Fecal microbiota transplantation as an alternative treatment for infection and inflammation

Jee-Hyun Kim¹, R. William DePaolo^{1*}

¹Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089 ^{*}Corresponding author, Email: depaolo@usc.edu

Abstract

Our intestinal microbiota comprises 100 trillion bacteria, exceeding our own eukaryotic cells. While the microbiota has incredible health benefits, changes in the normal flora caused by inflammation, antibiotics or diet can negatively impact health and may contribute to diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and obesity. Using healthy microbiota to treat individuals with intestinal disease is not a new idea and fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) has been used as a successful therapy in patients suffering from *Clostridium difficile* infection. Currently FMT is being considered as a treatment for patients with IBD. This editorial will discuss the history of FMT and implications for treatment of other inflammatory intestinal diseases.

Keywords

Microbiota, IBD, C. difficile, mucosal immunity **Abbreviation** FMT: Fecal Microbiota Transplantation

Our gut microbiota comprises 100 trillion bacteria, exceeding our own cells by ten-fold. The gut microbiota has several functions, including digestion, colonization resistance, maintenance of mucosal integrity, and priming of the mucosal immune response [1]. Disruption of the normal microbiota, or dysbiosis, can impact immunity and result in disease. This is best illustrated by Clostridium difficile infection (CDI), acquired when gut microbial diversity and number are reduced by antibiotic treatment. Antibiotic treatment compromises the ability of the natural microbiota to resist colonization by opportunistic pathogens, allowing resident C. difficile or ingested environmental C. difficile spores to dominate the intestinal tract, which leads to diarrhea and inflammation associated with pseudomembranous colitis. The close relationship between gut microbiota and disease is also apparent in IBD, such as Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, and alterations in the microbiota of IBD patients are well documented [2-11].

C. difficile is one of the most important causes of nosocomial infections. In the last 10 - 15 years, with the emergence of hypervirulent strains, there has been an increase in prevalence and severity of CDI [12]. Standard treatment for CDI involves antibiotics such as metronidazole and vancomycin. However, ~20 % of patients with an initial CDI are unresponsive to antibiotic treatment [13,14]. Furthermore, despite the recommended treatment, recurrence of disease is frequent [13-15]. There are now alternative antibiotics such as fidaxomicin, which has a CDI cure rate similar to vancomycin and shows improvement in its efficacy against recurrent CDI [16,17].

FMT is beginning to be recognized as an alternative to antibiotic treatment for CDI. FMT involves infusing bacteria from a healthy donor into

the gastrointestinal tract of the patient to reestablish a normal gut flora by repairing or replacing the diseased flora [18]. In veterinary medicine, this process, called transfaunation, has been practiced for decades [19]. The first recorded performance of FMT in humans was in the 1950s in patients with fulminant pseudomembranous colitis later found to be caused by recalcitrant CDI, who showed "immediate and dramatic" responses [20]. Today there are more than 500 patients who have been treated for CDI with FMT with a cure rate of ~95% [21,22]. However, despite its efficacy, FMT has not become a widely used therapy for CDI, mainly due to the "yuck factor" and lack of data from randomized, controlled trials. Earlier this year, efficacy of FMT for recurrent CDI was confirmed by the first randomized, controlled trial [23]. In this study, FMT was significantly more effective in treating recurrent CDI than vancomycin alone or in combination with bowel lavage. Although the trial was not blinded and had a small group size, it provides impetus to address the other obstacles in adopting FMT as a routine therapy. As an example, there is growing interest in strategies to circumvent the direct use of feces by substituting it with purified intestinal bacterial cultures derived from a single healthy donor [24,25]. Additionally, the need to standardize the protocol for FMT is being recognized [26].

Although FMT has been reported mostly in the context of recalcitrant CDI, there are emerging applications of FMT. With the evidence that specific gut microbes can induce distinct immune responses in the host and that there is reduced diversity of the microbiota in patients with IBD [27-29], it is not far-fetched to think that restoring a normal microbiota will alleviate IBD. Indeed there are eight cases of ulcerative colitis and one case of Crohn's

disease in which FMT led to remission [30-32]. Though not identical to the human disease, there are animal models that mimic colitis and inflammation, which demonstrated that susceptibility to colitis and resistance to enteric infection are transferrable by FMT [33-35].

With FMT in IBD still in its infancy, there are lessons to learn from the more widely used FMT for CDI. First, it is necessary to have controlled and randomized clinical trials to address the efficacy of FMT in IBD. There are several uncontrolled clinical trials that are ongoing; however, there has yet to be a study with a control group. Second, a standardized treatment protocol needs to be established for stool processing, patient preparation (i.e. antibiotic treatment pre-transplantation), and delivery method. Lastly, the donor selection and screening process must be robust and well documented. This may be more important for treatment of IBD than CDI, as multiple infusions are typically necessary for the former [21], and consistency between the repeated infusions would be ideal. Current clinical trials will shed light on some of these considerations and will help shape future investigations on the therapeutic value of FMT for IRD

References

- 1. Berg RD: The indigenous gastrointestinal microflora. Trends Microbiol 1996, 4: 430-435
- 2. Giaffer MH, Holdsworth CD, Duerden BI: The assessment of faecal flora in patients with inflammatory bowel disease by a simplified bacteriological technique. J Med Microbiol 1991, 35: 238-243
- Gophna U, Sommerfeld K, Gophna S, Doolittle WF, Veldhuyzen van Zanten SJ: Differences between tissue-associated intestinal microfloras of patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. J Clin Microbiol 2006, 44: 4136-4141
- Krook A, Lindström B, Kjellander J, Järnerot G, Bodin L: Relation between concentrations of metronidazole and Bacteroides spp in faeces of patients with Crohn's disease and healthy individuals. J Clin Pathol 1981, 34: 645-650
- 5. Lepage P, Seksik P, Sutren M, de la Cochetière MF, Jian R, *et al.*: Biodiversity of the mucosa-associated microbiota is stable along the distal digestive tract in healthy individuals and patients with IBD. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2005, 11: 473-480
- Mangin I, Bonnet R, Seksik P, Rigottier-Gois L, Sutren M, et al.: Molecular inventory of faecal microflora in patients with Crohn's disease. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2004, 50: 25-36
- Manichanh C, Rigottier-Gois L, Bonnaud E, Gloux K, Pelletier E, *et al.*: Reduced diversity of faecal microbiota in Crohn's disease revealed by a metagenomic approach. Gut 2006, 55: 205-211
- Van de Merwe JP, Schröder AM, Wensinck F, Hazenberg MP: The obligate anaerobic faecal flora of patients with Crohn's disease and their first-degree relatives. Scand J Gastroenterol 1988, 23: 1125-1131
- 9. Prindiville T, Cantrell M, Wilson KH: Ribosomal DNA sequence analysis of mucosa-associated bacteria in Crohn's disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2004, 10: 824-833
- 10.Scanlan PD, Shanahan F, O'Mahony C, Marchesi JR: Cultureindependent analyses of temporal variation of the dominant fecal microbiota and targeted bacterial subgroups in Crohn's disease. J Clin Microbiol 2006, 44: 3980-3988
- 11. Seksik P, Rigottier-Gois L, Gramet G, Sutren M, Pochart P, *et al.*: Alterations of the dominant faecal bacterial groups in patients with Crohn's disease of the colon. Gut 2003, 52: 237-242
- Rupnik M, Wilcox MH, Gerding DN: Clostridium difficile infection: new developments in epidemiology and pathogenesis. Nat Rev Microbiol 2009, 7: 526-536
- Musher DM, Aslam S, Logan N, Nallacheru S, Bhaila I, et al.: Relatively poor outcome after treatment of Clostridium difficile colitis with metronidazole. Clin Infect Dis 2005, 40: 1586-1590
- 14.Zar FA, Bakkanagari SR, Moorthi KM, Davis MB: A comparison of vancomycin and metronidazole for the treatment of Clostridium

difficile-associated diarrhea, stratified by disease severity. Clin Infect Dis 2007, 45: 302-307

- 15. Johnson S: Recurrent Clostridium difficile infection: a review of risk factors, treatments, and outcomes. J Infect 2009, 58: 403-410
- 16. Crook DW, Walker AS, Kean Y, Weiss K, Cornely OA, *et al.*: Fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection: meta-analysis of pivotal randomized controlled trials. Clin Infect Dis 2012, 55 Suppl 2: S93-103
- 17.Louie TJ, Miller MA, Mullane KM, Weiss K, Lentnek A, *et al.*: Fidaxomicin versus vancomycin for Clostridium difficile infection. N Engl J Med 2011, 364: 422-431
- Borody TJ, Khoruts A: Fecal microbiota transplantation and emerging applications. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011, 9: 88-96
- Rager KD, George LW, House JK, DePeters EJ: Evaluation of rumen transfaunation after surgical correction of left-sided displacement of the abomasum in cows. J Am Vet Med Assoc 2004, 225: 915-920
- 20.EISEMAN B, SILEN W, BASCOM GS, KAUVAR AJ: Fecal enema as an adjunct in the treatment of pseudomembranous enterocolitis. Surgery 1958, 44: 854-859
- 21.Borody TJ, Campbell J: Fecal microbiota transplantation: techniques, applications, and issues. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2012, 41: 781-803
- 22.Gough E, Shaikh H, Manges AR: Systematic review of intestinal microbiota transplantation (fecal bacteriotherapy) for recurrent Clostridium difficile infection. Clin Infect Dis 2011, 53: 994-1002
- 23. van Nood E, Vrieze A, Nieuwdorp M, Fuentes S, Zoetendal EG, *et al.*: Duodenal infusion of donor feces for recurrent Clostridium difficile. N Engl J Med 2013, 368: 407-415
- 24.Petrof EO, Gloor GB, Vanner SJ, Weese SJ, Carter D, *et al.* :Stool substitute transplant therapy for the eradication of Clostridium difficile infection: 'RePOOPulating' the gut. Microbiome 2013, 1:3
- 25.Tvede M, Rask-Madsen J: Bacteriotherapy for chronic relapsing Clostridium difficile diarrhoea in six patients. Lancet 1989, 1: 1156-1160
- 26. Bakken JS, Borody T, Brandt LJ, Brill JV, Demarco DC, *et al.*: Treating Clostridium difficile infection with fecal microbiota transplantation. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011, 9: 1044-1049
- 27. Ivanov II, Atarashi K, Manel N, Brodie EL, Shima T, et al.: Induction of intestinal Th17 cells by segmented filamentous bacteria. Cell 2009, 139: 485-498
- 28.Mazmanian SK, Round JL, Kasper DL: A microbial symbiosis factor prevents intestinal inflammatory disease. Nature 2008, 453: 620-625
- 29. Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, *et al.*: A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 2010, 464: 59-65
- 30.Bennet JD, Brinkman M: Treatment of ulcerative colitis by implantation of normal colonic flora. Lancet 1989, 1:164
- 31. Borody TJ, George L, Andrews P, Brandl S, Noonan S, et al.:Bowelflora alteration: a potential cure for inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome? Med J Aust 1989, 150: 604
- 32.Borody TJ, Warren EF, Leis S, Surace R, Ashman O: Treatment of ulcerative colitis using fecal bacteriotherapy. J Clin Gastroenterol 2003, 37: 42-47
- 33. Garrett WS, Lord GM, Punit S, Lugo-Villarino G, Mazmanian SK, *et al.*: Communicable ulcerative colitis induced by T-bet deficiency in the innate immune system. Cell 2007, 131: 33-45
- 34.Willing BP, Vacharaksa A, Croxen M, Thanachayanont T, Finlay BB: Altering host resistance to infections through microbial transplantation. PLoS One 2011, 6: e26988
- 35. Ghosh S, Dai C, Brown K, Rajendiran E, Makarenko S, *et al.*: Colonic microbiota alters host susceptibility to infectious colitis by modulating inflammation, redox status, and ion transporter gene expression. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2011, 301: G39-49