved in the process of transcriptional elongation (Figure 1b), a notion that had been first brought up for ELL, the only fusion partner for which a biological function could be assigned before.⁶⁸ Together these eight fusion partners cover more than 80% of all clinical cases of leukemia with MLL involvement. Thus, mixed lineage leukemia, in its majority, is caused by a loss of proper transcriptional regulation. The adjustment of transcriptional elongation rather than the classical control of initiation is the preferred mode of regulation for many genes that need to respond quickly like those involved in differentiation, proliferation and immediate early control. This step contributes to overall regulation to a varying degree also for other genes,⁶⁹ because RNA Polymerase II (RNA PolII) frequently stops after initiation and remains paused or 'stalled' until proper signals for further transcription are given. Elongation rates can be adjusted by the activity of various 'impeding' factors like NELF (negative elongation factor) and DSIF (DRB sensitivity inducing



Figure 2. Schematic representation of the biochemical functions associated with common MLL fusion partners. (**a**) The DOT1L complex induces H3K79 methylation. (**b**) Positive transcription elongation factor b (P-TEFb) contains CDK9 that phosphorylates RNA PolII and various other proteins to promote transcriptional elongation. (**c**) Polycomb repressive complex 1 (PRC1) is inhibited by a direct interaction of ENL/AF9 with CBX8.

5218

factor) and by adjusting the 'speed' of RNA PollI itself. In addition, the modification state of chromatin has an influence on transcription rates. Thus, it has been shown that the activity of the polycomb repressors can 'compact' chromatin to impede elongation.⁷⁰ MLL fusion partners are members of protein complexes that affect these set-points of elongation control with far reaching consequences. This was demonstrated first for ENL where affinity purification revealed that this protein (as well as its homolog AF9) is a member of a multi-subunit complex initially labeled EAP⁶⁵ (elongation-assisting proteins or ENL-associated proteins). Later it was shown that EAP actually is composed of separate subcomplexes (Figure 2) all of which impact on a different feature of transcriptional elongation^{63,64,67} as detailed below.

DotCom: paving the road to transcription

One of the key activities within EAP is DOT1L (disruptor of telomeric silencing 1 like) representing the core component of the DOT1L sub-complex (DotCom) (Figure 2a). Initially discovered in yeast where it curbs the spread of repressive chromatin emanating from the telomere regions, DOT1L was later shown to be a histone methyltransferase.⁷¹ It is the only known enzyme that either monomethylates, dimethylates or trimethylates lysine 79 in histone H3. It is also unique because it does not possess a SET domain, a fact that makes it vulnerable to specific pharmacological inhibition (see below). H3K79 methylation is associated with actively transcribed regions, and recently, it was shown that knocking-down the histone deacetylase SIRT1 can compensate for a loss of DOT1L activity by increasing histone acetylation.⁷² This likely allows more BRD4/P-TEFb to bind as an alternative method to promote elongation (see next paragraph). Next to ENL and AF9 that bind DOT1L with a C-terminal region^{66,73,74} also AF10 and AF17 interact directly with this enzyme⁷⁵ thereby stimulating DOT1L catalytic activity and thus allowing the accumulation of higher methylated states of H3K79 (di/trimethylation).⁷⁶ Interestingly, other studies have shown that ubiquitination of H2B, a modification catalyzed by the RNF20 subunit of the PAF complex, also facilitates DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation.⁷⁷ Thus, the transcriptional impact of the fusion partner is reinforced by PAF recruitment via the MLL portion.⁷⁷ As there is no known H3K79 demethylase, cells must remove this chromatin mark by dilution during cell division. H3K79me may therefore serve as a marker for past transcriptional states constituting the equivalent of a 'transcriptional memory'.

Positive transcription elongation factor b (P-TEFb) and the super elongation complex (SEC)

The second protein complex involving multiple MLL partner proteins is the super elongation complex or SEC⁷⁸ (Figure 2b). At the core of SEC, is positive transcription elongation factor b (P-TEFb), a dimer of cyclin-dependent kinase 9 and either a cyclin T (T1 or T2) or a cyclin K. Together, the active dimer recognizes multiple targets. Best known is its role in phosphorylating the C-terminal repeat domain of RNA PollI (CTD) that consists of a heptapeptide that is tandemly repeated 54 times for mammalian RNAPolll. The CTD contains several serines that can be phosphorylated, and depending on the location within the repeat, this creates a 'code' that determines the activity of RNAPollI in part by serving as docking site for accessory proteins. In this way, initiation, elongation, co-transcriptional RNA processing and termination can be coordinately regulated. P-TEFb specifically recognizes serine-2 in the heptarepeat, which needs to be modified for efficient elongation. In addition, P-TEFb inactivates impeding factors like NELF and DSIF. P-TEFb binds directly to AF4 and AF4-family members (AF5q31, LAF) through the cyclin moiety.⁶⁶ As ENL and AF9 interact with AF4 family proteins, P-TEFb can be indirectly recruited also by MLL-ENL/AF9. ELL has been identified as another component of SEC.⁶⁸ A further essential subunit of SEC is BRD4, a member of the BET (bromodomain and extra terminal domain) family that includes BRD2, BRD3 and BRDT. These proteins contain two bromodomains that recognize acetylated chromatin. BRD4 binds to CDK9, recruits it to chromatin and stimulates P-TEFb activity.⁶⁰ Thus, BRD4 couples elongation to acetyl-marks on chromatin in another intricate analogy to the transactivation mechanism of wild-type MLL.

Polycomb repressive complex 1 and MLL fusions

A further interaction of MLL fusion partners with transcriptionally active proteins has been uncovered for ENL and AF9 that bind to chromobox protein 8 (CBX8), a member of polycomb repressive complex 1^{40,79} (PRC1) (Figure 2c). PRC1 is a repressor that impedes transcription by compacting chromatin and by imposing ubiquitination on histone H2A catalyzed by the RING1B subunit of the complex.⁸⁰ In fly, polycomb opposes trithorax activity and a perturbation of this balance manifests itself first as homeotic alteration caused by aberrant Hox expression. A similar situation exists in leukemia where interaction of the MLL-fused ENL moiety with CBX8 neutralizes PRC1-repressive activity, thus allowing an uncurbed expression of polycomb targets, foremost the clustered HOX homeobox genes.⁴⁰ Currently it is unknown how ENL inactivates PRC1, but as ENL generally acts as a scaffold bringing various proteins together, this activity may be mediated by some other ENL interaction partner. For the ENL homolog AF9, it has been shown that it tethers CBX8 to the histone acetyl-transferase TIP60, thus turning an inhibitor into an activator of transcription.⁸

The impact of MLL fusion proteins on gene expression patterns One of the unsolved questions is whether MLL fusion proteins use all three of these mechanisms (induction of H3K79 methylation by DOT1L, stimulation of elongation through P-TEFb, suppression of polycomb function) simultaneously to activate their target genes. Biochemical assays have shown that most of the underlying protein-protein interactions do not occur at the same time. For example, ENL and its homolog AF9 can interact either with DOT1L, AF4 or CBX8, but all of these interactions are mutually exclusive.⁴ This has been traced to a disordered interaction domain in ENL/ AF9 that adopts a structured conformation dependent on the specific binding partner, thus excluding other interactions.82 A potential solution for recruiting different effector molecules to the same molecular locus would be via dimerization or multimerization of individual MLL fusion molecules. Indeed, MLL fusions form large nuclear speckles that are visible by fluorescence microscopy and that must consist of more than a single molecule.⁸³ Consequently, MLL fusions contain two dimerization domains in the MLL portion (coinciding with the AT-hook and Cysteine-n-n-Cysteine zinc-finger motifs) that allow dimerization and likely also the formation of multimers.⁴⁰ Alternatively, various MLL fusion complexes of different composition may exist that are individually recruited to target genes depending on the respective necessities for transcriptional stimulation.

HOX genes as predominant targets of MLL fusion proteins

Regardless of the exact molecular mechanism of transcriptional activation, it has been confirmed in numerous studies that the clustered *HOX* homeobox genes and the genes for the HOX protein interaction partners MEIS1 and PBX3 are major targets of MLL fusion proteins.^{84–86} Interestingly, a recent report quantifying *HOX* expression in normal and malignant cells showed that the presence of MLL fusion proteins does not really lead to the overexpression of *HOX* genes. Rather, they freeze *HOX* expression at a level that is present in normal early hematopoietic stem and precursor cells.⁸⁷ *HOX* genes, mainly of the so-called *HOX*-A cluster in combination with *MEIS1* and *PBX3*, are the foremost

determinants of self-renewing potential in the hematopoietic stem and precursor cell population. Their peculiar arrangement in genomic clusters parallels their special mode of regulation that is highly dependent on DOT1L-mediated H3K79 methylation even in wild-type cells and in the absence of MLL fusion proteins.⁷⁶ Conspicuously, there are many parallels between the transactivation mechanisms perused by MLL fusions and by wt-MLL suggesting that uncontrolled wt-MLL expression may be oncogenic by itself. Indeed, transcription of *MLL*, *MLL2* (a close homolog of MLL) and *MOZ* (coding for a HAT-enzyme) genes are activated by gain-of-function mutations of p53 in various solid cancers.⁸⁸ As a consequence, H3K4 methylation and H4K16 acetylation is increased at several gene loci supporting the transformed phenotype.

It is not clear whether MLL fusion targets besides *HOX*, *MEIS* and *PBX* genes are absolutely required to achieve leukemic transformation. In contrast to wt-MLL that can be found basically at every active promoter, MLL fusions have a relatively small set of confirmed binding sites with about 130 to approximately 400 loci identified in various ChIP studies.^{89–91} A few of these 'non-HOX' MLL fusion targets have been tested in knockdown studies, and *CDK6*, *JMJD1C*, *MEF2C*, *EYA1* and the *MECOM* locus (from which *EVI-1* and *MDS1* transcripts emanate) have been implied in the etiology of MLL fusion-induced leukemia by these studies.^{92–98}

TARGETING THE BIOCHEMISTRY BEHIND MLL FUSION-INDUCED LEUKEMIA

Fusion proteins derived from recurrent chromosomal translocations have been the first examples of the potential success of a molecularly targeted therapy. The treatment of BCR-ABL-positive CML with Imatinib and related tyrosine kinase inhibitors as well as the medication of retinoic receptor alpha rearrangements in acute promyelocytic leukemia with all-trans retinoic acid and arsenic are substantial medical breakthroughs. It is therefore not surprising that numerous groups have investigated the possibilities to exploit the specific properties of MLL fusion proteins to treat this particularly aggressive leukemia (Figure 3).

The use of small molecule inhibitors aimed at the activity of DOT1L is most advanced in clinical development.⁹⁹ The unique structure of its catalytic domain enables the design of very specific

5219

pharmacons that block the methyltransferase activity of DOT1L with ID50s in the low nanomolar range.¹⁰⁰ No H3K79 demethylase exists in cells and tissues yet examined. Therefore, during incubation with DOT1L inhibitors, cell division leads to a slow dilution of this chromatin mark within several days. Despite the presence of H3K79 methylation on virtually all transcribed chromatin, blockage of DOT1L is surprisingly well tolerated in experimental animals with no obvious acute toxicity. This was unexpected because conditional knockout of DOT1L in adult mice is lethal within 8–12 weeks after deletion owing to hematopoietic failure.^{101–103} Together, this indicates that DOT1L function, like MLL, does not completely rely on its methyltransferase activity. Nevertheless, the small molecule DOT1L inhibition is sufficient to block the proliferation of MLL fusion-induced leukemia cells of murine and human origin. Beyond that, DOT1L inhibitors seem to be also effective in every leukemia that depends on sustained HOX expression for transformation like NUP98 or CALM translocation cases.^{76,104} This is mainly based on the exquisite and specific dependence of HOX transcription on H3K79 methylation.⁷⁶ A loss of DOT1L methyltransferase activity can be complemented by ectopic expression of HOXA9 and MEIS1 from non-DOT1Ldependent retroviral promoters. These promising properties have brought small molecule DOT1L inhibitors like EPZ5676 into phase I clinical studies. First data showed promising molecular efficacy in the majority of treated patients (reduction in H3K79 methylation) and some improvements in disease status.¹⁰⁵

The second most clinically advanced strategy to target MLL fusion proteins involves the inhibition of BRD4 by small molecules (JQ1, i-Bet) that bind competitively to the bromodomain of BRD4. This blocks the binding of BRD4 to acetylated chromatin and affects P-TEFb activity. Originally thought to be specific for MLL fusion leukemia, later it was shown that these molecules have a broader effect on malignant cells.^{106–111} Many genes with a role in cell proliferation with *MYC* as prime example seem to be regulated by special enhancers with a characteristic setup that have been controversially termed 'super-enhancers'.^{112,113} Besides its function in the SEC complex, BRD4 is involved in the formation and function of these regulatory units.¹¹⁴ Therefore, BRD4 inhibition affects *MYC* expression as a prerequisite for rapid cell proliferation in many cancer cells. At present, a clinical trial with the BRD4 inhibitor GSK525762 is recruiting for NUT midline carcinoma



Figure 3. Different approaches to target MLL fusion-induced leukemia. A schematic representation of intervention points that have been used to block MLL fusion-mediated transformation. The boxed strategies target processes not directly related to MLL fusion-associated functions. Compounds already in clinical trials are highlighted in red font.

(clinicaltrials.gov #NCT01587703) where *BRD4* itself is involved in a chromosomal translocation with the *NUT* gene. However, it is to be expected that trials in leukemia patients will start soon.

In a similar direction CDK9, the enzymatically active subunit of P-TEFb, can be targeted by various inhibitors. Flavopiridol (alvocidib) is the most well-known representative in this inhibitor class. Animal experiments did report the efficacy of CDK9 inhibition in experimentally induced MLL.¹¹⁵ Although flavopiridol is a widely researched substance, no trial has been set up targeting flavopiridol specifically at MLL. Several groups, however, report promising results for this substance if included in general treatment schedules for high risk leukemia including MLL-r cases. A small caveat is that many studies apply flavopiridol at doses where it acts as a general CDK inhibitor forsaking its moderate but existent specificity for CDK9.¹¹⁶

A variety of other approaches to disable specific functions of MLL fusion proteins have been explored either *in vitro* or in preclinical studies. Most of these target protein–protein interactions of the higher order MLL fusion complex. Most advanced are efforts to design small molecules that disrupt menin-MLL binding.^{117–120} These reverse MLL fusion-mediated transformation *in vitro* and are one of the first examples that protein–protein interactions can be successfully targeted by small molecules. In analogy, it has been shown that expression of small LEDGF peptides that inhibit LEDGF interaction with the composite Menin/MLL-binding surface is antagonistic to MLL fusion-induced transformation.^{121,122} Similarly, a small peptide interrupting the AF9/ENL-AF4 interaction showed anti-leukemic activity in MLL-rearranged cells.^{123,124}

MLL-recombined leukemia has been also found to be sensitive towards the inhibition of processes that are not directly associated with the biochemistry of the fusion proteins. For example, a block of polycomb repressor complex 2 inhibited MLL fusion leukemia cell growth, likely because of a derepression of aberrantly silenced tumor suppressor genes.¹²⁵ In addition, proteasome inhibitors showed some efficacy against mixed lineage leukemia.¹²⁶ Reducing the rapid turnover of MLL-AF4 increased the cellular concentration of this oncoprotein beyond a tolerable level and induced apoptosis in ALL with t(4;11) translocations. Finally, an interesting new approach targets wt-MLL because an intact copy of MLL is essential to maintain the transformed state of MLL fusion cells.¹²⁷ The interaction of the MLL-C portion with WDR5, the common subunit of all H3K4 methyltransferase complexes, can be abolished by small molecule mimetics, an approach that may also benefit leukemia with C/EBPa mutations.^{128,129}

OUTLOOK

On the basis of our increasingly complete knowledge of the MLL fusion-induced transformation mechanism, a variety of new compounds and principles have been developed that have at least the potential to stop MLL fusion-induced leukemogenesis. MLL fusions do use common pathways that are also utilized in normal transcription foreshadowing the potential for serious side effects. However, the surprising example of DOT1L inhibitors demonstrates that these are not predictable by biochemical knowledge alone. The hope now lies in the success of clinical trials testing these compounds in a real life setting. It is to expect that first results will be available soon to bring some light into the future of many patients suffering from MLL fusion induced leukemia.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to apologize to all colleagues whose work could not be cited because of space constraints. Research in the lab of the author is funded by: Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the Bavarian Ministry of Sciences, Research and the Arts in the framework of the Bavarian Molecular Biosystems Research Network and by the Emerging Fields Initiative of the FAU University Erlangen-Nürnberg (CYDER).

REFERENCES

- 1 Rowley JD. Chromosomal patterns in myelocytic leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 1973; **289**: 220–221.
- 2 Rowley JD. Letter: A new consistent chromosomal abnormality in chronic myelogenous leukaemia identified by quinacrine fluorescence and Giemsa staining. *Nature* 1973; **243**: 290–293.
- 3 Berger R, Bernheim A, Sigaux F, Daniel MT, Valensi F, Flandrin G. Acute monocytic leukemia chromosome studies. *Leuk Res* 1982; **6**: 17–26.
- 4 Vermaelen K, Barbieri D, Michaux JL, Tricot G, Casteels-Van Daele M, Noens L *et al.* Anomalies of the long arm of chromosome 11 in human myelo- and lymphoproliferative disorders. I. Acute nonlymphocytic leukemia. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 1983; **10**: 105–116.
- 5 Childs CC, Hirsch-Ginsberg C, Culbert SJ, Ahearn M, Reuben J, Trujillo JM et al. Lineage heterogeneity in acute leukemia with the t(4;11) abnormality: implications for acute mixed lineage leukemia. *Hematol Pathol* 1988; 2: 145–157.
- 6 Kaneko Y, Maseki N, Takasaki N, Sakurai M, Hayashi Y, Nakazawa S et al. Clinical and hematologic characteristics in acute leukemia with 11q23 translocations. Blood 1986; 67: 484–491.
- 7 Stark B, Umiel T, Mammon Z, Galili N, Dzaledetti M, Cohen IJ *et al.* Leukemia of early infancy. Early B-cell lineage associated with t(4:11). *Cancer* 1986; **58**: 1265–1271.
- 8 Chessells JM, Harrison CJ, Kempski H, Webb DK, Wheatley K, Hann IM *et al.* Clinical features, cytogenetics and outcome in acute lymphoblastic and myeloid leukaemia of infancy: report from the MRC Childhood Leukaemia working party. *Leukemia* 2002; **16**: 776–784.
- 9 Hilden JM, Dinndorf PA, Meerbaum SO, Sather H, Villaluna D, Heerema NA et al. Analysis of prognostic factors of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in infants: report on CCG 1953 from the Children's Oncology Group. Blood 2006; 108: 441–451.
- 10 Pieters R, Schrappe M, De Lorenzo P, Hann I, De Rossi G, Felice M *et al.* A treatment protocol for infants younger than 1 year with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia (Interfant-99): an observational study and a multicentre randomised trial. *Lancet* 2007; **370**: 240–250.
- 11 Tomizawa D, Koh K, Sato T, Kinukawa N, Morimoto A, Isoyama K *et al.* Outcome of risk-based therapy for infant acute lymphoblastic leukemia with or without an MLL gene rearrangement, with emphasis on late effects: a final report of two consecutive studies, MLL96 and MLL98, of the Japan Infant Leukemia Study Group. *Leukemia* 2007; **21**: 2258–2263.
- 12 van der Linden MH, Valsecchi MG, De Lorenzo P, Moricke A, Janka G, Leblanc TM et al. Outcome of congenital acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated on the Interfant-99 protocol. Blood 2009; **114**: 3764–3768.
- 13 Cowell IG, Sondka Z, Smith K, Lee KC, Manville CM, Sidorczuk-Lesthuruge M et al. Model for MLL translocations in therapy-related leukemia involving topoisomerase llbeta-mediated DNA strand breaks and gene proximity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; 109: 8989–8994.
- 14 Rowley JD, Olney HJ. International workshop on the relationship of prior therapy to balanced chromosome aberrations in therapy-related myelodysplastic syndromes and acute leukemia: overview report. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* 2002; 33: 331–345.
- 15 Brown P. Treatment of infant leukemias: challenge and promise. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2013; **2013**: 596–600.
- 16 Wang ES. Treating acute myeloid leukemia in older adults. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2014; **2014**: 14–20.
- 17 Beldjord K, Chevret S, Asnafi V, Huguet F, Boulland ML, Leguay T et al. Oncogenetics and minimal residual disease are independent outcome predictors in adult patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2014; **123**: 3739–3749.
- 18 Pigneux A, Labopin M, Maertens J, Cordonnier C, Volin L, Socie G et al. Outcome of allogeneic hematopoietic stem-cell transplantation for adult patients with AML and 11q23/MLL rearrangement (MLL-r AML). *Leukemia* 2015; 29: 2375–2381.
- 19 Pui CH, Carroll WL, Meshinchi S, Arceci RJ. Biology, risk stratification, and therapy of pediatric acute leukemias: an update. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; **29**: 551–565.
- 20 Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, Brunning RD, Borowitz MJ, Porwit A et al. The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: rationale and important changes. *Blood* 2009; **114**: 937–951.

- 22 Djabali M, Selleri L, Parry P, Bower M, Young BD, Evans GA. A trithorax-like gene is interrupted by chromosome 11q23 translocations in acute leukaemias. *Nat Genet* 1992; **2**: 113–118.
- 23 Gu Y, Nakamura T, Alder H, Prasad R, Canaani O, Cimino G *et al.* The t(4;11) chromosome translocation of human acute leukemias fuses the ALL-1 gene, related to Drosophila trithorax, to the AF-4 gene. *Cell* 1992; **71**: 701–708.
- 24 Tkachuk DC, Kohler S, Cleary ML. Involvement of a homolog of Drosophila trithorax by 11q23 chromosomal translocations in acute leukemias. *Cell* 1992; **71**: 691–700.
- 25 Ziemin-van der Poel S, McCabe NR, Gill HJ, Espinosa 3rd R, Patel Y, Harden A *et al.* Identification of a gene, MLL, that spans the breakpoint in 11q23 translocations associated with human leukemias. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1991; **88**: 10735–10739.
- 26 Steffen PA, Ringrose L. What are memories made of? How Polycomb and Trithorax proteins mediate epigenetic memory. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2014; **15**: 340–356.
- 27 Hess JL, Yu BD, Li B, Hanson R, Korsmeyer SJ. Defects in yolk sac hematopoiesis in MII-null embryos. *Blood* 1997; **90**: 1799–1806.
- 28 Yu BD, Hess JL, Horning SE, Brown GA, Korsmeyer SJ. Altered Hox expression and segmental identity in MII-mutant mice. *Nature* 1995; **378**: 505–508.
- 29 Hsieh JJ, Cheng EH, Korsmeyer SJ. Taspase1: a threonine aspartase required for cleavage of MLL and proper HOX gene expression. *Cell* 2003; **115**: 293–303.
- 30 Hsieh JJ, Ernst P, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Korsmeyer SJ. Proteolytic cleavage of MLL generates a complex of N- and C-terminal fragments that confers protein stability and subnuclear localization. *Mol Cell Biol* 2003; **23**: 186–194.
- 31 Yokoyama A, Kitabayashi I, Ayton PM, Cleary ML, Ohki M. Leukemia protooncoprotein MLL is proteolytically processed into 2 fragments with opposite transcriptional properties. *Blood* 2002; **100**: 3710–3718.
- 32 Rao RC, Dou Y. Hijacked in cancer: the KMT2 (MLL) family of methyltransferases. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2015; **15**: 334–346.
- 33 Caslini C, Yang Z, El-Osta M, Milne TA, Slany RK, Hess JL. Interaction of MLL amino terminal sequences with menin is required for transformation. *Cancer Res* 2007; 67: 7275–7283.
- 34 Milne TA, Hughes CM, Lloyd R, Yang Z, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Dou Y et al. Menin and MLL cooperatively regulate expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 749–754.
- 35 Yokoyama A, Wang Z, Wysocka J, Sanyal M, Aufiero DJ, Kitabayashi I et al. Leukemia proto-oncoprotein MLL forms a SET1-like histone methyltransferase complex with menin to regulate Hox gene expression. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004; 24: 5639–5649.
- 36 Yokoyama A, Somervaille TC, Smith KS, Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Meyerson M, Cleary ML. The menin tumor suppressor protein is an essential oncogenic cofactor for MLL-associated leukemogenesis. *Cell* 2005; **123**: 207–218.
- 37 Yokoyama A, Cleary ML. Menin critically links MLL proteins with LEDGF on cancer-associated target genes. *Cancer Cell* 2008; **14**: 36–46.
- 38 Llano M, Saenz DT, Meehan A, Wongthida P, Peretz M, Walker WH et al. An essential role for LEDGF/p75 in HIV integration. Science 2006; 314: 461–464.
- 39 Zeleznik-Le NJ, Harden AM, Rowley JD. 11q23 translocations split the "AT-hook" cruciform DNA-binding region and the transcriptional repression domain from the activation domain of the mixed-lineage leukemia (MLL) gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994; **91**: 10610–10614.
- 40 Maethner E, Garcia-Cuellar MP, Breitinger C, Takacova S, Divoky V, Hess JL et al. MLL-ENL inhibits polycomb repressive complex 1 to achieve efficient transformation of hematopoietic cells. Cell Rep 2013; 3: 1553–1566.
- 41 Birke M, Schreiner S, Garcia-Cuellar MP, Mahr K, Titgemeyer F, Slany RK. The MT domain of the proto-oncoprotein MLL binds to CpG-containing DNA and discriminates against methylation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002; **30**: 958–965.
- 42 Milne TA, Kim J, Wang GG, Stadler SC, Basrur V, Whitcomb SJ et al. Multiple interactions recruit MLL1 and MLL1 fusion proteins to the HOXA9 locus in leukemogenesis. *Mol Cell* 2010; **38**: 853–863.
- 43 Muntean AG, Tan J, Sitwala K, Huang Y, Bronstein J, Connelly JA et al. The PAF complex synergizes with MLL fusion proteins at HOX loci to promote leukemogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 2010; **17**: 609–621.
- 44 Slany RK, Lavau C, Cleary ML. The oncogenic capacity of HRX-ENL requires the transcriptional transactivation activity of ENL and the DNA binding motifs of HRX. *Mol Cell Biol* 1998; **18**: 122–129.
- 45 Okuda H, Kawaguchi M, Kanai A, Matsui H, Kawamura T, Inaba T et al. MLL fusion proteins link transcriptional coactivators to previously active CpG-rich promoters. Nucleic Acids Res 2014; 42: 4241–4256.

- 46 Chang PY, Hom RA, Musselman CA, Zhu L, Kuo A, Gozani O et al. Binding of the MLL PHD3 finger to histone H3K4me3 is required for MLL-dependent gene transcription. J Mol Biol 2010; 400: 137–144.
- 47 Chen J, Santillan DA, Koonce M, Wei W, Luo R, Thirman MJ et al. Loss of MLL PHD finger 3 is necessary for MLL-ENL-induced hematopoietic stem cell immortalization. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 6199–6207.
- 48 Muntean AG, Giannola D, Udager AM, Hess JL. The PHD fingers of MLL block MLL fusion protein-mediated transformation. *Blood* 2008; **112**: 4690–4693.
- 49 Anderson M, Fair K, Amero S, Nelson S, Harte PJ, Diaz MO. A new family of cyclophilins with an RNA recognition motif that interact with members of the trx/MLL protein family in Drosophila and human cells. *Dev Genes Evol* 2002; **212**: 107–113.
- 50 Fair K, Anderson M, Bulanova E, Mi H, Tropschug M, Diaz MO. Protein interactions of the MLL PHD fingers modulate MLL target gene regulation in human cells. *Mol Cell Biol* 2001; 21: 3589–3597.
- 51 Dou Y, Milne TA, Tackett AJ, Smith ER, Fukuda A, Wysocka J *et al.* Physical association and coordinate function of the H3 K4 methyltransferase MLL1 and the H4 K16 acetyltransferase MOF. *Cell* 2005; **121**: 873–885.
- 52 Ernst P, Wang J, Huang M, Goodman RH, Korsmeyer SJ. MLL and CREB bind cooperatively to the nuclear coactivator CREB-binding protein. *Mol Cell Biol* 2001; 21: 2249–2258.
- 53 Paggetti J, Largeot A, Aucagne R, Jacquel A, Lagrange B, Yang XJ et al. Crosstalk between leukemia-associated proteins MOZ and MLL regulates HOX gene expression in human cord blood CD34+ cells. Oncogene 2010; 29: 5019–5031.
- 54 Milne TA, Briggs SD, Brock HW, Martin ME, Gibbs D, Allis CD et al. MLL targets SET domain methyltransferase activity to Hox gene promoters. *Mol Cell* 2002; 10: 1107–1117.
- 55 Shilatifard A. The COMPASS family of histone H3K4 methylases: mechanisms of regulation in development and disease pathogenesis. *Annu Rev Biochem* 2012; 81: 65–95.
- 56 Ruthenburg AJ, Allis CD, Wysocka J. Methylation of lysine 4 on histone H3: intricacy of writing and reading a single epigenetic mark. *Mol Cell* 2007; 25: 15–30.
- 57 Wysocka J, Swigut T, Xiao H, Milne TA, Kwon SY, Landry J et al. A PHD finger of NURF couples histone H3 lysine 4 trimethylation with chromatin remodelling. *Nature* 2006; 442: 86–90.
- 58 Mishra BP, Zaffuto KM, Artinger EL, Org T, Mikkola HK, Cheng C *et al.* The histone methyltransferase activity of MLL1 is dispensable for hematopoiesis and leukemogenesis. *Cell Rep* 2014; **7**: 1239–1247.
- 59 Terranova R, Agherbi H, Boned A, Meresse S, Djabali M. Histone and DNA methylation defects at Hox genes in mice expressing a SET domain-truncated form of MII. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006; **103**: 6629–6634.
- 60 Itzen F, Greifenberg AK, Bosken CA, Geyer M. Brd4 activates P-TEFb for RNA polymerase II CTD phosphorylation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2014; 42: 7577–7590.
- 61 Denissov S, Hofemeister H, Marks H, Kranz A, Ciotta G, Singh S et al. Mll2 is required for H3K4 trimethylation on bivalent promoters in embryonic stem cells, whereas Mll1 is redundant. *Development* 2014; **141**: 526–537.
- 62 Meyer C, Hofmann J, Burmeister T, Groger D, Park TS, Emerenciano M *et al.* The MLL recombinome of acute leukemias in 2013. *Leukemia* 2013; **27**: 2165–2176.
- 63 Lin C, Smith ER, Takahashi H, Lai KC, Martin-Brown S, Florens L et al. AFF4, a component of the ELL/P-TEFb elongation complex and a shared subunit of MLL chimeras, can link transcription elongation to leukemia. *Mol Cell* 2010; 37: 429–437.
- 64 Mohan M, Herz HM, Takahashi YH, Lin C, Lai KC, Zhang Y *et al.* Linking H3K79 trimethylation to Wnt signaling through a novel Dot1-containing complex (DotCom). *Genes Dev* 2010; **24**: 574–589.
- 65 Mueller D, Bach C, Zeisig D, Garcia-Cuellar MP, Monroe S, Sreekumar A *et al.* A role for the MLL fusion partner ENL in transcriptional elongation and chromatin modification. *Blood* 2007; **110**: 4445–4454.
- 66 Mueller D, Garcia-Cuellar MP, Bach C, Buhl S, Maethner E, Slany RK. Misguided transcriptional elongation causes mixed lineage leukemia. *PLoS Biol* 2009; 7: e1000249.
- 67 Yokoyama A, Lin M, Naresh A, Kitabayashi I, Cleary ML. A higher-order complex containing AF4 and ENL family proteins with P-TEFb facilitates oncogenic and physiologic MLL-dependent transcription. *Cancer Cell* 2010; 17: 198–212.
- 68 Shilatifard A, Lane WS, Jackson KW, Conaway RC, Conaway JW. An RNA polymerase II elongation factor encoded by the human ELL gene. *Science* 1996; 271: 1873–1876.
- 69 Jonkers I, Lis JT. Getting up to speed with transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2015; **16**: 167–177.
- 70 Eskeland R, Leeb M, Grimes GR, Kress C, Boyle S, Sproul D et al. Ring1B compacts chromatin structure and represses gene expression independent of histone ubiquitination. Mol Cell 2010; 38: 452–464.

- 5222
- 71 McLean CM, Karemaker ID, van Leeuwen F. The emerging roles of DOT1L in leukemia and normal development. *Leukemia* 2014; 28: 2131–2138.
- 72 Chen CW, Koche RP, Sinha AU, Deshpande AJ, Zhu N, Eng R *et al.* DOT1L inhibits SIRT1-mediated epigenetic silencing to maintain leukemic gene expression in MLL-rearranged leukemia. *Nat Med* 2015; **21**: 335–343.
- 73 Monroe SC, Jo SY, Sanders DS, Basrur V, Elenitoba-Johnson KS, Slany RK *et al.* MLL-AF9 and MLL-ENL alter the dynamic association of transcriptional regulators with genes critical for leukemia. *Exp Hematol* 2011; **39**: e71–e75.
- 74 Zeisig DT, Bittner CB, Zeisig BB, Garcia-Cuellar MP, Hess JL, Slany RK. The elevennineteen-leukemia protein ENL connects nuclear MLL fusion partners with chromatin. Oncogene 2005; 24: 5525–5532.
- 75 Okada Y, Feng Q, Lin Y, Jiang Q, Li Y, Coffield VM *et al.* hDOT1L links histone methylation to leukemogenesis. *Cell* 2005; **121**: 167–178.
- 76 Deshpande AJ, Deshpande A, Sinha AU, Chen L, Chang J, Cihan A *et al.* AF10 regulates progressive H3K79 methylation and HOX gene expression in diverse AML subtypes. *Cancer Cell* 2014; 26: 896–908.
- 77 Wang E, Kawaoka S, Yu M, Shi J, Ni T, Yang W et al. Histone H2B ubiquitin ligase RNF20 is required for MLL-rearranged leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013; 110: 3901–3906.
- 78 Smith E, Lin C, Shilatifard A. The super elongation complex (SEC) and MLL in development and disease. *Genes Dev* 2011; **25**: 661–672.
- 79 Garcia-Cuellar MP, Zilles O, Schreiner SA, Birke M, Winkler TH, Slany RK. The ENL moiety of the childhood leukemia-associated MLL-ENL oncoprotein recruits human Polycomb 3. Oncogene 2001; 20: 411–419.
- 80 Di Croce L, Helin K. Transcriptional regulation by Polycomb group proteins. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2013; 20: 1147–1155.
- 81 Tan J, Jones M, Koseki H, Nakayama M, Muntean AG, Maillard I et al. CBX8, a polycomb group protein, is essential for MLL-AF9-induced leukemogenesis. *Cancer Cell* 2011; 20: 563–575.
- 82 Leach BI, Kuntimaddi A, Schmidt CR, Cierpicki T, Johnson SA, Bushweller JH. Leukemia fusion target AF9 is an intrinsically disordered transcriptional regulator that recruits multiple partners via coupled folding and binding. *Structure* 2013; 21: 176–183.
- 83 Butler LH, Slany R, Cui X, Cleary ML, Mason DY. The HRX proto-oncogene product is widely expressed in human tissues and localizes to nuclear structures. *Blood* 1997; 89: 3361–3370.
- 84 Garcia-Cuellar MP, Steger J, Fuller E, Hetzner K, Slany RK. Pbx3 and Meis1 cooperate through multiple mechanisms to support Hox-induced murine leukemia. *Haematologica* 2015; **100**: 905–913.
- 85 Li Z, Zhang Z, Li Y, Arnovitz S, Chen P, Huang H et al. PBX3 is an important cofactor of HOXA9 in leukemogenesis. Blood 2013; **121**: 1422–1431.
- 86 Zeisig BB, Milne T, Garcia-Cuellar MP, Schreiner S, Martin ME, Fuchs U et al. Hoxa9 and Meis1 are key targets for MLL-ENL-mediated cellular immortalization. *Mol Cell Biol* 2004; 24: 617–628.
- 87 Spencer DH, Young MA, Lamprecht TL, Helton NM, Fulton R, O'Laughlin M et al. Epigenomic analysis of the HOX gene loci reveals mechanisms that may control canonical expression patterns in AML and normal hematopoietic cells. *Leukemia* 2015; 29: 1279–1289.
- 88 Zhu J, Sammons MA, Donahue G, Dou Z, Vedadi M, Getlik M et al. Gain-of-function p53 mutants co-opt chromatin pathways to drive cancer growth. Nature 2015; 525: 206–211.
- 89 Bernt KM, Zhu N, Sinha AU, Vempati S, Faber J, Krivtsov AV et al. MLL-rearranged leukemia is dependent on aberrant H3K79 methylation by DOT1L. Cancer Cell 2011; 20: 66–78.
- 90 Guenther MG, Lawton LN, Rozovskaia T, Frampton GM, Levine SS, Volkert TL *et al.* Aberrant chromatin at genes encoding stem cell regulators in human mixed-lineage leukemia. *Genes Dev* 2008; **22**: 3403–3408.
- 91 Wilkinson AC, Ballabio E, Geng H, North P, Tapia M, Kerry J *et al.* RUNX1 is a key target in t(4;11) leukemias that contributes to gene activation through an AF4-MLL complex interaction. *Cell Rep* 2013; **3**: 116–127.
- 92 Arai S, Yoshimi A, Shimabe M, Ichikawa M, Nakagawa M, Imai Y et al. Evi-1 is a transcriptional target of mixed-lineage leukemia oncoproteins in hematopoietic stem cells. Blood 2011; 117: 6304–6314.
- 93 Placke T, Faber K, Nonami A, Putwain SL, Salih HR, Heidel FH *et al.* Requirement for CDK6 in MLL-rearranged acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* 2014; **124**: 13–23.
- 94 Schwieger M, Schuler A, Forster M, Engelmann A, Arnold MA, Delwel R *et al.* Homing and invasiveness of MLL/ENL leukemic cells is regulated by MEF2C. *Blood* 2009; **114**: 2476–2488.
- 95 Sroczynska P, Cruickshank VA, Bukowski JP, Miyagi S, Bagger FO, Walfridsson J et al. shRNA screening identifies JMJD1C as being required for leukemia maintenance. Blood 2014; **123**: 1870–1882.
- 96 van der Linden MH, Willekes M, van Roon E, Seslija L, Schneider P, Pieters R *et al.* MLL fusion-driven activation of CDK6 potentiates proliferation in MLLrearranged infant ALL. *Cell Cycle* 2014; **13**: 834–844.

- 97 Wang QF, Wu G, Mi S, He F, Wu J, Dong J et al. MLL fusion proteins preferentially regulate a subset of wild-type MLL target genes in the leukemic genome. Blood 2011; **117**: 6895–6905.
- 98 Zhang Y, Owens K, Hatem L, Glass CH, Karuppaiah K, Camargo F et al. Essential role of PR-domain protein MDS1-EVI1 in MLL-AF9 leukemia. Blood 2013; 122: 2888–2892.
- 99 Chen CW, Armstrong SA. Targeting DOT1L and HOX gene expression in MLLrearranged leukemia and beyond. *Exp Hematol* 2015; **43**: 673–684.
- 100 Daigle SR, Olhava EJ, Therkelsen CA, Basavapathruni A, Jin L, Boriack-Sjodin PA et al. Potent inhibition of DOT1L as treatment of MLL-fusion leukemia. Blood 2013; **122**: 1017–1025.
- 101 Feng Y, Yang Y, Ortega MM, Copeland JN, Zhang M, Jacob JB et al. Early mammalian erythropoiesis requires the Dot1L methyltransferase. Blood 2010; 116: 4483–4491.
- 102 Jo SY, Granowicz EM, Maillard I, Thomas D, Hess JL. Requirement for Dot11 in murine postnatal hematopoiesis and leukemogenesis by MLL translocation. *Blood* 2011; **117**: 4759–4768.
- 103 Nguyen AT, He J, Taranova O, Zhang Y. Essential role of DOT1L in maintaining normal adult hematopoiesis. *Cell Res* 2011; **21**: 1370–1373.
- 104 Chen L, Deshpande AJ, Banka D, Bernt KM, Dias S, Buske C et al. Abrogation of MLL-AF10 and CALM-AF10-mediated transformation through genetic inactivation or pharmacological inhibition of the H3K79 methyltransferase Dot11. *Leukemia* 2013; 27: 813–822.
- 105 Stein EM, Tallman MS. Mixed lineage rearranged leukaemia: pathogenesis and targeting DOT1L. *Curr Opin Hematol* 2015; **22**: 92–96.
- 106 Dawson MA, Gudgin EJ, Horton SJ, Giotopoulos G, Meduri E, Robson S et al. Recurrent mutations, including NPM1c, activate a BRD4-dependent core transcriptional program in acute myeloid leukemia. *Leukemia* 2014; 28: 311–320.
- 107 Herrmann H, Blatt K, Shi J, Gleixner KV, Cerny-Reiterer S, Mullauer L et al. Smallmolecule inhibition of BRD4 as a new potent approach to eliminate leukemic stem- and progenitor cells in acute myeloid leukemia AML. Oncotarget 2012; 3: 1588–1599.
- 108 Ott CJ, Kopp N, Bird L, Paranal RM, Qi J, Bowman T *et al.* BET bromodomain inhibition targets both c-Myc and IL7R in high-risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* 2012; **120**: 2843–2852.
- 109 Roderick JE, Tesell J, Shultz LD, Brehm MA, Greiner DL, Harris MH et al. c-Myc inhibition prevents leukemia initiation in mice and impairs the growth of relapsed and induction failure pediatric T-ALL cells. *Blood* 2014; **123**: 1040–1050.
- 110 Segura MF, Fontanals-Cirera B, Gaziel-Sovran A, Guijarro MV, Hanniford D, Zhang G et al. BRD4 sustains melanoma proliferation and represents a new target for epigenetic therapy. Cancer Res 2013; 73: 6264–6276.
- 111 Zuber J, Shi J, Wang E, Rappaport AR, Herrmann H, Sison EA et al. RNAi screen identifies Brd4 as a therapeutic target in acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nature* 2011; 478: 524–528.
- 112 Pott S, Lieb JD. What are super-enhancers? Nat Genet 2015; 47: 8-12.
- 113 Whyte WA, Orlando DA, Hnisz D, Abraham BJ, Lin CY, Kagey MH et al. Master transcription factors and mediator establish super-enhancers at key cell identity genes. Cell 2013; 153: 307–319.
- 114 Kanno T, Kanno Y, LeRoy G, Campos E, Sun HW, Brooks SR et al. BRD4 assists elongation of both coding and enhancer RNAs by interacting with acetylated histones. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2014; 21: 1047–1057.
- 115 Garcia-Cuellar MP, Fuller E, Mathner E, Breitinger C, Hetzner K, Zeitlmann L *et al.* Efficacy of cyclin-dependent-kinase 9 inhibitors in a murine model of mixedlineage leukemia. *Leukemia* 2014; **28**: 1427–1435.
- 116 Blachly JS, Byrd JC. Emerging drug profile: cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2013; **54**: 2133–2143.
- 117 Borkin D, He S, Miao H, Kempinska K, Pollock J, Chase J et al. Pharmacologic inhibition of the Menin-MLL interaction blocks progression of MLL leukemia in vivo. Cancer Cell 2015; 27: 589–602.
- 118 Grembecka J, He S, Shi A, Purohit T, Muntean AG, Sorenson RJ *et al.* Menin-MLL inhibitors reverse oncogenic activity of MLL fusion proteins in leukemia. *Nat Chem Biol* 2012; **8**: 277–284.
- 119 He S, Senter TJ, Pollock J, Han C, Upadhyay SK, Purohit T et al. High-affinity smallmolecule inhibitors of the menin-mixed lineage leukemia (MLL) interaction closely mimic a natural protein-protein interaction. J Med Chem 2014; 57: 1543–1556.
- 120 Shi A, Murai MJ, He S, Lund G, Hartley T, Purohit T *et al.* Structural insights into inhibition of the bivalent menin-MLL interaction by small molecules in leukemia. *Blood* 2012; **120**: 4461–4469.
- 121 Mereau H, De Rijck J, Cermakova K, Kutz A, Juge S, Demeulemeester J *et al.* Impairing MLL-fusion gene-mediated transformation by dissecting critical interactions with the lens epithelium-derived growth factor (LEDGF/p75). *Leukemia* 2013; **27**: 1245–1253.

- 123 Barretto NN, Karahalios DS, You D, Hemenway CS. An AF9/ENL-targted peptide with therapeutic potential in mixed lineage leukemias. J Exp Ther Oncol 2014; 10: 293–300.
- 124 Srinivasan RS, Nesbit JB, Marrero L, Erfurth F, LaRussa VF, Hemenway CS. The synthetic peptide PFWT disrupts AF4-AF9 protein complexes and induces apoptosis in t(4;11) leukemia cells. *Leukemia* 2004; **18**: 1364–1372.
- 125 Xu B, On DM, Ma A, Parton T, Konze KD, Pattenden SG et al. Selective inhibition of EZH2 and EZH1 enzymatic activity by a small molecule suppresses MLLrearranged leukemia. Blood 2015; 125: 346–357.
- 126 Liu H, Westergard TD, Cashen A, Piwnica-Worms DR, Kunkle L, Vij R et al. Proteasome inhibitors evoke latent tumor suppression programs in pro-B MLL leukemias through MLL-AF4. Cancer Cell 2014; 25: 530–542.
- 127 Thiel AT, Blessington P, Zou T, Feather D, Wu X, Yan J *et al*. MLL-AF9-induced leukemogenesis requires coexpression of the wild-type MII allele. *Cancer Cell* 2010; **17**: 148–159.
- 128 Cao F, Townsend EC, Karatas H, Xu J, Li L, Lee S *et al.* Targeting MLL1 H3K4 methyltransferase activity in mixed-lineage leukemia. *Mol Cell* 2014; **53**: 247–261.
- 129 Grebien F, Vedadi M, Getlik M, Giambruno R, Grover A, Avellino R et al. Pharmacological targeting of the Wdr5-MLL interaction in C/EBPalpha N-terminal leukemia. Nat Chem Biol 2015; 11: 571–578.