

On the strengthening of Nyman-Beurling criteria and Riemann hypothesis

Kwok Kwan Wong

June 2022

Abstract

We show that the span of the Beurling sequence is dense in the sequence space with a weighted inner product. Based on the condition posed by Bagchi and Baez-Duarte, which is a strengthening condition of Nyman-Beurling criteria, is equivalent to showing the Riemann hypothesis does hold.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11Mxx, 46Cxx

1 Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis was raised by Riemann in 1859 [17]. The hypothesis is about the zeros of the Riemann-Zeta function ζ , ζ has the trivial zero, which are negative integers, and the nontrivial zeros. Riemann posed a hypothesis that the real part of the nontrivial zeros are $\frac{1}{2}$, which we call the *Riemann hypothesis*. From 1859 to now, many scholars attempted to prove or disprove the Riemann hypothesis [16, 23, 13, 7, 21, 9, 11, 22], since the Riemann hypothesis had a big impact on the field of mathematics, such as the distributions of prime numbers [24], the large prime gap conjecture [10], etc. To prove or disprove the Riemann

hypothesis, many mathematicians try to formulate the Riemann hypothesis in another way [18, 19, 20]. In particular, Nyman and Beurling show that the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the space of the Beurling function is dense in Hilbert space $L^2((0, 1))$ [15, 4]. Baez-Duarte has restated and strengthened this condition to be the Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if the characteristic function $\chi_{(0,1]}$ belongs to the closure of the space of the *natural Beurling function* in the Hilbert space $L^2((0, \infty))$ [1]. Bagchi reformulates the condition to be if the constant sequence belongs to the closure of the span of the *Beurling sequence* in the $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ with a weighted inner product [2].

There are numerous working on this approach [14, 25, 12, 3, 5, 8]. Our contribution is proving that the constant sequence does belong to the closure of the span of the Beurling sequence, thus proving the Riemann hypothesis.

2 The main result

The Hilbert space we consider is $l^2(\mathbb{N}) := H$ over \mathbb{C} with the norm induced by the inner product.

$$\langle a, b \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n^* b_n}{n(n+1)}.$$

Observe that bounded sequence belongs to H as well.

We adopt the notion in [2], we introduce the sequence $\gamma_l = (\{\frac{n}{l}\}) = (1/l, 2/l, \dots)$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{x\}$ is the fractional part function. It is easy to see that $\gamma_l \in H$ for all l . Denote the $\text{span}(\gamma_l, l \in \mathbb{N}) = B$, we call the B the space of the *Beurling sequences*. Let $\gamma = (1, 1, \dots)$ be the constant sequence, it is easy to see that it belongs to H . In [2], it state the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. The Riemann hypothesis is equivalent to $\gamma \in \overline{B}$, and is equivalent to B is dense in H .

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 1 of [2]. □

Let e_i be the sequence with 1 in the i -th entry, zero otherwise. Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ by T by $T(e_i) = \frac{\gamma_{i+1}}{(i+1)^2}$. Clearly this is linear map.

Define $R_i : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, by sending p to $p \bmod i$.

First, we prove a inequality.

lemma 2.2. $0 < \langle \gamma_k, \gamma_k \rangle < 1$.

Proof. By direct calculation, $\langle \gamma_k, \gamma_k \rangle =$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k^2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} \frac{n}{n+1} + \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} (R_k(n))^2 \frac{1}{n(n+1)} \right) \\ & < \frac{1}{k^2} (k-1 + (k-1)^2 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & < \frac{1}{k^2} (k-1 + (k-1)^2 \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k^2} (k-1 + (k-1)^2) \\ & \leq \frac{k-1}{k} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we show T is a bounded operator.

Theorem 2.3. T is a bounded linear operator.

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in H$. $Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(n+1)^2} \gamma_{n+1}$.

$\|Tx\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n^* a_m}{(n+1)^2 (m+1)^2} \langle \gamma_{n+1}, \gamma_{m+1} \rangle$. Which is less than or equal

to $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n^* a_m|}{(n+1)^2 (m+1)^2} \langle \gamma_{n+1}, \gamma_{m+1} \rangle$.

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\|Tx\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n^* a_m|}{(n+1)^2 (m+1)^2} \|\gamma_{n+1}\| \|\gamma_{m+1}\|.$$

By lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}\|Tx\|^2 &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n^* a_m|}{(n+1)^2(m+1)^2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{(n+1)^2}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Now we separate $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{(n+1)^2}$ into two parts, one part with all $|a_n| < 1$, another part is $|a_n| \geq 1$. For the latter part, since $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n(n+1)} < \infty$, and the latter part is less than or equal to $\|x\|^2$, so it converges. For another part, since $|a_n| < 1$, the sum is bounded by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n+1)} < \infty$, so it converges too. So $\|Tx\|^2$ is finite provided that $\|x\|$ is finite. In particular $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{(n+1)^2}$ is absolute converge.

Now we consider $\frac{\|Tx\|^2}{\|x\|^2}$, by the above estimation, it is less than $\frac{(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{(n+1)^2})^2}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n(n+1)}}$.

Now the denominator can be rewrite as $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}} \frac{\sqrt{n(n+1)}}{(n+1)^2})^2$. By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the denominator is less than or equal to $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n(n+1)})(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+1)^4})$, then

$$\frac{(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{(n+1)^2})^2}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n(n+1)}} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+1)^4}.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+1)}{(n+1)^4}$ converges, $\|Tx\|^2/\|x\|^2$ is bounded, so T is bounded. \square

Now we show T is injective.

Theorem 2.4. T is injective, that is, $\ker(T) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in \ker(T)$. $Tx = 0$ implies $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(n+1)^2} \gamma_{n+1} = 0$.

Each entry of $Tx = 0$ forms a equation. For the first entry, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a'_{n-1}}{n} = 0$, where $a'_n = a_n/(n+1)^2$. For the second entry, $\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2a'_{n-1}}{n} = 0$. By combining equation 1 and 2, $a'_1 = a_1 = 0$.

Assume $a'_1, \dots, a'_k = 0$ for k is some positive integer. The equation in $k+1$ entry is $\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)a'_{n-1}}{n} + \sum_{n=2}^{k+1} \frac{a'_{n-1} R_n(k+1)}{n} = 0$. Now $\sum_{n=2}^{k+1} \frac{a'_{n-1} R_n(k+1)}{n} = 0$, so $\sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{a'_{n-1}}{n} = 0$. The equation of $k+2$ is $\sum_{n=k+2}^{\infty} \frac{(k+2)a'_{n-1}}{n} + \sum_{n=2}^{k+2} \frac{a'_{n-1} R_n(k+2)}{n} = 0$, it is easy to see that $a'_{k+1} = a_{k+1} = 0$ by comparing two equations. By strong induction, $a_n = 0$, so $x = 0$. Thus the conclusion. \square

Define Mobius function $\mu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\mu(k) = 1$ if k is a square-free number with even number of prime factors, $\mu(k) = -1$ if k is a square-free number with odd number of prime factors. $\mu(k) = 0$ otherwise. The following result is standard.

lemma 2.5. $\sum_{i=1}^{l+1} \text{floor}(\frac{l+1}{i})\mu(i) = 1$, where $\text{floor}(x)$ is the floor function.

Proof. See [6]. □

Consider $x \in \overline{B}$, x can be represented as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} \gamma_{k+1}$ with some restriction on a_{nk} . We use this fact to prove a important theorem.

Theorem 2.6. $\gamma = (1, 1, \dots)$ does belong to \overline{B} .

Proof. We claim $\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} \gamma_{k+1}$ with $a_{nk} = -\frac{\mu(k+1)}{2^n}$. Let $m_k = -\frac{\mu(k+1)}{k+1}$. We first show the right hand side is bounded.

To show that $\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} \gamma_{k+1}\| < \infty$, which means the quantity

$$\langle \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{n'} a_{n'k'} \gamma_{k'+1}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} \gamma_{k+1} \rangle,$$

is bounded. By the continuity of inner product,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{n'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n'k'} a_{nk} \langle \gamma_{k'+1}, \gamma_{k+1} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{n'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{n'k'} a_{nk} \langle \sum_{i'=1}^{\infty} \frac{R_{k'+1}(i')}{k'+1} e_{i'}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{R_{k+1}(i)}{k+1} e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{n'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{m_{k'} m_k}{2^{n+n'}} \sum_{i'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_{k'+1}(i') R_{k+1}(i) \langle e_{i'}, e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{n'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{m_{k'} m_k}{2^{n+n'}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_{k'+1}(i) R_{k+1}(i) / (i(i+1)) \end{aligned}$$

Since m_k are bounded, let $M = \sup_{k,k'} (|m_{k'} m_k|)$, then $M \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n'(n'+1)n(n+1)}{2^{n+n'+2}}$

is finite. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &M \sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n'(n'+1)n(n+1)}{2^{n+n'+2}} \geq \\ &\sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{n'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{|m_{k'} m_k|}{2^{n+n'}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k'k / (i(i+1)) \geq \\ &\sum_{n'=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k'=1}^{n'} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{m_{k'} m_k}{2^{n+n'}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_{k'+1}(i) R_{k+1}(i) / (i(i+1)) \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} \gamma_{k+1}\|$ is finite

Now apply T to both sides, $T(\gamma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \gamma_{n+1} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} T\gamma_{k+1}$.

$\gamma_{k+1} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R_{k+1}(n) e_n$, so,

$$T\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{nk}}{(k+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} R_{k+1}(i) \gamma_{i+1}.$$

Now we find out the coefficients of γ_{i+1} , which are $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{nk}}{(k+1)} R_{k+1}(i) / (i+1)^2$. Now we match the coefficients term by term,, which are $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_{nk}}{(k+1)} R_{k+1}(i) =$

1. Let $a_{nk}/(k+1) = b_{nk}$ for convenience. Since the series absolute converge, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n1} R_2(i) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n2} R_3(i) + \dots = 1$. Let $\sum_{n=l}^{\infty} b_{nl} = c_l$ for convenience.

Then we have system of linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + c_2 + \dots &= 1 \\ 2c_2 + 2c_3 + \dots &= 1 \\ c_1 + 3c_3 + \dots &= 1 \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \end{aligned}$$

Now using equation multiply by l to minus the equation l , then the system becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 + c_2 + \dots &= 1 \\ 2c_1 &= 1 \\ 2c_1 + 3c_2 &= 2 \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \end{aligned}$$

We denote the infinite matrix representing the system of equation without equation 1 be A . Then it is easy to see that it is a lower triangular matrix and the diagonal is not zero. The c_l is given by $c_l = \frac{l - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} A_{li} c_i}{A_{ll}}$, $A_{ij} = (j+1) \text{floor}(\frac{i+1}{j+1})$.

We claim the $c_l = -m_l$. Indeed, we use strong induction. Since $2c_1 = 1$, $c_1 = \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{\mu(2)}{2}$. Assume the statement holds for all positive integer less than l . Now $c_l = \frac{l - \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} A_{li} c_i}{A_{ll}}$, we obtain $\frac{l + \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} \text{floor}(\frac{l+1}{i+1}) \mu(i+1)}{l+1}$, by lemma 2.5, it becomes $\frac{l+1 - \mu(1)(l+1) - \mu(l+1)}{l+1}$, so $c_l = -\frac{\mu(l+1)}{l+1}$. By strong induction, $c_n = -\frac{\mu(n+1)}{n+1} = m_n$ for all positive integer n .

Now we have shown that $T\gamma = T(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} \gamma_{k+1})$, by Theorem 2.4, we conclude $\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} \gamma_{k+1}$, since $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{nk} \gamma_{k+1}$ belongs to B for all positive integer n , γ is an infinite sum of vectors from B which has finite norm, so it converges, So $\gamma \in \overline{B}$.

□

Theorem 2.7. The Riemann hypothesis is true.

Proof. Since γ does belong to \overline{B} by Theorem 2.6, by Theorem 2.1, the Riemann hypothesis is true. □

Acknowledgement

Thank you for the Mathematics stack exchange for providing a platform for me to ask questions. I also thank Mr. Pak Tik Fong, Mr. Dave Yeung, and Mr. Kenny Yip for insightful feedback. I also thank geetha290krm in helping the proof of Theorem 2.3.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

- [1] L. Baez-Duarte. A strengthening of the nyman-beurling criterion for the riemann hypothesis. *Atti Accademia Nazionale Lincei Classe Scienze Fisiche Matematiche Naturali*, 14:5–11, 2003.

- [2] B. Bagchi. On nyman, beurling and baez-duarte's hilbert space reformulation of the riemann hypothesis. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)*, 116:137–146, 2006.
- [3] S. Bettin and J. B. Conrey. A reciprocity formula for a cotangent sum. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2013:5709–5726, 2013.
- [4] A. Beurling. A closure problem related to the riemann zeta-function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 41(5):312–314, 1955.
- [5] J. W. Bober. Factorial ratios, hypergeometric series, and a family of step functions. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 79:422–444, 2009.
- [6] bookofproofs. Möbius and floor functions combined. <https://www.bookofproofs.org/branches/moebius-and-floor-functions-combined-proof/#fn174474460962da397a785c4>, 2019.
- [7] P. Borwein, R. Ferguson, and M. J. Mossinghoff. Sign changes in sums of the liouville function. *Mathematics of Computation*, 77:1681–1694, 2008.
- [8] J. F. Burnol. A lower bound in an approximation problem involving the zeros of the riemann zeta function. *Advances in Mathematics*, 170:56–70, 2002.
- [9] A. Connes. Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the riemann zeta function. *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, 5:29–106, 1999.
- [10] H. Cramer. On the order of magnitude of the difference between consecutive prime numbers. *Acta Arith.*, 2:23–46, 1936.
- [11] I. Fesenko. Analysis on arithmetic schemes. ii. *Journal of K-theory*, 5:437–557, 2010.

- [12] L. Habsieger. On the nyman-beurling criterion for the riemann hypothesis. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, 37:187–201, 2007.
- [13] C. B. Haselgrove. A disproof of a conjecture of pólya. *Mathematika*, 5:141–145, 1958.
- [14] H. Maier and M. T. Rassias. On the size of an expression in the nyman–beurling–báez–duarte criterion for the riemann hypothesis. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 61:622–627, 2018.
- [15] B. Nyman. On the one-dimensional translation group and semi-group in certain function spaces. PhD Thesis, University of Uppsala, 1950.
- [16] A. M. Odlyzko. On the distribution of spacings between zeros of the zeta function. *Mathematics of Computation*, 48:273–308, 1987.
- [17] B. Riemann. Ueber die anzahl der primzahlen unter einer gegebenen grösse. *Monatsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*, 1859.
- [18] M. Riesz. Sur l’hypothèse de riemann. *Acta Math.*, 40:185–190, 1916.
- [19] R. Salem. Sur une proposition équivalente à l’hypothèse de riemann. *Les Comptes rendus de l’Académie des sciences*, 236:1127–1128, 1953.
- [20] A. Speiser. Geometrisches zur riemannschen zetafunktion. *Math. Ann.*, 110:514–521, 1934.
- [21] R. Spira. Zeros of sections of the zeta function. ii. *Mathematics of Computation*, 22:163–173, 1968.
- [22] M. Suzuki. Positivity of certain functions associated with analysis on elliptic surfaces. *Journal of Number Theory*, 131:1770–1796, 2011.

- [23] P. Turan. On some approximative dirichlet-polynomials in the theory of the zeta-function of riemann. *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd*, 24:36, 1948.
- [24] N. F. H. von Koch. Sur la distribution des nombres premiers. *Acta Math.*, 24:159–182, 1901.
- [25] J. Yang. A note on nyman–beurling’s approach to the riemann hypothesis. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 83:447–449, 2015.