



# Radiotherapy Biological Tumor Control Probability Integral Equation Improved Model with Analytic Determination

**Francisco Casesnoves**

PhD Engineering, MSc Physics-Mathematics, Physician. Independent Research Scientist.. International Association of Advanced Materials, Sweden. Uniscience Global Scientific Member, Wyoming, USA. Harjumaa, Estonia.

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Published online: 10 August 2022	The research objective was to obtain a fast/simple analytic solution for previously published Radiotherapy Tumor Control Probability (TCP) Integral Model by other authors. Finding, firstly, is a new/simpler Probability Function than Poisson distribution of survival clonogens which is developed from classical biological model algebraic/numerically modified equation. Solution obtained is this Probability Function alternative based on a Binomial distribution equation approximation from literature. Result, thus, is its use of for developing a fast/analytic solution of the 2D [ $\alpha$ and $\beta$ biological modelling parameters ] Integral Model Cumulative TCP. The Gaussian Normal distribution convolution is implemented with this Probability Function into the integral model to sort longer/programming numerical methods and determine an analytical solution expression. As a complete result, a formulation for TCP based on Erf functions is obtained. Applications for radiotherapy treatment planning optimization improvements with biological models are explained.
Corresponding Author: <b>Francisco Casesnoves</b>	
<b>KEYWORDS:</b> Mathematical Methods (MM), Biological Models (BM), Clonogenes Population Survival Rate, (SR), Integral Equation (IE), Tumor Control Probability (TCP), Radiation Photon-Dose (RD), Nonlinear Optimization, Integral Approximations, Anisotropic Analytic Model (AAA), Radiotherapy Treatment Planning Optimization (TPO).	

## I. INTRODUCTION

Continuing the Radiation Therapy research series, [1-8, 17-24, 31, 32], a new Biological Models (BM) step-forward study is developed. Biological Models rationale in based on biochemistry/biomedical proven evidences [64-67] for tumor clonogenes growth and radiobiological interaction with radiation particles. Usually, BM equations are exponentials and integral equations of first kind for Tumor Control Probability (TCP) [64-67].

The objective of this research is mainly mathematical, to show, develop, and resolve the BM model variant and the modified Integral Equation Model [Casesnoves, 2022], based on significant previous literature contributions [64-67].

The Radiation Dose, usually photon dose, is set within the BM equation to obtain the optimal dose delivery. Therefore, that photon-dose magnitude can be determined in function of optimal radiobiological clonogenes population Survival Rate [  $N_s$  ] and TCP to eliminate theoretically/clinically almost all clonogenes in tumor.

Thus this research presents the IE analytic determination of a classical BM [64-67]. Several new parameter forms in BM equations are presented. Approximations for TCP IE are developed based on Binomial distribution. IE analytic result formulation for the TCP model is shown. Mathematical methods and algebraic integral techniques for the research are based on previous contributions series [1-9, 17-24, 31, 32].

Succintly, two new Biomodels are presented and mathematically developed. The first one is a  $N_{[0,S]}$  variant of the classical BM equations developed in literature [64-67]. The second is a new Integral Equation Model statistically and numerically determined for analytic solution.

## II. MATHEMATICAL INTEGRAL EQUATION METHOD

The starting point is the Linear Quadratic model for surviving clonogenes and the TCP basic one [64-67]. Thus, the variation of these models are introduced [ Casesnoves, 2022]. Instead the Poisson TCP model, an approximation

with Binomial TCP distribution is proven [Casesnoves, 2022]. All these model variations are set into the Gaussian convolution integral equation for TCP cumulative prediction. Finally, the analytic solution for the IE is demonstrated [Casesnoves, 2022].

#### **Linear Quadratic Model Variation-Improvement**

The Linear Quadratic model equation set in [64-67] is,

##### **Classical Model**

$$N_s = N_0 \times e^{-[\bar{\alpha} D + \bar{\beta} D^2]} ; \quad (1)$$

where

$N_s$  : Initial number of tumor clonogens

$N_0$  : Surviving number of tumor clonogens

$\alpha$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity parameter

$\beta$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity parameter

$D$  : Total radiation dose delivered

The model can be modified for easier mathematical methods. A Lea-Catcheside function-factor  $K$  [64], has to be introduced. Fractional dose factors,  $d$ , and number of fractions  $n$ , [64-67], are omitted for simplification, and are not relevant for the mathematical method development. In the standard BM research, [64-67], the quotient  $[\sigma/\beta]$  is generally considered constant. However, for this research model IE, alpha and betha radiosensitive parameters are set independent in order to not accumulate too many approximations. By using percentages and 1% rates, the model can set better implemented in Statistical Distributions and IE, such as,

##### **Modified Model [% or 1%],**

$$N_s [\%] = N_0 [100\%] \times e^{-[\bar{\alpha} D + \bar{\beta} K D^2]} ;$$

or

$$N_s [1\%] = N_0 [1\% = 1] \times e^{-[\bar{\alpha} D + \bar{\beta} K D^2]} ;$$

[Casesnoves, 2022] ;

(2)

where

$N_s$  : Initial number of tumor clonogens

$N_0$  : Surviving number of tumor clonogens

$\alpha$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity parameter

$\beta$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity parameter

$D$  : Total radiation dose delivered

$K$  : Lea-Catcheside function-factor  $K$ , [64]

However, this model variant, using percentages and rates of  $N_0$  implies to make calculations constrained to parameters  $[\alpha, \text{mainly, and } \beta]$  numerical changes in function of  $N_0$ , for example [66, Table 44.2]. In other words, both parameters  $[\alpha, \text{mainly, and } \beta]$  numerical values depend on  $N_0$ .

#### **Probability Function Model Variation-Approximation [Casesnoves, 2022]**

Thus, the next step is to use the Binomial Probability Function for TCP, which is set as an approximation at [66] and reads,

##### **Binomial- Approx TCP Model**

$$P(\alpha, \beta) = [1 - e^{-[\alpha D + \beta K D^2]}]^N ;$$

**Modified Rate-Model,  $N_0 = 1$ ,**

$$P(\alpha, \beta) = [1 - e^{-[\alpha D + \beta K D^2]}] ;$$

(3)

where

$P(\alpha, \beta)$  : Tumor Control Probability function depending on  $\alpha, \beta$

#### **Integral Equation Approximated-Model**

[Casesnoves, 2022]

Probability Function (3) is convoluted in the same method of [64] with a 2D Gaussian Kernel to obtain the final cumulative TCP  $(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution. The new technique is to use the Binomial approximation of (3) to reach an analytic determination. Therefore, IE reads,

$$\begin{aligned} TCP(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \dots \\ &\dots \int_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} [1 - P(\alpha, \beta)] \times \dots \\ &\dots e^{\left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2 + (\beta - \bar{\beta})^2] \right]} \dots \\ &\dots d\alpha d\beta = I_1 - I_2 ; \end{aligned}$$

(4)

where

$\alpha$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity integral parameter

$\beta$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity integral parameter

$\sigma$  : Approximated standard deviation both for  $\alpha, \beta$  parameters

$I_1$  : First Gaussian integral, Normal Distribution

$I_2$  : Second Probability Function Gaussian convolution

#### Mathematical Algebraic-Variable Modifications

For getting to work out the analytic solution of  $I_2$ , the following algebraic-variable changes, are applied exclusively at  $I_2$ . A and B notation, are constants depending on D and K as described in (1-3). Note that this is not the unique algebraic-variable change. Algebraic-variable changes result be as follows,

$$(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \Rightarrow (\alpha - (\bar{\alpha} - \sigma^2 A)); (1)$$

$$(\beta - \bar{\beta}) \Rightarrow (\beta - (\bar{\beta} - \sigma^2 B)); (2)$$

with complement

$$+ \left[ \frac{\sigma^2 A^2}{2} \right] + [-\bar{\alpha} A]; \text{ for (1);}$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{\sigma^2 B^2}{2} \right] + [-\bar{\beta} B]; \text{ for (2);}$$

where,

$$A = D;$$

and,

$$B = KD^2; \quad (5)$$

where

$\alpha$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity integral parameter variable change

$\beta$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity integral parameter variable change

$\sigma$  : Approximated standard deviation both for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  parameters

D: Total dose of Hypofractionated RT.

These algebraic-variable changes obtain the same IE expression than (4) and are reverted for IE Model final result formulation at  $I_2$ . As a result, final determinations, (6-9) will be got.

#### $I_1$ and $I_2$ Determination

The integral  $I_1$  is a 2D Gaussian distribution. Therefore, variable changes from (5) are not necessary. Erf functions usage for convolution integral equations is extensively presented in the mathematics literature [68]. The straightforward analytic result with Erf functions reads,

$$I_1(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{4} \times \left[ \left[ \text{Erf} \left( \frac{\alpha_2 - \bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] - \left[ \text{Erf} \left( \frac{\alpha_1 - \bar{\alpha}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] \right] \times \left[ \left[ \text{Erf} \left( \frac{\beta_2 - \bar{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] - \left[ \text{Erf} \left( \frac{\beta_1 - \bar{\beta}}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] \right]; \quad (6)$$

where

$\alpha_1$  : Inferior 2D IE limit

$\alpha_2$  : Superior 2D IE limit

$\beta_1$  : Inferior 2D IE limit

$\beta_2$  : Superior 2D IE limit

$\alpha$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity integral parameter

$\beta$  : Clonogen radiosensitivity integral parameter

The integral  $I_2$  is a convolution of  $P(\alpha, \beta)$  with a 2D Gaussian Kernel. Just remark that in total computation  $I_2$  is resting for the total TCP (4). Before setting the algebraic-variables changes (5)  $I_2$  results as follows,

$$I_2(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \times \int_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2} \int_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha_2} [e^{-[\bar{\alpha}D + \bar{\beta}KD^2]}] \times \dots \times e^{\left[ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})^2 + (\beta - \bar{\beta})^2] \right]} \times d\alpha d\beta; \quad (7)$$

where all parameters and constants are described in (1-6)

After applying the algebraic-variable changes (5), and for final solution reverting them, the  $I_2$  result reads,

$$I_2(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{4} \times (\exp[ \frac{\sigma^2}{2} (A^2 + B^2) - \dots - (\bar{\alpha}A + \bar{\beta}B) ]) \times \dots \times \left[ \left[ \text{Erf} \left( \frac{\alpha_2 + (\bar{\alpha} - \sigma^2 A)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] - \dots - \left[ \text{Erf} \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + (\bar{\alpha} - \sigma^2 A)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] \right] \times \dots \times \left[ \left[ \text{Erf} \left( \frac{\beta_2 + (\bar{\beta} - \sigma^2 B)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] - \dots - \left[ \text{Erf} \left( \frac{\beta_1 + (\bar{\beta} - \sigma^2 B)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right] \right]; \quad (8)$$

where all parameters and constants are described in (1-6)

### **III. INTEGRAL EQUATION MODEL RESULT [CASESNOVES, 2022]**

According to all the IE model previous mathematical development, the IE analytical determination is set. The complete model analytic result, following (6,7,8) reads,

$$\text{TCP}(\alpha, \beta) = I_1(\alpha, \beta) - I_2(\alpha, \beta);$$

(9)

where all parameters and constants are described in (1-6).

Therefore, the model is set in function of previous determinations/approximations (1-8). The previous contributions in the Radiotherapy Treatment Planning Optimization [1-8, 17-24, 31, 32], were applied/developed for the IE model elaboration. This formula sets a cumulative TCP function  $P(\alpha, \beta)$  for any parameter values  $(\alpha, \beta) \in [(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)]$ .

### **IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

The study objective was to obtain a new and fast/simple analytic solution for previously published Radiotherapy Tumor Control Probability (TCP) Integral Model by other authors [64]. Also to develop mathematically accurate approximations for the final solution.

The results show three strands. First is the Linear Quadratic model variation (2). Second is the Binomial approximated TCP distribution (3). Third is the main result, the IE analytic solution determination (6-9).

An IE model inconvenient is that the Binomial distribution approximation is constrained to a non-large number of observations. The principal IE model advantage is its analytic form for TPO fast computation and TPO numerical interval-selection for cumulative TCP.

In brief, a new group of equations and IE model analytic solution was obtained for Radiotherapy TPO with Biological models.

### **V. SCIENTIFIC ETHICS STANDARDS**

All initial equations were developed from previous researchers contributions [64-67]. The IE initial integral formula was published in [64]. From those equations, all the mathematical development is original from the author. This article has previous papers mathematical techniques, [1-8, 17-24, 31, 32], whose use was essential to make IE model analytic solution, approximations, and Probability Function. The complete mathematical development will be carefully reviewed/checked in subsequent publications. This study was carried out, and their contents are done according to the

European Union Technology and Science Ethics. Reference, ‘European Textbook on Ethics in Research’. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research. Unit L3. Governance and Ethics. European Research Area. Science and Society. EUR 24452 EN [60-63]. And based on ‘The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity’. Revised Edition. ALLEA. 2017. This research was completely done by the author, the calculations, images, mathematical propositions and statements, reference citations, and text is original from the author. When a mathematical statement, proposition or theorem is presented, demonstration is always included. If any results inconsistency is found after publication, it is clarified in subsequent contributions. The article is exclusively scientific, without any commercial, institutional, academic, religious, religious-similar, non-scientific theories, personal opinions, political ideas, or economical influences. When anything is taken from a source, it is adequately recognized. Ideas and some text expressions/sentences from previous publications were emphasized due to a clarification aim [60-63].

### **REFERENCES**

1. Casesnoves, F. Radiotherapy Wedge Filter AAA Model 18 MeV-Dose Delivery 3D Simulations with Several Software Systems for Medical Physics Applications. Applications. Biomed J Sci & Tech Res 40(5)-2022. BJSTR. MS.ID.006527. ISSN: 2574 -1241. DOI: 10.26717/BJSTR.2022.40.006527. ILLINOIS USA. 2022.
2. Casesnoves, F. Mathematical Exact 3D Integral Equation Determination for Radiotherapy Wedge Filter Convolution Factor with Algorithms and Numerical Simulations. Journal of Numerical Analysis and Applied Mathematics Vol. 1, No. 2, 2016, pp. 39-59. American Institute of Science. <http://www.aiscience.org/journal/jnaam>.
3. Casesnoves, F. "Radiotherapy Conformal Wedge Computational Simulations, Optimization Algorithms, and Exact Limit Angle Approach, International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology(IJSRSET), Print ISSN : 2395-1990, Online ISSN : 2394-4099, Volume 1, Issue 2, pp.353-362, March-April-2015.
4. Casesnoves, F. "Improvements in Simulations for Radiotherapy Wedge Filter dose and AAA-Convolution Factor Algorithms", International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJSRSET), Online ISSN : 2394-4099, Print ISSN : 2395-1990, Volume 6 Issue 4, pp. 194-219, July-August 2019. <https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRSET196381>.
5. Casesnoves, F. 'Exact/Approximated Geometrical Determinations of IMRT Photon Pencil-Beam Path

- Through Alloy Static Wedges in Radiotherapy Using Anisotropic Analytic Algorithm (AAA)’. Peer-reviewed ASME Conference Paper. ASME 2011 International Mechanical Eng Congress. Denver. USA. IMECE2011-65435. 2011.
6. Casesnoves, F. 'Geometrical Determinations of Limit angle (LA) related to maximum Pencil-Beam Divergence Angle in Radiotherapy Wedges'. Peer-reviewed ASME Conference Paper. ASME 2012 International Mechanical Eng Congress. Houston. USA. IMECE2012-86638. 2012.
  7. Casesnoves, F 'A Conformal Radiotherapy Wedge Filter Design. Computational and Mathematical Model/Simulation' Casesnoves, F. Peer-Reviewed Poster IEEE (Institute for Electrical and Electronics Engineers), Northeast Bioengineering Conference. Syracuse New York, USA. April 6th 2013. Peer-Reviewed Poster Session on 6th April 2013. Sessions 1 and 3 with Poster Number 35. Page 15 of Conference Booklet.
  8. Casesnoves, F. Mathematical and Geometrical Formulation/Analysis for Beam Limit Divergence Angle in Radiotherapy Wedges. Peer-Reviewed International Engineering Article. International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT) Volume 3, Issue 7, January 2014. ISSN: 2277-3754 ISO 9001: 2008 Certified. <http://www.ijeit.com/archivedescription.php?id=27>.
  9. Casesnoves, F. Die numerische Reuleaux-Methode Rechnerische und dynamische Grundlagen mit Anwendungen (Erster Teil). ISBN-13 : 978-620-0-89560-8, ISBN-10: 6200895600. Publishing House: Scincia Scripts. 2019-20.
  10. Ulmer, W; Harder, D. Corrected Tables of the Area Integral  $I(z)$  for the Triple Gaussian Pencil Beam Model. Z. Med. Phys. 7 (1997), pp 192 – 193.
  11. Haddad, K; Anjak, O; Yousef, B. Neutron and high energy photon fluence estimation in CLINAC using gold activation foils. Reports of practical oncology and radiotherapy 24 ( 2019 ), pp 41–46.
  12. Vagena, E; Stoulos, S; Manolopoulou, M. GEANT4 Simulations on Medical LINAC operation at 18MV: experimental validation based on activation foils. Radiation Physics and Chemistry. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2015.11.030>.
  13. Ulmer, W; Schaffner, B. Foundation of an analytical proton beamlet model for inclusion in a general proton dose calculation system. Radiation Physics and Chemistry 80 (2011), pp 378–389.
  14. Sharma, SC. Beam Modification Devices in Radiotherapy. Lecture at Radiotherapy Department, PGIMER. India. 2008.
  15. Barrett, A, and Colls. Practical Radiotherapy Planning. Fourth Edition. Hodder Arnold. 2009.
  16. Ma, C; Lomax, T. Proton and Carbon Ion Therapy. CRC Press. 2013.
  17. Casesnoves, F. 'Geometrical determinations of IMRT photon pencil-beam path in radiotherapy wedges and limit divergence angle with the Anisotropic Analytic Algorithm (AAA)' Casesnoves, F. Peer-Reviewed scientific paper, both Print and online. International Journal of Cancer Therapy and Oncology 2014; 2 (3): 02031. DOI: 10.14319/ijcto.0203.1
  18. Casesnoves, F. 'Radiotherapy Conformal Wedge Computational Simulations and Nonlinear Optimization Algorithms'. Casesnoves, F. Peer-reviewed Article, Special Double-Blind Peer-reviewed paper by International Scientific Board with contributed talk. Official Proceedings of Bio-and Medical Informatics and Cybernetics: BMIC 2014 in the context of The 18th Multi-conference on Systemics, Cybernetics and Informatics: WMSCI 2014 July 15 - 18, 2014, Orlando, Florida, USA.
  19. Casesnoves, F. 'Large-Scale Matlab Optimization Toolbox (MOT) Computing Methods in Radiotherapy Inverse Treatment Planning'. High Performance Computing Meeting. Nottingham University. January 2007.
  20. Casesnoves, F. 'A Computational Radiotherapy Optimization Method for Inverse Planning with Static Wedges'. High Performance Computing Conference. Nottingham University, January 2008.
  21. Casesnoves, F. 'Radiotherapy Conformal Wedge Computational Simulations, Optimization Algorithms, and Exact Limit Angle Approach '. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology. Publication Details, Published in: Volume 1 | Issue 2 | March-April – 2015 Date of Publication Print ISSN Online ISSN Date 2015-04-25 2395-1990 2394-4099. Journal Print ISSN: 2395-1990 | Online ISSN: 2394-4099. Page(s) Manuscript Number Publisher 353-362. IJSRSET152259 Technoscience Academy - See more at: <http://ijsrset.com/IJSRSET152259.php#sthash.GXW6At87.dpuf>. <http://ijsrset.com/IJSRSET152259.php>. Print ISSN: 2395-1990 Online ISSN: 2394-4099.
  22. Casesnoves, F. 'Radiotherapy Standard/Conformal Wedge IMRT-Beamlet Divergence Angle Limit Exact Method, Mathematical Formulation, and

- Bioengineering Applications’. International Article-Poster. Published in Proceedings of Conference. 41st Annual Northeast Bioengineering Conference. Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. Troy, New York USA, April 17-19, 2015.
23. Casesnoves, F. ‘Radiotherapy Standard/Conformal Wedge IMRT-Beamlet Divergence Angle Limit Exact Method, Mathematical Formulation, and Bioengineering Applications’. IEEE (Institute for Electrical and Electronics Engineers), International Article-Poster. Published in [http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs\\_all.jsp?arnumber=7117152](http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs_all.jsp?arnumber=7117152). Date of Conference: 17-19 April 2015 Page(s): 1 - 2 Print ISBN: 978-1-4799-8358-2 INSPEC Accession Number: 15203213.
  24. Casesnoves, F. ABSTRACT-JOURNAL. ‘Radiotherapy Standard/Conformal Wedge IMRT-Beamlet Divergence Angle Limit Exact Method, Mathematical Formulation’. International Conference on Significant Advances in Biomedical Engineering. 252nd OMICS International Conference. April 2015. Volume 5, Issue 1. ISSN 2155-9538. Page 77. Philadelphia USA.
  25. Ahnesjö A., Saxner M., A. Trepp. 'A pencil beam model for photon dose calculations'. Med. Phys. 19, pp 263-273, 1992.
  26. Brahme, A. 'Development of Radiation Therapy Optimization'. Acta Oncologica Vol 39, No 5, 2000.
  27. Bortfeld, T, Hong T, Craft, D, Carlsson F. 'Multicriteria Optimization in Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy Treatment Planning for Locally Advanced Cancer of the Pancreatic Head'. International Journal of Radiation Oncology and Biology Physics. Vol 72, Issue 4.
  28. Brown, Bernardette, and all members of Research Group. ‘Clinician-led improvement in cancer care (CLICC) -testing a multifaceted implementation strategy to increase evidence-based prostate cancer care: phased randomised controlled trial - study protocol’. Implementation Science 2014, 9: 64.
  29. Bortfield, T. ‘IMRT: a review and preview’. Phys. Med. Biol. 51 (2006) R363–R379.
  30. Censor Y, and S A Zenios. 'Parallel Optimization: Theory, Algorithms and Applications'. UOP, 1997.
  31. Casesnoves, F. ‘Determination of absorbed doses in common radiodiagnostic explorations’.5th National Meeting of Medical Physics. Madrid, Spain. September 1985. reatment Planning’. Kuopio University. Radiotherapy Department of Kuopio University Hospital and Radiotherapy Physics Group. Finland. 2001.
  32. Casesnoves, F.'A Conformal Radiotherapy Wedge Filter Design. Computational and Mathematical Model/Simulation’. Peer-Reviewed Poster IEEE (Institute for Electrical and Electronics Engineers), Northeast Bioengineering Conference. Syracuse New York, USA. Presented in the Peer-Reviewed Poster Session on 6th April 2013. Sessions 1 and 3 with Poster Number 35. Page 15 of Conference Booklet. April 6th 2013.
  33. Censor, Y. 'Mathematical Optimization for the Inverse problem of Intensity-Modulated Radiation Therapy'. Laboratory Report, Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, Israel, 2005.
  34. Capizzello A, Tsekeris PG, Pakos EE, Papathanasopoulou V, Pitouli EJ. 'Adjuvant Chemo-Radiotherapy in Patients with Gastric Cancer'. Indian Journal of Cancer, Vol 43, Number 4. 2006.
  35. Tamer Dawod, E. M. Abdelrazek, Mostafa Elnaggar, Rehab Omar. Dose Validation of Physical Wedged symmetric Fields in Artiste Linear Accelerator. International Journal of Medical Physics, Clinical Engineering and Radiation Oncology, 2014, 3, 201-209. Published Online November 2014 in SciRes.
  36. Do, SY, David A, Bush Jerry D Slater. 'Comorbidity-Adjusted Survival in Early Stage Lung Cancer Patients Treated with Hypofractionated Proton Therapy'. Journal of Oncology, Vol 2010.
  37. Ehrgott, M, Burjony, M. 'Radiation Therapy Planning by Multicriteria Optimization'. Department of Engineering Science. University of Auckland. New Zealand.
  38. Ezzel, G A. 'Genetic and geometric optimization of three dimensional radiation therapy treatment planning'. Med. Phys. 23, 293-305.1996.
  39. Effective Health Care, Number 13. 'Comparative Effectiveness of Therapies for Clinically Localized Prostate cancer'. 2008.
  40. Silvia C. Formenti, Sandra Demaria. Combining Radiotherapy and Cancer Immunotherapy: A Paradigm Shift Silvia C. Formenti, Sandra Demaria. J Natl Cancer Inst; 2013; 105: 256–265.
  41. Hansen, P. 'Rank-deficient and discrete ill-posed problems: numerical aspects of linear inversion'. SIAM monographs on mathematical modelling and computation, 1998.
  42. Hashemiparast, SM, Fallahgoul, H. Modified Gauss quadrature for ill-posed integral transform. International Journal of Mathematics and Computation. Vol 13, No. D11. 2011.
  43. Isa, N. Evidence based radiation oncology with existing technology. Reports of practical oncology and radiotherapy 19 (2014) 259–266.

44. Johansson, K-A, Mattsson S, Brahme A, Turesson I. 'Radiation Therapy Dose Delivery'. Acta Oncologica Vol 42, No 2, 2003.
45. Khanna P, Blais N, Gaudreau P-O, Corrales-Rodriguez L, Immunotherapy Comes of Age in Lung Cancer, Clinical Lung Cancer (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.clcc.2016.06.006.
46. Kufer, K. H. Hamacher HW, Bortfeld T.. 'A multicriteria optimisation approach for inverse radiotherapy planning'. University of Kaiserslautern, Germany.
47. Kirsch, A. 'An introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Inverse Problems'. Springer Applied Mathematical Sciences, 1996.
48. Luenberger D G. 'Linear and Nonlinear Programming 2nd edition'. Addison-Wesley, 1989.
49. Moczko, JA, Roszak, A. 'Application of Mathematical Modeling in Survival Time Prediction for Females with Advanced Cervical cancer treated Radio-chemotherapy'. Computational Methods in science and Technology, 12 (2). 2006.
50. Numrich, RW. 'The computational energy spectrum of a program as it executes'. Journal of Supercomputing, 52. 2010.
51. Ragaz, J, and collaborators. 'Loco-regional Radiation Therapy in Patients with High-risk Breast Cancer Receiving Adjuvant Chemotherapy: 20-Year Results of the Columbia Randomized Trial'. Journal of National Cancer Institute, Vol 97, Number 2. 2005.
52. Steuer, R. 'Multiple Criteria Optimization: Theory, Computation and Application'. Wiley, 1986.
53. Spirou, S. V. and Chui, C. S. 'A gradient inverse planning algorithm with dose-volume constraints'. Med. Phys. 25, 321-323.1998.
54. Sievinen J, Waldemar U, Kaissl W. AAA Photon Dose Calculation Model in Eclipse™. Varian Medical Systems Report. Rad #7170A.
55. Ulmer, W, and Harder, D. 'A triple Gaussian pencil beam model for photon beam treatment planning'. Med. Phys. 5, 25-30, 1995.
56. Ulmer, W, and Harder, D. 'Applications of a triple Gaussian pencil beam model for photon beam treatment planning'. Med. Phys. 6, 68-74, 1996.
57. Ulmer, W, Pyyry J, Kaissl W. 'A 3D photon superposition/convolution algorithm and its foundation on results of Monte Carlo calculations'. Phys. Med. Biol. 50, 2005.
58. Ulmer, W, and Harder, D. 'Applications of the triple Gaussian Photon Pencil Beam Model to irregular Fields, dynamical Collimators and circular Fields'. Phys. Med. Biol. 1997.
59. Das, I J; and colls. Patterns of dose variability in radiation prescription of breast cancer. Radiotherapy and Oncology 44 (1997) 83-89.
60. European Textbook on Ethics in Research. European Commission, Directorate-General for Research. Unit L3. Governance and Ethics. European Research Area. Science and Society. EUR 24452 EN. Available online: <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/12567a07-6beb-4998-95cd-8bca103fcf43>. (accessed on 28 June 2021).
61. ALLEA. The European Code of Conduct for Research Integrity, Revised ed.; ALLEA: Berlin Barndenburg Academy of Sciences. 2017.
62. Good Research Practice. Swedish Research Council. ISBN 978-91-7307-354-7. 2017.
63. Ethics for Researchers. EU Commission. Directorate-General for Research and Innovation. Science in society /Capacities FP7. 2013.
64. Walsh, S. Radiobiological modelling in Radiation Oncology. PhD Thesis. School of Physics. National University of Galway.2011.
65. Chapman, D ; Nahum, A. Radiotherapy Treatment Planning, Linear-Quadratic Radiobiology. CRC Press. 2015.
66. Mayles, W ; Nahum, A ; Rosenwald, J.Editors. Handbook of Radiotherapy Physics. Second Edition. CRC Press. 2015.
67. Webb, S. ; Nahum, A. A model for calculating tumour control probability in radiotherapy including the effects of inhomogeneous distributions of dose and clonogenic cell density. Phys. Med. Biol., 38:653-666. 1993.
68. Abramowitz, Stegun. Handbook of Mathematical Functions. Applied Mathematics Series. 55.1972.