

FX Fade Option Model

So-called “fade option” can be more precisely named as “point-barrier option”. The fade option is a vanilla option that exists or dies if a barrier is breached on a single preset date, which is prior or equal to the contract maturity. Therefore, the barrier type can be up-cross or down-cross; the exercise type can be knock-in or knock-out; and the underlying vanilla can be call or put.

Let $0 < T_1 < T_2 < T$, $K > 0$ and $h > 0$. Let us define the barrier knock type index as

$$\text{knock} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{up cross,} \\ -1, & \text{down cross,} \end{cases}$$

and the exercise type index as

$$\text{exType} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{knock in,} \\ 0, & \text{knock out,} \end{cases}$$

as well as the underlying vanilla option type index as

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{call option,} \\ -1, & \text{put option.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, define a function of H on $(0; T)$ with any given $(\text{knock}; \text{exType}; h)$ as

$$H(S; \text{knock}, \text{exType}, h) = \begin{cases} \text{exType}, & \text{if } \text{knock} \cdot S \geq \text{knock} \cdot h, \\ 1 - \text{exType}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The point-barrier option can be defined as follows. Let $f^S_t : t \in [0, T]$ be the (strictly positive) price process of the underlying asset, T_1 be the point barrier time, h be the single barrier, K be the underlying vanilla option strike, T_2 be the option maturity, and T be the option payoff time. Then the matured payoff at T of the option, denoted by V_T , can be given by

$$V_T = H(S_{T_1}; \text{knock}, \text{exType}, h) \times [\beta(S_{T_2} - K)]^+ .$$

It should be noticed that for $ST1$ with continuous distribution, the probability of an event $fST1 = sg$ is always zero for any $s > 0$. Hence, in the definition of the function H , the inequality (\leq) and the strict inequality ($>$) can be inter-changeable with no effect on the initial value of the option.

There are four types of single point-barrier options and they are *Up-In*, *Up-Out*, *Down-In*, and *Down-Out*. A double point-barrier option can be easily composed of two single point-barrier options. Let $0 < h_L < h_U$.

1. First, we have

$$[h_L, h_U]\text{-Knock-In} = (0, h_L) \cup (h_U, \infty)\text{-Knock-Out}$$

$$(h_L, h_U)\text{-Knock-Out} = (0, h_L] \cup [h_U, \infty)\text{-Knock-In} .$$

Let $\mathbb{I}_{\{A\}}$ be the indicate function. For $[h_L; h_U]$ -Knock-In, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}_{\{h_L \leq S_{T_1} \leq h_U\}} &= \mathbb{I}_{\{S_{T_1} \leq h_U\} \setminus \{S_{T_1} < h_L\}} = \mathbb{I}_{\{S_{T_1} \geq h_L\} \setminus \{S_{T_1} > h_U\}} \\ &= \mathbb{I}_{\{S_{T_1} \leq h_U\}} - \mathbb{I}_{\{S_{T_1} < h_L\}} = \mathbb{I}_{\{S_{T_1} \geq h_L\}} - \mathbb{I}_{\{S_{T_1} > h_U\}} , \end{aligned}$$

i.e., it is equal to one Down-In minus another Down-In or one Up-In minus another Up-In. Similarly, for $(h_L; h_U)$ -Knock-Out, we have

$$1 - \mathbb{I}_{\{h_L < S_{T_1} < h_U\}} = \mathbb{I}_{\{S_{T_1} \leq h_L\}} + \mathbb{I}_{\{h_U \leq S_{T_1}\}} ,$$

i.e., it is equal to one Down-In plus one Up-In. Therefore, it suffices to consider single point-barrier options.

We assume that the zero-coupon bond price (see <https://finpricing.com/lib/FiBond.html>)

$$N_t = \text{df}(t, T) , \quad t \leq T$$

can be used as the numeraire for the market such that, under the equivalent martingale measure N with

respect to the numeraire N , the dynamics of the underlying price can be given by

$$S_t = S_s \frac{F_0(t)}{F_0(s)} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \sigma^2 d\tau + \int_s^t \sigma dW_\tau^N \right], \quad 0 \leq s < t,$$

where $F_0(t)$ is the initial forward price of the underlying asset, W^N is the standard Wiener process under N and σ is the volatility of S which can be implied by market term volatilities of vanilla options of the underlying asset, i.e., we have

$$\bar{\sigma}_t^2 = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sigma^2 d\tau, \quad t > 0.$$

Then the initial value of the option, denoted by V_0 , can be given as

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= df(0, T) \times E_0^N[V_T] \\ &= df(0, T) \times E_0^N [H(S_{T_1}; \text{knock}, \text{exType}, h) \times E_{T_1}^N [[\beta(S_{T_2} - K)]^+]] . \end{aligned}$$