Capped Accumulated Return Call Option with Two Way Return and Splitting Payoff

We developed and implemented a pricing model for capped-accumulated-return-call (CARC) with two features: two-way-return and splitting payoff.

Let S(t) be a price process of a given underlying asset, $\{t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n\}$ be a set of reset dates and $T \ge t_n$ be a payoff settlement date. The two-way-return CARC with the underlying S is a European type derivative security whose matured payoff at the settlement date is given by

$$N \times \max\left\{0, R_{c} - R_{f}\right\}$$
(1)

where R_f is the global floor (strike) of the return rate, N is the notional principal, and R_c is capped-accumulated-return and defined as

$$R_{c} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + R_{cap}^{(i)}) - 1$$
(2)

where $R_{cap}^{(i)}$ is the two-way-capped return-rate for each period explained as follows. Define the actual period return-rate as

$$R_{i} = \frac{S(t_{i}) - S(t_{i-1})}{S(t_{i-1})}, i = 1, \cdots, n.$$
(3)

If the price of the underlying asset goes down, $R_{cap}^{(i)}$ would be the absolute value of the return up to a local floor c_; otherwise, $R_{cap}^{(i)}$ would be asset return up to a local cap c₊. Mathematically, $R_{cap}^{(i)}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{R}_{cap}^{(i)} = \begin{cases} \min\{c_{-}, -\mathbf{R}_{i}\}, \text{ if } \mathbf{R}_{i} < 0\\ \min\{c_{+}, \mathbf{R}_{i}\}, \text{ if } \mathbf{R}_{i} \ge 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

Let t be the current value date, then the current value of this CARC can be written as

$$df(t,T) \times N \times E_{t} \left[max \left\{ 0, \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + R_{cap}^{(i)}) - (1 + R_{f}) \right\} \right]$$
(5)

where df(t,T) is the discounting factor at the value date. The above formula is in a world that is forward risk-neutral with respect to a specific currency C_p .

As a result, the notional principal N is measured in the currency C_p , and the discounting factor should be calculated by a C_p zero curve (ref. <u>https://finpricing.com/lib/IrInflationCurve.html</u>) given at the value date. If the underlying asset is measured in another currency C_U , assuming the option is a non-Quanto type transaction, the governing price dynamics of the underlying asset in the risk-neutral world of C_p should be written as

$$dS_{t} = (r^{U} - q)S_{t}dt + \sigma_{s}S_{t}dW_{t}$$
(6)

where r^{U} is the short rate of C_{U} , q is the dividend yield of the asset, σ_{s} is the volatility of the asset price, and W_{t} is the Wiener process. All these parameters are assumed deterministic.

For the CARC model, we have made three different payoffs available, denoted P_1 , P_2 and P_3 . Equation (1) is the definition for P_3 . P_1 and P_2 are respectively expressed as

$$P_1 = N + N \times \max\{R_f, R_c\}$$
(7)

$$P_2 = N \times \max\{R_f, R_c\}$$
(8)

An enhanced Quasi-Monte Carlo method is employed to evaluation this feature of CARC. In this method, Sobol sequence in conjunction with Brownian Bridge path generation approach is applied. In this transaction, c_+ is 0.10, c_- is 0.12, R_f is 0.147, and the notional principal is USD 100. Only the term structure of at-the-money volatility is used in calculation, i.e., volatility skew is NOT applied.