[Jour. Indian Chem. Soc., Vol. 42, No. 10, 1965]

Ferric-phosphoric Acid System

S. C. Lahiri

Spectrophotometrio, pH-titration, and paper electrophoresis studies of the ferric-phosphate system indicate presence of the unique complex of the composition FoHPO₄+ below pH 2. The thermodynamic stability constants of FeHPO₄⁺, determined from pH-titration and spectrophotometric studies, are 4.2× 10¹⁰ and 7.04 \times 10¹⁰, respecuively, at 25°. The ΔF , ΔH , and ΔS values of the system, Fe³⁺ + HPO₄⁺ \rightleftharpoons FeHPO₄⁺ determined from spectrophotometric measutements at 25°, are respectively --14.85 kcal./mole, --3.77 kcal./ mole, and 37 e. u.

Phosphate ions have a marked tendency to form complexes with trivalent metals. Although there is plenty of evidences of the ferric-phosphate complexes in the literature, only a few attempts, however, have been made to calculate their stability constants and thermodynamic properties. Recently, Faucherre and Msika² have studied the nature of the complex polarographically and also by potentiometry. They have also made a critical review of the earlier works.

Most of the evidence available, however, is based on studies where either NO_3^- , Cl^- or CNS⁻ ions are also present. In such cases, complications due to co-ordination of these ions in the complexes are likely to arise and the lack of agreement in the reports may be due to this. Consequently, a study of tho ferric-phosphate system in absence of interfering ions seems desirbable.

The investigation has been carried out at low p Hs (below p H 2) to avoid hydrolysis of $Fe(CIO₄)$, and formation of other complicated species [there is, of course, some evidence³ that $\text{Fe}(\text{ClO}_4)_3$ is also associated, but it is very little].

Attempts have been made to determine the nature of the complex by spectrophotometric method, p H-titration, and by electrophoretic method. Stability constants have been determined by spectrophotometry and p H-titration.

EXPERIMENTAL

Ferric perchlorate was prepared in the same way as described by Nanda *et al⁴*.

Iron content of the solution was estimated iodometrically as well as with a standard dichromate solution. The total acid was estimated volumetrically by a standardised NaOH solution, using phenolphthalein as indicator. Phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) , prepared from G. R. (E. Merck) H_3PO_4 by dilution, was standardised against a standard NaOH solution,

^{1.} Abstracted from a part of the D. Phil. thesis by S.C. Lahiri, University of Calcutta, 1964.

^{2.} *Bu,ll. Soc. Chim_,* 1982, 1824.

^{3.} Sutton, Nature, 1952, 169, 71; Sykes, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, 2473.

^{4.} This Journal, 1957, 34, 577.

716 S.C. LAHIRI

using bromocresol green as indicator. NaCIO₄ was prepared by neutralising HCIO₄ (E.P.-E. Merck) with Na_2CO_3 (E.P., E, Merck). It was purified by recrystallising the crystallised product and estimated gravimetrically. Tho solutions were prepared in double distilled water and all other chemicals were of reagent grade.

UV spectra of $\text{Fe}(\text{ClO}_4)_{3}(2.708 \times 10^{-4}M)$ at different *pHs* (0.54-2.32) were studied, exhibiting sharp absorption maxima at 240 $m\mu$ at pH below 1.8; above this pH the peak gradually disappeared. The UV spectra of $M/100$ -H₃PO₄ showed no appreciable absorption. The UV spectra of a mixture $Fe(CIO₄)₃$ and $H₃PO₄$ in the ratio 1:1 and an excess of phosphoric acid were studied. The absorption peak was shifted to 255 m μ , indicating formation of a complex of $Fe(CIO_4)_3$ and H_3PO_4 .

For absorption measurements, a Beckman model D.U. spectrophotometer in a room, thermostated at 25° (\pm 0.5°), was used*. The *pH* measurements were made with a Cambridge bench type battery-operated pH -meter with an accuracy of \pm 0.02 units. The glass electrode was checked with phthalate, phosphate, and borax buffers.

Determination of the Composition of the Complez

Spectrophotometric Method.-The molecular composition of the complex was determined by Job's method⁵ of continued variations. The pH of the solutions for each set was kept constant. The optical densities of the mixtures as well as the blank solutions were measured at 270, 280, 290, and 300 m μ . The values of \bar{D} , the difference between the observed optical density of the mixture and the corresponding blank, were plotted against the ratio of

$$
\frac{\text{[Fe^{3+}]}}{\text{[Fe^{3+}]} + \text{[H}_3\text{PO}_4]}
$$

at three different *pHs, viz.*, 1.88 ± 0.03 , 1.60 ± 0.02 , and 1.33 ± 0.02 , at four different wave lengths, viz., 270, 280, 290, and 300 $m\mu$, with solutions having three different total molarities $(5.416 \times 10^{-4}, 8.124 \times 10^{-4}, \text{ and } 10.832 \times 10^{-4}).$ The plots at particular pH and molarity are shown in Fig. 1. The occurrence of the maximum of \bar{D} is in every case at the composition ratio of 0.5 indicates that under the experimental conditions (below pH 2.0) Fe³⁺ and H_3PO_4 combine to form a 1:1 complex.

pH-titration Method.--Sinco formation of the complex is in the ratio of 1 **:1** of $Fe(OIO_4)_3$ to H_3PO_4 , the species may be one of the following:

$$
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \implies \text{FePO}_4 + 3\text{H}^* \qquad (1)
$$

$$
\mathrm{Fe}^{3+} + \mathrm{H}_{3}\mathrm{PO}_{4} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{FeHPO}_{4}^{+} + 2\mathrm{H}^{+} \qquad (2)
$$

$$
\mathrm{Fe}^{3+} + \mathrm{H}_{3}\mathrm{PO}_{4} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{FeH}_{3}\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{2+} + \mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{3}
$$

To decide which of these shows the true picture, p Hs of solutions of ferric-perohlorate with different amounts of phosphoric acid were measured and analysed in the same way as done by Salmon⁶. The concentration of $H[†]$ ions, liberated in the reaction between $F⁵⁺$

^{5.} *Ann. Ohim.,* 1928, **9,** 113.

^{6,} J. *Ohem. Soc.,* 1952, 2316; 1953, 2644; 1957, 959, 3239.

 $*$ Experiments were performed at other temperatures as well.

ions and H_3PO_4 , were calculated from the difference in the pH values and the activity coefficient of H⁺ ion from the empirical relationship suggested by Davies'.

$$
-\log f_i = 0.5 Z_i^2 \left\{ \frac{\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}}} - 0.2 \mu \right\} \tag{4}
$$

The results of $p\mathbf{H}$ measurements show that nearly two H⁺ ions are liberated per Fe atom at a mole ratio 1:1 of Fe- $(ClO₄)₃$ to H₃PO₄ in accordance to equation (2) . Thus it may be concluded that at pH below 2. Fe- $HPO₄$ is the species formed.

Paper Electrophoresis Method.—The paper electrophoresis experiments of $Fe(\overline{C}1O_4)$, were carried out in solutions of HClO₄ and H_3PO_4 of different pHs and also in phosphate buffer at different pHs.

With $\text{Fe(CIO}_4)$ in HClO₄ and H_3PO_4 below pH 2, only one band moved towards the cathode. The movement of Fe^{3+} ion in H_3PO_4 was always found to be lower than that in $HClO₄$, indicating complex formation between $Fe³⁺$ ion and $H₃PO₄$. Again, since the movement was always towards the cathode below pH_2 , the complex must be cationic in character. Around $pH 2.3$ and above and in excess of phosphate ion, the spot appeared to

7. J. Chem. Soc., 1938, 2093.

718 S.C. LAHIR1

move towards the anode, indicating a negatively charged species. This may be $Fe(HPO_4)$, observed by Banerjee⁸ and Faucherre and Msika² and also by Salmon et and. Electrophoretic measurements were not carried out beyond pH 2.8 because Fe³⁺ ion was hydrolysed and adsorbed by the paper.

The three methods, outlined above, showed absence of complexes like $\mathrm{[Fe\,(H_{a}PO_{4})_{4}]^{+}}$, observed by Atma Ram *et al⁹. pH*-titration studies indicate the species $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{HPO}_n^*$ and not the complexes like $F e H_a P O_4^{\alpha +}$, as susggested by Jensen¹⁰.

Job's curves and electrophoretic measurements rule out the possibility of $-\tau$ e charged and neutral species at p H below 2.

According to Faucherre and Msika² Fe(HPO₄)₂⁻ should be the predominating species in acidic solution and probably FeHPO_4^+ is formed only in solutions, poor in H_aPO_a , according to the scheme:

$$
\mathrm{Fe}^{3+} + \mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{PO}_4^- \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{FeHPO}_4^+ + \mathrm{H}^+ \tag{5}
$$

$$
\mathrm{FeHPO_4}^+ + \mathrm{H_2PO_4}^- \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe(HPO_4)_2}^- + \mathrm{H}^+ \qquad \qquad \ldots \quad \text{(6)}
$$

The pH -tibration studies in the present investigation show that the liberation of H^{\dagger} ions at pH below 2 is intermediate between 1 and 2 according to the reactions:

$$
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_3\text{PO}_4 \rightleftharpoons \text{FeHPO}_4^+ + 2\text{H}^+ \tag{2}
$$

$$
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^- \rightleftharpoons \text{FeHPO}_4^+ + \text{H}^* \qquad \qquad \dots \quad \text{(5)}
$$

since the amount of H_3PO_4 present as H_3PO_4 and $H_2PO_4^-$ is dependent on pH .

The pH -titration experiments also show that concentration of $FeHPO_a[*]$ formed is relatively small at low pH and gradually increases with increasing pH in accordance with the above equations. At pH above 2.1, $H_4PO_4^-$ predominates and in presence of excess H_3PO_4 , the negatively charged complex, as observed from electrophoretic measurements, may be due to reactions (5) and (6), suggested by Faucherre and Msika². This is also in accord with the observations of Banerjee⁸ and Salmon *et al⁶*.

Thus, at p Hs below 2, the complex may be regarded as $FeHPO₄⁺$. This is in agreement with the observations of Lanford and Kiehl¹¹, Banerjee⁸, Salmon⁶ *et al.*, D' Amore¹², and Faucherre and Msika².

Determination of the Stability Constant of FeHPO₁⁺

By p H-titration.-The equilibrium constant K of the reaction:

$$
\text{Fe}^{3\dagger} + \text{HPO}_4^{\ast} \rightleftharpoons \text{FeHPO}_4^{\ast} \qquad \qquad \dots \quad (7)
$$

can be written as

$$
K = \frac{a_{\text{FeHPO}_4}^{\dagger}}{a_{\text{Fe}^3}^{\dagger} \times a_{\text{HPO}_4}^{\dagger}} = \frac{C_{\text{FeHPO}_4}^{\dagger} \times f_{\text{F}}}{C_{\text{Fe}^3}^{\dagger} \times f_{\text{S}+} \times C_{\text{HPO}_4}^{\dagger}} \times f_{\text{A}}}
$$
(8)

- 8. This Journal, 1950, 27, 417.
- D. J. 81:&. Ind *.8118.,* 1954, **13B,** 217; 1956, **158,** 78.
- 10. Z. anorg. Ch<!m., 1922, **125,** 28.
- 11. J. *Amer, Ohem.,* Boo., 1942, **64.** 291.
- 12. D' A..m.ore, ~della *Boc. Pelor,* 1956, **5,** 95.

In order to avoid dilution effect, solutions were prepared in volumetric flasks with the same amount of $Fe(CIO_a)$, solutions and different amounts of H_3PO_a and made to the mark. The p H-readings of the solutions were taken.

The pH of the blank solution was obtained by adding to the pH of solutions containing Fe(ClO₄)₃ alone the contribution of $a_{\overline{H}}^+$ (from the equation:

$$
pH = pK + \log \frac{a_{\text{B2}}p_{\text{O4}}}{a_{\text{B2}}p_{\text{O4}}}
$$

and total phosphoric acid, where $a_{H_2P04} = a_{H}^{\dagger}$ that would have liberated due to the addition of H_3PO_4 alone without interaction with Fe^{3*} ion by the process of successive approximation. $a_{\text{Fe}_H\text{Po}_4}$ ⁺ was obtained from the difference of a_{H} ⁺ values of the experimental and blank solutions divided by $(2r + 1)/(r+1)$ similar to FeHPO₄ complex¹³. To calculate $r = \frac{a_{\text{H3PO4}}}{a_{\text{H2PO4}}}$, average pH of the experimental solution and that of the blank calculated, is taken

Knowing $a_{Fc_Hc_04}$ ⁺, $C_{Fc_Hc_4}$ ⁺ and C_{Fc} ³⁺ can be calculated. a_{Hc_04} ²⁻ can be known in the same way as in our previous communication¹³.

Thus from the knowledge of the pH, total H_3PO_4 , FeHPO₄⁺, $a_{BPO_4}^{2-}$ and values of f_+ and f_{3+} , calculated from the empirical relationship suggested by Davies⁷, the thermodynamic stability constant was calculated.

The average thermodynamic value obtained is 4.2 \times 10¹⁰ at 25°, which we consider as an approximate value in view of the error in pH measurements. The results are recorded in Table I.

Temp. $=25^\circ$.

Average $K = 4.2 \times 10^{10}$

By Spectrophotometry.—To calculate the stability constant of the complex by spectrophotometric method, a series of solutions containing $Fe(CIO_4)_3$ and H_3PO_4 at different concentrations and the corresponding blank solutions containing $Fe(CIO_4)_3$ were prepared and optical densities were taken at 25° . This was done at different p Hs and ionic strengths.

13. Lahiri and Aditya, this Journal, 1964, 41, 517.

S. C. LAHIRI

For a particular set at constant pH and ionic strength, the optical density values were taken at different temperatures, namely 25° , 35° 42° , and 50° .

Since the extinction coefficient, ϵ , of FeHPO₄⁺ could not be determined directly, an indirect method was applied to calculate the equilibrium constant. The equilibrium between Fe^{3+} ion and $\text{H}_{4}\text{PO}_{4}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_{3}\text{PO}_{4} & \rightleftharpoons & \text{FeHPO}_{4}^{+} + 2\text{H}^{+} \\
(\text{C}_{1} - x) & (\text{C}_{2} - x) & x\n\end{array} \tag{2}
$$

Let C_1 and C_2 be the concentration of ferric perchlorate and phosphoric acid, respectively, and x be the concentration of FeHPO_4^+ formed, the equilibrium equation can be written as

$$
K' = \frac{a_{F_{\text{e}}F_{\text{O}}4}^{\bullet} \cdot (a_{\text{H}}^{\bullet})^2}{a_{F_0}^{3^*} \cdot a_{F_0}^{3^*}} = \frac{C_{F_{\text{e}}F_{\text{O}}4}^{\bullet} \cdot (C_{\text{H}}^{\bullet})^2}{C_{F_0}^{3^*} \cdot C_{F_0}^{3^*}} \times \frac{f_{\star} \times f_{\star}^2}{f_{\star}^2} \qquad (9)
$$

(since H_aPO_a is uncharged). At constant pH_a ,

$$
K' = \frac{K'}{(a_{\rm H}^+)^2} = \frac{C_{\rm F0R}^{\rm F04}^+}{C_{\rm F0}^{\rm 3+} \times C_{\rm H3}^{\rm F04}} \times \frac{f_*}{f_{\rm 3+}}
$$

OF

$$
K'' = \frac{x}{(C_1 - x) (C_2 - x)} \cdot \frac{f_+}{f_{3+}} \qquad (10)
$$

If d_1 be the absorbance of $\text{Fe}(\text{ClO}_4)_3$ with no H_3PO_4 in it, d_4 , the absorbance of a solution containing H_3PO_4 , and ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 be the extinction coefficients of $Fe(CIO_4)$ and FeHPO₄⁺ species, respectively, we have

$$
d_{\mathbf{I}} = \epsilon_{\mathbf{I}} C_{\mathbf{I}} l \ (l = \text{length of the cell}) \tag{11}
$$

$$
d_{a} = \epsilon_{1}(C_{1} - x)l + \epsilon_{2}xl \qquad (12)
$$

Thus,

$$
d_{a} - d_{a} = \epsilon_{a} x l - \epsilon_{a} x l = x (\epsilon_{a} - \epsilon_{a}) l
$$

Or

$$
x = \frac{d_2 - d_1}{(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1)} l \tag{13}
$$

Substituting the value of x in (10), we have

$$
\frac{C_{\mathfrak{r}}}{d_{\mathfrak{q}}-d_{\mathfrak{r}}}=\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}-\epsilon_{\mathfrak{r}})l}+\frac{1}{(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{q}}-\epsilon_{\mathfrak{r}})l(C_{\mathfrak{q}}-x)}\frac{1}{K'}\left(\frac{f_{\mathfrak{r}}}{f_{\mathfrak{q}}}\right) \qquad (14)
$$

At constant ionic strength, the ratio of f_{\star}/f_{\star} , can be regarded as fixed.

Thus, if $C_1/(d_2-d_1)$ is plotted against $1/(C_2-x)$, the intercept will provide $1/(e_2-e_1)l$ and the slope, $1/(\epsilon_2-\epsilon_1) l K'$, from which the stability constant can be calculated. Since the value of x is unknown, a series of approximation is done (two to three approximations are sufficient) for obtaining the value of the association constant.

In the first rough plots, the points lie scattered and a mean straight line is drawn, but with two or three approximations, the points lie fairly well on a straight line. The process was repeated with solutions of different p Hs, each having a constant value of pH (± 0.02) till constant values of the intercept and the slope were obtained. The final plot of $C_1/(d_a-d_1)$ vs. $1/(C_2-d_2)$ at a particular pR and ionic strength is shown in Fig. 2.

The optical density measurements were carried out at three different wave lengths, namely, 280 , 290 , and 300 m μ . From each of the sets, the association constants were calculated and averaged to provide the association constant for a particular p H and temperature. The values of K' are recorded in Table II.

TABLE II

Temp. $= 25^{\circ}$

.

*Calculated on the hasis of Davies' equation.

S. C. LAHIRI

Now, if the equilibrium of FeHPO₄⁺ is written as

$$
\mathrm{Fe}^{3t} + \mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{FeHPO}_{4}^{t} \tag{7}
$$

the constant K $(K = \frac{\text{FeHPO}_{4}^{+} \cdot f_{*}}{\text{Fe}^{3+} \cdot f_{3+} \cdot \text{HPO}_{4}^{2+} \cdot f_{3-}})$

can be had from K'' at any known pH .

To obtain the value of K , we have to consider the phosphoric acid equilibria, which can be written as

$$
\epsilon H_3PO_4 \rightleftharpoons H^+ + H_2PO_4^- \text{ with } K_1 = \frac{a_H^2 - a_{R_2PO_4}}{a_{R_3PO_4}} \qquad \qquad (15)
$$

$$
H_{a}PO_{4}^{-} \rightleftharpoons H^{+} + HPO_{4}^{2-} \text{ with } K_{a} = \frac{a_{B}^{4} \cdot a_{B}p_{04}^{2-}}{a_{B2}p_{04}^{2-}} \qquad (16)
$$

$$
HPO_4^{2-} \iff H^+ + PO_4^{3-} \text{ with } K_3 = \frac{a_R^+ \cdot a_{PO_4}^{3-}}{a_{RPO_4}^{2-}} \qquad (17)
$$

Now, at equilibrium in presence of Fe³⁺ ions,

$$
[\mathbf{H}_{3}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}] + [\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}^{-}] + [\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}^{-1}] + [\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}^{-1}] + (\mathbf{F}_{0}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}^{+}] = [\text{total phosphoric acid}] \dots (18)
$$

or $[\mathbf{H}_{3}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}] + [\mathbf{H}_{2}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}^{-}] + [\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}^{-1}] + [\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_{4}^{-3}] = [\text{total free phosphate ion}]$

or
$$
\frac{a_{\text{H}f}^2 \times a_{\text{H}f04}^2}{K_1K_2} + \frac{a_{\text{H}f} \times a_{\text{H}f04}^2}{K_3f} + \frac{a_{\text{H}f04}^2}{f_{2}} + \frac{a_{\text{H}f04}^2}{f_{3}^2} + \frac{a_{\text{H}f04}^2}{f_{3}^2} = \text{[total free phase]}
$$

or
$$
a_{\text{H}^p\text{o}_4}^2 - \left[\frac{a_{\text{H}^p}}{K_1 K_2} + \frac{a_{\text{H}^p}}{K_2 f} + \frac{1}{f_{2-}} + \frac{K_3}{f_{3-}} \right] = \qquad \text{[total free phosphate ion]}
$$

Under our experimental conditions,

$$
a_{\text{H}^{\text{P}}04}^{2-} = \frac{\text{[Total free phosphate ion]}}{\frac{(a_{\text{H}})^2}{K_1K_2} + \frac{a_{\text{H}}^{\dagger}}{K_2f}}
$$
 (19)
Thus, factor \vec{n} [Total free phosphate ion] $(a_{\text{H}})^2$ a_{H}^{\dagger}

$$
= \frac{\text{[Total free phosphate ion]}}{a_{\text{H}^p\text{O}_4}^2} = \frac{(a_{\text{H}_4})^2}{K_1K_2} + \frac{a_{\text{H}}^4}{K_2f} \tag{20}
$$

 \overline{n} is dependent on pH , which can be determined by knowing pK , f and thermodynamic values of K_1 and K_2 respectively (thermodynamic $pK_1 = 2$. i and $pK_2 = 7.2$).

The multiplication of K' with $\overline{n} \times f_* / f_{**}$ provides the value of thermodynamic K. These are shown in Table I.

The agreement of the thermodynamic values obtained at low ionic strengths are very good. On the basis of the Davies equation7, the values are: 5.79×10^{10} (4.39 × 10¹⁰) at an ionic strength 0.04 and pH 1.56; 7.46 \times 10¹° (4.18 \times 10¹°) at an ionic strength; 0.037 and pH 1.76; 7.86 \times 10¹⁰ (5.97 \times 10¹^o) at an ionic strength 0.026 and pH 1.80.

The values at high ionic strengths are: 1.15×10^{11} (1.36 $\times 10^{11}$) at an ionic strength 1.70 and pH 1.20 and 2.19 \times 10¹ (1.90 \times 10¹) at an ionic strength 0.87 and pH 1.38. The values in parenthesis are obtained by using the Debye-Hückel equation with $a = 9$ (value for Fe³⁺ ion)¹⁴.

At higher ionic strengths, values obtained are a little too high This may be due to the fact that determination of activity coefficients from the Debye-Hückel equation and the Davies equation does not yield quite accurate values. Considering the limitations, the agreement of the thermodynamic values at these high ionic strengths may be taken to be good.

The average thermodynamic value obtained is 7.04 \times 10¹⁰ at 25° (considering the values obtained by using the Davies equaton at low ionic strengths).

Lanford and Kiehl¹¹ recorded a value 4.44 \times 10¹° for K of FeHPO₁⁺ at 30[°] and at an ionic strength 0.665. In view of the fact that these authors used ferric-nitrate and also because of the uncertainties involved in the activity corrections, their value may be considered to be in agreement with the present one.

Determination of Thermodynamic Properties from Spectrophotometric Measurements

The dissociation constant K' was determined at an ionic strength of 0.037 and $pH1.76$ at 25°, 35°, 42° and 50° (Table III). Considering the τ H, K_1, K_2 , and ΔH to be unaffected in the temperature range studied, the values of $\log K''$ were plotted against $1/T$. The slope of the plot provides the value $-\wedge H/2.303R$ from which $\triangle H$ can be calculated. Thus for the reaction

$$
\mathbf{F}e^{3t} + \mathbf{H}_3\mathbf{P}\mathbf{O}_4 \Longleftrightarrow \mathbf{FeHPO}_4{}^+ + 2\mathbf{H}^* \tag{2}
$$

 \wedge H is -4.85 k cal. Combining this with the \wedge H's¹⁵ for

$$
H^+ + H_2PO_4^- \rightleftharpoons H_3PO_4, \triangle H = -0.8 \text{ kcal.}
$$

$$
H^+ + \text{HPO}_4^{*-} \rightleftharpoons H_2PO_4^-, \triangle H = 1.88 \text{ kcal.}
$$

we have for $\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HPO}_{4}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{FeHPO}_{4}^{*}$, $\Delta H = -3.77$ keal. Using the expression $\Delta F = \Delta H - T/\Delta S$ (ΔF being equal to - $RT \ln K$), ΔS has been calculated. The average value of $\wedge S$ is 37 e.u. The value of $\wedge F$ at 25° is -14.85 kcal. The thermodynamic values are also recorded in Table IV.

TABLE III

 $pH = 1.76$. Ionic strength = 0.037.

14. Kolthoff and Elving, "Treatise on Analytical Chemistry", Vol I, Part I, Interscience Encyclopedia Inc. N.Y., p. 243.

S. C.LAHIRI

TABLE IV

System: $\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{HPO}_4^{\prime -} \rightleftharpoons \text{FeHPO}_4^+$ ΔF (kcal./mole). $\triangle H$ (kcal./mole) ΔS (e.u.) -14.85 $+ 37$ -3.77

DISCUSSION

The thermodynamic data show that in the case of ferric complex, the reaction is exothermic and both $\triangle H$ and $\triangle S$ favour complex formation, whereas in the case of ferrous complex, the reaction is endothermic, heat change is unfavourable, and the entropy change is favourable for the formation of complex.

Entropy change in complex formation is due to decrease in the number of ions, partial neutralisation of electric charge, and displacement of water from the hydration sphere. In terms of 'iceberg' concept of Frank and Evans¹⁶, the removal of ions from solutions, as in the process of complex formation between two charged complex ions, will lead to the breakdown of the 'icebergs' and a resulting entropy change will favour complex formation. This is tound in the case of FeHPO_{4}^+ and FeHPO_{4} . In the case of FeHPO_{4} , entropy change would, however, be expected to be more favourable compared to FeHPO₄⁺ which has got a residual charge of unity. Our results also indicate the same.

The difference of entropy in the formation of $\text{FeHPO}_{4}^{\dagger}$ and $\text{FeHPO}_{4}^{\dagger}$ may be attributed to the difference in hydrational entropies of Fe³⁺, Fe²⁺. FeHPO₄⁺, and FeHPO₄ respectively. HPQ_4^2 may be regarded as a bidentate group. The formation of four-membered rings¹⁷ is possible in the case of $F3^*$ and $F3^*$ ions having the following structures:

$$
M^{x+} + \text{HPO}_4^{x-} \longrightarrow M^{(x-2)^+} \left\langle \right\rangle^0 > P \left\langle \right\rangle^{OH}
$$

The optimum radius of metal ions for chelate ring formation is about 0.7Å. Since $\mathbf{F}e^{2t}$ ion have much greater ionic radius¹⁸ (0.76 §) than $\mathbf{F}e^{3t}$ ion¹⁸ (0.64 Å), the ring is likely to be strained due to greater ionic radius. Thus, FeHPO₄ is much less stable compared to FeHPO_{4}^{+} .

The author expresses his deep sense of gratitude to Dr. S. Aditya for his keen interest and helpful suggestions and discussions during the course of the work. The author is also thankful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, for a research grant.

PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY LABORATORY, DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED CHEMISTRY. UNIVERSITY COLLEGES OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY. CALOUTTA-9.

Received November 25, 1964.

15. Pitzer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1937, 59, 2365. 16. J. Chem. Phys., 1945, 13. 507. 17. Genge and Salmon, J. Chem. Soc., 1959, 1459. 18. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond", The Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 3rd., p. 518.