

Editorial Manager(tm) for Journal of Energy Engineering
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number:

Title: Discussion of "Sustainable Electric Power System: Is It Possible? Case Study: Croatia" by Zvonimir Glasnović and Jure Margeta December 2010, Vol. 136, No. 4, pp. 103-113 DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)EY.1943-7897.0000027

Article Type: Discussion

Corresponding Author: Dejan Brkic, Ph.D. in Petroleum and Natural Gas Engineering

Corresponding Author's Institution: -

First Author: Dejan Brkic, Ph.D. in Petroleum and Natural Gas Engineering

Order of Authors: Dejan Brkic, Ph.D. in Petroleum and Natural Gas Engineering

Dear editor,

My manuscript is Discussion of “Sustainable Electric Power System: Is It Possible? Case Study: Croatia” by Zvonimir Glasnović and Jure Margeta

December 2010, Vol. 136, No. 4, pp. 103-113

DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)EY.1943-7897.0000027

It contains 1992 words in total (including title, main text and references).

Sincerely,

Dejan Brkić, Ph.D.

1
2
3
4 **Discussion of “Sustainable Electric Power System: Is It Possible? Case Study: Croatia” by**
5
6 **Zvonimir Glasnović and Jure Margeta**
7

8
9 December 2010, Vol. 136, No. 4, pp. 103-113
10

11 DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)EY.1943-7897.0000027
12

13
14 Dejan Brkić, Ph.D., Petroleum and Natural Gas Engineer, Strumička 88, 11050 Belgrade, Serbia,
15

16 e-mail: dejanrgf@tesla.rcub.bg.ac.rs
17
18
19
20

21 The discussor would like to express his appreciation to the authors Glasnović and Margeta
22
23 (2010) for presenting a possible way to establish sustainable electric energy system in Croatia.
24

25
26 The discussor, however, would like to add few points and some literature references that might
27
28 be of interest for readers.
29

30
31
32
33 Of the total energy consumed in Croatia, approximately 47% originates from petroleum and
34
35 petroleum derivatives, 22% from gas, 13% from hydro-energy, 7.5% from coal, 5.5% from
36
37 nuclear energy, while other sources account for 5% (Feretić et al., 1999). One third of the total
38
39 energy consumed is transformed into electrical energy. Hydroelectric power plants cover
40
41 approximately 28.3% of the electricity production (after Božičević Vrhovčak et al., (2006)
42
43 between 40% and 60% which depending on the hydrological conditions), followed by thermal
44
45 power plants with approximately 21.4% (from coal 7.7%, oil 5.5% and natural gas 8.2%) and
46
47 nuclear power plants with approximately 10.4% (Saner et al., 2010). As seen in Božičević
48
49 Vrhovčak et al. (2006), total installed capacity in Croatian electric power system is 4GW (52%
50
51 in hydro, 29% oil, 8% in nuclear, 8% in coal and 3% in gas). Electricity consumption is about 15
52
53 TWh (Schneider et al., 2007). Croatia has a strong but not very efficient co-generation sector,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 delivering 12% of the final energy (Lipošćak et al. 2006, Lončar et al. 2009, Uran and Krajčar
5
6 2009). As in all transition countries, electricity consumption in Croatia sharply declined after
7
8 1991. The reason for this in Croatia was not only the breakdown of the former communist
9
10 regime but also civil war in former Yugoslavia that lasted from 1991 to 1995. For a decade now,
11
12 Croatia has been experiencing increased electricity consumption, estimated to be growing by
13
14 approximately 500GWh annually (Schneider et al., 2007). The net electricity import is 31%-40%
15
16 of the total consumption (Schneider et al. 2007, Saner et al. 2010). About 0.421kg/kWh of CO₂ is
17
18 released in atmosphere by electricity production in Croatia, where this value for continental
19
20 Europe is estimated to 0.599kg/kWh of CO₂ (Saner et al., 2010). Compared to the energy
21
22 consumption collapse in some transitional countries, Croatia has passed through a relatively
23
24 short-term reduction of GHG emissions since 1990 because of higher energy efficiency of its
25
26 pre-transition economy (Duić et al., 2005). The external costs caused by human health impacts of
27
28 power plants in Croatia are comparable to those attached to the EU power plants (Božičević
29
30 Vrhovčak et al., 2005).

31
32
33 All regions in Croatia are not equally suitable for certain renewable energy source. Significant
34
35 differences exist between northern region (with part of Pannonian plain) and southern Adriatic
36
37 region. Total coastal length of Croatia, including over 800 islands and islets, equals 5790 km.
38
39 Balancing tool for islands that simulates the integration of renewable energy into energy-systems
40
41 H₂RES was developed in 2000 by the Instituto Superior Técnico in Lisbon, Portugal and the
42
43 Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture in Zagreb, Croatia (Duić et al. 2008,
44
45 Krajačić et al. 2009). H₂RES balances the hourly time series of water, electricity, heat, and
46
47 hydrogen demand, as well as appropriate storages and supply over any user-defined period. The
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 tool has been specifically designed to increase the integration of renewable sources and
5
6 hydrogen, into island energy-systems which operate as stand-alone systems. It can serve as a
7
8 planning tool for single wind, hydro, or solar power systems, as well as for planning larger
9
10 energy-systems.
11
12
13
14
15

16 The current share of renewable energy sources in electricity production in Croatia is high, around
17
18 50% (Božičević Vrhovčak et al., 2006). The potential of renewable energy sources for electricity
19
20 generation still has to be carefully analyzed. The estimated potential varies between 3.5TWh in a
21
22 moderate projection to 6TWh per year in the most optimistic perspective (Božičević Vrhovčak et
23
24 al., 2006). In the year 2000, total primary energy consumed was about 360PJ (Karasalihović et
25
26 al., 2003), out of which 75PJ was produced from renewable sources (Božičević Vrhovčak et al.,
27
28 2006). In 1997, the Croatian government started five national energy programs dealing with
29
30 renewable energy sources: BIOEN (biomass and waste), SUNEN (solar energy), ENWIND
31
32 (wind energy), MAHE (small hydro) and GEOEN (geothermal energy). Within the national
33
34 energy program BIOEN, it has been demonstrated that by 2030 at least 15% of the total energy
35
36 consumed could be obtained from biomass (Schneider et al., 2007). More than 40% of Croatian
37
38 territory is covered by forests, which means that the potential for biomass use is significant
39
40 (Ćosić et al., 2011). Small hydro power plants (<10MW) can provide 2.37GWh annually of
41
42 electrical energy in Croatia (Schneider et al., 2007). The use of wind energy in Croatia is
43
44 currently very low, but future wind generators at the best sites in Croatia are close to becoming
45
46 marginally competitive with fossil-fuel technologies (Feretić et al. 1999, Feretić and Tomšić
47
48 2005). On the Adriatic basin, in some area along the coast, a wind power of over 300W/m² and
49
50 more than 2500kWh/m² per year may be gained with potential estimated at 200-400MW
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 installed capacity and about 800GWh yearly production (Poje and Cividini 1988, Urli and
5
6 Kamenski 1998, Schneider et al. 2007). Solar potentials in Croatia with 2300-2800 sunshine
7
8 hours per year in particular Adriatic islands can be estimated up to 5.5GJ/m² per annum with
9
10 peak at Dubrovnik area (Desnica et al. 1988, Sinjeri and Kulišić 1994, Urli and Kamenski 1998,
11
12 Franković et al. 1999, Hrastnik and Franković 2001). The potential of already discovered
13
14 geothermal fields is 839MW of thermal energy and 30-47.9MW of electric energy (Schneider et
15
16 al. 2007, Guzović et al. 2010). The results of the computational study by Davis et al. (2009)
17
18 showed that abandoned oil wells (also exist in Croatia) have the potential to produce a significant
19
20 amount of power when they are modified to become double-pipe heat exchangers. The
21
22 calculations Davis et al. (2009) show that the net power produced from such a well may exceed
23
24 3MW for a bottom-hole temperature of 450K and an injection pressure of 30x10⁵Pa. This
25
26 amount of power is not intermittent as with other renewable energy sources, and it may be
27
28 available for peak demand as well as basic demand. The power produced varies and depends
29
30 significantly on the down-hole temperature, the injection pressure, the injection velocity, and the
31
32 geometric characteristics of the pipe (inner and outer radius and insulation thickness).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 Electricity for hot water production with share of 43% represents significant share of the total
44
45 consumption in Croatia (Atanasiu and Bertoldi, 2008). Electricity is used also for heating and
46
47 this amount is significant in the residential consumption. Electricity for heating can be substitute
48
49 with natural gas (Brkić and Tanasković, 2008). This can be done especially in northern part of
50
51 Croatia, where natural gas supply system has been sufficiently developed. Natural gas can be
52
53 significant if Croatia became transient country for natural gas from Russia to market of EU
54
55
56
57 (Brkić, 2009). Natural gas can be also implemented at the Adriatic coast and islands (Vujčić et
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 al. 2000, Karasalihović et al. 2003, Dondi 2010). Energy efficiency is also very important for
5
6 control of electric power consumption. Gas domestic boilers and electrical appliances with
7
8 higher class of efficiency should be used (Brkić and Tanasković, 2008). Of course, district
9
10 heating from remote plant in towns fired by gas can be also implemented. Share of sales of A
11
12 class appliances, including domestic gas boilers in Croatia is 26.6% (Atanasiu and Bertoldi,
13
14 class appliances, including domestic gas boilers in Croatia is 26.6% (Atanasiu and Bertoldi,
15
16 2008). The sales of the main domestic appliances in Croatia have a still higher share of lower
17
18 energy classes. In Croatia was registered the lowest share of A class washing machines with
19
20 17.6% sold on the market (and only 0.8 of A+ class) comparing with the average share of 71.2%
21
22 A and 8.4% A+ class from the EU markets (Atanasiu and Bertoldi, 2008). Building materials
23
24 (e.g. windows) have become cheaper over the last decades in spite of significantly increasing
25
26 energetic quality. Now, costs for building materials are 12% lower in Croatia compared with
27
28 Germany.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **References:**

- 37
38 Atanasiu, B., and Bertoldi, P. (2008). "Residential electricity consumption in New Member
39
40 States and Candidate Countries." *Energ. Buildings*, 40(2), 112–125.
41
42
43 Božičević Vrhovčak, M., Tomšić Ž., and Debrečin N. (2005). "External costs of electricity
44
45 production: case study Croatia." *Energ. Policy*, 33(11), 1385–1395.
46
47
48 Božičević Vrhovčak, M., Tomšić Ž., and Debrečin N. (2006). "Potential and use of renewable
49
50 energy sources in Croatia." *Renew. Energ.*, 31(12), 1867–1872.
51
52
53 Brkić, D., and Tanasković, T.I. (2008). "Systematic approach to natural gas usage for domestic
54
55 heating in urban areas." *Energy*, 33(12), 1738-1753.
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Brkić, D. (2009). "Serbian gas sector in the spotlight of oil and gas agreement with Russia."
5
6 *Energ. Policy*, 37(5), 1925-1938.
7

8
9 Ćosić, B., Stanić, Z., and Duić, N. (2011). "Geographic distribution of economic potential of
10 agricultural and forest biomass residual for energy use: Case study Croatia." *Energy* 36(xx), in
11 press, doi:10.1016/j.energy.2010.10.009
12
13
14

15
16 Davis, A.P., and Michaelides, E.E. (2009). "Geothermal power production from abandoned oil
17 wells." *Energy*, 34(7), 866–872.
18
19

20
21 Desnica, U.V., Urli, N.B., and Pivac, B. (1983). "Climatic predispositions of Yugoslavia for
22 solar energy application." *Sol. Energ.*, 30(5), 401-412.
23
24

25
26 Dondi, G. (2010). "Croatia methane pipeline project requires difficult canal crossing." *Pipeline*
27 *Gas J.*, 237(6), 59.
28
29

30
31 Duić, N., Juretić, F., Zeljko, M., and Bogdan, Ž. (2005). "Croatia energy planning and Kyoto
32 Protocol." *Energ. Policy*, 33(8), 1003–1010.
33
34

35
36 Duić, N., Krajačić, G., and da Graca Carvalho, M. (2008). "RenewIslands methodology for
37 sustainable energy and resource planning for islands." *Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev.*, 12(4), 1032–
38 1062.
39
40
41

42
43 Feretić, D., Tomšić, Ž., and Čavlina, N. (1999). "Feasibility analysis of wind-energy utilization
44 in Croatia." *Energy*, 24(3), 239–246.
45
46

47
48 Feretić, D., and Tomšić, Ž. (2005). "Probabilistic analysis of electrical energy costs comparing:
49 production costs for gas, coal and nuclear power plants." *Energ. Policy*, 33(1), 5–13.
50
51

52
53 Franković, B., Hrastnik, B., and Claudia, J. (1999). "Thermal utilisation of solar energy: Croatian
54 national energy program SUNEN, 1998-2010." *Renew. Energ.*, 16(1-4), 656-659.
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Glasnović, Z., and Margeta, J. (2010). "Sustainable electric power system: Is it possible? Case
5 study: Croatia." *J. Energ. Eng. ASCE*, 136(4), 103-113.
6

7
8
9 Guzović, Z., Lončar, D., and Ferdelji, N. (2010). "Possibilities of electricity generation in the
10 Republic of Croatia by means of geothermal energy." *Energy*, 35(8), 3429-3440.
11

12
13
14 Hrastnik, B., and Franković, B. (2001). "Solar energy demonstration zones in the Dalmatian
15 region." *Renew. Energ.*, 24(3-4), 501-515.
16

17
18
19 Karasalihović, D., Maurović, L., and Šunjerga, S. (2003). "Natural gas in Croatia's energy-
20 future" *Appl. Energ.*, 75(1-2), 9-22.
21

22
23
24 Krajačić, G., Duić, N., and da Graca Carvalho, Maria. (2009). "H₂RES, Energy planning tool for
25 island energy systems-The case of the Island of Mljet." *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.*, 34(16), 7015-
26 7026.
27

28
29
30 Lipošćak, M., Afgan, N.H., Duić, N., and da Graca Carvalho, M. (2006). "Sustainability
31 assessment of cogeneration sector development in Croatia." *Energy*, 31(13), 2276-2284.
32

33
34
35 Lončar, D., Duić, N., and Bogdan, Ž. (2009). "An analysis of the legal and market framework for
36 the cogeneration sector in Croatia." *Energy*, 34(2), 134-143.
37

38
39
40 Poje, D., and Cividini, B. (1988). "Assessment of wind energy potential in Croatia." *Sol. Energ.*,
41 41(6), 543-554.
42

43
44
45 Saner, D., Juraske, R., Kübert, M., Blum, P., Hellweg, S., and Bayer, Peter. (2010). "Is it only
46 CO₂ that matters? A life cycle perspective on shallow geothermal systems." *Renew. Sust. Energ.*
47 *Rev.*, 14(7), 1798-1813.
48

49
50
51 Schneider, D.R., Duić, N., and Bogdan, Ž. (2007). "Mapping the potential for decentralized
52 energy generation based on renewable energy sources in the Republic of Croatia." *Energy*, 32(9),
53 1731-1744.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 Sinjeri, Lj. and Kulišić, P. (1994). "Solar radiation on variously oriented collectors in Croatia."
5
6 *Renew. Energ.*, 4(2), 235–240.
7

8
9 Uran, V., and Krajčar, S. (2009). "Feed-in tariff and market electricity price comparison: The
10
11 case of cogeneration units in Croatia." *Energ. Policy*, 37(3), 844–849.
12

13
14 Urli, N.B. and Kamenski, M. (1998). "Hybrid photovoltaic/wind grid-connected power plants in
15
16 Croatian renewable energy program." *Renew. Energ.*, 15(1-4), 594-597.
17

18
19 Vujčić, R., Matejčić, F., Josipović, Ž. (2000). "The status and prospects for the gasification in the
20
21 County of Split and Dalmatia." *Renew. Energ.*, 19(1-2), 193-201.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65



COPYRIGHT TRANSFER AGREEMENT

Manuscript Number: _____

Type: DISCUSSION

Publication Title: DISCUSSION OF "SUSTAINABLE ELECTRIC POWER SYSTEM: IS IT POSSIBLE? CASE STUDY: CROATIA" BY ZVONIMIR GLASNOVIC AND JURO MARCETA

Manuscript Authors: DEJAN BRKIC

Corresponding Author Name and Address: DEJAN BRKIC, STRUMIČKA 88, 11050 BELGRADE SERBIA

This form *must* be returned *with* your final manuscript to: American Society of Civil Engineers, Journals Production Services Dept., 1801 Alexander Bell Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4400.

The author(s) warrant(s) that the above cited manuscript is the original work of the author(s) and has never been published in its present form.

The undersigned, with the consent of all authors, hereby transfers, to the extent that there is copyright to be transferred, the exclusive copyright interest in the above-cited manuscript (subsequently called the "work"), in this and all subsequent editions of this work, and in derivatives, translations, or ancillaries, in English and in foreign translations, in all formats and media of expression now known or later developed, including electronic, to the American Society of Civil Engineers subject to the following.

- The undersigned author and all coauthors retain the right to revise, adapt, prepare derivative works, present orally, or distribute the work provided that all such use is for the personal noncommercial benefit of the author(s) and is consistent with any prior contractual agreement between the undersigned and/or coauthors and their employer(s).
- In all instances where the work is prepared as a "work made for hire" for an employer, the employer(s) of the author(s) retain(s) the right to revise, adapt, prepare derivative works, publish, reprint, reproduce, and distribute the work provided that such use is for the promotion of its business enterprise and does not imply the endorsement of ASCE.
- No proprietary right other than copyright is claimed by ASCE.
- An author who is a U.S. Government employee and prepared the above-cited work does not own copyright in it. If at least one of the authors is not in this category, that author should sign below. If all the authors are in this category, check here and sign here: _____ Please return this form by mail.

SIGN HERE FOR COPYRIGHT TRANSFER [Individual Author or Employer's Authorized Agent (work made for hire)]

Print Author's Name: DEJAN BRKIC

Signature of Author (in ink): [Signature]

Print Agent's Name and Title: /

Signature of Agency Rep (in ink): /

Date: 09. JANUARY 2011.

Note: If the manuscript is not accepted by ASCE or is withdrawn prior to acceptance by ASCE, this transfer will be null and void and the form will be returned to the author.

*Failure to return this form *will* result in the manuscript's not being published.