

Average Rate (Asian) Option Valuation

The payoff of an Asian option depends on the average of the underlying stock price over certain time interval. Since no general analytical solutions for the price of the Asian option is known, a variety of techniques have been proposed to analyze the arithmetic average Asian options.

These include: Monte Carlo simulation, numerical inversion of the Laplace transformation of the Asian option price derived by Geman and Yor (1993), alternative PDE techniques suggested by Rogers and Shi (1995), and various approximations (for instance, Turnbull and Wakeman (1991), and Curran (1994)). Numerical inversion of the Laplace transform or PDE techniques of Rogers and Shi tend to give inaccurate results for cases of short maturities or small volatilities. Monte Carlo simulation always works well, but it can be computationally inefficient. Since GED's model is based on Curran (1994),

Specifically, at time 0, an Asian call/put is valued as the payoff at maturity discounted using risk free rate (ref. <https://finpricing.com/lib/IrBasisCurve.html>)

$$\begin{aligned}P_{\text{call}} &= e^{-r_n t_n} E [\max (A_{t_1, \dots, t_n} - K, 0)], \\P_{\text{put}} &= e^{-r_n t_n} E [\max (K - A_{t_1, \dots, t_n}, 0)],\end{aligned}$$

where K is the strike price, and the average is

$$A_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i S_{t_i}, \quad W = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i,$$

with $w_i > 0$ as the weights of the arithmetic mean, S_{t_i} as the values of the underlying at the averaging time t_i , and $t_n = T$ as the option maturity date.

The arithmetic mean (3) is difficult to work with, and the approach taken here is to follow Curran (1994) and re-write, for example, the call option value as an iterated expectation

$$P_{\text{call}} = e^{-r_n t_n} E [E [\max (A_{t_1, \dots, t_n} - K, 0) | G_{t_1, \dots, t_n}]],$$

where the geometric mean is

$$G_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (S_{t_i})^{w_i} \right)^{1/W}.$$

When the underlying is assumed to follow a geometric Brownian motion process, the geometric mean is lognormally distributed (Curran (1994), and Musiela and Rutkowski (1998)), and the exterior expectation in (4) reduces to an integral over the lognormally distributed G

$$P_{\text{call}} = e^{-r_n t_n} (C_1 + C_2),$$

Where

$$C_1 = \int_0^K E [\max (A_{t_1, \dots, t_n} - K, 0)] g(G) dG,$$

$$C_2 = \int_K^\infty E [\max (A_{t_1, \dots, t_n} - K, 0)] g(G) dG,$$

and where $g(G)$ is the density of the geometric average. An approximation to this entails neglecting the

first term C1 and writing

$$P_{\text{call}} \approx e^{-r_n t_n} \int_K^{\infty} E[\max(A_{t_1, \dots, t_n} - K, 0)] g(G) dG,$$

which is justified by the fact that the probability of the geometric average being below the strike K while the arithmetic average being above it is small.

We now define all the pieces require to evaluate (9). Given the following process for the underlying,

$$dS_t = S_t [\mu(t) dt + \sigma(t) dZ_t],$$

the average drift and volatilities are

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}_i &= \frac{1}{t_i} \int_0^{t_i} \mu(s) ds, \\ \bar{\sigma}_i^2 &= \frac{1}{t_i} \int_0^{t_i} \sigma^2(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and the expected value of the logarithm of the equity is

$$m_i = E[\ln(S_{t_i})] = \ln(S_0) + (\bar{\mu}_i - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\sigma}_i^2) t_i.$$

For option valuation the equity drift is given by the risk-free rate less the dividend yield, and the average volatilities are implied by market prices of equity options.

Here the mean and variance of the underlying

$$m = \frac{1}{W} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i m_i,$$
$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{W^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n w_i w_j \bar{\sigma}_i \bar{\sigma}_j \rho_{ij},$$

The equity correlations over different horizons are approximated as ($i < j$)

$$\rho_{ij} = \sqrt{\frac{\bar{\sigma}^2(t_i) t_i}{\bar{\sigma}^2(t_j) t_j}},$$